

PROCEEDINGS
OF THE
SEMINAR FOR ARABIAN STUDIES

VOLUME 41
2011

Papers from the forty-fourth meeting of the
Seminar for Arabian Studies
held at the British Museum, London,
22 to 24 July 2010

SEMINAR FOR ARABIAN STUDIES

ARCHAEOPRESS
OXFORD

Orders for copies of this volume of the *Proceedings* and of all back numbers should be sent to Archaeopress, Gordon House, 276 Banbury Road, Oxford OX2 7ED, UK.

Tel/Fax +44-(0)1865-311914.

e-mail bar@archaeopress.com

<http://www.archaeopress.com>

For the availability of back issues see the Seminar's web site: www.arabianseminar.org.uk

Seminar for Arabian Studies

c/o the Department of the Middle East, The British Museum

London, WC1B 3DG, United Kingdom

e-mail seminar.arab@durham.ac.uk

The Steering Committee of the Seminar for Arabian Studies is currently made up of 13 members. The Editorial Committee of the *Proceedings of the Seminar for Arabian Studies* includes 6 additional members as follows:

STEERING COMMITTEE

Dr Robert Carter (Chair)

Dr Mark Beech

Dr Nadia Durrani

Dr Robert G. Hoyland

Dr Derek Kennet

Mr Michael C.A. Macdonald

Dr Ardle MacMahon (Secretary)

Dr Venetia Porter

Dr St John Simpson

Mrs Janet C.M. Starkey (Editor)

Mr Andrew Thompson (Treasurer)

Professor Janet Watson

Dr Lloyd Weeks

EDITORIAL COMMITTEE: ADDITIONAL MEMBERS

Professor Alessandra Avanzini

Dr Ricardo Eichmann

Professor Clive Holes

Professor Khaleel Al-Muaikel

Professor Dan Potts

Professor Christian Robin

Opinions expressed in papers published in the *Proceedings* are those of the authors and are not necessarily shared by the Editorial Committee.

The *Proceedings* is produced in the Times Semitic New font, which was designed by Paul Bibire for the Seminar for Arabian Studies.

© 2011 Archaeopress, Oxford, UK.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publisher.

ISSN 0308-8421

ISBN 978-1-905739-40-0

khushub musannadah (Qurʾān 63. 4) and Epigraphic South Arabian *ms³nd*

ORHAN ELMAZ

Summary

This paper will enquire into the possibility of a relationship between *musannadah* in Qurʾān 63. 4 and *musnad* (Epigraphic South Arabian (ESA) *ms³nd*), which is used to denote Epigraphic Arabic scripts and inscriptions. The question to be dealt with here is whether and how different interpretations evolved. In addition, the paper considers whether *musannadah* can be interpreted in the light of Epigraphic South Arabian *ms³nd* — and, subsequently, Arabic *musnad* denoting Ancient Arabian scripts — or, more specifically, the Ancient South Arabian monumental script. This would constitute a new interpretation and show the hypocrites to be corroded by fear like carved or rotten timbers; therefore lexicographical and exegetical as well as relevant secondary literature will be surveyed diachronically. The discussion will include proposed etymologies for the term *musnad* and its description in early Arabic sources such as Hamdānī's *Iklīl*, the *Gharīb* literature, *Ḥadīth* collections, and historical works such as Jawād 'Alī's *al-Mufaṣṣal fī tārikh al-ʿarab qabl al-islām*.

Keywords: Qurʾān, *tafsīr*, lexicography, etymology, *musnad*

Text

The phrase *khushub musannadah* occurs in a simile for hypocrites (*munāfiqūn*), who are referred to in Qurʾān 63. 4¹ (both terms are *hapax legomena* and are the only derivations of their roots in the Qurʾān):²

‘When you see them [Prophet], their outward appearance pleases you; when they speak, you listen to what they say. But they are like propped-up timbers — they think every cry they hear is against them — and they are the enemy. Beware of them. May God thwart them! How devious they are!’ (Abdel Haleem 2010: 555).

The mainstream view on *khushub* (also *kh{a,u}shb* and *khashab*, see al-Khaṭīb 2002, ix: 469–470; cf. Vollers 1906: 99) *musannadah* is given in two sentences by Abdul-Raof (2004: 105): ‘Culturally, the Arabs used to put planks of timber against the wall at the back of their houses when they were not needed, and as such the planks of wood were useless most of the time. This expression reflects a metonymy for the person who is useless and worthless in the community.’ In Abdel Haleem’s translation (2010: 555; cf. Bell 1937, ii: 581; 1991, ii: 386; Arberry 1964: 585; Bakhtiar 2009: 647; Paret 2001: 478; 2004: 395; Khoury 1990–2001, xii: 112) the phrase in question is rendered as ‘propped-up timbers’ (cf. Badawi & Abdel Haleem 2008: 459 *sub radice* (s.r.) *s-n-d*), while Watt (1967: 267) explained ‘propped-up timbers’ as ‘also said to mean timbers whose centre is wasted or worm-eaten’ — an interpretation held by Blachère (1980: 597) to be a ‘très fantaisiste’ stop-gap. He offers a new understanding as ‘monts [solidement] appuyés’ which, according to him, ‘correspond à une comparaison fréquente en arabe’, while Pickthall (1954: 400) translates *khushubun musannadah* as ‘blocks of wood in striped cloaks (or propped-up blocks of wood)’. Atallah suggested a different interpretation based on the proposed equivalency of Arabic *khushub* and Greek *xóan-on*, ‘qui désignait les statues en bois adorées comme idoles dans les religions grégoromaines’ (Atallah 2008: 445) and arguably seeing Sindh in *musannadah* (cf.

¹ The translation of the Qurʾān used in this paper is that of Abdel Haleem 2010. The Corpus of South Arabian Inscriptions (CSAI) was used to locate the inscriptions and the Dukhrana Analytical Lexicon of the Syriac New Testament to find Syriac terms.

² *Hapax legomena* clauses in the Qurʾān — except for enumerations — include: Qurʾān 9. 35 *fa-tukwā (bihā) jibāhuhum* ‘(it is) used to brand their foreheads’; Qurʾān 20. 12 *fa-khlaʿ naʿ layka* ‘Take off your shoes’; Qurʾān 21. 30 (*kānatā*) *ratqan fa-fataqnāhumā* ‘were (both) used to be joined together and we unstitched them both’ [stitch — unstitch]; Qurʾān 22. 40 *la-huddimat ṣawāmiʿu* ‘many monasteries ... would have been destroyed’; Qurʾān 37. 103 *wa-tallahu li-l-jabīn* ‘he had laid his son [him] down on the side of his face’; Qurʾān 49. 11 (*wa-lā*) *tanābazū bi-l-alqāb* ‘do not use offensive nicknames for one another’; Qurʾān 53. 9 (*fa-kāna*) *qāba qawsayni* ‘(until he was) two bows-lengths (away)’; and Qurʾān 73. 14 (*wa-kānatī l-jibālu*) *kaṭīban mahīlan* ‘(and the mountains become) a heap of loose sand’ (see Elmaz 2008: 127–132).

‘*arab* > *mu‘arrab*, *hind* > *muhannad*) so that the phrase is understood as ‘des statues de bois incrustées de pierres précieuses à la façon de l’Inde’ (2008: 453).

