

THE POINCARÉ EXPONENT AND THE DIMENSIONS OF KLEINIAN LIMIT SETS

JONATHAN M. FRASER

University of St Andrews, Scotland
email: jmf32@st-andrews.ac.uk

ABSTRACT. We provide a proof of the (well-known) result that the Poincaré exponent of a non-elementary Kleinian group is a lower bound for the upper box dimension of the limit set. Our proof only uses elementary hyperbolic and fractal geometry.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: primary: 28A80, 30F40.

Key words and phrases: Poincaré exponent, Kleinian group, upper box dimension, limit set.

1. KLEINIAN GROUPS, LIMIT SETS, AND THE POINCARÉ EXPONENT

For integers $n \geq 2$, n -dimensional hyperbolic space can be modelled by the Poincaré ball

$$\mathbb{D}^n = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n : |z| < 1\}$$

equipped with the hyperbolic metric d_H given by

$$|ds| = \frac{2|dz|}{1 - |z|^2}.$$

The group of orientation preserving isometries of (\mathbb{D}^n, d_H) is the group of conformal automorphisms of \mathbb{D}^n , which we denote by $\text{con}^+(\mathbb{D}^n)$. A good way to get a handle on this group is to view it as the (orientation preserving) stabiliser of \mathbb{D}^n as a subgroup of the Möbius group acting on $\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$. This group consists of maps given by the composition of reflections in spheres.

A group $\Gamma \leq \text{con}^+(\mathbb{D}^n)$ is called *Kleinian* if it is discrete. Kleinian groups generate beautiful fractal limit sets defined by

$$L(\Gamma) = \overline{\Gamma(0)} \setminus \Gamma(0)$$

where $\Gamma(0) = \{g(0) : g \in \Gamma\}$ is the orbit of 0 under Γ and the closure is the Euclidean closure. Discreteness of Γ implies that all Γ -orbits are locally

The author was financially supported by an *EPSRC Standard Grant* (EP/R015104/1) and a *Leverhulme Trust Research Project Grant* (RPG-2019-034). He thanks Amlan Banaji and Liam Stuart for helpful comments.

finite in \mathbb{D}^n and this ensures that $L(\Gamma) \subseteq S^{n-1}$. Here S^{n-1} is the ‘boundary at infinity’ of hyperbolic space. A Kleinian group is called *non-elementary* if its limit set contains at least 3 points, in which case it is necessarily an uncountable perfect set.

The *Poincaré exponent* captures the coarse rate of accumulation to the limit set and is defined as the exponent of convergence of the *Poincaré series*

$$P_\Gamma(s) = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} \exp(-sd_H(0, g(0))) = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} \left(\frac{1 - |g(0)|}{1 + |g(0)|} \right)^s$$

for $s \geq 0$. The *Poincaré exponent* is therefore

$$\delta(\Gamma) = \inf\{s \geq 0 : P_\Gamma(s) < \infty\}.$$

It is a simple exercise to show that the *Poincaré series* may be defined using the orbit of an arbitrary $z \in \mathbb{D}^n$ at the expense of multiplicative constants depending only on z . In particular, the exponent of convergence does not depend on the choice of z . (The definition above uses $z = 0$.) For more background on hyperbolic geometry and Kleinian groups see [B83, M88].

There has been a great deal of interest in computing or estimating the fractal dimension of the limit set $L(\Gamma)$ (as a subset of Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n) and the Poincaré exponent plays a central role. We write \dim_H , $\overline{\dim}_B$, \dim_A to denote the Hausdorff, upper box, and Assouad dimensions respectively. These constitute three distinct and well-studied notions of fractal dimension. See [F14] for more background on dimension theory and fractal geometry, especially the box and Hausdorff dimensions, and [F20] for the Assouad dimension. For all non-empty bounded sets $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$0 \leq \dim_H F \leq \overline{\dim}_B F \leq \dim_A F \leq n.$$

