

1 Leveraging everyday technology for people living with dementia: a case study

2

3

4

5 word count (minus abstract) 5412

6

7

8

9

10

ImPress

11 Abstract

12 Purpose

13 To present the self-described ‘journey’ of a person with dementia (Brian; author 3) in his re-learning of  
14 old technologies and learning of new ones and the impact this had on his life.

15

16 Design/methodology/approach

17 This is a single case study detailing the participant’s experiences collaborating with a researcher to co-  
18 create methods of facilitating this learning process, which he documented in the form of an online blog  
19 and diary entries. These were analysed using NVivo to reveal the key themes.

20

21 Findings

22 Brian was able to relearn previously used technologies and learn two new ones. This lead to an  
23 overarching theme of positive outlook on life supported by person-centredness, identity and  
24 technology, which challenged negative perceptions about dementia.

25

26 Research limitations/implications

27 The paper provides an example of how learning and technology improved the life of one person with  
28 dementia. By sharing our approach we hope to encourage others to embrace the challenge of designing  
29 and developing innovative solutions for people with a dementia diagnosis by leveraging both current  
30 mainstream technology and creating novel bespoke interventions for dementia.

31

32 Originality/value

33 The personal perspective of a person with dementia and his experiences of (re-) learning provide a  
34 unique insight into the impact of technology on his life.

35 Introduction

36 Dementia is an umbrella term for symptoms that arise from a number of different underlying causes  
37 including Alzheimer's disease, vascular damage and Lewy bodies. The biggest risk factor for dementia  
38 is age although the numbers of younger people being diagnosed is increasing. Dementia is primarily a  
39 cognitive disorder (DSM-5, American Psychiatric Association, 2013) with the different causes  
40 resulting in different profiles of spared and impaired cognitive function, including memory, attention,  
41 planning and initiating activities. At this time none of the causes of dementia are reversible and people  
42 can expect to live with declining cognitive abilities over a number of years. While the profiles of  
43 cognitive impairment vary across the dementia subtypes, the effect is always to reduce a person's  
44 independence leading to reliance on one or more other people to fulfil their needs (Astell, In Press).  
45 Understandably dementia has a major impact on those who are diagnosed and their families World  
46 Health Organisation, 2012) and poses major challenges to maintaining quality of life (QOL; Topo,  
47 2009). To date, the medical model of dementia has dominated, focusing on degeneration and loss of  
48 abilities (Gilliard, Means, Beattie & Daker-White, 2005; Innes, 2009; NICE, 2007). This view is both  
49 deterministic and pessimistic (Kitwood, 1990) and fostered widely held misconceptions that little or  
50 nothing can be done to help (Banerjee, 2010; Vernooij-Dassen et al, 2005). This has led to excessive  
51 disabling of people with dementia (Well & Dawson, 2000), where an individual with an acquired or  
52 congenital condition is disabled to a greater extent than is due to simply having the condition (Brody,  
53 1971). This excessive disabling is due to environmental factors, which include the attitudes and  
54 behaviour of those around the person, who for a variety of reasons, take things away from or do not  
55 allow the individual to do a range of things for themselves.

56 However, it is possible to reframe dementia from a psychosocial perspective to provide a theoretical  
57 basis for a person-centred approach (Innes, 2009). Person-centredness involves valuing and treating  
58 each person living with dementia as an individual, appreciating their perspective and ensuring a  
59 positive social environment. This psychosocial approach focuses on retained cognitive and social

60 abilities, promoting their use to allow people with dementia to function to their full capacity (Kitwood,  
61 1997; Robinson et al, 2010; Wells & Dawson, 2000) and live a relatively normal, enjoyable and active  
62 life (Devlin, MacAskill & Stead, 2007).

63 Finding ‘Non-drug interventions that make a real difference to people’s lives and improve quality of  
64 life’ and ‘enable people to maintain their dignity and independence for longer, reducing disability’ is a  
65 priority of the UK government (Department of Health, 2013b p.35). Technology is one such  
66 intervention that could help improve the lives of individuals with dementia (Cash, 2003). Most  
67 developments in this area have been in ‘Assistive Technology’ (AT), i.e. devices or systems that help  
68 individuals to perform tasks and increase safety (Royal Commission on Long Term Care, 1999 as cited  
69 in Cash, 2003), for example, automatic medication dispensers (Alzheimer’s Society, 2012). Limited  
70 technology has been developed specifically to support people living with dementia. Two such are  
71 CIRCA (Computer Interactive Reminiscence and Conversation Aid; Astell, Alm, Gowans, Ellis, Dye &  
72 Campbell, 2008) and LIM (Living in the Moment; Astell, Ellis, Alm, Dye, Gowans, & Vaughan,  
73 2006), both of which highlight the applicability of ICT for people with dementia and particularly the  
74 accessibility of touch screens.

75 Everyday non-specialised technology, for example, television sets and telephones, also have utility and  
76 significance in the lives of people with dementia (Nygård, 2008). Further exploration of this  
77 mainstream functionality could go a long way to addressing the pressing needs of growing numbers of  
78 people with dementia. However, technology in dementia care raises ethical issues relating to privacy  
79 and control (Kinney, Kart, Murdoch & Conley, 2004) and the need to balance the rights and  
80 responsibilities of people with dementia and care providers (e.g. use of electronic tagging – see Astell,  
81 2006 for a discussion of this debate).

82 Currently, the potential of technology to help people with dementia is acknowledged, but not fully  
83 realised (Cash, 2003; Robinson, Brittain, Lindsay, Jackson & Olivier, 2009). Specifically, there is a  
84 need for more research with people living at home with the early stages of dementia, as most research

85 has been conducted in residential care when people's cognitive needs are typically more advanced  
86 (Topo, 2009). Furthermore, many technology interventions have been designed to meet the needs of  
87 caregivers (Astell, 2006; Schulz et al, 2003; Topo, 2009) rather than focusing on the individuals with  
88 dementia (Orpwood et al, 2007).