South Arabian *ms³nd*

As to an Epigraphic South Arabian (ESA) connection with *musannadah* (cf. Zammit 2001: 228 s.r. *s-n-d*; see Ambros 2004 s.r. *h-sh-b*, cf. Maraqtan 1988: 292–295), the most similar Arabic word (without *shaddah*) — *musnad* — denotes ESA scripts in Arabic, which is obviously related to South Arabian *ms³nd* ‘inscription, inscribed votive tablet’ (Beeston *et al.* 1982: 138) or ‘document’ (Ghul 1993: 134) and Qur’anic Arabic ‘*khaṭṭ*, *kitābah*’ (‘Alī 1993, viii: 209). We can cite inscriptions in different Ancient South Arabian languages in which that word occurs: Sabaic,³ Qatabanic,⁴ Hadramitic,⁵ and Minaic.⁶ Proposed aetiological etymologies of the word given by Orientalists like Rödiger (1837: 340; ‘aufstrebend, pfeilartig emporstehend; altherkömmlich’), who gives a review of previous works by de Sacy and Muslim scholars (1837: 337–338), Gesenius (1841: 11–12; ‘gestützt > säulenartig, aufrechtstehend’), Turner (1845: 429–430; ‘perpendicular’), or Lidzbarski (1902: 119, reads *masnad*, *misn}{a,ā}d* ‘Stützschrift’) as well as the suggestion by Hommel (1927: 63) to vocalize *ms³nd* as (Qur’anic) *mus³annad* and to compare it to Babylonian *santakku* ‘wedge’, seem to be misguided (cf. ‘Alī 1993, viii: 208–209) for a simple reason. In Sabaic there are no words relating to writing, but only to supporting or propping up (Beeston *et al.* 1982: 138; Biella 1982: 507), derived from this root. Hence, the term in question should be a qualitative description of what is to be done with the inscription and not of what it consists. On the other hand, a sound Arabic etymology for the word is lacking and it is taken to denote the script of a ‘Himyarite’⁷ inscription or a ‘Himyarite’ inscription only (al-Selwi 1987: 114).

³ DAI–GDN 2002–20/31–33 (*w-k-kl tl/wn ms³nd-h/mw* ‘and the whole completion of their inscription’, see Nebes 2004: 2004: 224b and Ry 507/9 (*w-k-hs|dn ms³ndn qln S²rhl d-Yz’n
| |* ‘This inscription has been written by the *qayl* S²rhl d-Yz’n’, note: s³ > s’).

⁴ Ry 461/2–3 (*s’qny {tr/ms³ndn* ‘a dédié à ‘Attar cette inscription’) (Ryckmans 1951: 114 (22).

⁵ Raybūn-Ḥadrān 213/1–3 (*s’qny t’trm dt Ḥḍr(n) ms³ndhn* ‘dedicated to ‘t’trm dt Ḥḍr(n) the inscription’) and Raybūn-Kafas/Na’mān 269/2–4 (*hqn-ly dt Ḥmym/[m]ndhn* ‘dedicated to dt Ḥmym the inscription’, note: t for s’).

⁶ al-Jawf 04.37/22 (*b-ḥlm bn s’/tr ms³nd rtd Lhy’tt/mlk Ns²n* ‘on the base of the inscription of the document that committed Lhy’tt king of Ns²n’, here: *ms³nd* ‘document’).

⁷ On the notion of ‘Himyarite’ and ‘Himyaritic’ in traditional Arabic scholarship, see Stein 2008.

musnad in historical works

The term is recorded as known in Arabic sources (cf. al-Selwi 1987: 114) at least from the ninth century on, viz. in Ibn Durayd’s *Jamharah* (Ibn Durayd 1987: 649a) and, for example, in the account of Jesus’ epitaph in al-Ṭabarī’s *History* (al-Ṭabarī 1967, i: 603–604), although it was already used in the time of ‘Abd al-Malik in a *ḥadīth* in which Ibn al-Aṭhīr explains *musnad* as ‘ancient inscription’ (*kitābah qadīmah*) or ‘script of the Ḥimyar’ (*khaṭṭ ḥimyar*) (Ibn al-Aṭhīr 1963, ii: 408). We think the latter explanation is the correct one, for the account reads *inna ḥajaran wujida ‘alayhi kitābun bi-l-musnad*, and it is very unlikely and almost impossible for us to take the descriptive predicate of *kitāb*, i.e. *bi-l-musnad*, as a synonym for an adjective meaning ‘old, ancient’ (*qadīm*). We tend rather to see in *kitāb bi-* the Arabic equivalent of English ‘writing/inscription in “script’s or language’s name”’ in this context. For instance, Ibn al-Nadīm says *ḥimyar kānat taktub bi-l-musnad ‘alā khilāf ashkāl alif wa-bā’ wa-tā’*: ‘the Ḥimyar used to write in Musnad [script] in contrast to [our] Alif, Bā’ and Tā’’ (Ibn al-Nadīm 1971: 8). In Ibn Khaldūn’s *History* we learn a little bit more about that script, for he states that the Ḥimyaritic script was not a cursive (*hurūfuhā munfaṣilah*) (Ibn Khaldūn 2001, i: 526; Rosenthal 1980: 381) and that their script and language differ (*yukhālīf*) from the later Muḍar-Arabs, but both (variants) are Arabs (Arabic) (*‘arabī*) (Ibn Khaldūn 2001, i: 730; Rosenthal 1980: 282).

‘Alī (1993, viii: 202–247) addressed that script in a whole chapter in his *Mufaṣṣal*, in which he says that *musnad* is the most ancient script (*aqdam al-aqlām*) attested in the Arabian Peninsula and that the Arabs used it outside their homeland as well (1993, viii: 202). The term itself, meaning ‘nothing but the script of the Yemeni people’ (*khaṭṭ ahl al-Yaman lā akthar wa-lā aqall*), eventually became used as the proper name for the Ḥimyaritic script in medieval and early Muslim scholarship but this semantic restriction cannot be dated (1993, viii: 209). He goes on to describe the origins of the *musnad* script and discusses the Lihyanitic, Thamudic, and Safaitic scripts with a conclusion about the numbering system.

In al-Ḥamdānī’s *Iklīl* (1987, x: 36–40, 107) *musnad* is (still) used as a proper noun denoting the ‘[ESA] inscription’ (*fī musnadin*, *qara’ tu musnadan*, *qara’ tu fī musnadin*, *ra’ aytu fī musnadin*) with the plural *masānid* (1987, x: 37, 47, 108). Hence, the semantic development which *musnad* underwent is probably a restriction of dual synecdochical character: inscription to script, Yemenite

to Himyar. We could compare this to the metonymical usage of *qalam* ‘reed pen’ for ‘script’ in this and similar contexts (ʿAlī 1993, viii: 154; Ibn al-Nadīm 1971: 8).

Parallels in the Qurʾān

If the simile is set up by two *hapax legomena*, we can only try to find similar structures, i.e. *ka-ʾanna* followed by a descriptive nominal clause. We counted thirty-seven instances of *ka-ʾanna* in the Qurʾānic text, eighteen of which are followed by a nominal group and seven introduced by *ka-ʾannahum*: Qurʾān 52. 54 (*luʾluʾun maknūn*), Qurʾān 54. 7 (*jarādun muntashir*), Qurʾān 54. 20 (*aʾjāzu nakhlin munqaʿir*), Qurʾān 61. 4 (*bunyānun maršūš*), Qurʾān 63. 4 (*khushubun musannadah*), Qurʾān 69. 7 (*aʾjāzu nakhlin khāwiyah*), and Qurʾān 74. 50 (*ḥumurun mustanfīrah*). Hence those instances most similar to our phrase in Qurʾān 63. 4 (*h-sh-b* ‘wood’) are in Qurʾān 54. 20 and Qurʾān 69. 7, in which the devastated people of ʿĀd are compared to uprooted and hollow palm trunks, respectively. In a resolution guide for Ramaḍān circulating in many online forums, in the video the Egyptian cleric Ḥāzim Shūmān delights in opposing *ka-ʾannahum bunyānun maršūš* ‘like a well-compacted wall’ in Qurʾān 61. 4 to *ka-ʾannahum khushubun musannadah* ‘like propped-up timbers’ in Qurʾān 63. 4, which characterize the believers and the hypocrites (Shūmān [2010], 31: 45–32: 00 [5: 33: 26–5: 33: 41] ‘*rabbānā lammā waṣaf il-muʾminīn ʾāl ʾēh u-lammā waṣaf il-munāfiqīn ʾāl ʾēh? lammā waṣaf il-muʾminīn ʾāl: “ka-annahum bunyānun maršūš” wa-lammā waṣaf il-munāfiqīn baʿdāhā ʾāl: “ka-annahum khushubun musannadah”*’). As to ‘wood’ in a negative context, one can also hint at Qurʾān 54. 31 (ʾinnā ʾarsalnā ʾalayhim ṣayḥatan wāḥidatan fa-kānū ka-hashīmi l-muḥtazir), in which Ṭhamūd is chastised: ‘We released a single mighty blast against them and they ended up like a fence-maker’s dry sticks’ [my italics] (Abdel Haleem 2010: 531).

