All-optical flow control of a polariton condensate using nonresonant excitation
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The precise adjustment of the polariton condensate flow under incoherent excitation conditions is an indispensable prerequisite for polariton-based logic gate operations. In this report, an all-optical approach for steering the motion of a polariton condensate using only nonresonant excitation is demonstrated. We create arbitrarily shaped functional potentials by means of a spatial light modulator, which allow for tailoring the condensate state and guiding a propagating condensate along reconfigurable pathways. Additional numerical simulations confirm the experimental observations and elucidate the interaction effects between background carriers and polariton condensates.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Currently there is a lively debate concerning the role of all-optical logic circuits for future computation devices [1–5]. In its course, major challenges for all-optical circuits to compete with state-of-the art complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor (CMOS) technology regarding device footprint, energy consumption, and production costs have been outlined [2–4]. Altogether, all-optical circuits might be promising alternatives regarding heat dissipation and operation speed [1,5] and, most importantly, they allow in principle the dynamic design of an optical circuit by alteration of the applied electromagnetic fields [6].

An appealing system for the realization of all-optical logic circuits is exciton-polaritons in semiconductor microcavities, which can occupy a single state in a macroscopic number and reveal several features of Bose–Einstein condensates (BECs) [7]. Moreover, dissipationless coherent propagation of polariton condensates over hundreds of microns [8,9], frictionless flow [10], a propagation speed on the order of 1% of the speed of light [11], and dispersionless propagation of polariton condensates [12,13] highlight promising features of polaritons concerning logic gate operations. Recently, several groups succeeded in the demonstration of a proof of principle transistor operation of polaritons [14–16]. In Ref. [16] even more sophisticated features such as cascadability of two transistors and logic gate operation were demonstrated. However, the approaches presented in Refs. [14,15] require lithographic patterning and are, therefore, strictly speaking, not all-optical circuits. The resonant excitation scheme used in Ref. [16] demands a careful choice of energy and angle of the excitation laser and, beyond that, the number of laser beams impinging on the sample scales with the number of transistors cascaded. This might be a serious drawback for a large-scale application.

As an alternative one might consider nonresonant laser excitation for the realization of logic gates based on microcavity polaritons. Thereby, one has a large degree of freedom regarding the choice of excitation angle and energy. On the other hand, in contrast to resonant laser excitation, for an all-optical approach one needs to control the flow direction by means of optically created potentials. These potentials can be realized under nonresonant pumping by the simultaneous creation of background carriers, from which the condensate is repelled. While these potentials were exploited to a large extent for the realization of trapping geometries [17–20], only a discretization of the momentum distribution was shown so far for the case of nontrapping geometries [6].

In this report, we demonstrate a directed condensate flow over large distances on the order of 20 μm by using optically generated potentials. Furthermore, by a reconfiguration of the optical potential, we are able to recapture the condensate flow as well as to steer the condensate propagation in arbitrary directions. Moreover, our experimental results are confirmed by numerical simulations using a generalized Gross–Pitaevskii equation (GPE). Control of the condensate flow is an important milestone on the way towards a functional circuit architecture based on microcavity polaritons [21–24].

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

We investigate a planar GaAs-based microcavity with a quality factor of about 20000 and a Rabi splitting of 9.5 meV. The structure of the sample is as follows: Four GaAs quantum wells are placed in the central antinode of the electric field confined by two distributed Bragg reflector (DBR) structures in a λ/2 cavity. The upper (lower) DBR structure consists of 32 (36) alternating layers of Al0.2Ga0.8As and AlAs. The sample is mounted in a helium-flow cryostat, measurements are performed at 10 K. For nonresonant optical excitation a femtosecond-pulsed titanium-sapphire laser (repetition rate 75.39 MHz) with central wavelength at 727 nm (1705 meV) is used. For generation of the optical potentials, the laser beam is divided by using a beam splitter. The shape of the first beam is Gaussian with a full width at half maximum (FWHM) of 2 μm on the sample, the shape of the second laser beam can be arbitrarily modulated by using a spatial light modulator...
(SLM). Both beams are focused under normal incidence onto the monolithic sample using a microscope objective (numerical aperture 0.42). The time delay between the beams is less than 2 ps. For detection, a liquid nitrogen-cooled CCD-camera behind a monochromator is used. For two-dimensional imaging in real space and Fourier space, the monochromator is operated in zeroth order. In that case, the spectral resolution is provided by a bandpass filter with a FWHM of 1 nm. All experiments are performed at an exciton-cavity detuning of $-21.7$ meV, which corresponds to a photonic fraction of 96% of the lower polariton (LP) at zero in-plane wave vector.

