

Novel Determinants of Antibiotic Resistance: Identification of Mutated Loci in Highly Methicillin-Resistant Subpopulations of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*

Janina Dordel, Choonkeun Kim, Marilyn Chung, et al. 2014. Novel Determinants of Antibiotic Resistance: Identification of Mutated Loci in Highly Methicillin-Resistant Subpopulations of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. mBio 5(2): . doi:10.1128/mBio.01000-13.

Updated information and services can be found at:
<http://mbio.asm.org/content/5/2/e01000-13.full.html>

REFERENCES

This article cites 45 articles, 26 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://mbio.asm.org/content/5/2/e01000-13.full.html#ref-list-1>

CONTENT ALERTS

Receive: RSS Feeds, eTOCs, free email alerts (when new articles cite this article), [more>>](#)

Information about commercial reprint orders: <http://mbio.asm.org/misc/reprints.xhtml>

Information about Print on Demand and other content delivery options:

<http://mbio.asm.org/misc/contentdelivery.xhtml>

To subscribe to another ASM Journal go to: <http://journals.asm.org/subscriptions/>

Novel Determinants of Antibiotic Resistance: Identification of Mutated Loci in Highly Methicillin-Resistant Subpopulations of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*

Janina Dordel,^a Choonkeun Kim,^b Marilyn Chung,^b María Pardos de la Gándara,^b Matthew T. J. Holden,^a Julian Parkhill,^a Hermínia de Lencastre,^{b,c} Stephen D. Bentley,^{a,d} Alexander Tomasz^b

Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Wellcome Trust Genome Campus, Hinxton, Cambridge, United Kingdom^a; Laboratory of Microbiology, The Rockefeller University, New York, New York, USA^b; Laboratory of Molecular Genetics, Instituto de Tecnologia Química e Biológica da Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Oeiras, Portugal^c; Department of Medicine, University of Cambridge, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, United Kingdom^d

J.D. and C.K. contributed equally to this work.

ABSTRACT We identified mutated genes in highly resistant subpopulations of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) that are most likely responsible for the historic failure of the β -lactam family of antibiotics as therapeutic agents against these important pathogens. Such subpopulations are produced during growth of most clinical MRSA strains, including the four historically early MRSA isolates studied here. Chromosomal DNA was prepared from the highly resistant cells along with DNA from the majority of cells (poorly resistant cells) followed by full genome sequencing. In the highly resistant cells, mutations were identified in 3 intergenic sequences and 27 genes representing a wide range of functional categories. A common feature of these mutations appears to be their capacity to induce high-level β -lactam resistance and increased amounts of the resistance protein PBP2A in the bacteria. The observations fit a recently described model in which the ultimate controlling factor of the phenotypic expression of β -lactam resistance in MRSA is a RelA-mediated stringent response.

IMPORTANCE It has been well established that the level of antibiotic resistance (i.e., minimum concentration of a β -lactam antibiotic needed to inhibit growth) of a methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) strain depends on the transcription and translation of the resistance protein PBP2A. Here we describe mutated loci in an additional novel set of genetic determinants that appear to be essential for the unusually high resistance levels typical of subpopulations of staphylococci that are produced with unique low frequency in most MRSA clinical isolates. We propose that mutations in these determinants can trigger induction of the stringent stress response which was recently shown to cause increased transcription/translation of the resistance protein PBP2A in parallel with the increased level of resistance.

Received 20 November 2013 Accepted 10 March 2014 Published 8 April 2014

Citation Dordel J, Kim C, Chung M, Pardos de la Gándara M, Holden MTJ, Parkhill J, de Lencastre H, Bentley SD, Tomasz A. 2014. Novel determinants of antibiotic resistance: identification of mutated loci in highly methicillin-resistant subpopulations of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. mBio 5(2):e01000-13. doi:10.1128/mBio.01000-13.

Editor Karen Bush, Indiana University Bloomington

Copyright © 2014 Dordel et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/), which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Address correspondence to Alexander Tomasz, tomasz@mail.rockefeller.edu.

It is generally agreed that the appearance of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) strains among clinical isolates represents the single most serious blow to the chemotherapy of *S. aureus* infections, since the unique resistance mechanism carried by all MRSA strains provides protection against the single largest family of antibacterial agents—the β -lactam antibiotics (1). Since their first appearance in clinical specimens in 1960, this resistance mechanism has made its way into a large variety of *S. aureus* lineages and diverse clones of MRSA have spread throughout the globe to cause serious and often life-threatening infections both in hospitals and in the community (2–5).

Most MRSA strains carry an identical—acquired—genetic determinant *mecA* (6, 7) which is part of a mobile genetic element (staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec* element [SCC*mec*]) (8) inserted into the *S. aureus* chromosome at a unique chromosomal site. *mecA* encodes a protein, PBP2A, a peptidoglycan transpeptidase with extremely low affinity for the entire large family of

β -lactam antibiotics (9), and the presence of this protein plays a critical role in allowing MRSA strains to continue synthesis of peptidoglycan and bacterial growth in the presence of high concentrations of β -lactam antibiotics. A model for the mechanism of action of PBP2A on the molecular level has been proposed (10).

In contrast to the common molecular mechanism of resistance, individual MRSA clinical isolates differ widely in their susceptibility to β -lactam antibiotics with individual MRSA strains presenting methicillin MIC values as low as a few $\mu\text{g/ml}$ up to several hundred $\mu\text{g/ml}$ depending on the particular MRSA clone, and this variation in resistance level cannot be explained by transcriptional regulation of *mecA* through the activity of regulatory elements such as the *mecI* and *mecR1* or *blaI* and *blaR1* genes (11, 12).