89 The subjective experience of individuals with dementia is critical for improving the relevance and  
90 quality of dementia care (Department of Health, 2013b; Phinney, 2008). While there are some high  
91 profile examples of first person accounts of living with dementia (for example Christine Brydon, 2007,  
92 2012; Thaddeus Raushi, 2001; and Richard Taylor, 2007) there has been limited investigation of the  
93 subjective experiences of people with dementia using technology. This case study presents the  
94 experiences of a person with dementia and a researcher, working together with currently available  
95 mainstream technology. This study investigated the impact of technology use on Brian's life and how  
96 this might translate to other people living with dementia.

## 98 Method

### 99 Participants

100 Research participant: Brian (living with dementia)

101 "I am 63 years of age and I was given a diagnosis of Mixed Lewy Body and Vascular Dementia when I  
102 was 60. My background in brief: 1965-1974: Royal Navy; 1974-1982: Hospital porter; 1982-1988:  
103 Care Assistant; 1988-1993: Social Care Officer; 1993-1997: Senior Care Officer; 1997-2003: Training  
104 Officer. I had a stroke in 2001 and subsequent investigations and assessment in 2008 lead to my  
105 dementia diagnosis in 2009. Before my diagnosis my experiences with technology were no different to  
106 anyone else - Mobile phone, PC, TV and hoists in my work. Post-diagnosis I had problems with  
107 anything with a plug: PC, TV remote control, talking books, microwave, cooker, landline phone and  
108 any new technology. My dementia training at work had taught me that new learning was not possible in

109 dementia, which made me quite depressed. I was referred to the Dundee Alzheimer Scotland Resource  
110 Centre where I met Dr Maggie Ellis.”

111

112 Researcher: Maggie

113 “I was working as the Senior Research Fellow on the COBALT (Challenging Obstacles and Barriers to  
114 Assistive Living Technologies; [www.cobaltproject.org](http://www.cobaltproject.org)) project, developing tools for increasing  
115 awareness, adoption and availability of technology to benefit older adults generally, not just those  
116 living with dementia. Part of this work involved taking a novel application – NANA (Novel  
117 Assessment of Nutrition and Ageing; Astell et al, 2012) - to an Alzheimer Scotland resource centre to  
118 gather feedback from people with dementia. Brian was one of the attendees who agreed to try the  
119 NANA system and during the subsequent interview he expressed his wider interest in technology. I  
120 invited Brian to become involved in the COBALT project by co-developing strategies with me to help  
121 him to re-learn old and learn new technologies. Brian agreed to write a blog for the project based on  
122 these experiences as part of this process.”

123

124 Co-developed learning sessions

125 Maggie visited Brian at home 26 times over approximately twelve months with the broad aim of  
126 developing a methodology that would allow Brian to re-learn previously used technologies and learn  
127 how to operate new technologies that interested him and to maintain the learning. Eighteen of the 26  
128 sessions had a specific objective, of which four were general in focus, e.g. “To teach Brian the basics of  
129 using an iPhone” and 14 were more specific, e.g. “To show Brian how to download an App and teach  
130 him how to use it”. The remaining eight sessions were designed to ‘re-cap’ information/techniques/  
131 tasks that had been previously covered. All 26 sessions involved the development of sets of either  
132 written or typed instructions corresponding to each task. Brian and Maggie jointly agreed the order and  
133 number of steps required for each task together (see Table 1 for example).

134

135 Materials

136 Brian wrote a blog for the COBALT project outlining his experiences and feelings about re-learning to  
137 use old technology and learning new ones and how these experiences impacted on his life. He posted  
138 32 blogs between September 2012 and May 2013, which provided a personal representation of the  
139 impact of technology use on a daily basis. Table 1 contains an example of the diary entries provided by  
140 both Brian and Maggie outlining the content and purpose of each visit.

141

---

142 Insert Table 1 about here

---

143

144 Data analysis

145 Brian's blogs were analysed using NVivo 9 (NVivo), a Computer Assisted Qualitative Data Analysis  
146 Software (CAQDAS) by QSR International, to facilitate analysis and reinforce methodological rigour  
147 (Sinkovics & Alfoldi, 2012). NVivo formalises the way data are analysed and creates an 'auditable  
148 footprint', thereby increasing reliability, transparency and credibility (Sinkovics & Alfoldi, 2012 p.827;  
149 Sinkovics & Ghauri, 2008). It helps balance the nonlinearity and fluidity of qualitative research, with  
150 detailed documentation of the process and ensures that researchers are self-reflective in the analytical  
151 process, thinking critically and justifying their decisions (Sinkovics & Alfoldi, 2012).

152 After carefully reviewing different approaches, a method of analysis based on O'Neill's (2013) four  
153 stages of analysis, which was adapted from Edlund (2011) and Sinkovics and Alfoldi's (2012)  
154 method, was selected (see Table 2).

155

---

156 Insert Table 2 about here

---

157

158 Procedure

159 Brian's blog entries were transcribed manually and imported into NVivo. The sources were analysed  
160 and an initial model created. The results were reviewed again and condensed into higher order themes.

161

162 Results

163 Brian was able to relearn to use his desktop computer, for the first time in years, and learn to use a  
164 smart phone and a laptop. He re-acquired a number of lost skills, including emailing and also learnt a  
165 number of new ones, including downloading and using a variety of apps on his smart phone. Over the  
166 sessions he learnt to plan and carry out independent travel, to use PowerPoint and had the opportunity  
167 to give a number of talks about his experience in the COBALT project.

168 The qualitative analysis revealed an overarching theme of 'Positive Outlook on Life, which was  
169 supported by three main themes; 'Person-centredness', 'Identity' and 'Technology'. A number of sub-  
170 themes contributed to Brian's overall positive outlook on life.