musnad and *musannadah* in Arab lexicography

The word *musnad* is not recorded in al-Farāhidī’s *Kitāb al-ʿAyn* (1980–1985, vii: 229–230) to denote anything else except ‘basis, support’ in terms of *aḥādīth* and ‘(concept of) time’ (*dahr*), as every event is attributed to a specific point in time. The basic noun *sand* is given as a type of garment (others give *sind*, *sanad*), which we find to be a Yemenite dress in the explanation of a *ḥadīth* of ʿĀʾishah (Ibn al-Aṭṭār 1963, ii: 408).

Ibn Durayd (1987: 649) adds the ‘Himyarite script’

(*khatt Ḥimyar*) as well as the relational adjective *musnadiyyah* ‘a garment’, and notes that *musnad* is also used as a category in syntax (*bāb min al-naḥw*). In al-Azharī’s *Tahdhīb* we find the verb in the second form *sannada* to mean ‘to put on the *sanad*’ (*labis al-sanad*; al-Azharī 1964–1976, xii: 365a) in the account of Ibn al-ʿArābī, and *musnad* as ‘the language of Seth’s descendants’ (*kalām awlād Shūth*; 1964–1976, xii: 366a) in the account of Abū al-ʿAbbās. Ibn ʿAbbād ([2010], iii: 150) gives *musnad* as ‘Himyaritic script’ (*kitāb Ḥimyar*) and al-Fayrūzābādī (1301/1883, i: 301) as ‘writ in Himyaritic’ (*wa-l-musnad: ... wa-khatt bi-l-ḥimyarī*). Al-Jawharī (1990, ii: 489) is the only one to explain *musannadah* as an intensified passive participle (*shuddid li-l-kathrah*) in which case we should deal with the verbal meaning as ‘propped up’ (*musnad*) that took the *shaddah* for the large number of its subjects (cf. Qurʾān 7. 38 *tufattaḥu lahum abwābu al-samāʾi*; see Brockelmann 1908–1913, ii: 141–142 §71a ‘in numerisch extensiver Bedeutung’).

Al-Zamakhsharī (1998a, i: 477) gives a *musnad* inscription as (*raʾaytu maktūban bi-l-musnad*, which is also the form in al-Zabīdī’s *Tāj* (1965–2001, viii: 217), for he gives *raʾayt bi-l-musnad maktūban* ‘I saw a Musnad-inscription’, which strengthens our argument for *k-t-b bi-* as ‘writing/inscription in “script’s name”’ against Ibn al-Aṭṭār in our context. However, most information is to be gained from Ibn Manẓūr’s *Lisān al-ʿArab* (1981: 2115b), as he refers to the above-mentioned *ḥadīth* of ʿAbd al-Malik and notes that the Himyaritic script was different ‘from ours’ (cf. al-Jawharī 1990, ii: 490), giving two more derivatives for the special garment: *misnadiyyah* and *musannadah* (cf. *mashrūb* ‘a drink’).

The lexicographical features thus provide the basis for an interpretation of *musannadah* as a passive participle of the verb *sanada* in an intense sense with the verb being either literal, ‘to prop up, to support’, or a denominative of a type of garment called *san(a)d* or *sind* as *sannada*, which thus yields ‘clad with garments’ (cf. Pickthall 1954: 400; Maulana 2002: 1095) ‘pieces of wood, clad with garments’. Sindh and Sindhis are mentioned in Ibn Durayd’s *Jamharah* (1987: 649) but *musannadah* is not recorded as having been used as a denominative of a collective denoting a certain people like *ʿArab* > *muʿarrab* or *hind* > *muhannad*.⁸ Nonetheless, the verb related to the garment is not attested earlier than al-Azharī, and today’s province of Sindh not earlier than Ibn Durayd. The word for the specific garment seems to have varied

⁸ Rödiger (1837: 339) dismisses an etymology of the term *musnad* based on Sindh as ‘indische (sindische) Schrift’ (cf. ‘Indian numerals’).

and changed. We may list a basic noun (al-Farāhidī), a relational adjective based on the passive participle as *musnadiyyah* (Ibn Durayd), in this case perhaps the dialectal form (*u > i*) *misnadiyyah* (Ibn Manẓūr) — if it is not deemed to be an extended *nomen instrumenti* (*mif'al + iyy-ah*) which is not given — and the passive participle of the denominative verb *sannada* (al-Azharī) yielding *musannad* (Ibn Manẓūr).

The early exegesis of Qurʾān 63. 4

Perhaps we should not attach too much importance to making a definite decision or try to fill any lacunae by mere speculation. Instead, we should go further and see how this phrase has been interpreted in exegetical and related literature up to the sixth century after the Hijrah. The first thing to be noted is that the notable exegetes Mujāhid (2005: 298), al-Ḍaḥḥāk (1999, ii: 867), al-Suddī (1993: 454), al-Ṭawrī (1983; only up to Qurʾān 52. 3), al-Kūfī ([2010]), al-Tustarī (2002: 168–169), al-Ḥibarī ([2010]), and al-Sulamī ([2010]) do not provide any answers to our question.

Zayd b. ʿAlī only paraphrases *khushub* as *jamāʿat khashab* ‘a bundle of wood’ (2001: 267; cf. Abū ʿUbaydah 1954: 259; al-Akhfash 1985, ii: 709; al-Farrāʾ 1983, iii: 158–159; Ibn Qutaybah 1978: 467; al-Sijistānī 1963: 87), while the explanation in al-Bukhārī’s *Ṣaḥīḥ* as *rijāl ajmal shayʾ* ‘most handsome men’ (al-Bukhārī 1422/2001, iii: 153 no. 4903; al-ʿAsqalānī 2005, x: 706; cf. Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim 2006, ii: 1279–1280 no. 2772; Lāshīn 2002, x: 377, 382) refers to ‘their pleasant outward appearance’ (*wa-idhā raʾaytahum tuʿjibuka ʾajsāmuhum*) instead. Thus, one may finally surmise the implicitness of the meaning of *musannadah*, as not being related to ESA scripts at all and therefore not bearing a meaning that needs to be explained.

Wooden polemics

A sizeable number of explanations can be combined in one set, the shared feature being some elaboration on negative associations with ‘wood’ and ‘wooden’ as a human attribute. In English one can think of a clunky, clumsy, gawky, awkward, ungainly person lacking grace in movement or posture, while in German you would call a bonehead ‘Holzkopf’. Hence, the comparison of hypocrites to rigid, soulless wood should offer some interpretative possibilities. Al-Huwwārī regards them as no more than bodies unwilling to do good deeds (*hum ajsād laysat lahum niyyah wa-lā ḥisbah fī al-khayr*; 1990,

iv: 356) or having unbelieving hearts (*laysat lahum qulūb āmanū bihā*; 1990, iv: 356 n. 2), while al-Qummī ([2010]) says they cannot hear nor be reasonable (*lā yasmaʿūn wa-lā yaʿqilūn*). Al-Ṭabarī (2001, xxii: 653) goes further stating that ‘there is no good about them and they don’t have comprehension or knowledge; they are just figures without understanding and shapes without reason’ (*lā khayr ʿindahum wa-lā fiqh lahum wa-lā ʿilm, wa-innamā hum ṣuwar bi-lā aḥlām wa-ashbāḥ bi-lā ʿuqūl*). This is accepted by al-Qaysī (2008, xii: 7482); it was rendered as ‘figures without souls and bodies without understanding’ (*ashbāḥ bi-lā arwāḥ wa-ajsām bi-lā aḥlām*) by al-Thaʿlabī (2002, ix: 320) and as ‘figures and forms with no mind and maxims beyond’ (*ashbāḥ wa-qawālib wa-laysa warāʾahum albāb wa-ḥaqāʾiq*) by al-Qushayrī ([2010]), who adds that hollow nutshells can be decorated (*fa-l-jawz al-fārigh muzayyan ḏāhiruh*) but they are useful for children’s games only (*wa-lākinnah li-laʿb al-ṣubyān*).