For all non-elementary Kleinian groups,

$$\delta(\Gamma) \leq \dim_H L(\Gamma)$$

and for non-elementary *geometrically finite* Kleinian groups,

$$\delta(\Gamma) = \dim_H L(\Gamma) = \overline{\dim}_B L(\Gamma).$$

See [B93] for more details on geometric finiteness. Roughly speaking it means that the Kleinian group admits a reasonable fundamental domain. The equality of Hausdorff dimension and Poincaré exponent in the geometrically finite setting goes back to Sullivan [S84], see also Patterson [P76]. The coincidence with box dimension in this case was proved (rather later) independently by Bishop and Jones [BJ97] and Stratmann and Urbański [SU96]. The fact that the Poincaré exponent is always a lower bound for the Hausdorff dimension (without the assumption of geometric finiteness) is due to Bishop and Jones [BJ97]. See the survey [S04]. In the presence of parabolic elements the Assouad dimension can be strictly greater than $\delta(\Gamma)$, even in the geometrically finite situation, see [F19].

In the geometrically infinite setting, $\delta(\Gamma) < \dim_{\mathbb{H}} L(\Gamma) < \overline{\dim}_{\mathbb{B}} L(\Gamma)$ is possible, and it is an intriguing open problem to determine if $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} L(\Gamma) = \overline{\dim}_{\mathbb{B}} L(\Gamma)$ for all finitely generated Γ for $n \geq 4$. For $n = 3$, Bishop and Jones proved that for finitely generated, geometrically infinite Γ , $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} L(\Gamma) = \overline{\dim}_{\mathbb{B}} L(\Gamma) = 2$, see [BJ97]. This result was extended by Bishop to *analytically finite* Γ [B96, B97]. Falk and Matsuzaki characterised the upper box dimension of an arbitrary non-elementary Kleinian group in terms of the *convex core entropy* [FM15]. This can also be expressed as the exponent of convergence of an ‘extended Poincaré series’, but is more complicated to introduce.

Proving the general inequality $\delta(\Gamma) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{H}} L(\Gamma)$ involves carefully constructing a measure supported on the limit set and applying the mass distribution principle. Our investigation begins with the following question: since (upper) box dimension is a simpler concept than Hausdorff dimension, can we prove the weaker inequality $\delta(\Gamma) \leq \overline{\dim}_{\mathbb{B}} L(\Gamma)$ using only elementary methods? We provide a short and self-contained proof of this estimate in the sections which follow. It is instructive to think about why our proof fails to prove the equality $\delta(\Gamma) = \overline{\dim}_{\mathbb{B}} L(\Gamma)$ in general and what sort of extra assumptions on Γ would be needed to ‘upgrade’ the proof to yield this stronger conclusion.

The (upper) box dimension of a non-empty bounded set $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ can be defined in terms of the asymptotic behaviour of the volume of the r -neighbourhood of F . Given $r > 0$ the r -neighbourhood of F is denoted by F_r and consists of all points in \mathbb{R}^n which are at Euclidean distance less than or equal to r from a point in F . Write V_E to denote the Euclidean volume, that is, n -dimensional Lebesgue measure. If $V_E(F) = 0$, then $V_E(F_r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. The upper box dimension of F captures this rate of decay and is defined formally by

$$\overline{\dim}_{\mathbb{B}} F = n - \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log V_E(F_r)}{\log r}.$$

Another elementary proof of the estimate $\delta(\Gamma) \leq \overline{\dim}_{\mathbb{B}} L(\Gamma)$, at least for $n = 2, 3$, can be found in [B96, Lemmas 2.1 and 3.1]. Here the connection is made via ‘Whitney squares’.