171

172 1. Positive outlook on life

173 As a result of using technology Brian realised that he could live well with dementia.

174 "I was just thinking to myself this evening. I have every reason to be positive about my  
175 Dementia and how every time I find a square peg, I can nearly always find a square hole to fit it  
176 into." (14/10/2012)

177 He began to have a more positive outlook on his dementia diagnosis and life generally.

178 "I realised that recently, some very positive things had happened to me. I was successfully  
179 relearning my pre- diagnosis ability to use the computer. " (14/11/2013)

180 After his diagnosis Brian had been depressed and negative about his future. He gave up many activities  
181 and was particularly disappointed to have stopped using his computer. His mood improved as he re-  
182 engaged with technology.



183 “I very strongly feel that any fear or despondency I had previously has been put aside and  
184 replaced by a new me. There are so many good things happening in my life, I can face each day  
185 with a completely different outlook. (24/02/2013)

186 Through his blogs Brian documented all of his experiences, including the setbacks and periods when he  
187 was unwell. In spite of these, he felt that his life was much improved.

188 “So at this time I am well and looking forward to future challenges and have to say, the  
189 positives are easily outnumbering the negatives through this part of my Journey through  
190 Dementia.” (19/11/2012)

191

## 192 2. Person-Centredness

193 Brian’s family and Maggie created a social environment that supported Brian’s psychological needs.

194 Maggie used Brian’s interests to individualise the intervention for him, recognising his uniqueness,  
195 experiences and perspective. Maggie continually assessed the level of support Brian needed and  
196 facilitated, enabled, encouraged and empowered him.

197 “Originally when I first met Dr Maggie she had to go back to the very beginning with me and  
198 start with very easy to understand tasks on my computer.” (11/05/2013)

199 Brian’s wife, ‘S’ and daughter ‘J’ supported him strongly throughout the project and he described how  
200 his wife’s approach benefitted him.

201 “Since the day of my diagnosis, this is how she coped with it. Never questioning, never angry  
202 and never lying down to my Dementia. She deals with it face on. She has led by example and  
203 this is why I can be so positive about my Dementia.” (13/12/2012)

204 Encouraged by his successes with Maggie, Brian and S started to work on household technology that  
205 he had previously stopped using.

206 “This week I am being re-trained in our kitchen. S has been concentrating on my use of our  
207 Microwave Cooker...With a lot of patience, S is doing a great job and I am slowly getting the  
208 idea of safety in the kitchen.” (02/04/2013)

209 Brian acknowledged the importance of having the support and encouragement of other people in  
210 helping him to move forward.

211 ‘With Maggie’s help and my wife and daughter supporting me, I can be so much more positive  
212 in the coming weeks and months.’ (10/10/2012)

213

### 214 3. Identity

215 Brian’s view of himself as a person with dementia changed over the time period as his technology use  
216 increased and his confidence grew.

217 “I only have to think back six months and recall that I could barely use a Television Remote  
218 Control. The changes in me are incredible.” (04/02/2013)

219 Important in changing his view of what people with dementia are expected to achieve was his  
220 experience of (re)learning.

221

#### 222 3.1 (Re)Learning

223 Based on his previous training and experience working in health and social care. Brian thought that  
224 people with dementia could not (re)learn

225 “Before I was asked to take part in COBALT I had very little interest in re-learning or in fact  
226 learning something new. I thought those days had passed me by.” (11/10/2013)

227 The use of technology allowed Brian to (re)learn and use skills he had not used since his diagnosis.

228 “When I reflect on the last ten weeks I am amazed at how much I have learned and re-learned.”  
229 (26/11/2012)

230 This was incredibly important to Brian as it enabled him to carry out tasks again and prove to himself  
231 that he could move forward and there was hope despite a dementia diagnosis.

232 “I sometimes find it unbelievable that I have been able to understand, sometimes complex  
233 Instructions, and retain at least some of them.” (14/10/2012)

234

### 235 3.2 Self-image

236 Brian’s self-worth, -belief and -esteem increased dramatically. He was previously self-conscious about  
237 not being able to do things, but technology showed that he could (re)learn and do things independently.

238 “I am now using modern technology in my everyday living. I can safely operate the Microwave,  
239 the digital TV remote control and the door exit alarm system. Things, which I found so simple  
240 in the past, are now a great mystery to me. That is until I met Dr. Maggie and I started the re-  
241 learning process.” (13/12/2012)

242 Furthermore, technology allowed Brian to participate and contribute to society through the blog.

243 “As long as I am doing the blog and know that others are interested, it gives me that extra  
244 strength and confidence. Thank you all.” (14/11/2012)

245

### 246 3.3 Confidence

247 Technology brought back and increased Brian’s confidence both in technology and in life more  
248 generally.

249 “The more I use my new phone seems to further increase my confidence.” (13/10/2012)

250 As part of being involved in the COBALT project, Brian had several opportunities to give presentations  
251 to a number of audiences, which also boosted his self-confidence.

252 “Other things have happened recently as a result of meeting Maggie such as delivering  
253 presentations and public speaking with a new found confidence.” (14/11/2012)

254

255 3.4 Independence

256 Technology use allowed Brian to be more independent, for example, travelling independently, carrying  
257 out tasks, and being less reliant on others.

258 ‘My work with the Scottish Dementia Working Group requires me to travel out of Dundee  
259 fairly often. My phone carries all my files and information so I can travel lightly. It is also a  
260 great comfort to me as I can keep in touch with everyone I need to.’ (13/12/2012)

261

262 3.5 Control

263 Technology use gave Brian back a sense of control and empowered him.

264 “Today I was on my own at home and decided to watch a D.V.D. “Problem” I had not actually  
265 carried out this task for more than 2 years. I have come to rely on other people doing it for me.