A detailed examination of the β -lactam susceptibility of cultures of MRSA strains presents an even more complex and intriguing picture. MRSA grown from single-cell inocula produce cul-

tures that are highly heterogeneous with respect to their antibiotic susceptibility with most cells (more than 99%) showing only moderate- or low-level resistance often close to the MIC values of methicillin-susceptible isolates. On the other hand, the same cultures also contain bacteria with an extremely high level of resistance—in the MIC range of several hundred $\mu\text{g/ml}$ —and the frequency of such highly resistant cells in a given culture (10^{-4} to 10^{-5}) appears to be specific for the particular MRSA clone. This phenomenon has become known as the “heterogeneous” phenotype. It was first recognized and described in 1960, in the microbiological analysis of the historically first MRSA infection by Jevons who was surprised to recover two MRSA populations with widely different methicillin MIC values from a patient with an MRSA infection (13). The methicillin MIC of the majority of the bacteria was 2 $\mu\text{g/ml}$, but upon prolonged incubation of the specimen, more bacteria were recovered with a much higher antibiotic MIC value in the range of several hundred $\mu\text{g/ml}$.

Most contemporary clinical isolates of MRSA express β -lactam resistance in a similar heterogeneous fashion (14). Plotting the number of bacteria capable of forming colonies against the concentration of the antibiotic in the agar plates produce phenotypic profiles called population analysis profiles (PAPs), and the shape of the PAP is characteristic for the particular MRSA strain (15, 16). The PAP was subsequently shown to be a unique phenotypic marker of MRSA clones—highly reproducible in chronologically distinct isolates of the same MRSA lineage (17). The presence of highly methicillin-resistant cells in cultures of MRSA is of obvious relevance both for the detection of MRSA in clinical specimens and also for therapeutic options (18–20).

The stability of PAP for a given MRSA clone indicates that the heterogeneous composition of MRSA cultures is genetically controlled, i.e., the highly resistant subpopulations of bacteria must carry mutations in some genetic determinants that are “wild type” in the majority of less-resistant cells of the same clone.

Full genome sequences of a large number of MRSA strains are now available in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>). However, most of the sequenced strains show heterogeneous antibiotic resistance, and therefore, the sequence information available relates only to the genetic makeup of the “cell majorities” in each of these particular MRSA clones and provide no information about unique mutated genes that must be present in highly resistant subpopulations carried by each one of the sequenced MRSA strains.

The purpose of this study was to identify mutated genes associated with the rare highly resistant bacteria that are produced with a low frequency (10^{-4} to 10^{-5}) during growth of four historically early MRSA lineages belonging to the archaic clone of MRSA (17). The four strains selected for the study carry the *mecA* determinant on SCC*mec* type I cassettes that do not contain an active form of the *mecI* or *mecR* regulatory genes (12). The β -lactam antibiotic (methicillin or oxacillin) MIC values for the majority of bacteria in these four strains were in the range of 2 up to 12 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ of oxacillin. When these four “parental” MRSA strains were plated on oxacillin-containing agar for PAPs, rare colonies (10^{-4} to 10^{-5}) capable of growing on agar containing 100 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ of the antibiotic were also detected. Cultures of such colonies—named “H*R”—(for homogeneous and high-level resistance)—pro-




FIG 1 Heterogeneous expression of β -lactam antibiotic resistance in four MRSA strains. (A to D) Population analysis profiles for strains UK13136 (A), UK63/458 (B), E2125 (C), and E4278 (D) are shown by the lines with solid squares. H*R isolates capable of growing in the presence of 100 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ oxacillin were picked from the agar plates as indicated by an asterisk. Population analysis profiles of cultures of H*R isolates are shown by the lines with empty squares.

TABLE 1 Mutated loci in highly resistant (H^{*}R) isolates identified in heteroresistant MRSA strains