III. THEORETICAL MODEL

In order to obtain a better understanding of the interaction between the optically created potentials and the polariton condensates, we performed numerical simulations. Our analysis is based on a mean-field description of the coherent polariton field coupled to two incoherent background carrier reservoirs, which represent an active and an inactive reservoir, respectively [25,26]. The implementation of an inactive reservoir reflects the relaxation process from background carriers induced by the nonresonant pumping process towards an active exciton reservoir, which feeds the coherent polariton field through stimulated scattering. Our theoretical description captures the coupled spatiotemporal dynamics of (a) the coherent polariton field $\Psi$, (b) the active exciton reservoir $n_A$ feeding the polariton field, and (c) the inactive reservoir $n_I$ created in the optical pumping process and feeding the active reservoir. The equations of motion read as follows:

$$i\hbar \Psi = \left(\mathbb{H} - i \left(\frac{\gamma}{2} n_A + V_d\right)\right)\Psi + (\alpha_1|\Psi|^2 + \alpha_2 n_A + \alpha_3 n_I)\Psi - i\Delta (n_A + n_I)\mathbb{I}\Psi,$$

$$\dot{n}_A = \frac{1}{\hbar} \left(\tau n_I - \gamma_A n_A - \gamma |\Psi|^2 n_A\right),$$

$$\dot{n}_I = \frac{1}{\hbar} \left(-\tau n_I - \gamma_I n_I\right).$$

$\Psi$, $n_A$, and $n_I$ are defined in the two-dimensional $x,y$ plane. Equation (1) describes the dynamics of the coherent polariton field and is of the type of a modified Gross–Pitaevskii equation. The coherent part can be derived from a microscopic semiconductor theory in mean-field approximation and parabolic approximation for the lower polariton branch [27]; spin degrees of freedom [28] are not considered here. Equations (2) and (3) describe the dynamics of the active and inactive reservoir, respectively. In Eq. (1), $\mathbb{H} = -\hbar^2/2m_p - \Delta$ accounts for the free propagation of polaritons with effective mass $m_p = 0.35 \times 10^{-4}m_e$. The polariton field $\Psi$ is replenished by the active reservoir with $\gamma = 0.004$ meV $\mu$m$^{-2}$. A disorder potential $V_d$ is included with a spatial correlation length of 1 $\mu$m and a root mean square (rms) amplitude of 0.2 meV. A repulsive Coulomb interaction is given by $\alpha_1 = 0.0024$ meV $\mu$m$^{-2}$ (Refs. [26,29,30]) for interactions between polaritons and by $\alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 0.008$ meV $\mu$m$^{-2}$ for the polariton-reservoir interaction. The last term in Eq. (1) with $\Delta = 0.00025$ $\mu$m$^{-2}$ mimics a relaxation term as it drives the polariton system to, on average, lower kinetic energies in spatial regions where interaction with the reservoir densities $n_A$ and $n_I$ occurs [31]. This approach is based on Ref. [32] and relates to an early work of L. P. Pitaevskii [33] to describe damping in superfluid helium. The physical origin of energy dissipation of polaritons is scattering with a thermalized reservoir of excitons which occupy mainly low-energy states. When polariton-exciton scattering occurs, promoting excitons to higher-energy states, energy conservation leads to loss of energy of condensed polaritons. Then, the excess energy attained by the excitons can quickly be dissipated through phonon emission. In Eqs. (2) and (3), the active reservoir is fed by the inactive reservoir with $\tau = 0.1$ meV. Radiative losses are $\gamma_p = 0.1$ meV, $\gamma_A = 0.01$ meV (Ref. [34]) and $\gamma_I = 0.0013$ meV (Ref. [26]) for $\Psi$, $n_A$, and $n_I$, respectively.

In the simulations, Eqs. (1)–(3) are solved explicitly in time on a two-dimensional grid in real space. Initially, the active reservoir density $n_A$ is set to zero. The optical excitation is assumed instantaneously in the calculations (experimentally, excitation is far above the gap on a fast 100 fs timescale).

FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Fourier-space image for an excitation power level $P = 8P_{\text{thr}}$ under excitation with the Gaussian laser spot only. The red rectangle indicates the selected spectral region for the experiments operating the monochromator in zeroth order. (b) Corresponding real-space image for $P = 8P_{\text{thr}}$. (c) Real-space image of the condensate emission for the excitation profile presented in Fig. 4(a). The excitation power levels for the Gaussian spot is $P = 18P_{\text{thr}}$ and for the circle-shaped pattern $P = 6.5P_{\text{thr}}$, respectively. Threshold power levels are determined by exciting either with the Gaussian spot or the circle-shaped pattern only.
Figure 2. (Color online) Energy-resolved cross sections of the polariton condensate using a ring-shaped laser spot with excitation power $P = 2.3P_{\text{thr}}$ and a Gaussian laser spot with different excitation power levels of (a) $P = 0$, (b) $P = 0.75P_{\text{thr}}$, and (c) $P = 4.25P_{\text{thr}}$. For quantifying the trapping efficiency, the overall count number within regions I and II was integrated.

and is included through the spatial profile of inactive reservoir density $n_I$ used as an initial condition. The maximum initial reservoir density is $\max(n_I) = 1.1 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$. A small random field with random phase and amplitude is assumed for the coherent polariton field $\Psi$ to trigger the stimulated feeding after optical excitation. This initial random field has a spatial correlation length of 1 $\mu$m and a rms amplitude of $10^7$ cm$^{-2}$. If only a single run is studied in the calculations, interference phenomena can be visible (e.g., for the polariton flow leaving the half-open trap as discussed in Sec. IV). However, these interference effects are not observed in the experiment where the photoluminescence is averaged over multiple emission events for the pulsed excitation. Taking this into account, we average the condensate distribution over multiple calculations (30 leads to good convergence) for a fixed disorder configuration but with different initial random fields for $\Psi$.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 (a) shows a typical dispersion for an excitation power level $P$ several times higher than the threshold power $P_{\text{thr}}$ for polariton condensation, when only the Gaussian laser spot is used. Clearly, the main emission at wave vectors $|k_y| \approx 1.8 \mu$m$^{-1}$ occurs blueshifted by 3 meV with respect to the lowest-energy state of the LP at zero in-plane wave vector. The observed blueshift arises from repulsive interactions between the condensed polaritons and background carriers created by nonresonant pumping and from polariton-polariton interaction. These mechanisms generate an antitrapping potential and cause a ballistic acceleration of the condensed polaritons [35]. This finding is further elucidated by the corresponding real-space spectrum, where a pronounced ballistic propagation over a distance of several 10 $\mu$m can be seen [Fig. 1(b)]. However, because a two-dimensional polariton system is investigated here, this propagation occurs omnidirectional, radially symmetric with respect to the Gaussian excitation laser spot.

As a first step towards controlling the spread of the condensate, we trap the condensate by applying a ring-shaped laser spot, ca. 10 $\mu$m in diameter, centrosymmetric around the Gaussian spot by using the second laser beam modulated by the SLM. A typical excitation profile for this situation is depicted in Fig. 4(a). The condensates excited by this laser pattern are trapped due to the repulsive interaction with background carriers generated at the position of the laser pattern. Figure 1(c) shows a spectrally resolved cross section in the $L_y$ direction, where $L_y = 0$ $\mu$m indicates the position

FIG. 3. Trapping efficiency for different excitation power levels of the Gaussian laser spot. The trapping efficiency is determined by dividing the count number of region II by the count number of region I, as shown in Fig. 2.
FIG. 4. (Color online) (a) Laser excitation pattern for the trapping geometry. The excitation power levels for the Gaussian spot is \( P = 18P_{\text{thr}} \) and for the circle-shaped pattern \( P = 6.5P_{\text{thr}} \). (b) Two-dimensional real-space image of the trapped condensate. (c) Corresponding Fourier-space image of the trapped condensate. (d) Laser excitation pattern for the source of a directed condensate flow. The excitation power levels for the Gaussian spot is \( P = 18P_{\text{thr}} \) and for the semicircle-shaped pattern \( P = 11.3P_{\text{thr}} \). (e) Two-dimensional real-space image of the trapped condensate and the directed condensate flow escaping from the trap. (f) Corresponding Fourier-space image for the situation of panel (e).

of the Gaussian laser spot. In this case the main emission is centered at 1602 meV, 3 meV lower in energy compared with the case of excitation with the Gaussian laser spot only.