266 What used to be a comparatively simple task for me has gone. Before I was involved with the  
267 COBALT Project I would have decided to wait until someone would do this for me. Not  
268 now!!!” (14/02/2013)

269 It also gave him the tools, for example, the Internet, to find out and understand information.

270 “I soon got to grips with entering information and realised I was managing to work a bit faster. I  
271 put in at least fifty names and numbers and felt really pleased with myself.” (12/10.2012)

272

273 4. Technology

274 Technology itself positively impacted Brian’s life. For instance Brian’s iPhone became an essential part  
275 of daily living and he downloaded a number of apps, for example, a daily journal to help keep a record  
276 and record daily happenings.

277 “I am also able to refresh my memory about what has happened recently at the touch of a  
278 button...”I make use of the alert mode to help me with my medication times and to remind me  
279 of meetings and appointments.” (13/12/2012)

280 Brian's computer allowed him to make PowerPoint presentations and enabled him to book transport  
281 and hotel accommodation and make online purchases.

282 "…here I am all ready to use the Internet on the comfort of the couch." (13/10/2012)

283

#### 284 4.1 Engaged

285 As a result of using technology Brian became more engaged and experienced a renewed interest in life.

286 This started with the iPhone, which 'captivated' him and extended to other technologies and life in  
287 general, from television programs to his Grandson's schooling and sports.

288 "Out today to a family gathering. I could not wait to let them see the latest challenge, which I  
289 had started to overcome. They were very impressed (especially my nieces and nephews and my  
290 grand-son). I was tired by the end of the day trying to explain "COBALT" to them. So much  
291 has happened to me in the past few weeks." (14/10/2012)

292

#### 293 4.2 Social

294 Socially, technology allowed Brian to re-engage and become an active part of the family again, which  
295 also improved his relationships. Social media enabled Brian to keep in touch with relatives and friends  
296 all over the world. Such activity was important for tackling the stigma of Brian's dementia as people  
297 could see he was living well and were less wary to get in touch. Brian realised he was not alone and  
298 that other people with dementia felt the same.

299 "I decided to go on to the computer just to see how much work I would be able to carry out. I  
300 started by sending out 3 E-Mails to friends and asked them to send me a reply when they  
301 received them. Within 1 hour I had 3 replies. I marked that up as another success. I then spent 2  
302 hours on the Internet and did some Family research. I had some good results and was able to  
303 link up with people from Ireland, Australia, U.S.A. and England." (05/10/2012)

304 Through his blog Brian was included and connected to society and able to help people understand  
305 dementia.

306 “I feel encouraged with so many good people around me to help in my hour of need. I include  
307 in this the people who actually read the blog.” (14/11/2012)

308

#### 309 4.3 Purpose

310 Technology use brought meaning back into Brian’s life giving him a purpose, something to look  
311 forward to and keep him busy.

312 “It is my intention to keep posting my blogs. They have become part of my life.” (19/11/2012)

313 Brian also felt that he was contributing to society, being a voice for people who have dementia and  
314 helping other people, particularly through describing his life with dementia.

315 “My Vascular Dementia appears to be on hold at the moment but my Dementia with Lewy  
316 Bodies has been erratic to say the least...I am currently ticking all the boxes for my Dementia  
317 with Lewy Bodies. It has been explained to me the unpredictable nature of this Dementia and  
318 this is the bit I have problems fully understanding” (04/02/2013)

319

#### 320 4.4 Pleasure

321 Technology also brought Brian pleasure, from using his iPhone to look at photos to reading via the  
322 Kindle, which his wife gave him for Christmas. Brian was excited to learn anything new on his iPhone.  
323 He was enjoying life, was a lot happier and brighter.

324 “...I spent hours basically enjoying using the phone.” (25/10/2012)

325

#### 326 4.5 Opportunities

327 In addition, to these benefits Brian had other opportunities, which he believed not have had if he had  
328 not joined COBALT and started using technology.

329 “I thought those days had passed me by. It also took me into a completely new place. I was  
330 transferring my new technology skills into writing presentations and going on to actually  
331 deliver them to some well attended Conferences. This was down to Dr Maggie and the  
332 confidence she had in me.” (11/05/2013)

333 Two main opportunities were public speaking and being on the National Committee of the Scottish  
334 Dementia Working Group (SDWG).

335 “I have been elected on to the National Committee of The Scottish Dementia Working Group.  
336 This organisation is run by people who have Dementia. The committee represents others who  
337 have Dementia and their carers. The S.D.W.G. are funded by Alzheimer Scotland. The  
338 committee has a keen interest in the political departments who have any interest in Dementia  
339 Strategies. Being a newly elected member I will have to give a presentation to the rest of the  
340 existing Committee.” (19/11/2012)

341 Brian was able to participate because of his positive outlook gained from technology use. These  
342 opportunities further increased his positive outlook by increasing his confidence, sense of achievement,  
343 pride, value and self-worth.

344 “These days I am been made to feel so included that my self-worth has increased greatly.”  
345 (4/02/2013)

## 347 5. Setbacks

348 Like most people Brian sometimes found technology difficult and frustrating and he experienced a  
349 number of setbacks, which detracted from his positive outlook. His dementia also affected him at times,  
350 which he wrote about with great clarity.

351 “I do still have very dark days when I do not retain much information and I can become very  
352 confused sometimes.” (12/10/2012)

353 After one period of three or four days when it was difficult to remember things, Brian found that when  
354 he next worked with Maggie he was able to pick it back up.

355 "I am thankful that I am able to use the computer and the phone, so obviously most of my  
356 newly learned skills have been retained. This is very important to me, as it is the opposite of  
357 what has been happening to me in the past. I see this as a positive experience. "(02/10/2012)

358 Brian was able to overcome the setbacks and persevere through the support he received, the realisation  
359 that he could (re)learn and his attitude.

360 "...occasionally I will have these "temporary blips" but when they happen now I know help is  
361 at hand." (02/02/2013)

362

363 In addition to the themes relating to Brian's experience, the analysis identified one further theme of  
364 importance for making technology more widely available to other people living with dementia, that of  
365 the low expectations and negative beliefs held by other people.