Mutation no.	Locus in <i>S. aureus</i> COL	Description ^a	Nucleotide change ^b	Amino acid change	Functional category ^c
1	SACOL0314	Transcriptional regulator (<i>rpiR</i> family)	C349448T	Thr216Ile	b
2	SACOL0403	Transcriptional antiterminator (<i>bglG</i> family)	C409253 Del	Frameshift after Ser461	b
3	SACOL0434	Hypothetical protein 1	C440981T	Gln41Stop	f
4	SACOL0460	IMP dehydrogenase (<i>guaB</i>)	C463203T	Arg310Cys	a
5	SACOL0461	GMP synthase (<i>guaA</i>)	A463937 Del	Deletion after Ser27	a
6	SACOL0495	Hypothetical protein 2	C464191T	Pro142Leu	f
7	SACOL0533	Methionyl-tRNA synthetase (<i>metS</i>)	G497663T	Asp777Stop	f
8	SACOL0544	Ribose-phosphate pyrophosphokinase (<i>prsA</i>)	G542898T	Leu285Phe	c
9	SACOL0554	Hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase (<i>hpt</i>)	C552272T	Pro291Leu	a
10	SACOL0555	Cell division protein (<i>ftsH</i>)	G562925A	Met1Ile	a
11	SACOL0562	Lysyl-tRNA synthetase (<i>lysS</i>)	C565004A	Ala429Asp	e
12	SACOL0574	Glutamyl-tRNA synthetase (<i>gltX</i>)	G570441T	Arg11Leu	c
13	SACOL0576	Cysteiny-tRNA synthetase (<i>cysS</i>)	G570684A	Arg93His	c
14	SACOL0583	Ribosomal protein L11 (<i>rplK</i>)	G597328A	Glu439Lys	c
15	SACOL0588	DNA-directed RNA polymerase, β -subunit (<i>rpoB</i>)	C599284G	His255Asp	c
16	SACOL0589	DNA-directed RNA polymerase, β' -subunit (<i>rpoC</i>)	A603893T	Ile140Val	c
17	SACOL0758	1-Phosphofructokinase (<i>fruK</i>)	C608417T	Ala477Val	b
18	Intergenic	Hypothetical protein 3/glucose-6-phosphate isomerase	T610153A	Tyr1056Asn	b
19	SACOL0991	Oligopeptide ABC transporter, permease (<i>oppB</i>)	G611391A	Arg239His	b
20	SACOL1689	GTP pyrophosphokinase (<i>relA2</i>)	G611672T	Gly333Cys	d
21	SACOL1710	Valyl-tRNA synthetase (<i>valS</i>)	C611927A	Leu418Ile	f
22	Intergenic	Valyl-tRNA synthetase/DNA-3-methyladenine glycosylase	A612110T	Ile479Phe	f
23	SACOL1717	Porphobilinogen deaminase (<i>hemC</i>)	T612157A	Asp494Glu	d
24	SACOL1745	Ribosome binding site of pyruvate kinase (<i>pyk</i>)	C612859A	Asn728Lys	d
25	SACOL2038	tRNA N6-adenosine threonylcarbamoyltransferase (<i>gcp</i>)	C612921A	Ala749Glu	c
26	SACOL2072	DEAD box ATP-dependent RNA helicase (<i>srmB</i>)	C613500T	Thr942Ile	b
27	SACOL2108	Translation factor SUA5 (<i>sua-5</i>)	G613517T	Val948Leu	c
28	SACOL2117	Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase (<i>fbaA</i>)	G779646 Ins	Frameshift after Ala35	d
29	SACOL2215	Ribosomal protein S13/S18 (<i>rpsM</i>)	C968358T	Frameshift after Met383	c
30	Intergenic	Hypothetical protein 4/hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA reductase	G998492A	Asp265Asn	f
			G1719144 Del	Frameshift after Met383	a
			C1719536T	Gln255Stop	c
			A1741737T	Asp177Val	f
			C1742564 Del		f
			C1747855T	Thr265Ile	d
			C1783697 Del	Deletion of RBS	d
			G2098447A	Gly193Asp	c
			G2137588A	Gly459Asp	b
			C2168647G	Pro104Arg	c
			T2177986 Del	Frameshift after Lys167	d
			G2178061A	Gly143Arg	c
			C2178241T	His83Tyr	c
			G2295683C	Ile308Leu	c
			G2617978A		f

^a CoA, coenzyme A.^b C349448T, C at position 349448 changed to T; C409253 Del, deletion of the C at position 409253; G779646 Ins, insertion of G at position 779646.^c Functional categories a through g as defined in Table 2.

TABLE 2 Functional categories of mutations associated with highly resistant (H^{*}R) isolates

Functional category	No. of determinants	Mutation(s) ^a associated with H [*] R isolates in the following strain:			
		UK13136 (family A)	ST63/458 (family B)	E2125 (family C)	E4278 (family D)
Guanine metabolism (category a)	5	guaA , guaB	guaA , relA2 , prsA		relA2 , hpt
Transcription (category b)	5	bglG , rpoB , RNA helicase	rpoC		rpiR , rpoB , rpoC
Translation/ribosomal structure (category c)	9	rpsM , lysS	rplK	gcp , cysS , vals	lysS , gltX , metS , sua-5
Transport/metabolism (category d)	5	pyk , hemC	fbaA		oppB , fruK
Cell division (category e)	1	ftsH			
Unknown function (category f)	5	HP2, Intergenic		Intergenic	HP1, Intergenic
Total	30				

^a Genes potentially involved with induction of a stringent stress response are indicated in boldface print. The *fbaA* and *oppB* genes are downregulated by (p)ppGpp-mediated stringent stress response (33). HP stands for hypothetical protein.

duced highly and homogeneously resistant populations of bacteria with MIC values in the range of several hundred $\mu\text{g/ml}$.

Chromosomal DNA was prepared from the H^{*}R cultures along with DNA prepared from the corresponding heteroresistant “parental” (majority) cells followed by full genome sequencing. Mutated genes unique to the particular H^{*}R culture were identified by comparison to the status of the same gene in the “parental” culture.

Mutations in 27 genes and 3 intergenic sequences were identified in the highly resistant H^{*}R derivatives recovered from the four heteroresistant “families” of MRSA. While the mutated genes represent a range of functional categories, we suggest that a common feature of these mutations may be their capacity to induce a stringent stress response in the bacteria. This proposal is consistent with recent evidence that identified a key role of the *relA* gene complex in defining the level of β -lactam resistance in laboratory models of MRSA strains (20, 21). As experimental evidence strongly suggested this, each of the four heteroresistant “parental” MRSA strains described in the present communication could be made to change their mode of expression of resistance from heterogeneous to homogeneous by the use of mupirocin, i.e., by experimentally inducing the stringent stress response in the bacteria (22–30).

RESULTS

The majority of clinical MRSA isolates express β -lactam resistance in a heterogeneous fashion. As a first attempt to better understand the genetic basis of this phenomenon, we selected four genetically closely related and historically early MRSA strains which had very similar heteroresistant phenotypes, as indicated by the virtually superimposable population analysis profiles (PAPs) (Fig. 1). The four strains included UK13136, the historically first MRSA, isolated in 1960 in the United Kingdom (13) named in our study as the “parental” strain of family A. This strain has already been characterized by molecular techniques (31). The second MRSA strain, ST63/458, was also isolated in the United Kingdom in 1963 and was the “parental” strain of family B in our study. Both of these strains are of sequence type ST250 and carry an SCC*mec* type I. The two additional parental strains, E2125 (parental strain of family C) and strain E4278 (parental strain of family D), were both isolated in Denmark in 1964 and 1967, respectively, and both were ST247 carrying SCC*mec* type I. All four strains belonged to the “archaic” clone of MRSA (17).