Propped-up timbers

Muqātil ([2010]) explicates the Qurʾānic simile as *ka-anna ajsāmahum khushub baʿduhā ʿalā baʿḍ qiyāman* ‘as if their bodies were timbers — one above the other’ being the first to paraphrase *musannadah*. Al-Samarqandī (1993, iii: 365) adds to this that the timbers are leaned against the wall (*khashab usnida ilā al-ḥāʾiṭ*) but the reason for this remains unknown.

Why are timbers propped up?

It is al-Ṭabarānī ([2010]), who sheds light on this. He states:

‘they [i.e. the hypocrites] do not understand nor see — just like timbers which are *musannadah* to the wall, which are of no use other than to look at them. Timbers are soulless and cannot be reasonable and understand either; similarly the hypocrites do not hear belief or comprehend it; and *musannadah* means leaned against the wall’ (*fīhi bayān fī tark al-tafahhum wa-l-istibṣār bi-manzilat al-khushub al-musannadah ilā l-jidār; lā yantafiʿ illā bi-l-naẓar ilayhā, wa-l-khushub lā arwāḥ fīhā wa-lā taʿqil wa-lā tafham, wa-kadhālik al-munāfiqūn lā yasmaʿūn al-īmān wa-lā yaʿqilūnah; wa-l-musannadah: al-mumālāh ilā l-jidār*; cf. al-Wāḥidī 1994, iv: 302–303).

Al-Ṭūsī ([2010]) asserts that the timbers are rotten and eaten away (Blachère 1980: 597; ‘très fantaisiste’) and hence of no use (*nakhīrah mutaʾakkilah lā khayr fīhā*),

but as they are *musannadah*, one may think they are all right when looking at them (*yaḥsab man yarāhā annahā ṣaḥīḥah salīmah*). This is put somewhat more figuratively by al-Baḡhawī (1412/1991, viii: 130), who explains *musannadah* as ‘leaned against the wall’ (*mumālāh ilā al-jidār*) being the intensified form for the multitude of subjects involved (*wa-l-tathqīl li-l-takthīr*, cf. al-Jawharī 1990, ii: 490). He states that the hypocrites are not compared to fruit-bearing trees (*ashjār tathmur*) but to (loose) timbers leaned against the wall (*wa-lākinnahā khushub musannadah ilā al-hāʾiṭ*).

Al-Māwardī (1992, vi: 15) gives a summary of three possible interpretations of the simile:

1. The hypocrites are compared to upright palm trees for their outward beauty (*bi-l-nakhl al-qiyām li-ḥusn manẓarihim*);
2. they are compared to rotten timbers (cf. al-Baḡhawī) for their bad attitude (*bi-l-khushub al-musannadah li-sūʾ maḥbarihim*);
3. they are compared to *musannadah* timbers for they do not hear the guidance and do not accept it just as *musannadah* timbers do not hear (*lā yasmaʿūn al-hudā ka-mā lā tasmaʿuh al-khushub al-musannadah*); He said ‘*musannadah*’ because they link themselves to belief (*yastanidūn ilā al-īmān li-ḥiqn dimāʾihim*).

Al-Ṭabarsī ([2010]) offers nothing new, except the ideas of al-Thaʿlabī, al-Ṭūsī, al-Qushayrī, and Ibn ʿAṭīyyah. The latter (Ibn ʿAṭīyyah 2001, v: 312) had added that they (are leaned against a wall because they) cannot stand on their own (*muʿtamidah ʿalā ḡhayrihā lā tathbut bi-anfusihā*). The same holds for Ibn al-Jawzī (1404/1984, viii: 275), who repeats what al-Wāḥidī and al-Baḡhawī had stated on this.

But al-Zamakhsharī (1998b, vi: 124) says their being leaned is compared to *musannadah* timbers against the wall for they are bodies devoid of belief and benevolence (*ʿajrām khāliyah ʿan al-īmān wa-l-khayr*), because if wood is useful, it will be (used) in the roof (*saqf*) or the wall (*jidār*) or wherever needed (*maẓānn al-intifāʿ*) and it would not be left uselessly leaning against the wall (*mā dāma matrūk fārigh ḡhayr muntafiʿ bihi usnid ilā al-hāʾiṭ*). An innovation (perhaps by al-Zamakhsharī himself) is the interpretation that *khushub* — because of their pleasant outward appearance (*ḥusn ṣuwarihim*) and their limited usefulness (*qillat jadwāhum*) — stands for carved idols (*aṣnām manḥūtah*) which are *musannadah* against the wall. This seems to have been taken up by the aforementioned Atallah who reinterpreted *musannadah*

as ‘en relation avec le Sind’ and consequently the passage as ‘[i]ls sont semblables à ces belles statues en bois du paganisme’. He is of the firm opinion that the term *sanad* is a type of garment: ‘Il est tellement isolé et orphelin sous cette racine qu’on pourrait se demander si les lexicographes arabes, se donnant pour mission d’expliquer tous les termes du Coran, n’ont pas forgé le terme *sanad* (dans le sens d’étoffe) à partir de l’épithète coranique *musannada*, sans même en comprendre le sens’ (Atallah 2008: 453).

This conviction reminds us of Saleh’s discussion of the treatment of ‘foreign words’ in the Qurʾān in which he states that ‘Having found the putative foreign origin of the Qurʾānic term, the modern scholar looks back on the Qurʾān to discover that the new meaning given to the word does not make sense there, hence Muhammad must have misunderstood the word and misused it.’ (Saleh 2010: 654).

musannad = ‘clad in garments’?

It is true that *sanad* is, in a way, isolated and at present we cannot point to any suitable cognate to this in any Ancient South Arabian language (‘Yemeni dress’, see above), but we can point to Gøʿəz *s{ə,a}nd{u,o}n*, which Leslau (1991: 506a) holds to be of Greek origin (*sindōn* ‘linen sheet’). The Greek word occurs in the Septuagint six times (Matt. 27: 59; Mark 14: 51; 14: 52; 15: 46 (twice); Luke 23: 53) and is rendered in the ‘Pshîṭtâ’ as *kettānā* in Matt. 27: 59, Mark 15: 46, and Luke 23: 53 or *seddōnā* in Mark 14: 51 and 14: 52, and John 13: 4. Syriac *sdwn* could be a borrowing of the Greek (cf. Comprehensive Aramaic Lexicon [2010]: *sndwn*), but it could also be a development of Akkadian *s/shaddinu* (Chicago Assyrian Dictionary [2010]: S I: 17a, ‘a cloth and a garment’) to which Greek *sindōn* is probably related (Kaufman 1974: 94–95 n. 324). Kaufman proposes ‘a northern, possibly Anatolian origin’ for this culture word based on the Akkadian ending *-innu*. Subsequently, Mankowski (2000: 109–110) points to the correspondence of Greek medial *-nd-* to Akkadian *-dd-*. Hence, the Greek form should have preserved the original pronunciation if the two forms can be traced to the same origin, or the Greek form is a loan (from Akkadian; cf. Yoder 2003: 436, n. 34; Williamson 2006: 283) through an Aramaic intermediary with secondary nasalization. Prior to this, Fraenkel (1886: 41) had proposed an Egyptian origin which he gave as ‘*schens, schenti*’, while Jeffery (1938: 180) held that the Greek form originated from Akkadian ‘*sudinnu, sadinnu*’. We may conclude that Arabic *san(a)d, sind* is

obviously related to the Gəʿəz cognate — which probably made its way into Gəʿəz through the translation of the Greek Septuagint (cf. Kropp 2008) — morphologically, phonetically, and semantically. Unfortunately we cannot date the borrowing, but can only note that the garment was presumably known prior to the time of the Prophet's wife ʿĀʾiṣḥah. The first denominative derivations related to it can only be attested from the tenth century on (in the literature analysed).