366

## 367 6. Challenging negative perceptions

368 Brian reported several examples of other people being surprised or amazed at this regained abilities and  
369 the way he was able to learn new technologies.

370 "I spoke with my Consultant last week and he was delighted to hear how successful Dr Maggie  
371 has been in such a short time. To be honest, he looked astonished when I demonstrated the use  
372 of my iPhone." (11/05/2013)

373 His experiences confirm that the people around individuals with dementia often have very low  
374 expectations about what they can do.

375 "Friends, relatives and even some carers have said that they were sure that a diagnosis of  
376 dementia would leave me without these skills forever. Some of them have been shocked to see  
377 me use me use an iPhone. Especially, when I know when they cannot use one." (13/12/12)



378

379 Discussion

380 This study aimed to investigate the impact of an individual with dementia working  
381 collaboratively to re-engage with technology and examine the impact of this on his life. The first  
382 finding was that Brian was able to relearn to use technology he had previously used, particularly his  
383 desktop computer. In addition, he became a confident user of a smart phone and a laptop. Qualitative  
384 analysis of his blog posts during the project revealed that technology use produced an overall 'Positive  
385 Outlook on Life' for Brian, comprising three main themes: 'Person-Centredness', 'Identity' and  
386 'Technology'. This study contributes to the currently limited research on the subjective experiences of  
387 people with dementia using technology. It highlights the potential of technology to improve subjective  
388 quality of life and make a real difference to the people living with dementia.

389 Person-Centredness was very important in contributing to the positive impacts of technology use in  
390 Brian's life. This illustrates the importance of a psychosocial approach (Brooker, 2007; Kitwood, 1997)  
391 and highlights that interventions may be most effective when implemented in the context of Person-  
392 Centredness. Furthermore, Person-Centredness provides a way of managing ethical issues by focusing  
393 technology use on the needs of the individual and not on motives such as filling (Alm, et al., 2005)  
394 gaps or priorities of care providers, which may conflict with those of the individual (Astell, 2006).  
395 Person-Centredness has been criticised as an overused and 'woolly' term, which 'is often so difficult to  
396 achieve in practise' (Brooker, 2004 p.221). There are only a few empirically rigorous studies looking at  
397 Person-Centred interventions and the subsequent impacts on people with dementia (Edvardsson,  
398 Winblad & Sandman, 2008). The current study provides a working example of Person-Centredness by  
399 working collaboratively with a person with dementia at every step of the process.

400 Individualising the support offered to Brian was central in this study. Therefore, when applying  
401 technology use to other people with dementia and deciding what, if any, technology is most  
402 appropriate, it is important to consider the individuality of each person (Nygård, 2008), recognise their

403 retained abilities (Gillard et al, 2005; Wells & Dawson, 2000) and ensure that they are central in  
404 making decisions (Alzheimer's Society, 2012). In Brian's case, this was evident in the co-development  
405 of the learning sessions and the structure of steps agreed by both parties. Other people with dementia  
406 may be less interested and committed than Brian and will have different needs and views and as with  
407 the population at large, technology might not be suitable for everyone (Alzheimer's Society, 2012).  
408 Future research into the subjective experiences of people with dementia using technology, including  
409 other dementia subtypes, should inform how technology could be most effectively used.

410 The findings also demonstrate that people with dementia can (re)learn if appropriate techniques are  
411 used, supporting previous findings (Bier et al, 2008; de Werd, et al, 2013). Additionally, the process of  
412 (re)learning was an important part of technology use that contributed to the positive impacts. Previous  
413 studies have highlighted the desire to learn and the meaning and positive impact of (re)learning in  
414 people with dementia (Beard, Knauss & Moyer, 2009; de Werd et al, 2013). However, as shown in this  
415 study, a high level of support was needed, which must be balanced against the benefits. Other research  
416 also highlights that training and on-going technical support is vital (Kinney et al, 2004). Therefore, a  
417 key recommendation is that, if possible, other people with dementia should try and be encouraged to  
418 (re)learn, using appropriate teaching methods and support, such as the approach developed here. As  
419 Brian's experience highlights, the benefits of (re)learning are not restricted to technology use. Future  
420 research into the most effective learning techniques in people with dementia should identify how these  
421 can be applied most successfully to a whole range of interventions to enable people to live well with  
422 dementia.

423 The present study confirmed that technology can have an impact in dementia care beyond safety and  
424 security and improve subjective QOL, helping people with dementia to enjoy life, remain active and  
425 involved (Marshall, 2001; Orpwood et al, 2007; Topo et al, 2004). Furthermore, the potential for  
426 everyday non-specialised technology to have positive impacts was highlighted, supporting the results  
427 of Nygård (2008).

428 Brian's example will hopefully provide hope and encouragement for people currently living with  
429 dementia and their families that it is possible to influence life after a diagnosis of dementia. Brian is  
430 just one individual and as such, his experiences with technology, both before and after his diagnosis are  
431 unique. However, we hope that by sharing his experiences with technology both here and on the  
432 COBALT blog, other people will start to challenge the low expectations and negative perceptions of  
433 what is possible once someone has a dementia diagnosis.

434 Excess disability was first described in the 1970's but its relevance and appropriateness in describing  
435 the experience of people diagnosed with dementia is just as important today, as indicated by the  
436 negative reactions Brian encountered during the project. With the current political drive to reduce costs  
437 and demands on health and social care services by people living with dementia, tackling excess  
438 disability is now essential. Intervening to maintain people with dementia to function as well as possible  
439 will delay demands on families to provide care and also reduce demands on formal care services.

440 Harnessing the functionality and capacity of technology is a key part of achieving this and the first  
441 prong of our call for more researchers to turn their talents and scientific skills to developing solutions  
442 for people living with dementia.

443 In making this argument we are not proposing that technology is a panacea for all of the challenges  
444 faced by people living with dementia. Rather, we suggest that technology should be an increasingly  
445 important part of equipping people to live as well as possible after receiving a dementia diagnosis. At  
446 its most basic technology can provide prompts and reminders to assist people with their daily activities.