Figure 1 shows the rather similar PAPs of the four “parental” MRSA strains; in each strain, the majority of bacteria had relatively low oxacillin MIC values between 2 and 12 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. However, each culture also contained—with a low frequency of about 10^{-5} —highly resistant (mutant) subpopulations of bacteria with an oxacillin MIC of $\geq 400 \mu\text{g/ml}$.

Overnight cultures of the four parental strains were grown in tryptic soy broth (TSB), and 1-ml portions of the turbid overnight cultures were used to prepare the “parental” DNAs for sequencing. Aliquots of the rest of the overnight parental cultures were plated for population analysis, and 20 of the rare highly resistant colonies (named “H^{*}R”) that appeared on the agar plates supplemented with 100 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ oxacillin (see the asterisks in Fig. 1), were picked from the progeny of each of the four parental cultures. The H^{*}R colonies were resuspended in TSB and restreaked on tryptic soy agar (TSA), and cultures of the 10 H^{*}R colonies were grown in TSB, retested for oxacillin resistance by PAP, and used to prepare DNA for sequencing. Eventually, the DNA sequence of each H^{*}R colony was compared to the sequence of the corresponding “parental” culture in order to identify the genes that were mutated in the H^{*}R cultures.

On the basis of this comparison, mutations in 3 intergenic sequences and 27 genes were identified in the stably resistant H^{*}R colonies recovered from the four heteroresistant MRSA strains (Table 1).

The mutated genes, their putative functions, and the nature of the nucleotide and amino acid change are listed in Table 1. The following six genes carried multiple mutations: *fbaA* (3 mutations), *guaA* (2 mutations), *lysS* (2 mutations), *rpoB* (2 mutations), *rpoC* (9 mutations), and *relA2* (2 mutations).

These genes may be involved in (p)ppGpp-mediated stringent stress response: *guaA*, *lysS*, and *relA2* are directly linked to the synthesis of (p)ppGpp which targets RNA polymerase, the product of *rpoB* and *rpoC* (32). The *fbaA* gene encoding fructose biphosphate aldolase was reported to be downregulated in (p)ppGpp-mediated stringent stress response induced by serine hydroxamate (33). Of the 30 genetic loci carrying mutations, 27 are expected to alter function either by point mutations or by frameshifts. The remaining 3 determinants were in intergenic sequences with a change in a single nucleotide. Thus, mutations in 27 different genes would appear to be responsible for the increase in the resistance of H^{*}R isolates to oxacillin either singly or through a concerted effect of all mutations.

TABLE 3 H^{*}R isolates carrying mutations in a single gene

Mutation no. ^a	Locus in <i>S. aureus</i> COL	Gene	H [*] R strain	Functional category
5	SACOL0461	<i>guaA</i>	A3, BB9	a
7	SACOL0533	<i>metS</i>	DD8	c
8	SACOL0544	<i>prsA</i>	B5	a
9	SACOL0554	<i>hpt</i>	DD3	a
11	SACOL0562	<i>lysS</i>	AA9	c
13	SACOL0576	<i>cysS</i>	CC3	c
15	SACOL0588	<i>rpoB</i>	DD9	b
16	SACOL0589	<i>rpoC</i>	B4, B8, B9, BB2, BB3, DD6, DD7	b
17	SACOL0758	<i>fruK</i>	DD5	d
20	SACOL1689	<i>relA2</i>	BB8, D3	a
21	SACOL1710	<i>valS</i>	C8	c
23	SACOL1717	<i>hemC</i>	AA2	d
24	SACOL1745	<i>pyk</i>	A5	d
25	SACOL2038	<i>gcp</i>	CC1	c
27	SACOL2108	<i>sua-5</i>	DD1	c
28	SACOL2117	<i>fbaA</i>	B10, BB5, BB6	d
29	SACOL2215	<i>rpsM</i>	A2	c

^a Mutation numbers as in Table 1.

Table 2 lists functional categories of the mutated genes identified in H^{*}R isolates of the four MRSA families identified by capital letters A through D. Of the 27 mutated genes, 21 were in guanine metabolism (a), in transcription (b), in translation/ribosomal structure (c) and/or in transport (d). Interestingly, in most H^{*}R isolates, mutation in a single gene was sufficient to produce the highly resistant phenotype (Table 3). Thirteen out of 17 genes listed in Table 3 are included in 3 functional categories: four (*guaA*, *prsA*, *hpt*, and *relA2*) in guanine metabolism; two (*rpoB* and *rpoC*) in transcription; and seven (*metS*, *lysS*, *cysS*, *valS*, *gcp*, *sua-5*, and *rpsM*) in translation/ribosome structure. Each of these

mutations would be expected to trigger the stringent stress response and produce high and homogeneous resistance.

Twelve H^{*}R isolates each carrying a single mutation (Table 3) were compared to their respective parental strains for the relative amounts of PBP2A. Cells were grown in the presence of 0.5 μ g/ml oxacillin to induce the *mecA* gene, and membrane fractions were prepared for Western blotting. All H^{*}R isolates showed at least 2-fold increase in PBP2A compared to their parental strains (Fig. 2) suggesting that each mutation resulted in the recruitment of increased amounts of PBP2A into the cell membranes.