Evidence from ancient poetry

By contrast, the form *musannad* is attested in its verbal sense in the pre-Qurʾānic *Muʿallaqah* of ʿĀʾiṣḥah b. al-ʿAbd (al-Zawzanī 1993: 53; Sells 1986: 25–26):

*umirrat yadāhā fatla shazrin wa-ujnihat/
lahā ʿaḍudāhā fī saqīfin musannadi*

‘That splay out wide from the body, she leans to the side, forearms like *wedged-in* roof beams’.

A second occurrence of *musannad* can be found in the *Aṣmaʿiyyāt*, in a poem by Mālik b. Nuwayrah, who lived to see the revelation of the Qurʾān. There it is used in a ‘wood phrase’: *kh{a,u}shb athlin musannad* ‘propped-up tamarisk logs’ (Ahlwardt 1902: 26 l. 20; Shākir & Hārūn 1976: 194 l. 20; cf. Nöldeke 1903: 210; 1963: 133 no. 22/2).

Conclusion

In this article we investigated the background of the term *musannadah* occurring in Qurʾān 63. 4 as a descriptive term of unspecified wood logs. The same usage can be attested in a poem by Mālik b. Nuwayrah and the term

is also found in the *muʿallaqah* of ʿĀʾiṣḥah b. al-ʿAbd. In lexicographical literature, we generally lack an exegetical commentary on Qurʾān 63. 4 and it is only al-Jawharī who notes that *musannad(ah)* is actually the intensified form of *musnad(ah)* which goes back to the verb *asnada*, the causative form of *sanada* ‘to lean, to rest’; hence ‘to make lean, rest’ (cf. Lane 1893: 1442c). In the exegetical literature we mostly read — if any interpretation is provided — that this interpretation was often explicated by ‘(leaned) to the wall’ from Mujāhid onwards. There is no exegetical evidence for the conception of *musannad* as ‘clad in garments’ or for *khushub musannadah* to be related to the ESA scripts denoted by *musnad*; this can be ruled out because the ESA (minuscule) script to be found on wooden sticks is called *zabūr*. Therefore, the well-to-do hypocrites are rendered worthless by comparing them to propped-up useless timbers (cf. al-Kirmānī 1981, xviii: 147–148 no. 4584). This should have made Shūmān grin in delight while preaching on how to prepare for Ramaḍān.

Sigla

DAI–GDN 2002–20	Nebes 2004: 221–225, figs 2a & 2b. (Deutsches Archäologisches Institut (DAI) Grosser Damm Nord (GDN).)
al-Jawf 04.37	Arbach & Schiettecatte 2006: 51–54
Raybūn-Ḥadrān 213	Frantsouzoff 2001: 187–189.
Raybūn-Kafas/Naʿmān 269	Frantsouzoff 2007: 273–274.
Ry 461	Ryckmans 1951: 114–116.
Ry 507	Beeston 1985: 46–50.

References

- Abdel Haleem M.A.S.
2010. *The Qurʾan. English Translation and Parallel Arabic Text*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Abdul-Raof H.
2004. The Qurʾan. Limits of Translatability. Pages 91–107 in S. Faiq (ed.), *Cultural Encounters in Translation from Arabic*. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters.
- ʿAbū ʿUbaydah, Maʿmar b. al-Muthannā al-Tamīmī/ed. M.F. Sazkīn [Sezgin].
1954. *Majāz al-Qurʾān*. Cairo: Maktabat al-Khānjī bi-l-Qāhirah (al-Khānānjī bi-Miṣr).
- Ahlwardt W.
1902. *Sammlungen alter arabischer Dichter. I Elaḥmaʿiyyāt nebst einigen Sprachqaçiden*. Berlin: Reuther & Reichard.

- al-Akhfash, Saʿīd b. Masʿadah al-Balkhī al-Majāshīʿī/ed. M.A. al-Ward.
1985. *Maʿānī al-Qurʾān li-l-Akhfash*. (2 volumes). Beirut: ʿĀlam al-kutub.
- ʿAlī J.
1993. *Al-mufaṣṣal fī tārikh al-ʿarab qabl al-islām*. (10 volumes). Baghdad: Jāmiʿat Baghdād.
- Ambros A.A.
2004. *A Concise Dictionary of Koranic Arabic*. With Stephan Procházka. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Arbach M. & Schiettecatte J.
2006. *Catalogue des pièces archéologiques et épigraphiques du Jawf*. Şanʿā: Musée National de Şanʿā.
- Arberry A.J.
1964. *The Koran Interpreted*. London: Oxford University Press. [Reprint, 1975].
- al-ʿAsqalānī, Aḥmad b. ʿAlī b. Ḥajar/ed. N.M. al-Faryābī.
2005. *Faṭḥ al-Bārī bi-ṣharḥ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī*. Wa-ʿalayhi taʿlīqāt muhimmah li-l-ʿallāmah al-ṣhaykh ʿAbd al-Raḥmān b. Nāṣir al-Barrāk. (17 volumes). Riyadh: Dār Ṭayyibah.
- Atallah W.
2008. Une nouvelle lecture du verset 4 de la sourate 63 ‘Les Hypocrites’. *Arabica* 55/3–4: 445–453.
- al-Azharī, Abū Maṣṣūr Muḥammad b. Aḥmad/ed. A.S. Hārūn, M.A. al-Najjār, A.H. al-Najjār, A.A.A. al-Bardūnī, Y. ʿAbd al-Nabī & I. al-Ibyārī, A.K. al-ʿAzbāwī, A.A. Darwīsh, M.A.M. Khafājī, M.F. al-ʿUqdah, A.M. al-Bajāwī, A.S. Sarḥān, A.A. Maḥmūd, R.A.R. al-ʿUbaydī, A.Ḥ. Hilālī, M.A.F. Ibrāhīm,
1964–1976. *Tahdhīb al-lughah*. Cairo: al-Dār al-miṣriyyah li-l-taʿlīf wa-l-tarjamah.
- Badawi E.M. & Abdel Haleem M.A.S.
2008. *Arabic-English Dictionary of Qurʾanic Usage*. Leiden: Brill.
- al-Baghawī, Muḥyī al-Sunnah Abū Muḥammad al-Ḥusayn b. Masʿūd/ed. M.A. al-Nimr, U.J. Ḍumayriyyah & S.M. al-Ḥarash.
1409–1412/1988–1991. *Maʿālim al-tanzīl*. Riyadh: Dār Ṭayyibah.
- Bakhtiar L.
2009. *The Sublime Quran*. English Translation. (6th edition). Chicago, IL: Kazi Publications.
- Beeston A.F.L.
1985. Two Biʿr Ḥima inscriptions re-examined. *Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies* 48: 42–52.
- Beeston A.F.L., Ghul M.A., Müller W.W. & Ryckmans J.
1982. *Dictionnaire sabéen (anglais — français — arabe)*. Louvain-la-Neuve: Peeters.
- Bell R.
1937. *The Qurʾān. Translated, with a critical re-arrangement of the Surahs*. (2 volumes). Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark. [Reprint, 1960].
1991. *A Commentary on the Qurʾān*. (2 volumes). Manchester: University of Manchester.
- Biella J.C.
1982. *Dictionary of Old South Arabic. Sabaean dialect*. Chico, CA: Scholars Press.
- Blachère R.
1980. *Le Coran (al-Qorʾān)*. Traduit de lʿarabe par Régis Blachère. Paris: G-P. Maisonneuve & Larose.
- Brockelmann C.
1908–1913. *Grundriß der vergleichenden Grammatik der semitischen Sprachen*. (2 volumes). Berlin: Reuther & Reichard.
- al-Bukhārī, Abū ʿAbd Allāh Muḥammad b. Ismāʿīl b. Ibrāhīm b. al-Mughīrah al-Juʿfī/ed. M.Z.N. al-Nāṣir.
1422/2001. *Al-jāmiʿ al-Ṣaḥīḥ wa-huwa al-jāmiʿ al-musnad al-ṣaḥīḥ al-mukhtaṣar min umūr rasūl Allāh ṣallā Allāhu ʿalayhi wa-sallam wa-sunanihi wa-ayyāmihi*. (9 volumes). Beirut: Dār Ṭawq al-najāh.
- Chicago Assyrian Dictionary
[2010]. *The Assyrian Dictionary of the Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago*. 30 September 2010. Online at oi.uchicago.edu/research/pubs/catalog/cad/.