At this point it should be mentioned that the overall trapping efficiency is highly dependent on the sample position due to disorder effects as well as on the chosen excitation power levels for both laser beams. We performed additional measurements with varying excitation power levels of the Gaussian laser spot at a slightly different sample position with the same detuning. Here, we measured energy-resolved cross sections of the polariton condensate in real space. Figure 2 shows exemplarily three cross sections for different excitation powers of the Gaussian laser spot at a fixed excitation power \( P = 2.3P_{\text{thr}} \) of the ring-shaped laser spot. As expected, no trapping is observed without additional excitation by using the Gaussian laser spot in the center of the circular-shaped spot [Fig. 2(a)].

A pronounced trapping of the condensate is already evident for excitation power levels below the threshold of the Gaussian laser spot [Fig. 2(b)], which is maintained also for higher excitation power [Fig. 2(c)]. For a comparison of the trapping efficiency at different excitation power of the Gaussian laser spot, the overall count rate within the high-energy region of the free propagating condensate (region I, indicated by dashed lines in Fig. 2) and the low-energy region of the trapped condensate (region II, indicated by dashed lines in Fig. 2) was determined. We regard the ratio of the count number of region II to that of region I as a reliable measure to quantify the trapping efficiency, which is shown in Fig. 3. Clearly, a pronounced decrease of the trapping efficiency can be observed when the excitation power of the central Gaussian laser spot is much larger compared with that of the ring-shaped laser spot. In this situation, the condensate, which is ballistically accelerated away from the center, cannot be trapped by the potential landscape induced by the ring-shaped laser spot. Consequently, the excitation power levels of both laser spots should be chosen in the same order of magnitude with respect to the condensation threshold to allow for an efficient energy relaxation and to prevent escape from the trap.

For the further experiments we select a spectral region of ca. 1 meV width by using an interference filter, covering wave vectors \( |k| < 1.3 \text{ } \mu \text{m}^{-1} \) only, which is indicated by the red rectangle in Fig. 1(a). In Fig. 4(b) the trapped condensate can be seen, which appears in a doughnut shape.
FIG. 6. (Color online) (a) Laser excitation pattern for the collector geometry. The excitation power level for the Gaussian spot is $P = 18P_{\text{thr}}$ and for the SLM-generated pattern $P = 5.9P_{\text{thr}}$. (b) Two-dimensional real-space image of the recollected condensate. (c) Laser excitation pattern for bending the condensate flow. The excitation power levels for the Gaussian spot is $P = 18P_{\text{thr}}$ and for the SLM-generated pattern $P = 6.2P_{\text{thr}}$. (d) Two-dimensional real-space image of the curve-shaped condensate.

Due to the centrosymmetric shape of the applied optical potential [Fig. 4(a)]. Furthermore, the wave-vector distribution is centered around zero momentum, as expected for a trapped condensate [Fig. 4(c)].

For the numerical simulation of the trapping geometry we use a centrosymmetric-shaped potential landscape, as depicted in Fig. 5(a), which results in a trapping of the condensate with $k \approx 0$ [Figs. 5(b) and 5(c)] due to interaction with two reservoirs of active and inactive background carriers, in accordance with the experimental observations [Figs. 4(b) and 4(c)].

As outlined in Sec. III, the inclusion of an active and an inactive reservoir in our theoretical description phenomenologically captures the sequential buildup of a coherent polariton field for pulsed excitation far above the band gap. Only a small amount of excitons fulfills the phase-matching condition for stimulated scattering into the condensate and can therefore contribute to the buildup of the condensate directly. There is, however, also a large amount of background excitations, which cannot directly scatter into the condensate and decays much slower compared with the polariton condensate. The latter was recently demonstrated by terahertz spectroscopy [36]. From this observation it becomes clear that a differentiation between active background excitons, which can directly populate the condensate by stimulated scattering, potentially on a very short timescale, and an inactive reservoir of excitations, which decays on a longer timescale, is required for an accurate description of the two mechanisms of gain and trapping, provided by the background excitations. For the pulsed excitation studied here we found it indeed necessary to model the active and inactive reservoir separately in the numerical simulations. Only then could we obtain at the same time condensate formation but also trapping of the condensate through the reservoir generated potential as observed in the experiments. In a single-reservoir model, once the condensate builds up the reservoir is completely consumed through the stimulated scattering such that no trapping potential remains. Consequently, two background-carrier reservoirs are required for an accurate description of the condensate dynamic under pulsed excitation conditions, which has also been reported by other groups [26,37,38]. We note that the situation may be different when continuous-wave pump excitation is studied such that a quasisteady state is reached with a reservoir of background excitations that is persistently fed by the cw pump source [29,39].

