Research Article

Depth Penetration of Light into Skin as a Function of Wavelength from 200 to 1000 nm

Louise Finlayson¹* , Isla R. M. Barnard¹, Lewis McMillan¹, Sally H. Ibbotson², C. Tom A. Brown¹, Ewan Eadie³ and Kenneth Wood¹

¹SUPA School of Physics and Astronomy, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, UK ²Photobiology Unit, Ninewells Hospital, University of Dundee, Dundee, UK ³Photobiology Unit, Ninewells Hospital, NHS Tayside, Dundee, UK

Received 13 July 2021, accepted 23 October 2021, DOI: 10.1111/php.13550

ABSTRACT

An increase in the use of light-based technology and medical devices has created a demand for informative and accessible data showing the depth that light penetrates into skin and how this varies with wavelength. These data would be particularly beneficial in many areas of medical research and would support the use and development of disease-targeted light-based therapies for specific skin diseases, based on increased understanding of wavelength-dependency of cutaneous penetration effects. We have used Monte Carlo radiative transport (MCRT) to simulate light propagation through a multi-layered skin model for the wavelength range of 200-1000 nm. We further adapted the simulation to compare the effect of direct and diffuse light sources, varying incident angles and stratum corneum thickness. The lateral spread of light in skin was also investigated. As anticipated, we found that the penetration depth of light into skin varies with wavelength in accordance with the optical properties of skin. Penetration depth of ultraviolet radiation was also increased when the stratum corneum was thinner. These observations enhance understanding of the wavelength-dependency and characteristics of light penetration of skin, which has potential for clinical impact regarding optimizing light-based diagnostic and therapeutic approaches for skin disease.

INTRODUCTION

With the markedly increased incidence of skin cancer (1,2), and the increasing demand for noninvasive, light-based therapies and technologies (3-6), it is more important than ever to understand the intricate interactions that occur between light and skin. A detailed knowledge of the penetration depth that light can reach within skin is fundamental to this understanding.

For example, one of the challenges faced by the clinicians who prescribe UV-phototherapies, psoralen-UVA (PUVA) photochemotherapy, photodynamic therapy (PDT) or laser therapy is that of gaining an accurate quantification of the most effective dose. This challenge stems from the difficulty in gaining a reliable picture of the fluence rate distribution that the phototherapeutic source will produce within an individual's skin as well as an accurate idea of where the light will be absorbed (7–9). A simple and accessible method to gain this information in order to produce this picture would therefore be of great benefit. On the other hand, measuring the level of protection skin has against ultraviolet (UV) radiation is also vital in determining the risk from devices such as sunbeds and germicidal UV lamps (10,11).

We use Monte Carlo radiative transfer (MCRT) to probabilistically predict the path of light through a 6-layer skin model of Fitzpatrick skin type I. Such methods have been applied previously for many different applications (6,12,13). By compiling a full set of optical properties for six separate skin layers and running MCRT simulations for the wavelength range 200–1000 nm, a detailed data set of fluence rate distribution within skin has been produced.

As light travels through the skin, it is absorbed or scattered, resulting in a gradual reduction of energy density with depth. Due to the varying optical properties of skin layers, this reduction is not linear. It is the case however, that much of the data published in the literature represents the penetration depth into skin portrayed as solid lines ending suddenly at some depth, often with no reference to the intensity of the decided end point. Many diagrammatic illustrations represent the penetration of light by plotting only a single line for full spectral categories such as UV, visible and infra-red light (5,14). In reality, there are large differences in the depths that wavelengths within these spectral groups can reach, rendering these illustrations uninformative and potentially misleading.

Using the data set we have produced, plots detailing the depth at which the fluence rate into skin reaches a certain percentage of the incident value could be produced on a wavelength-bywavelength basis. This allows more detailed and informative images of light penetration into skin to be constructed.

To test the effect of different setups and demonstrate the adaptability of the simulations, the model was initially run for both direct and diffuse incident light to examine the difference this might make to penetration depth. The effect of changing the incident angle of the light was also investigated. Simulations were then run for several different thicknesses of stratum

^{*}Corresponding author email: If58@st-andrews.ac.uk (Louise Finlayson) © 2021 The Authors. *Photochemistry and Photobiology* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of American Society for Photobiology

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

corneum, the protective outermost layer of the skin, to highlight the difference that the small changes within this layer can make to penetration depth of radiation within the UV spectrum. Finally, the lateral spread of light within the model was investigated by setting the incident light to be a circular beam of 1 mm in diameter.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Monte Carlo radiative transport. Monte Carlo Radiative Transport (MCRT) is used to produce simulations of light transport within human skin. The simulations are written in FORTRAN adapted from code developed by McMillan *et al.* (15) which was adapted from code developed for astronomy (16). The simulation starts by defining a 3D grid of voxels with total grid size is $1 \text{ mm} \times 1 \text{ mm} \times 5 \text{ mm}$ and $100 \times 100 \times 800$ voxels in each direction. The grid contains 5 different voxel volumes in order to provide higher resolution data in the thinner upper layers of the skin.