FIG 2 Determination of PBP2A in membranes of H^{*}R derivatives carrying single mutations. A group of H^{*}R isolates carrying single mutations in a variety of genes associated with high-level oxacillin resistance (Table 3) were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and by testing the relative amounts of PBP2A by Western blotting with a monoclonal antibody prepared against PBP2A. Three isolates (A2, A5, and AA2) from family A, four isolates (B5, BB6, BB8, and BB9) from family B, and five isolates from family D (D3, DD1, DD3, DD5, and DD6) were included in the analysis. Lanes A, B, and D show the SDS-PAGE profiles and the Western blot analysis of PBP2A in these parental isolates. Lanes A_m, B_m, and D_m contain parental samples in which the relative amounts of PBP2A were estimated in the presence of mupirocin. The single mutations carried by the H^{*}R derivatives of family A were as follows: *rpsM* in lane A2, *pyk* in lane A5, and *hemC* in lane AA2. The single mutated genes analyzed in family B were *prsA* in lane B5, *fbaA* in lane BB6, *relA2* in lane BB8, and *guaA* in lane BB9. The mutations analyzed in members of family D were *relA2* in lane D3, *sua5* in lane DD1, *hpt* in lane DD3, *fruK* in lane DD5, and *rpoC* in lane DD6. The M lanes contain molecular size markers (100, 70, 55, and 45 kDa).




FIG 3 Model for the triggering of the stringent stress response by mutations identified in the highly resistant (H^{*}R) isolates. A schematic model for the postulated effect of H^{*}R mutations on the *relA*-controlled stress response of *S. aureus* is shown. Functional categories of mutations are defined as in Table 2. HD, hydrolase; SYN, synthetase; TGS, a domain named after three enzymes that contain it [threonyl-tRNA synthetase (ThrRS), GTPase, and guanosine-3',5'-bis(diphosphate) 3'-pyrophosphohydrolase (SpoT)]; ACT, the domain named after three proteins that carry it [aspartate kinase, chorismate mutase, and prephenate dehydrogenase (TyrA)].

DISCUSSION

Inspection of Table 1 through 3 and the figures indicates that a large number and different kinds of mutations can profoundly influence the phenotypic expression of oxacillin resistance in the four heteroresistant MRSA strains. Determinants include genes in cell division as well as genes associated with various aspects of intermediary metabolism. Such a diversity of genetic determinants is reminiscent of the large number of “auxiliary genes” (or *fem* genes) identified earlier as determinants essential for the optimal expression of high and homogeneous resistance in MRSA strains (34, 35). As a hypothesis to account for the polygenic nature of this phenomenon, it was proposed that the expression of antibiotic resistance involves a bacterial stress response (36).

This proposition, originally suggested to explain the multi-genic nature of homogeneous oxacillin resistance, seems to also fit the mechanism of heterogeneous resistance analyzed in this communication. In a recent study, we described identification of the critical role that the *S. aureus relA* gene plays in the phenotypic expression of oxacillin resistance (20). RelA protein plays a central role in the control of biosynthetic activities through its catalytic product—ppGpp and pppGpp—that can interact with and regulate the ribosomal protein synthesis machinery.

The large number and functional diversity of the determinants that influence heterogeneous expression of resistance as described in this communication would fit the model in which a stress re-

sponse—specifically, the stringent stress—is the ultimate controlling factor of the phenotypic expression of oxacillin resistance in MRSA (20, 21).

Figure 3 shows a modification of the *relA* model to indicate how diverse genetic determinants identified in the present communication could impact on the level of antibiotic resistance through specific interactions with the *relA*-controlled RNA polymerase system. In a recent communication (21), we began to test whether the physiological level of antibiotic resistance (i.e., the oxacillin MIC value) is paralleled by the cellular amounts of the *mecA* gene product PBP2A. In the model systems described in reference 21, increase in the MIC value was accompanied by a parallel increase in the cellular amounts of PBP2A, and increased amounts of PBP2A were also detected in the H^{*}R derivatives described in this communication (Fig. 2).

If the stringent stress response is the central controlling element of the level of oxacillin resistance in MRSA, then one would expect that artificial triggering of the stress response would convert heterogeneously resistant MRSA to highly and homogeneously resistant cultures. In an effort to test this, we repeated the population analysis of the four heteroresistant “parental” strains in the presence of sub-MICs of mupirocin, an agent capable of inducing stringent stress. Figure 4 demonstrates that each one of the four heteroresistant parental MRSA strains described in this communication would exhibit high and homogeneous resistance




FIG 4 Conversion of the heterogeneous population analysis profiles of four MRSA strains to high and homogeneous resistance by induction of the stringent stress response. Population analysis profiles (PAPs) of the four MRSA cultures (strains in Fig. 1A to D) (solid squares), PAPs of H^{*}R derivatives (empty squares), and PAPs determined on agar plates on which the antibiotic was supplemented by sub-MICs (0.03 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) of mupirocin (solid circles). Asterisks indicate the concentration of oxacillin (100 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) at which the H^{*}R colonies were picked.

if the phenotype was assayed in the presence of sub-MICs of mupirocin added to the oxacillin-containing agar plates. Identical results were obtained when serine hydroxamate, an inhibitor of seryl-tRNA synthetase, was used instead of mupirocin. These observations may open up so-far untested avenues for the design of antibacterial agents that could influence resistance level of MRSA through a novel type of intervention.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Aliquots (1 μl) of the four heteroresistant “parental” cultures of UK13136 (parental strain of family A), ST63/458 (parental strain of family B), E2125 (parental strain of family C), and E4278 (parental strain of family D) were inoculated into 5 ml of tryptic soy broth (TSB) and incubated at 37°C overnight with agitation. Portions (1 ml) of the overnight cultures were removed to prepare chromosomal DNAs representing the majority of cells (poorly resistant cells) of these cultures. The overnight cultures were diluted with TSB, and population analysis profiles (PAPs) were done on tryptic soy agar (TSA) plates containing increasing concentrations of oxacillin (Fig. 1). CFU were counted after 48-h incubation of the plates at 37°C. Twenty medium-size colonies capable of growing on TSA plates containing 100 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ oxacillin were picked from the PAP plates of each of the four “parental” MRSA. These colonies were named “H^{*}R” for homogeneous and high-level oxacillin resistance. H^{*}R colonies were recovered from the plates with 1- μl loops and dispersed into Eppendorf tubes containing 200 μl of TSB. Portions (1 μl) from each Eppendorf tube were streaked onto a TSA plate which was incubated at 37°C for 48 h. The H^{*}R isolates were next passaged three times onto fresh TSA plates, after which the isolates were retested for resistance level by Etest and population analysis. A total of 42 H^{*}R isolates with high-level and homogeneous oxacillin