2. PROOF OF DIMENSION ESTIMATE

Let Γ be an arbitrary non-elementary Kleinian group acting on the Poincaré ball and $\delta(\Gamma)$ denote the associated Poincaré exponent. We prove the following (well-known) inequality:

$$(2.1) \quad \delta(\Gamma) \leq \overline{\dim}_{\mathbb{B}} L(\Gamma).$$

Throughout we write $A \lesssim B$ to mean there is a constant $c > 0$ such that $A \leq cB$. Similarly, we write $A \gtrsim B$ if $B \lesssim A$ and $A \approx B$ if $A \lesssim B$ and $A \gtrsim B$. The implicit constants may depend on Γ and other fixed

parameters, but it will be crucial that they never depend on the scale $r > 0$ used to compute the box dimension or on a specific element $g \in \Gamma$.

2.1. Elementary estimates from hyperbolic geometry. Since Γ is non-elementary, it is easy to see that it must contain a loxodromic element, h . Loxodromic elements have precisely two fixed points on the boundary at infinity. Let $z \in \mathbb{D}^n$ be a point lying on the (doubly infinite) geodesic ray joining the fixed points of h . We may assume z is not fixed by any elliptic elements in Γ since it is an elementary fact that the set of elliptic fixed points is discrete. Choose $a > 0$ such that the set

$$\{B_H(g(z), a)\}_{g \in \Gamma}$$

is pairwise disjoint, where $B_H(g(z), a)$ denotes the closed hyperbolic ball centred at $g(z)$ with radius a . To see that such an a exists recall that the orbit $\Gamma(z)$ is locally finite. As such, a can be chosen such that $B_H(z, 2a)$ contains only one point from the orbit $\Gamma(z)$, namely z itself. Then the pairwise disjointness of the collection $\{B_H(g(z), a)\}_{g \in \Gamma}$ is guaranteed since if $y \in B_H(g_1(z), a) \cap B_H(g_2(z), a)$ for distinct $g_1, g_2 \in \Gamma$, then

$$d_H(z, g_1^{-1}g_2(z)) = d_H(g_1(z), g_2(z)) \leq d_H(g_1(z), y) + d_H(y, g_2(z)) \leq 2a$$

which gives $g_1^{-1}g_2(z) \in B_H(z, 2a)$, a contradiction.

We will use the simple volume estimate

$$(2.2) \quad V_E(B_H(g(z), a)) \approx (1 - |g(z)|)^n$$

for all $g \in \text{con}^+(\mathbb{D}^n)$, where the implicit constants are independent of g and z , but depend on a and n . This follows since $B_H(g(z), a)$ is a *Euclidean* ball with diameter comparable to $1 - |g(z)|$ (most likely not centred at $g(z)$). To derive this explicitly it is useful to recall the (well-known and easily derived) formula for hyperbolic distance

$$d_H(0, w) = \log \frac{1 + |w|}{1 - |w|}, \quad (w \in \mathbb{D}^n).$$

The next result says that if $1 - |g(z)|$ is small, then the image of a fixed set under g must be contained in a comparably small neighbourhood of the limit set. This is the only point in the proof where the fact that the group is non-elementary is used. It is instructive to find an example of an elementary group where the conclusion fails.

Lemma 2.1. *Let a, z be as above. There exists a constant $c > 0$ depending only on Γ , a and z such that if $g \in \Gamma$ is such that $1 - |g(z)| < 2^{-k+1}$ for a positive integer k , then*

$$B_H(g(z), a) \subseteq L(\Gamma)_{c2^{-k}}.$$

Proof. The idea is that there must be a loxodromic fixed point close to $g(z)$ and loxodromic fixed points are necessarily in the limit set. Indeed, $g(z)$ lies on the geodesic ray joining the fixed points of the loxodromic map ghg^{-1} .