447 As Brian's example demonstrates, technology can also support the maintenance of independence, i.e.  
448 doing things for himself rather than having to rely on someone else, for example booking travel and  
449 travelling independently.

450 Additionally, digital technologies can support people living with dementia to meet their higher-level  
451 needs for autonomy, pleasure and fun (Astell, 2013), for example through engaging and meaningful  
452 activities (Astell, Alm, Dye, Gowans, Vaughan & Ellis, 2014). The technological functions that support

453 social interaction for the wider population, including email, FaceBook™, and Skype™, can also be of  
454 major benefit to people living with dementia, as is starting to be recognised elsewhere (Hori, Furuya  
455 Kubota, Koike, & Kinoshita, 2011).

456 In future we can expect technology to be much more involved in delivery of care and supporting self-  
457 management, due to generational effects and the increasing numbers of people, like Brian, being  
458 diagnosed at a younger age (Levine, 2013). Cognitive prostheses were originally proposed to enhance  
459 the cognitive abilities of everyone, although their potential application to people with cognitive  
460 impairment is possibly even more appropriate (Astell, et al., 2008). Unfortunately there are very few  
461 digital activities designed specifically to meet the needs of people with dementia and this is the second  
462 area where we would encourage other researchers to bring their creativity and innovation to bear.  
463 Combining current and future digital functionality and hardware with understanding of the cognitive  
464 profiles of the different dementia subtypes opens up a whole new world of opportunity to enable people  
465 to live a good life with dementia.

#### 467 Limitations

468 Generalisation of findings from a case study is difficult in terms of other people with dementia, who  
469 may have different types and stages of dementia and of course each person and their experience is  
470 unique. However, key findings and principles from this study can be a starting point for working with  
471 other people with dementia. In future studies, a combination of qualitative and quantitative measures  
472 could be used, for example, QOL measures at the start and end of the study.

#### 474 Conclusion

475 To conclude, this study has demonstrated that technology use is not only possible but can be  
476 meaningful and beneficial to a person with dementia. The experience of re-engaging with technology  
477 had a positive impact on the life of an individual with dementia and contributed to an overall ‘positive

478 outlook on life'. Other people with dementia might also benefit from a similar approach that is  
479 individualised, in a person-centred context with appropriate teaching methods and support.

480

481 Acknowledgements

482 This research was supported by grant number TS/10030/1 from the Technology Strategy Board to the  
483 first and fourth authors. We are immensely grateful to the manager, staff and service users at the  
484 Alzheimer Scotland Resource Centre Dundee for their years of support and collaboration in our  
485 research to improve the lives of people living with dementia.

486

ImPress

487 References

- 488 Alm, N., Dye, R., Astell, A., Ellis, M., Gowans, G., & Campbell, J. (2005). Making software accessible  
489 to people with severe memory deficits. *Proceedings of Accessible Design in the digital world*, Dundee,  
490 23-25.
- 491 Alzheimer's Society. (2012). Factsheet: Assistive technology – devices to help with everyday living.  
492 Retrieved April 5, 2014 from  
493 [http://www.alzheimers.org.uk/site/scripts/download\\_info.php?fileID=1779](http://www.alzheimers.org.uk/site/scripts/download_info.php?fileID=1779)
- 494 American Psychiatric Association (2013). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual – fifth edition (DSM-5)*.  
495 [www.dsm5.org/Pages/Default.aspx](http://www.dsm5.org/Pages/Default.aspx). Accessed November 25th 2013.
- 496 Astell, A. J. (In Press). Supporting a good life with dementia. In D. Prendergast & C. Garattini (Eds),  
497 *Ageing and the Digital Life Course*.
- 498 , A. J., Alm, N., Dye, R., Gowans, G. M., Vaughan, P., & Ellis, M. (2014). Digital video games for  
499 older people with cognitive impairment. In K. Miesenberger, et al., (Eds): *ICCHP 2014, Part 1, LNCS*  
500 *8547*, pp264-271. Springer International Publishing Switzerland (2014).
- 501 Astell, A. J. (2013). Technology and fun for a happy old age. In A. Sixsmith & G. Gutman (Eds),  
502 *Technology for Active Aging*. Springer Science: ISBN: 978-1-4419-8347-3
- 503 Astell, A. J., Adlam, T. D., Hwang, F., Khadra, H., MacLean, L., Smith, T., Timon, C., & Williams, E.  
504 A. (2012). Validating NANA: Novel assessment of nutrition and ageing. *Gerontechnology*, 11(2):243
- 505 Astell, A. J. Alm, N., Gowans, G., Ellis, M. P., Dye, R., & Campbell, J. (2008). CIRCA:  
506 communication prosthesis for dementia. A. Mihailidas, L. Normie, H. Kautz & J. Boger (Eds).  
507 *Technology and Aging*. IOS Press.
- 508 Astell, A. J., Ellis, M. P. Alm., N., Dye, R., Gowans, G., & Vaughn, P. (2006). Living in the Moment:  
509 Developing an interactive multimedia activity system for elderly people with dementia. *International*  
510 *Workshop on Cognitive Prostheses and Assisted Communication (CPAC2006)* (2006), pp.16-19.
- 511 Astell, A. J. (2006). Personhood and technology in dementia. *Quality in Ageing*, 7(1), 15- 25.

512 Banerjee, S. (2010). Living well with dementia—development of the national dementia strategy for  
513 England. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 25(9), 917-922.

514 Beard, R. L., Knauss, J., & Moyer, D. (2009). Managing disability and enjoying life: How we reframe  
515 dementia through personal narratives. *Journal of Aging Studies*, 23(4), 227-235.

516 Bier, N., Provencher, V., Gagnon, L., Van der Linden, M., Adam, S., & Desrosiers, J. (2008). New  
517 learning in dementia: Transfer and spontaneous use of learning in everyday life functioning. Two case  
518 studies. *Neuropsychological Rehabilitation*, 18(2), 204-235.