Comprehensive Aramaic Lexicon

[2010]. *The Comprehensive Aramaic Lexicon at the Hebrew Union College Jewish Institute of Religion*. Cincinnati, USA. 30 September 2010. Online at cal1.cn.huc.edu.

Corpus of South Arabian Inscriptions (CSAI)

[2010]. *Corpus of South Arabian Inscriptions*. Digital edition of the South Arabian epigraphic corpus. 30 September 2010. Online at csai.humnet.unipi.it.

al-Ḍaḥḥāk, b. Muzāḥim al-Balkhī al-Hilālī/ed. M. Sh. A. al-Zāwītī.

1999. *Tafsīr al-Ḍaḥḥāk*. (2 volumes). Cairo: Dār al-Salām.

Dukhrana Analytical Lexicon of the Syriac New Testament

[2010]. 30 September 2010. Online at www.dukhrana.com.

Elmaz O.

2008. *Die Interpretationsgeschichte der koranischen Hapaxlegomena*. PhD thesis, University of Vienna.

al-Farāhidī, Ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥman al-Khalīl b. Aḥmad/ed. M. al-Makḥzūmī & I. al-Sāmīrāʾī.

1980–1985. *Kitāb al-ʿayn*. (8 volumes). Baghdad: Dār al-Rāshid.

al-Farrāʾ, Abū Zakariyyā Yaḥyā b. Ziyād/ed. M.A. al-Najjār & A.Y. Najātī.

1983. *Maʿānī al-Qurʿān*. (3 volumes). Beirut: ʿĀlam al-kutub.

al-Fayrūzābādī, Majd al-Dīn Abū Ṭāhir Muḥammad b. Yaʿqūb al-Shīrāzī.

1301/1883. *Al-qāmūs al-Muḥīṭ. Wa-bi-hāmishihī taʿlīqāt wa-shurūḥ*. (4 volumes). Cairo: Būlāq.

Fraenkel S.

1886. *Die aramäischen Fremdwörter im Arabischen*. Leiden: Brill.

Frantsouzzoff S.

2001. *Raybūn, Ḥaḍrān, temple de la déesse ʿAthtar^{um}/ ʿAstar^{um} avec une contribution archéologique d’Aleksandr Sedov. a: Les documents*. (Inventaire des Inscriptions Sudarabiques, 5). Paris: Diffusion de Boccard.

2007. *Raybūn, Kafas, Naʿmān, temple de la déesse Dhāt Ḥimyam avec une contribution archéologique d’Aleksandr Sedov et de Jurij Vinogradov. a: Les documents*. (Inventaire des Inscriptions Sudarabiques, 6). Paris: Diffusion de Boccard.

Gesenius W.

1841. *Ueber die himjaritische Sprache und Schrift*. Aus der Allgem. Literatur-Zeitung Juli 1841 besonders abgedruckt. Halle.

Ghūl M.A.

1993. *Early southern Arabian languages and classical Arabic sources. A critical examination of literary and lexicographical sources by comparison with the inscriptions*. Irbid: Yarmouk University, Deanship of Research and Graduate Studies.

al-Hamdānī, Abū Muḥammad b. Aḥmad b. Yaʿqūb/ed. M. al-Khaṭīb.

1987. *Al-iklīl min akhbār al-Yaman wa-ansāb Ḥimyar. Al-kitāb al-ʿāshīr: fī maʿārif Hamdān wa-ansābihā wa-ʿuyūn akhbārihā*. (10 volumes, 4 surviving) Sanaa: al-Dār al-yamaniyyah li-l-nashr wa-l-tawzīʿ.

al-Ḥibarī, Abū ʿAbd Allāh al-Kūfī al-Ḥusayn b. al-Ḥakam b. Muslim.

[2010]. *Tafsīr al-Ḥibarī*. 30 September 2010. Online at www.altafsir.com/Tafasir.asp?tMadhNo=0&tTafsirNo=44&tSoraNo=63&tAyahNo=4&tDisplay=yes&UserProfile=0&LanguageId=1.

Hommel F.

1927. *Geschichte Südarabiens im Umriß*. Pages 57–108 in D. Nielsen, F. Hommel & N. Rhodokanakis (eds), *Handbuch der Altarabischen Altertumskunde*. i. Copenhagen: Nyt Nordisk Forlag.

al-Huwwārī, Hūd b. Muḥakkam/ed. B.S. al-Sharīfī.

1990. *Tafsīr kitāb Allāh al-ʿazīz*. (4 volumes). Beirut: Dār al-gharb al-islāmī.

Ibn ʿAbbād. Abū al-Qāsim Ismaʿīl b. ʿAbbād b. Aḥmad Idrīs al-Qazwīnī al-maʿrūf bi-l-Šāḥib

[2010]. *Al-muḥīṭ fī al-lughah*. 30 September 2010. Online at www.almeshkat.net/books/archive/books/almuheet.zip.

Ibn al-Athīr, Majd al-Dīn Abū al-Saʿādāt al-Mubārak b. Muḥammad al-Jazarī/ed. Ṭ.A. al-Zāwī & M.M. al-Ṭanājī.

1963. *Al-nihāyah fī gharīb al-ḥadīth wa-l-athar*. (5 volumes). Beirut: Dār iḥyāʾ al-turāth al-ʿarabī.