resistance (oxacillin MIC of ≥ 400 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) were inoculated into 5 ml of TSB, incubated at 37°C with agitation overnight, and used to prepare H^{*}R DNAs. The 42 H^{*}R isolates included 10 colonies of UK13136, 12 of UK63/458, 10 of E2125, and 10 of E4278.

The antibiotic resistance profiles of the four heteroresistant strains were also determined by including sub-MICs of mupirocin in the antibiotic-containing plates used for population analysis (37, 38). Mupirocin is a known inducer of the stringent stress response in bacteria.

Genome sequencing. Sequencing libraries were prepared according to previously published methods (39–41). Samples were run on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 sequencer operated according to the manufacturer’s instructions with 100 cycle paired-end runs. Data for the samples have been deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (see below).

Detection of variations between H^{*}R isolates and the “parental” strains. The sequence of chromosomal DNAs isolated from the H^{*}R colonies was compared to the DNA sequence of the corresponding “parental” strain in order to identify in the H^{*}R isolates mutated loci that may be associated with the high-level oxacillin-resistant phenotype of these clones. This was done using three different approaches to call only high-confidence variants.

The first two approaches are based on *de novo* assemblers, which are capable of detecting variants (single nucleotide polymorphisms [SNPs]), insertions, and deletions while building the contigs. Both methods completely ignore reference genomes while calling variants between “parental” and H^{*}R isolates. However, to make comparison between the methods easier, the results were mapped back to reference strain COL (GenBank accession number CP000046).

SGA v0.9.19 (42) commands “preprocess” and “index” were run using default settings. Variants were called using “graph-diff” with *k*-mer (–*k*)

= 61 and min-discovery-count ($-x$) = 10. Cortex v1.0.5.15 (43) was run using the provided workflow pipeline using the joint variant discovery with k -mers between 31 and 63 (43).

The third approach is based on mapping. Each “parental” isolate and corresponding H*R isolates were mapped against strain COL using SMALT v.0.7.4 (<http://www.sanger.ac.uk/resources/software/smalt/>). High-quality SNPs were called as described previously (44). Detection of indels was carried out using GATK (45). The obtained variant call format files (VCFs) were processed using an in-house script to remove variant calls due to the use of the reference genome COL in order to find only differences between the “parental” strain and H*R isolates. Variants found by all three methods were finally checked manually in order to carry on further analysis with high-quality variants.

Preparation of staphylococcal membrane proteins. Membrane fractions were prepared from isolates belonging to families A, B, and D following the method described previously (21, 38) with slight modification. *S. aureus* strains were grown at 37°C in 200 ml of TSB in the presence of 0.5 μ g/ml of oxacillin to induce transcription of the *mecA* gene. The strains analyzed included three H*R isolates from family A (A2, A5, and AA2), four H*R isolates from family B (B5, BB6, BB8, and BB9), and five H*R isolates from family D (D3, DD1, DD3, DD5, and DD6). Each analysis included the SDS-PAGE profiles of the corresponding parental strain: strain UK13136 for family A, strain ST63/458 for family B, and strain E4278 for family D. The relative amounts of PBP2A were determined in each of the isolates using Western blotting. The SDS-PAGE profiles and relative amounts of PBP2A were also compared for each of the parental strains with and without mupirocin (0.03 μ g/ml) added to the growth medium. All cultures were harvested at an optical density at 620 nm (OD_{620}) of 0.5, washed, and resuspended in 3 ml of 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.6) containing 1 \times Halt protease inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), 10 mM MgCl₂, 100 μ g/ml lysostaphin, 50 μ g/ml lysozyme, 50 μ g/ml DNase I, and 50 μ g/ml RNase A. The cells were incubated at 37°C for 30 min and disrupted by sonication with pulse of 40% output for 5 min. The supernatants were transferred to fresh ultracentrifuge tubes after centrifugation at 7,000 \times g for 20 min. Membrane fractions were collected by centrifugation at 100,000 \times g for 1 h. The collected membranes were resuspended in 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.6) and stored at -70°C . The concentration of total membrane proteins was determined by the bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay.

Western blotting. Western blotting with a monoclonal antibody prepared against PBP2A was used to determine PBP2A in membrane preparations as described previously with a few modifications (21, 38). The membrane proteins (50 μ g for families A and B and 100 μ g for family D) were loaded on the polyacrylamide gel (8% or 10% resolving gel; 4% stacking gel) for SDS-PAGE. The rabbit anti-PBP2A antibody was used as the primary antibody with dilution of 1:15,000, and the secondary horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated anti-rabbit antibody (0.5 mg/ml; PerkinElmer) was diluted to 1:10,000. ChromPure human IgG Fc fragment (Millipore) was added to the blocking solution at a final concentration of 3 μ g/ml in order to prevent the antibodies from nonspecific binding. Pierce enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) 2 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) substrate was used for visualization of PBP2A bands with X-ray film exposure.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers. Data for the genome sequencing samples have been deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive under the sample numbers ERS157365, ERS157381, ERS157396, ERS157409, and ERS157425 to ERS157449.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by a grant from the U.S. Public Health Service 2 RO1 AI457838-14 and by grant UL1 TR000043-07S1 from the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (NCATS), National Institutes of Health (NIH) Clinical and Translational Science Award (CTSA) program awarded to A. Tomasz. S.D.B. is partly supported by the NIHR Cambridge BRC. This work was also supported by the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute core grant 098051.