These fixed points are the images of the fixed points of h under g and at least one of them must lie in the smallest Euclidean sphere passing through $g(z)$ and intersecting the boundary S^{n-1} at right angles. This uses the fact that geodesic rays are orthogonal to the boundary and g is conformal. The diameter of this sphere is

$$\lesssim 1 - |g(z)| < 2^{-k+1}$$

and the result follows, recalling that the Euclidean diameter of $B_H(g(z), a)$ is $\approx 1 - |g(z)|$. \square

2.2. Estimating the Poincaré series using the limit set. Let $s > t > \overline{\dim}_B L(\Gamma)$. Then by definition

$$(2.3) \quad V_E(L(\Gamma)_r) \lesssim r^{n-t}$$

for all $0 < r < c/2$ with implicit constant independent of r but depending on t and where c is the constant from Lemma 2.1. Then

$$\begin{aligned} P_\Gamma(s) &\approx \sum_{g \in \Gamma} \left(\frac{1 - |g(z)|}{1 + |g(z)|} \right)^s \\ &\approx \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{g \in \Gamma: \\ 2^{-k} \leq 1 - |g(z)| < 2^{-k+1}}} (1 - |g(z)|)^s \\ &\approx \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k(s-n)} \sum_{\substack{g \in \Gamma: \\ 2^{-k} \leq 1 - |g(z)| < 2^{-k+1}}} (1 - |g(z)|)^n \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k(s-n)} \sum_{\substack{g \in \Gamma: \\ 2^{-k} \leq 1 - |g(z)| < 2^{-k+1}}} V_E(B_H(g(z), a)) \quad (\text{by (2.2)}) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k(s-n)} V_E(L(\Gamma)_{c2^{-k}}) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.1 and choice of } a) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k(s-n)} 2^{-k(n-t)} \quad (\text{by (2.3)}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k(s-t)} \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\delta(\Gamma) \leq s$ and letting $s \rightarrow \overline{\dim}_B L(\Gamma)$ proves (2.1).

REFERENCES

- [B83] A. F. Beardon. *The geometry of discrete groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 91, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1983).
- [B96] C. J. Bishop. Minkowski dimension and the Poincaré exponent, *Michigan Math. J.*, **43**, (1996), 231–246.
- [B97] C. J. Bishop. Geometric exponents and Kleinian groups, *Invent. Math.*, **127**, (1997), 33–50.
- [BJ97] C. J. Bishop and P. W. Jones. Hausdorff dimension and Kleinian groups, *Acta Math.*, **179**, (1997), 1–39.
- [B93] B. H. Bowditch. Geometrical finiteness for hyperbolic groups, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **113**, (1993), 245–317.
- [F14] K. J. Falconer. *Fractal Geometry: Mathematical Foundations and Applications*, John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, NJ, 3rd. ed., (2014).
- [FM15] K. Falk and K. Matsuzaki. The critical exponent, the Hausdorff dimension of the limit set and the convex core entropy of a Kleinian group, *Conform. Geom. Dyn.*, **19**, (2015), 159–196.
- [F19] J. M. Fraser. Regularity of Kleinian limit sets and Patterson-Sullivan measures, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **372**, (2019), 4977–5009.
- [F20] J. M. Fraser. *Assouad dimension and fractal geometry*, CUP, Tracts in Mathematics Series, **222**, (2020).
- [M88] B. Maskit. *Kleinian groups*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], **287**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1988).
- [P76] S. J. Patterson. The limit set of a Fuchsian group, *Acta Math.*, **136**, (1976), 241–273.
- [S04] B. O. Stratmann. The Exponent of Convergence of Kleinian Groups; on a Theorem of Bishop and Jones, *Progress in Probability*, Birkhäuser Verlag Basel/Switzerland **57**, 93–107, (2004).
- [SU96] B. O. Stratmann and M. Urbański. The box-counting dimension for geometrically finite Kleinian groups, *Fund. Math.*, **149**, 83–93, (1996).
- [S84] D. Sullivan. Entropy, Hausdorff measures old and new, and limit sets of geometrically finite Kleinian groups, *Acta Math.*, **153**, (1984), 259–277.