- Ibn ʿAṭīyyah, Abū Muḥammad ʿAbd al-Ḥaqq al-Andalusī/ed. A.A. Muḥammad.
2001. *Al-muḥarrar al-wajīz fī tafsīr al-kitāb al-ʿazīz*. (6 volumes). Beirut: Dār al-kutub al-ʿilmiyyah.
- Ibn Durayd, Abū Bakr Muḥammad b. al-Ḥasan/ed. R.M. Baʿlbakī.
1987. *Jamharat al-Lughah*. Beirut: Dār al-ʿilm li-l-malāyīn.
- Ibn al-Jawzī, ʿAbd al-Rahmān ʿAlī b. Muḥammad al-Qurashī al-Baghdādī Abū al-Faraj Jamāl al-Dīn/eds M.Z. al-Shāwīsh, Sh. al-Arnaʿūt & A. al-Arnaʿūt.
1404/1984. *Zād al-masīr fī ʿilm al-tafsīr*. (9 volumes). Beirut: al-Maktab al-Islāmī.
- Ibn Khaldūn/ed. Kh. Shihādah.
2001. *Tārīkh Ibn Khaldūn al-musammā dīwān al-mubtadaʾ wa-l-khabar fī tārīkh al-ʿarab wa-l-barbar wa-man ʿāṣarahum min dhawī al-shaʿn al-akbar*. (8 volumes). Beirut: Dār al-Fikr.
- Ibn Manẓūr, Jamāl al-Dīn Abū al-Faql Muḥammad b. Mukarram /eds A.A. Kabīr, M.A. Ḥasaballāh & H.M. al-Shādhilī.
1981. *Lisān al-ʿarab*. (6 volumes). Cairo: Dār al-Maʿārif.
- Ibn al-Nadīm, Abū al-Faraj Muḥammad b. Abī Yaʿqūb Iskhāq al-Warrāq/ed. R.-T. al-Ḥāʾirī al-Māzandarānī.
1971. *Al-fihrist*. Tehran: [n.p.].
- Ibn Qutaybah, Abū ʿAbd Allāh b. Muslim/ed. A.A. al-Ṣaqr.
1978. *Tafsīr Ghārīb al-Qurʾān*. Beirut: Dār al-kutub al-ʿilmiyyah.
- al-Jawharī, Ismāʿīl b. Ḥammād/ed. A.A. ʿAṭṭār.
1990. *Al-ṣiḥāh. Tāj al-lughah wa-ṣiḥāh al-ʿarabiyyah*. (7 volumes). Beirut: Dār al-ʿilm li-l-malāyīn.
- Jeffery A.
1938. *The Foreign Vocabulary of the Qurʾān*. Baroda: Oriental Institute.
- Kaufman S.A.
1974. *The Akkadian Influences on Aramaic*. Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press.
- al-Khaṭīb A.
2002. *Muʿjam al-Qirāʾāt*. (11 volumes). Damascus: Dār Saʿd al-Dīn.
- Khoury A.T.
1990–2001. *Der Koran. Arabisch-Deutsch. Übersetzung und wissenschaftlicher Kommentar von Adel Theodor Khoury*. Gütersloh: Gütersloher Verlagshaus.
- al-Kirmānī M.A.
1981. *Al-kawākib al-darārī fī sharḥ Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī*. (25 volumes). Beirut: Dār ihyāʾ al-turāth al-ʿarabī.
- Kropp M.
2008. Beyond single words. *Māʾida — Shayṭān — jibt and ṭāghūt*. Mechanisms of transmission into the Ethiopic (Gəʿəz) Bible and the Qurʾānic text. Pages 204–216 in G.S. Reynolds (ed.), *The Qurʾān in its historical context*. London: Routledge.
- al-Kūfī, F.
[2010]. *Tafsīr Furāt al-Kūfī*. 30 September 2010. Online at www.altafsir.com/Tafasir.asp?tMadhNo=0&tTafsirNo=45&tSoraNo=63&tAyahNo=4&tDisplay=yes&UserProfile=0&LanguageId=1.
- Lane E.W.
1863. *An Arabic-English Lexicon*. London: Williams and Norgate.
- Lāshīn M.Sh.
2002. *Faḥ al-Munʿim fī Sharḥ Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim*. (10 volumes). Cairo: Dār al-Shurūq.
- Leslau W.
1991. *Comparative Dictionary of Gəʿəz*. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Lidzbarski M.
1902. *Ephemeris für semitische Epigraphik*. i. Giessen: J. Ricker’sche Verlagsbuchhandlung.
- Mankowski P.V.
2000. *Akkadian Loanwords in Biblical Hebrew*. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns.
- Maulana M.A.
2002. *The Holy Quran. Arabic Text with English Translation, Commentary and comprehensive Introduction*. (Retypeset and redesigned with expanded index). 30 September 2010. Online at www.ahmadiyya.org/english-quran/ch063-064.pdf.

- al-Māwardī, Abū al-Ḥasan °Alī b. Muḥammad b. Ḥabīb al-Baṣrī/ed. A.A. °Abd al-Raḥīm.
1992. *Al-nukat wa-l-°Uyūn. Tafṣīr al-Māwardī*. (6 volumes). Beirut: Dār al-kutub al-°ilmiyyah — Mu°assasat al-kutub al-thaqāfiyyah.
- Mujāhid, Abū al-Ḥajjāj Mujāhid b. Jabr al-Qurashī al-Makḥzūmī/ed. A.M. al-Asyūfī.
2005. *Tafṣīr Mujāhid*. Beirut: Dār al-kutub al-°ilmiyyah.
- Muqātil, b. Sulaymān.
[2010]. *Tafṣīr Muqātil b. Sulaymān*. 30 September 2010. Online at www.altafsir.com/Tafasir.asp?tMadhNo=0&tTafsirNo=67&tSoraNo=63&tAyahNo=4&tDisplay=yes&UserProfile=0&LanguageId=1.
- Muslim, Abū al-Ḥusayn Muslim b. al-Ḥajjāj al-Qushayrī al-Nīsābūrī/ed. N.M. al-Faryābī.
2006. *Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim al-musammā al-Musnad al-ṣaḥīḥ al-mukḥtaṣar min al-sunan*. (2 volumes). Riyadh: Dār Ṭayyibah.
- Nebes N.
2004. A new °Abraha inscription from the Great Dam of Mārib. *Proceedings of the Seminar for Arabian Studies* 34: 221–230.
- Nöldeke T.
1903. Review of Ahlwardt 1902. *Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft* 57: 203–213.
1963. *Zur Grammatik des classischen Arabisch*. Appendix. *Die handschriftlichen Ergänzungen in dem Handexemplar Theodor Nöldekes*. Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft.
- Paret R.
2001. *Der Koran. Kommentar und Konkordanz*. (6th impression). Stuttgart: Kohlhammer.
2004. *Der Koran. Übersetzung*. (9th impression). Stuttgart: Kohlhammer.
- Pickthall M.M.
1954. *The Meaning of the Glorious Koran. An explanatory translation by Mohammed Marmaduke Pickthall*. New York: A Mentor Book.
- al-Qaysī, Abū Muḥammad Makkī b. Abī Ṭālib
2008. *Al-hidāyah ilā bulūgh al-nihāyah*. Majmū°at buḥūth al-kitāb wa-l-sunnah, bi-ishrāf al-Shāhid al-Būshaykhī. Sharjah: Jāmi°at al-Shāriqah, Kulliyat al-sharī°ah wa-l-dirāsāt al-islāmiyyah.
- al-Qummī, °Alī b. Ibrāhīm.
[2010]. *Tafṣīr al-Qur°ān*. 30 September 2010. Online at www.altafsir.com/Tafasir.asp?tMadhNo=4&tTafsirNo=38&tSoraNo=63&tAyahNo=4&tDisplay=yes&UserProfile=0&LanguageId=1.
- al-Qushayrī, Abū al-Qāsim °Abd al-Karīm.
[2010]. *Laṭā°if al-Ishārat*. 30 September 2010. Online at www.altafsir.com/Tafasir.asp?tMadhNo=3&tTafsirNo=31&tSoraNo=63&tAyahNo=4&tDisplay=yes&UserProfile=0&LanguageId=1.
- Rödiger E.
1837. Notiz über die himjaritische Schrift nebst doppeltem Alphabet derselben. *Zeitschrift für die Kunde des Morgenlandes* 1: 332–340.
- Rosenthal F.
1980. *The Muqaddimah. An Introduction to History*. (2nd edition). Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Ryckmans G.
1951. Inscriptions sud-arabes (Neuvième série). *Le Muséon* 64: 93–126.
- Saleh W.
2010. The etymological fallacy and Qur°anic studies: Muhammad, Paradise and Late Antiquity. Pages 649–698 in A. Neuwirth, N. Sinai & M. Marx (eds), *The Qur°an in context. Historical and literary investigations in to the Qur°anic milieu*. Leiden: Brill.
- al-Samarqandī, Abū al-Layth Naṣr b. Muḥammad b. Aḥmad b. Ibrāhīm/(ed.) A.M. Mu°awwaḍ, A.A. °Abd al-Mawjūd & Z.A. al-Nūfī.
1993. *Tafṣīr al-Samarqandī al-musammā Baḥr al-°ulūm*. (3 volumes). Beirut: Dār al-kutub al-°ilmiyyah.
- Sells M.
1986. The Mu°allaqa of Ṭarafa. *Journal of Arabic Literature* 7/1: 21–33.