REFERENCES

- Lowy FD. 1998. *Staphylococcus aureus* infections. N. Engl. J. Med. 339: 520–532. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199808203390806>.
- Fey PD, Saïd-Salim B, Rupp ME, Hinrichs SH, Boxrud DJ, Davis CC, Kreiswirth BN, Schlievert PM. 2003. Comparative molecular analysis of community- or hospital-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 47:196–203. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.47.1.196-203.2003>.
- Naimi TS, LeDell KH, Como-Sabetti K, Borchardt SM, Boxrud DJ, Etienne J, Johnson SK, Vandenesch F, Fridkin S, O’Boyle C, Danila RN, Lynfield R. 2003. Comparison of community- and health care-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infection. JAMA 290: 2976–2984. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.290.22.2976>.
- Salgado CD, Farr BM, Calfee DP. 2003. Community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: a meta-analysis of prevalence and risk factors. Clin. Infect. Dis. 36:131–139.
- King MD, Humphrey BJ, Wang YF, Kourbatova EV, Ray SM, Blumberg HM. 2006. Emergence of community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* USA300 clone as the predominant cause of skin and soft tissue infections. Ann. Intern. Med. 144:309–317. <http://dx.doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-144-5-200603070-00005>.
- Beck WD, Berger-Bächi B, Kayser FH. 1986. Additional DNA in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and molecular cloning of *mec*-specific DNA. J. Bacteriol. 165:373–378.
- Inglis B, Matthews PR, Stewart PR. 1988. The expression in *Staphylococcus aureus* of cloned DNA encoding methicillin resistance. J. Gen. Microbiol. 134:1465–1469.
- Ito T, Katayama Y, Hiramatsu K. 1999. Cloning and nucleotide sequence determination of the entire *mec* DNA of pre-methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* N315. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 43:1449–1458.
- Hartman BJ, Tomasz A. 1984. Low-affinity penicillin-binding protein associated with β -lactam resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. J. Bacteriol. 158:513–516.
- Fuda C, Suvorov M, Vakulenko SB, Mobashery S. 2004. The basis for resistance to β -lactam antibiotics by penicillin-binding protein 2a of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. J. Biol. Chem. 279: 40802–40806. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M403589200>.
- Hackbarth CJ, Chambers HF. 1993. *blaI* and *blaR1* regulate beta-lactamase and PBP2a production in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 37:1144–1149. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.37.5.1144>.
- Hiramatsu K, Asada K, Suzuki E, Okonogi K, Yokota T. 1992. Molecular cloning and nucleotide sequence determination of the regulator region of *mecA* gene in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). FEBS Lett. 298:133–136. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793\(92\)80039-J](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793(92)80039-J).
- Jevons MP. 1961. Celbenin-resistant staphylococci. BMJ 1:124–125. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.1.5219.124>.
- Chung M, Antignac A, Kim C, Tomasz A. 2008. Comparative study of the susceptibilities of major epidemic clones of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* to oxacillin and to the new broad-spectrum cephalosporin ceftobiprole. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 52:2709–2717. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00266-08>.
- Tomasz A, Nachman S, Leaf H. 1991. Stable classes of phenotypic expression in methicillin-resistant clinical isolates of staphylococci. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 35:124–129. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.35.1.124>.
- de Lencastre H, Figueiredo AM, Tomasz A. 1993. Genetic control of population structure in heterogeneous strains of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Eur. J. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 12(Suppl 1):S13–S18. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02389872>.
- de Lencastre H, Chung M, Westh H. 2000. Archaic strains of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: molecular and microbiological properties of isolates from the 1960s in Denmark. Microb. Drug Resist. 6:1–10. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2000.6.1>.
- Berger-Bächi B. 1994. Expression of resistance to methicillin. Trends Microbiol. 2:389–393. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0966-842X\(94\)90617-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0966-842X(94)90617-3).
- Rohrer S, Maki H, Berger-Bächi B. 2003. What makes resistance to methicillin heterogeneous? J. Med. Microbiol. 52:605–607. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.05176-0>.
- Mwangi MM, Kim C, Chung M, Tsai J, Vijayadmodar G, Benitez M, Jarvie TP, Du L, Tomasz A. 2013. Whole-genome sequencing reveals a