To exploit the trapping geometry for the generation of a directed condensate flow, we have opened the trap by applying a semicircle-shaped potential instead of a full circle [Fig. 4(d)]; the corresponding potential landscape used for our simulations is presented in Fig. 5(d). After generation, the polariton condensate can leave the trap by flowing through the aperture at the bottom in the $L_y$ direction [Fig. 4(e)]. Due to the repulsive interaction with the reservoir excitons, polaritons are accelerated away from the excitation point such that a directed polariton flow from the trap is clearly visible in the experiment [Fig. 4(e)], whereas it is less pronounced in the numerical simulations [Fig. 5(e)]. We note that the quantitative appearance of the computed photoluminescence depends on the specific disorder configuration. As the disorder potential cannot be extracted from the experiment, only qualitative

FIG. 7. (Color online) (a) Applied potential landscape for the simulation of the collector geometry and (c) of the bending of the condensate flow. (b), (d) Corresponding time-integrated calculated condensate distribution in real space.
agreement between measured and calculated photoluminescence is obtained here. The pronounced directed propagation is also evident in Fourier space, where the wave-vector distribution is significantly relocated towards negative values of $k_\parallel$ [Figs. 4(f) and 5(f)] in contrast with the situation of the closed trap [Figs. 4(c) and 5(c)]. Therefore this geometry acts as a source for a directed condensate flow.

Once a directed flow is realized, one can further steer and manipulate this directed condensate arbitrarily by modifying the potential landscape. In the following we demonstrate exemplarily two scenarios. By applying an additional semicircle-shaped laser pattern at roughly 10 $\mu$m from the source [Fig. 6(a)], the condensate flow is recollected and transferred to an oval-shaped standing wave pattern [Fig. 6(b)] as a consequence of reflection, amplification, and interference, which is reproduced well by our simulations [Figs. 7(a) and 7(b)]. Furthermore, if an opposing semicircle-shaped potential with respect to the source is applied [Fig. 6(c)], one can generate a curve-shaped condensate flow [Fig. 6(d)], which is consistent with our calculations [Figs. 7(c) and 7(d)].

In both scenarios the optically created potential interacts twofold with the incoming condensate: First the incoming flow is redirected due to repulsive Coulomb interaction with the background carriers, and second the potential barrier operates also as a gain medium, which gives rise to strong condensate emission in up to 15 $\mu$m distance from the source of the directed condensate flow. Nevertheless, the barrier remains separated in space from the condensate flow, which might be beneficial concerning the loss of coherence of a condensate mediated by the local presence of background carriers [40].

V. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

In conclusion, we demonstrated control of the polariton flow by using reconfigurable optically induced potentials. First, we presented a feasible approach for the generation of directed condensate propagation. Furthermore, a manipulation of this flow, e.g., a recollection of the condensate and a constraint on a curve-shaped trajectory, has been demonstrated. In addition we reproduced our experimental results in terms of a generalized GPE. The control of the condensate flow is a prerequisite for further, more sophisticated, investigations, e.g., scattering experiments of polariton condensates, and might pave the way for the realization of optically generated printed circuit boards for polariton-based logic circuits.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Dortmund group acknowledges support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Grants 1549/18-1 and SFB TRR 142). The Paderborn group is grateful for financial support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (GRK 1464, SFB TRR 142, and SCHU 1980/5-1) and acknowledges a grant for computing time from the PC2 Paderborn Center for Parallel Computing. The group at Würzburg University acknowledges support from the State of Bavaria. S. H. gratefully acknowledges support by the Royal Society and the Wolfson Foundation.

[31] Supplementary online material of Ref. [11].