- al-Selwi I.
1987. *Jemenitische Wörter in den Werken von al-Hamdānī und Nashwān und ihre Parallelen in den semitischen Sprachen*. Berlin: Reimer.
- Shākīr A.M. & Hārūn A.M.
1976. *Al-aṣmāʿiyyāt. Ikhtiyār al-Aṣmāʿī*. Al-ṭabʿah al-thālithah. Cairo: Dār al-Maʿārif.
- Shūmān Ḥ.
[2010]. *Iṣlāḥāt qabl Ramaḍān*. 30 September 2010. Video online at www.way2allah.com/modules.php?name=Khotab&op=Details&khid=6752.
- al-Sijjstānī, Abū Bakr Muḥammad b. ʿAzīz/ed. Lajnah min afāḍil al-ʿulamāʾ.
1963. *Gharīb al-Qurʾān al-musammā bi-Nuzhat al-Qulūb*. Cairo: Maktabat wa-maṭbaʿat Muḥammad ʿAlī Ṣabīḥ wa-awlādih.
- Stein P.
2008. The ‘Himyaritic’ Language in pre-Islamic Yemen. A Critical Re-evaluation. *Semitica et Classica* 1: 203–212.
- al-Suddī, Abū Muḥammad Ismāʿīl b. ʿAbd al-Raḥmān al-Kabīr/ed. M.A. Yūsuf.
1993. *Tafsīr al-Suddī al-Kabīr*. Mansoura: Dār al-Wafāʾ.
- al-Sulamī, Abū ʿAbd al-Raḥmān.
[2010]. *Haqāʾiq al-Tafsīr*. 30 September 2010. Online at www.altafsir.com/Tafasir.asp?tMadhNo=3&tTafsirNo=30&tSoraNo=63&tAyahNo=4&tDisplay=yes&UserProfile=0&LanguageId=1.
- al-Ṭabarānī, Abū al-Qāsim Sulaymān.
[2010]. *Al-tafsīr al-kabīr*. 30 September 2010. Online at www.altafsir.com/Tafasir.asp?tMadhNo=0&tTafsirNo=91&tSoraNo=63&tAyahNo=4&tDisplay=yes&UserProfile=0&LanguageId=1.
- al-Ṭabarī, Abū Jaʿfar b. Muḥammad b. Jarīr/ed. M.A. Ibrāhīm.
1967. *Tārīkh al-Ṭabarī. Tārīkh al-rusul wa-l-mulūk*. (11 volumes). Cairo: Dar al-Maʿārif.
- al-Ṭabarī, Abū Jaʿfar b. Muḥammad b. Jarīr/ed. A.A. al-Turkī with Markaz al-buḥūth wa-l-dirāsāt al-ʿarabiyyah wa-l-islāmiyyah at Dār Hijr.
2001. *Tafsīr al-Ṭabarī. Jāmiʿ al-bayān fī taʾwīl āy al-Qurʾān*. (26 volumes). Cairo: Dār Hijr.
- al-Ṭabarsī, al-Faḍl b. al-Ḥasan.
[2010]. *Majmaʿ al-bayān fī tafsīr al-Qurʾān*. 30 September 2010. Online at www.altafsir.com/Tafasir.asp?tMadhNo=4&tTafsirNo=3&tSoraNo=63&tAyahNo=4&tDisplay=yes&UserProfile=0&LanguageId=1.
- Al-Ṭaʿlabī, Abū Ishāq Aḥmad/ed. A.M. ʿAṣhūr & N. al-Sāʿidī.
2002. *Al-kashf wa-l-bayān al-maʿrūf bi-tafsīr al-Ṭaʿlabī*. Beirut: Dār ihyāʾ al-turāth al-ʿarabī.
- al-Ṭawrī, Abū ʿAbd Allāh Sufyān b. Saʿīd b. Masrūq al-Ṭawrī al-Kūfī/ed. Lajnah min al-ʿulamāʾ bi-*ishrāf* al-nāshir.
1983. *Tafsīr Sufyān al-Ṭawrī*. Beirut: Dār al-kutub al-ʿilmiyyah.
- Turner W.W.
1845. On the recent discoveries of Himyaritic inscriptions, and the attempts made to decipher them. *Transactions of the American Ethnological Society* 1: 423–473.
- al-Ṭūsī, Muḥammad b. al-Ḥasan.
[2010]. *Al-tibyān al-jāmiʿ li-ʿulūm al-Qurʾān*. 30 September 2010. Online at www.altafsir.com/Tafasir.asp?tMadhNo=4&tTafsirNo=39&tSoraNo=63&tAyahNo=4&tDisplay=yes&UserProfile=0&LanguageId=1.
- al-Tustarī, Sahl Ibn-ʿAbdallāh/ed. M. B. ʿUyūn al-Sūd.
2002. *Tafsīr al-Tustarī*. Beirut: Dār al-kutub al-ʿilmiyyah.
- Vollers K.
1906. *Volkssprache und Schriftsprache im alten Arabien*. Straßburg: K.J. Trübner.
- al-Wāḥidī, Abū al-Ḥasan ʿAlī b. Aḥmad al-Nīsābūrī/ed. A.A. ʿAbd al-Mawjūd, A.M. Muʿawwad, A.M. Ṣīrah & A.A. al-Jamal.
1994. *Al-wasīṭ fī tafsīr al-Qurʾān al-Majīd*. (4 volumes). Beirut: Dār al-kutub al-ʿilmiyyah.
- Watt M.W.
1967. *Companion to the Qurʾān. Based on the Arberry translation*. London: George Allen and Unwin.

Williamson H.G.M.

2006. *A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on Isaiah 1: 27*. London: T. & T. Clark.

Yoder C.R.

2003. The woman of substance (ʿ*ēsheth-hayil*): A socioeconomic reading of Proverbs 31: 10–31. *Journal of Biblical Literature* 122/3: 427–447.

al-Zabīdī, Muḥammad Murtaḍā al-Ḥusaynī/ed. A.A. Farrāj, A.M. Ḥulw, A.M. ʿUmar & Kh.A. Jumʿah.

1965–2001. *Tāj al-ʿarūs min jawhar al-qāmūs*. (40 volumes). Kuwait: Maṭbaʿat ḥukūmat al-Kuwayt.

al-Zamakhsharī, Abū al-Qāsim Jār Allāh Muḥammad b. ʿUmar b. Aḥmad/ed. M.B. ʿUyūn al-Sūd.

1998a. *Asās al-balāghah*. (2 volumes). Beirut: Dār al-kutub al-ʿilmiyyah.

al-Zamakhsharī, Abū al-Qāsim Jār Allāh Muḥammad b. ʿUmar b. Aḥmad/ed. A.A. ʿAbd al-Mawjūd & A.M. Muʿawwad.

1998b. *Al-kashshāf ʿan ḥaqāʾiq ghawāmiḍ al-tanzīl wa-ʿuyūn al-aqāwīl fī wujūh al-taʾwīl*. (6 volumes). Riyadh: Maktabat al-ʿUbaykān.

Zammit M.R.

2001. *A comparative lexical study of Qurʾānic Arabic*. Leiden: Brill.

al-Zawzanī, Abū ʿAbd Allāh al-Ḥusayn b. Aḥmad/ed. Lajnat al-taḥqīq fī al-dār al-ʿālemiyyah.

1993. *Sharḥ al-muʿallaqāt al-sabʿ*. Beirut: Dār al-ʿālemiyyah.

Zayd b. ʿAlī, b. al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī/ed. M. Yūsuf al-Dīn

2001. *Tafsīr Ghārīb al-Qurʾān al-Majīd*. Hyderabad: Taj — Yusuf Foundation Trust.

Author's address

Orhan Elmaz, Department of Near Eastern Studies, Faculty of Philological and Cultural Studies, University of Vienna, Spitalgasse 2, Hof 4.1, 1090 Vienna, Austria.

e-mail orhan.elmaz@univie.ac.at