- link between β -lactam resistance and synthetases of the alarmone (p)ppGpp in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Microb. Drug Resist.* 19:153–159. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2013.0053>.
21. Kim C, Mwangi M, Chung M, Milheirco C, de Lencastre H, Tomasz A. 2013. The mechanism of heterogeneous beta-lactam resistance in MRSA: key role of the stringent stress response. *PLoS One* 8:e82814. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0082814>.
 22. Hughes J, Mellows G. 1978. Inhibition of isoleucyl-transfer ribonucleic acid synthetase in *Escherichia coli* by pseudomonic acid. *Biochem. J.* 176:305–318.
 23. Sutherland R, Boon RJ, Griffin KE, Masters PJ, Slocombe B, White AR. 1985. Antibacterial activity of mupirocin (pseudomonic acid), a new antibiotic for topical use. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 27:495–498. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.27.4.495>.
 24. Cassels R, Oliva B, Knowles D. 1995. Occurrence of the regulatory nucleotides ppGpp and pppGpp following induction of the stringent response in staphylococci. *J. Bacteriol.* 177:5161–5165.
 25. Anderson KL, Roberts C, Disz T, Vonstein V, Hwang K, Overbeek R, Olson PD, Projan SJ, Dunman PM. 2006. Characterization of the *Staphylococcus aureus* heat shock, cold shock, stringent, and SOS responses and their effects on log-phase mRNA turnover. *J. Bacteriol.* 188:6739–6756. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.00609-06>.
 26. Whitehead KE, Webber GM, England RR. 1998. Accumulation of ppGpp in *Streptococcus pyogenes* and *Streptococcus rattus* following amino acid starvation. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 159:21–26. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.1998.tb12836.x>.
 27. Crosse AM, Greenway DL, England RR. 2000. Accumulation of ppGpp and ppGp in *Staphylococcus aureus* 8325-4 following nutrient starvation. *Let. Appl. Microbiol.* 31:332–337. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1472-765x.2000.00822.x>.
 28. Nascimento MM, Lemos JA, Abranches J, Lin VK, Burne RA. 2008. Role of RelA of *Streptococcus mutans* in global control of gene expression. *J. Bacteriol.* 190:28–36. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.01395-07>.
 29. Yan X, Zhao C, Budin-Verneuil A, Hartke A, Rincé A, Gilmore MS, Auffray Y, Pichereau V. 2009. The (p)ppGpp synthetase RelA contributes to stress adaptation and virulence in *Enterococcus faecalis* V583. *Microbiology* 155:3226–3237. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.026146-0>.
 30. Geiger T, Francois P, Liebeck M, Fraunholz M, Goerke C, Krismer B, Schrenzel J, Lalk M, Wolz C. 2012. The stringent response of *Staphylococcus aureus* and its impact on survival after phagocytosis through the induction of intracellular PSMs expression. *PLoS Pathog.* 8:e1003016. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003016>.
 31. Crisóstomo MI, Westh H, Tomasz A, Chung M, Oliveira DC, de Lencastre H. 2001. The evolution of methicillin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*: similarity of genetic backgrounds in historically early methicillin-susceptible and -resistant isolates and contemporary epidemic clones. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 98:9865–9870. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.161272898>.
 32. Srivatsan A, Wang JD. 2008. Control of bacterial transcription, translation and replication by (p)ppGpp. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 11:100–105. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2008.02.001>.
 33. Durfee T, Hansen AM, Zhi H, Blattner FR, Jin DJ. 2008. Transcription profiling of the stringent response in *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol.* 190:1084–1096. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.01092-07>.
 34. Berger-Bächli B, Strässle A, Gustafson JE, Kayser FH. 1992. Mapping and characterization of multiple chromosomal factors involved in methicillin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 36:1367–1373. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.36.7.1367>.
 35. de Lencastre H, Tomasz A. 1994. Reassessment of the number of auxiliary genes essential for expression of high-level methicillin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 38:2590–2598. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.38.11.2590>.
 36. de Lencastre H, Wu SW, Pinho MG, Ludovice AM, Filipe S, Gardete S, Sobral R, Gill S, Chung M, Tomasz A. 1999. Antibiotic resistance as a stress response: complete sequencing of a large number of chromosomal loci in *Staphylococcus aureus* strain COL that impact on the expression of resistance to methicillin. *Microb. Drug Resist.* 5:163–175. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/mdr.1999.5.163>.
 37. de Lencastre H, Sá Figueiredo AM, Urban C, Rahal J, Tomasz A. 1991. Multiple mechanisms of methicillin resistance and improved methods for detection in clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 35:632–639. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.35.4.632>.
 38. Kim C, Milheirico C, Gardete S, Holmes MA, Holden MT, de Lencastre H, Tomasz A. 2012. Properties of a novel PBP2A protein homolog from *Staphylococcus aureus* strain LGA251 and its contribution to the beta-lactam-resistant phenotype. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287:36854–36863. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.395962>.
 39. Quail MA, Kozarewa I, Smith F, Scally A, Stephens PJ, Durbin R, Swerdlow H, Turner DJ. 2008. A large genome center's improvements to the Illumina sequencing system. *Nat. Methods* 5:1005–1010. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1270>.
 40. Quail MA, Swerdlow H, Turner DJ. 2009. Improved protocols for the Illumina genome analyzer sequencing system. *Curr. Protoc. Hum. Genet.* Chapter 18:Unit 18.12. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/0471142905.hg1802s62>.
 41. Quail MA, Otto TD, Gu Y, Harris SR, Skelly TF, McQuillan JA, Swerdlow HP, Oyola SO. 2012. Optimal enzymes for amplifying sequencing libraries. *Nat. Methods* 9:10–11. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1814>.
 42. Simpson JT, Durbin R. 2012. Efficient de novo assembly of large genomes using compressed data structures. *Genome Res.* 22:549–556.
 43. Iqbal Z, Turner I, McVean G. 2013. High-throughput microbial population genomics using the Cortex variation assembler. *Bioinformatics* 29:275–276.
 44. Harris SR, Feil EJ, Holden MT, Quail MA, Nickerson EK, Chantratita N, Gardete S, Tavares A, Day N, Lindsay JA, Edgeworth JD, de Lencastre H, Parkhill J, Peacock SJ, Bentley SD. 2010. Evolution of MRSA during hospital transmission and intercontinental spread. *Science* 327:469–474. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1182395>.
 45. DePristo MA, Banks E, Poplin R, Garimella KV, Maguire JR, Hartl C, Philippakis AA, del Angel G, Rivas MA, Hanna M, McKenna A, Fennell TJ, Kernysky AM, Sivachenko AY, Cibulskis K, Gabriel SB, Altshuler D, Daly MJ. 2011. A framework for variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Nat. Genet.* 43:491–498. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ng.806>.