519 Brody, E. (1971). Excess disabilities of mentally impaired aged: Impact of individualized treatment.  
520 *The Gerontologist*, 25, 124-133.

521 Brooker, D. (2004). What is person-centred care in dementia? *Reviews in Clinical Gerontology*, 13(3),  
522 215-222.

523 Brooker, D. (2007). *Person-centred dementia care: making services better*. London, Philadelphia:  
524 Jessica Kingsley Publishers.

525 Brydon, C. (2005). *Dancing with dementia, my story of living positively with dementia*. London:  
526 Jessica Kingsley.

527 Brydon, C. (2012). *Who will I be when I die?* London: Jessica Kingsley.

528 Cash, M. (2003). Assistive technology and people with dementia. *Reviews in Clinical Gerontology*,  
529 13(4), 313-319.

530 COBALT [www.cobaltproject.org](http://www.cobaltproject.org). Accessed 25th November 2013.

531 COBALT. About. COBALT. Retrieved March 24, 2014 from <http://cobaltproject.org/about/>

532 de Werd, M. M., Boelen, Rikkert, M. G. & Kessels, R. P. (2013). Errorless learning of everyday tasks  
533 in people with dementia. *Clinical Interventions in Aging*, 8, 1177-1190.

534 Department of Health. (2013b). Policy: Improving care for people with dementia. The Prime Minister's  
535 challenge on dementia. Delivering major improvements in dementia care and research by 2015: Annual

536 report of progress. Retrieved April 5, 2014 from <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the->  
537 [prime-ministers-challenge-on-dementia-annual-report-of-progress](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-prime-ministers-challenge-on-dementia-annual-report-of-progress)

538 Devlin, E., MacAskill, S., & Stead, M. (2007). 'We're still the same people': developing a mass media  
539 campaign to raise awareness and challenge the stigma of dementia. *International Journal of Nonprofit*  
540 *and Voluntary Sector Marketing*, 12 (1), 47-58

541 Edvardsson, D., Winblad, B., & Sandman, P. O. (2008). Person-centred care of people with severe  
542 Alzheimer's disease: current status and ways forward. *The Lancet Neurology*, 7(4), 362-367.

543 Gilliard, J., Means, R., Beattie, A., & Daker-White, G. (2005). Dementia care in England and the social  
544 model of disability Lessons and issues. *Dementia*, 4(4), 571-586.

545 Hori, M., Furuya, A., Kubota, M., Koike, A., & Kinoshita, A. (2011). The effect of telecommunication  
546 (with Skype) to improve a cognitive function for elderly patients with dementia and to reduce a care  
547 burden for their caregivers (article in Japanese). [www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22189334](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22189334).  
548 Accessed 27th November 2013.

549 Innes, A. (2009). *Dementia Studies: A Social Science Perspective*. Sage.

550 Kinney, J. M., Kart, C. S., Murdoch, L. & Conley, C. J. (2004). Striving to Provide Safety Assistance  
551 for Families of Elders The SAFE House Project. *Dementia*, 3(3), 351-370.

552 Kitwood, T. (1990). The dialectics of dementia: with particular reference to Alzheimer's disease.  
553 *Ageing and Society*, 10(2), 177-196.

554 Kitwood, T. (1997). *Dementia reconsidered: The person comes first*. Buckingham: Open University  
555 Press.

556 Levine, D. (2013). Young-onset dementia: Unanswered questions and unmet needs. *JAMA Internal*  
557 *Medicine*, 173, (17), 1619-1620.

558 Malone, B. (2013). Archive Brian's Blog. COBALT. Retrieved April 1, 2014 from  
559 <http://cobaltproject.org/category/brians-blog/>



560 Marshall, M. (2001). Dementia and technology. In Peace, S., & Holland, C. (Eds.), *Inclusive Housing*  
561 *in an Ageing Society—Innovative Approaches* (pp.125-143). Bristol: Policy Press.

562 NICE. (2007). *Dementia: The NICE-SCIE guideline on supporting people with dementia and their*  
563 *carers in health and social care*. The National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health (NCCMH),

564 Nygård, L. (2008). The meaning of everyday technology as experienced by people with dementia who  
565 live alone. *Dementia*, 7(4), 481-502.

566 O’Neill, M. (2013). *The NVivo Toolkit*.

567 Orpwood, R., Sixsmith, A., Torrington, J., Chadd, J., Gibson, G., & Chalfont, G. (2007). Designing  
568 technology to support quality of life of people with dementia. *Technology and Disability*, 19(2), 103-  
569 112.

570 Phinney, A. (2008). Toward understanding subjective experiences of dementia. In Downs, M., &  
571 Bowers, B. (Eds.), *Excellence in dementia care: Research into practice* (pp.35-51). Berkshire: Open  
572 University Press.

573 Raushi, T. *A view from within: Living with Early Onset Alzheimer’s*. New York; Northeastern Chapter  
574 of the Alzheimer’s Association.

575 Robinson, L., Brittain, K., Lindsay, S., Jackson, D., & Olivier, P. (2009). Keeping In Touch Everyday  
576 (KITE) project: developing assistive technologies with people with dementia and their carers to  
577 promote independence. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 21(03), 494-502.

578 Robinson, L., Iliffe, S., Brayne, C., Goodman, C., Rait, G., Manthorpe, J., ... & Moniz- Cook, E.  
579 (2010). Primary care and dementia: 2. long- term care at home: psychosocial interventions, information  
580 provision, carer support and case management. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 25(7),  
581 657-664.

582 Schulz, R., Burgio, L., Burns, R., Eisdorfer, C., Gallagher-Thompson, D., Gitlin, L. N., & Mahoney, D.  
583 F. (2003). Resources for Enhancing Alzheimer's Caregiver Health (REACH): overview, site-specific  
584 outcomes, and future directions. *The Gerontologist*, 43(4), 514-520.