Simulations are undertaken with a spectral resolution of 1 nm over the wavelength range 200-1000 nm. For each simulation, a total of 1 billion Monte Carlo packets, or power packets, are sent in one at a time, evenly distributed through the top of the grid. Fresnel reflections make the proportion of light entering the grid vary depending on the chosen angle of incidence and the refractive index difference at the grid-air boundary. The energy deposited by each power packet is recorded by storing an energy value within every voxel that it enters, proportional to the distance the power packet has traveled through the voxel. The power packet undergoes scattering as it propagates through the grid before either escaping or becoming absorbed the packet is terminated, and the process starts again. The optical properties of each voxel determine the overall proportion of scattering to absorption events that occur by being used to weight probability distribution functions which are then randomly sampled to make decisions. This also helps to decide the average distance the power packet travels between events as well as the new direction it takes after being scattered. Repeating boundary conditions are implemented such that when a power packet exits the grid in either the x or y direction, it is returned at the opposite side with other properties retained. However, if it exits though either z plane (top or bottom of the grid) the power packet escapes. This helps to simulate a semi-infinite slab of skin, making the $1 \text{ mm} \times 1 \text{ mm} \times 5 \text{ mm}$ grid effectively infinite in the x and v direction. The simulation output is a 3D array of fluence rate values which can then be used to plot the penetration depth via post processing. Skin model. The 6-layer skin model is based on the one developed by

Skin model. The 6-layer skin model is based on the one developed by Barnard *et al.* with the addition of a subcutaneous fat layer (10).

The layers are formed within the grid by assigning each voxel a set of wavelength-dependent optical properties based on the layer it is located in. Figure 1 shows the model layers and their corresponding thicknesses. The dimensions of the layers were chosen with reference to a combination of Iglesias-Guitian *et al.* (17) and Barnard *et al.* (10).



Figure 1. 2-D diagram of the 6-layer skin model. The model consists of the stratum corneum (layer 1), epidermis (layer 2), melanin layer or melanized epidermis (layer 3), basal layer (layer 4), dermis (layer 5) and subcutaneous fat (layer 6). The dimensions of each layer are presented in Table 1. A melanin volume fraction of 2% is used to simulate Fitzpatrick phototype I. The top of the dermis is covered with dermal papillae which cause the shape of the melanin layer, basal layer and bottom of the epidermis to follow the "egg-box" undulating shape described by Eq. 1.

The Stratum Corneum (layer 1) is thin, flat and makes up the outer-most layer of the skin.

Due to its protective function, penetration of light through the stratum corneum is a topic of notable interest and so it is given a z-resolution of 200 voxels with a thickness of 0.1 μ m to allow higher resolution data to be obtained within it. The epidermis (layer 2) is thicker than the stratum corneum and most of the layer is contained within a z-depth of 100 voxels of thickness 0.53 μ m. The bottom of the epidermis has an undulating structure due to the presence of dermal papillae and this is simulated within the grid using Eq. 1, taken from Barnard *et al.* (10). Here, *z* is the depth in mm of a voxel at each *x* and *y* position, and depth is the average depth of the layer from the top of the grid, given in Table 1.

$$z(x, y) = 0.03 \text{ mm} \times \sin\left(\frac{x}{0.015 \text{ mm}}\right)$$
$$\times \cos\left(\frac{y}{0.015 \text{ mm}}\right) + \text{depth(mm)} \qquad (1)$$

The melanin and basal layers (layers 3 and 4) are thin layers at the bottom of the epidermis that follow the shape of the dermal papillae. Another 200 voxels with thickness 0.32 µm are assigned to these two layers, along with the parts of the epidermis and dermis that the basal and melanin layers protrude into. It should be noted that melanin itself is not a skin layer, but a pigment produced by melanocytes and distributed within the epidermis. For Fitzpatrick skin phototypes I and II, most of the melanin present in the skin is concentrated in the basal layer of the epidermis (10,18). This helps to protect the DNA present within the basal layer from damage caused by dangerous optical radiation. For simplicity, the melanin layer described in this model is chosen to be a thin layer of melanized epidermis located just above the basal layer. The dermis and subcutaneous fat layers (layers 5 and 6) are significantly thicker than the top four layers. However, they are only assigned a z-depth of 150 voxels each, with 13 µm voxel thickness for layer 5 and 20 µm voxel thickness for layer 6, as there is less need for high resolution data here due to the larger change in penetration depth per wavelength.

