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Jeffrey Simon has written an illuminating book. Unfortunately, it illuminates mainly by calling into question its own subject matter as an intellectually-coherent category for analysis. Given the subtitle, this was presumably not the author’s intention.

If Simon does not fully succeed in demonstrating the worth of a discrete sub-section of political violence labelled ‘lone wolf terrorism’, this has much to do with his approach which is eclectically biographical. Constructing a group portrait out of an assemblage of loners naturally brings challenges as Simon implicitly concedes – a ‘selective look at a few of the more notable ones in history reveals diversity in their backgrounds and motivations’ (page 149). It would have helped the reader here if the reasons for including some ‘lone wolves’, and not others, had been spelled out more explicitly. At other times discussion broadens out abruptly to include case studies that clearly fall outside any ‘lone wolf’ framing (for instance, pages 114-127) or, indeed, outside the conventional understanding of terrorism as intrinsically politicised violence (pages 68-74).

Such handfuls of examples nevertheless yield rich harvests of conjecture (‘lone wolves are not afraid of failing’ – page 103), generalisation (‘human interaction [is] a characteristic that appears to be valued more by women than by men’ – page 127) and, perhaps most strikingly, some arresting banality (‘the most difficult, and therefore least probable, of the weapons of mass destruction that could be used by a lone wolf is a nuclear weapon’ -page 111). Many of Simon’s insights are valuable – that on occasion mavericks can indeed punch above their weight (page 18) but will generally face severe challenges of resources and capacity, and these sometimes around surprisingly ‘routine’ activities (such as the surveillance of potential targets – page 192). But these remain the insights of intuition rather than systematic dissection.

A more ambitious historical survey might have sought to examine ‘lone wolf terrorism’ against the broader rise of individualism in western societies as a whole. A more sociologically-informed study might have drawn upon classical debates concerning the relationship between individual behaviour and society to shed light upon the extreme case of political violence conducted by lone operatives. But these are roads resolutely not taken: indeed, Jeffrey Simon’s *Lone Wolf Terrorism* remains sublimely innocent of any such possibilities.
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