585 Sinkovics, R. R., & Alfoldi, E. A. (2012). Progressive Focusing and Trustworthiness in Qualitative  
586 Research. *Management International Review*, 52(6), 817-845.

587 Sinkovics, R. R., & Ghauri, P. N. (2008). Enhancing the trustworthiness of qualitative research in  
588 international business. *Management International Review*, 48(6), 689-714.

589 Taylor, R. (2007). *Alzheimer's from the inside out*. Maryland, BA: Health Professionals Press.

590 Topo, P. (2009). Technology studies to meet the needs of people with dementia and their caregivers a  
591 literature review. *Journal of Applied Gerontology*, 28(1), 5-37.

592 Topo, P., Mäki, O., Saarikalle, K., Clarke, N., Begley, E., Cahill, S., Arenlind, J., Holthe, T.,  
593 Morbey, H., Hayes, K., & Gilliard, J. (2004). Assessment of a music-based multimedia  
594 program for people with dementia. *Dementia. The international journal of social research and practice*,  
595 3 (3) 331 – 350.

596 Vernooij- Dassen, M. J., Moniz- Cook, E. D., Woods, R. T., Lepeleire, J. D., Leuschner, A., Zanetti,  
597 O., ... & Iliffe, S. (2005). Factors affecting timely recognition and diagnosis of dementia across Europe:  
598 from awareness to stigma. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 20(4), 377-386.

599 Wells, D. L., & Dawson, P. (2000). Description of retained abilities in older persons with dementia.  
600 *Research in Nursing & Health*, 23(2), 158-166.

601 World Health Organization. (2012). Dementia: a public health priority. Retrieved April 5, 2014 from  
602 [http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/75263/1/9789241564458\\_eng.pdf](http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/75263/1/9789241564458_eng.pdf)

603 Yin, R. K. (2009). *Case study research: Design and methods* (Vol. 5). Sage.

604

605 Table 1. Example of a co-developed learning session from the perspective of both Brian and Maggie

Visit number	Date	Brian's perspective	Maggie's perspective
2	26/09/12	<p>We worked on my Desk Top computer after you had shown me how to switch it on then assisted me to log on. At this early stage I had forgotten the very basics. You worked very slowly when explaining the skills I would need to operate the computer. You also suggested to me that perhaps it would help if everything you taught me should be also written down so that I could refer to it when I was alone. You taught me that day how to send E-mails and also how to send work attached to E-mails. It took me some time to understand this but I did send you an E-mail while</p>	<p>Objective:</p> <p>To introduce Brian to the idea of writing a blog.</p> <p>The COBALT team had decided that we might ask Brian to write a blog for us detailing his experiences of using technology. Brian agreed in principle and we decided that the first thing we would do would be to reintroduce Brian to using his PC. Brian had mentioned that he had lost confidence in using his desktop PC. For example, he used to make personalised greetings cards and has recently given this up as he doesn't feel able to do it anymore. I started slowly with Brian, showing him how to get started with switching the PC on and signing in, etc. He mentioned that he had forgotten how to send emails so I started by</p>

	<p>you were standing beside me and I did manage this successfully. I then sent you an attachment. You demonstrated to me how I might send photographs and blogs. BLOGS?????? So you then explained what a blog was. As I said previously you wrote everything down so that I may refer to it when you were not there with me. I was very eager to get started and decided that night to write and send my very first Blog for Cobalt.</p>	<p>reminding him how to do this. It became clear that Brian would benefit from having a set of instructions available to him each time he went to use his PC. He could refer to these when I had gone and this would allow him to work independently and to rehearse the procedures involved.</p> <p>Method:</p> <p>I wrote a set of set-by-step instructions down on his notepad as we worked through them, e.g.</p> <p>Switch PC on</p> <p>Logon using your password</p> <p>Double click on the 'mail' icon, etc.</p> <p>Brian and I decided that it would be good practice for him if I sent him an email from my iPhone, there and then. As he had agreed to write a blog for COBALT I asked if I could take a photo of him on my iPhone so that we could use it on the</p>
--	---	---

			<p>website. Brian agreed and I took a photo of him sitting at his PC and then emailed it to him. I then showed him how he could save the photo to his PC and send it as an attachment to an email. Brian and I did this together and he sent me a further email later that evening by himself with another photograph attached.</p>
--	--	--	---

606

607

608

LB PROSS

609 Table 2. Data Analysis Stages based on O’Neill’s (2013) Method

Stage	Steps
1: Descriptive	<p>Project details were entered into NVivo.</p> <p>Data sources were imported into NVivo and attributes, values and classifications were assigned to the data (O’Neill, 2013).</p>
2: Topic	<p>The research question guided the analysis. Coding involved grouping related concepts and organising them into nodes, with initial nodes seen as ideas or topics (O’Neill, 2013).</p> <p>Models and graphs of the nodes were created outside NVivo. These allowed reflection on developing aspects and helped identify emerging higher order themes (O’Neill, 2013).</p> <p>Whilst technology may have had an impact, other variables, known as rivals, could also have contributed. Therefore, topics and nodes were created for potential rivals. Yin (2009) describes a number of different types of rivals, for example, craft rivals that include the null hypothesis, threats to validity and investigator bias and real-life rivals including direct, commingled and implementation rivals.</p>
3: Analytic	<p>Nodes were merged into hierarchies, sets, models and relationships to create higher order themes (O’Neill, 2013). This was firstly done in NVivo. The results were then transferred into MW, reviewed again and condensed into higher order themes.</p> <p>The tool ‘memos’ was used in NVivo, which allows interpretations to be documented separately but linked to the material being analysed (O’Neill, 2013).</p>

4:Conclusions

Theories and models were developed from the analysis.

Diagrams were useful to illustrate the findings.

610

Im Press

611

612 Figure legends

613

614 Figure 1a, CIRCA interface

615

616 Figure 1b, LIM interface – musical chimes

617

ImPress