Optical properties. The depth and direction that light travels through skin is determined by optical properties that are wavelength-dependent and vary depending on the biological content at each position. For simplicity, the skin model optical properties are chosen to vary between skin layers but remain constant within each layer. These properties consist of absorption and scattering coefficients (μ_a and μ_s), anisotropy factor (g) and the refractive index (n). The refractive index does not vary significantly between layers (17,19) and so, for simplicity, was chosen to be a constant value of 1.38 (20,21) for all wavelengths and all skin layers. As a result, the layers are distinguished only by their scattering and absorption coefficients. The anisotropy factor is described as the average of $\cos(\theta)$, where theta is the angle between the direction of initial travel of a photon and the direction after scattering. The Henyey Greenstein phase function (Eq. 2) is used together with g to describe the probability distribution of the scattering direction within biological tissue where forward scattering is dominant (22,23).

Table 1. Thickness of each skin layer along with the average depth of the layers from the surface of the skin, selected using a combination of skin layer dimensions found in Iglesias-Guitian *et al.* (17) and Barnard *et al.* (10).

Layer number	Layer name	Layer thickness (mm)	Average depth from skin surface (mm)
1	Stratum corneum	0.02	0.02
2	Epidermis	0.064 (at thickest point)	0.084
3	Melanin layer	0.01	0.094
4	Basal layer	0.01	0.104
5	Dermis	1.996 (at thickest point)	2.1
6	Subcutaneous fat	3.004	5.0

$$H(\theta) = \frac{1}{4\pi (1 + g^2(\lambda) - 2g(\lambda)\cos(\theta))^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$
(2)

For every layer, g is wavelength-dependent and modeled using Eq. 3, presented by van Gemert *et al.* where λ is the wavelength of light in nm (22).

$$g(\lambda) = 0.62 + 0.29\lambda \times 10^{-3} \tag{3}$$

Stratum corneum. The absorption and scattering coefficients selected for the stratum corneum (layer 1) were taken from those presented by van Gemert *et al.* (22). These were obtained using reflectance and transmission measurements through a slab of skin, measured by Everett *et al.* (24).van Gemert reports the optical properties for the stratum corneum in the wavelength range 200–400 nm (22). In order to extend this range to 1000 nm, reflection and transmission data were extracted up to 700 nm from relevant plots from Everett *et al.* (24). At 700 nm, both the transmission and reflection data were flattening off and so it was assumed that they remained roughly constant from 700 to 1000 nm where only the absorption coefficient of water, of which there is very little present in the stratum corneum, changes significantly (19). Following the method used by van Gemert, μ_a and μ_s were then calculated for these wavelengths.

Epidermis. Scattering coefficients for the epidermis were taken from the data presented by van Gemert (22) from 200 to 800 nm and extending it to 1000 nm using the equations from van Gemert, the data given by Wan *et al.* (25) and the assumption that the reflection and transmission values beyond this point are constant. The absorption coefficients for the epidermis were also taken from van Gemert for the range 200–450 nm while the coefficients presented by Meglinski *et al.* (19) were used for the range 451–1000 nm. As the 6-layer skin model used assumes that melanin is only present in the melanin layer, the absorption coefficient of melanin calculated using 4 was subtracted from the epidermis absorption for layer 2.

$$\mu_{\text{a,melanin}}(\lambda) = 6.6 \times 10^{11} \lambda^{-3.33} F_{\text{melanin}} \tag{4}$$

where λ is the wavelength in nm and F_{melanin} is the fraction of melanin present in the skin which varies with skin type.

Melanin layer. As mentioned above, the 6-layer model assumes that the melanin in the epidermis is concentrated into a single layer near the bottom of the epidermis. The model used was chosen to be unexposed Fitzpatrick skin phototype I, which has the lowest melanin content and so will help determine the worst-case scenario when looking at UV penetration. This is estimated to be equivalent to a melanin volume fraction of 2% (10,17,26). However, as the melanin from the entire epidermis is concentrated into a thin layer, the fraction used in the calculation for the absorption coefficient for this layer had to be adapted to represent this. The adapted fraction was calculated using Eq. 5

adapted melanin fraction =
$$F_{\text{melanin}} \times \frac{(N_{\text{epidermis}} + N_{\text{melanin}})}{N_{\text{melanin}}}$$
 (5)

This adapted fraction was then used to find the melanin absorption using Eq. 4, replacing F_{melanin} . This melanin absorption was then combined with the absorption coefficient for the epidermis in layer 2 to compute the final absorption coefficient for the melanin layer. The scattering coefficients for the melanin layer were assumed to be equal to that of the epidermis in layer 2.

Basal layer. The basal layer forms the bottom of the epidermal layers, and so its optical properties are identical to that of the melanin-less epidermis in layer 2.

Dermis. From 200 to 449 nm, the dermis absorption coefficients were taken from the data presented by van Gemert (22) and from 450 to 1000 nm, the coefficients presented by Meglinski *et al.* (19) were chosen.

The scattering coefficients for the dermis were calculated using Eq. 6 from Jacques (27).

$$\mu_{\rm s,dermis}(\lambda) = 1.752 \times 10^8 \lambda_{\rm nm}^{-2.33} + 134.67 \lambda_{\rm nm}^{-0.494} \tag{6}$$

Subcutaneous fat layer. The absorption coefficient for the subcutaneous fat layer was taken from the data presented by Meglinkski *et al.* (19).

Photochemistry and Photobiology 3

The reduced scattering coefficient of the hypodermis was calculated using Eq. 7 where λ_{nm} is the wavelength of light in nm.

$$\mu_{s',\text{subcutaneousfat}}(\lambda) = 1050.60 \times \lambda_{\text{nm}}^{-0.68} \tag{7}$$

The scattering coefficient is then found using Eq. 8 (28).

$$\mu_{s,subcutaneous fat}(\lambda) = \frac{\mu_{s',subcutaneous fat}}{1-g}$$
(8)

Comparison tests. In order to demonstrate the power and adaptability of the simulations, a range of different setups were run, and the results compared.

Direct and diffuse incident light. The simulation was run using the setup described above for the full range of 200–1000 nm. First, direct radiation, evenly distributed across the top of the grid, was simulated by choosing the initial power packet direction of entry to be normal to the surface of the voxel grid. This was then repeated using a diffuse light source, which was simulated by randomly choosing the incident angle of each power packet individually from a uniform distribution of all possible angles incident onto the top of the grid.

Stratum corneum thickness. The simulation was run for a wavelength range of 200-400 nm at various thicknesses of the stratum corneum, the upper most layer of the skin, in order to investigate its effect on the penetration depth of light within the UV range. It can be seen from Figs. 2 and 4 that for wavelengths beyond the UV range, the stratum corneum becomes optically thin. It therefore made sense to only investigate wavelengths within the UV range. Simulations with various stratum corneum thicknesses were run using evenly distributed, direct radiation. Thicknesses of 30, 20, 10 and 14.8 µm were chosen with reference to the range of stratum corneum thicknesses commonly in the face, forearm, shoulder and buttock, respectively (29,30). A thickness of 0 µm was also chosen to investigate the effect of a compromised or nonexistent stratum corneum found in a range of skin conditions (31). Areas of typically higher sun exposure such as the cheeks, forehead and nose were shown by Chirikhina et al. to have larger average stratum corneum thicknesses at 27.6, 24.6 and 23.1 µm, respectively, which would result in lower penetration depth of UV radiation in these areas (30).

Incident angle. The effect of the angle of incidence on radiation penetration depth was investigated by running the simulations first with an angle of incidence of 45° from the normal and again with an angle of 65 degrees from the normal. These simulations were run at wavelengths of 222, 300, 350, 400, 630 and 800 nm which are specific wavelengths of interest in either UV radiation safety studies or light-based therapies.

Lateral spread. The lateral spread of incident light through the grid was investigated by illuminating the center of the top of the grid with a 0.1 mm diameter beam of uniform intensity. As for the incident angle investigation, the simulations were run for wavelengths of 222, 300, 350, 400, 630 and 800 nm.

RESULTS

The absorption and scattering coefficients selected for each skin layer are displayed in Fig. 2.

Comparison tests

Direct and diffuse incident light. Direct and diffuse incident light for both direct and diffuse incident light, the depth that light can reach at each wavelength is displayed in Fig. 3. A list of fluence rates within a central column of voxels spanning the full depth of the grid was extracted and used to plot the data. The plots display the depths at which the fluence rate reaches 90%, 50%, 10%, 1% and 0.1% of the incident light value for each wavelength. As expected, the penetration depth increases with increasing wavelength until water absorption starts to take effect in the infrared (IR) region where it then decreases. It can be seen that for all wavelengths, a larger percentage of the direct incident



Figure 2. Absorption (a) and scattering (b) coefficients for each skin layer, described in the text and Fig. 1, as a function of wavelength. Data for the stratum corneum are taken either directly from van Gemert *et al.* or derived using the same methods using data from Everett (22,24). Epidermis and melanin layer absorption is taken part from van Gemert *et al.* (22) and part from Meglinksi *et al.* (19) with melanin absorption calculated using Eq. 4 subtracted from the epidermis. Scattering data for the epidermal layers is taken either directly from van Gemert *et al.* or derived using the same methods using data from Everett (22,24). Dermis absorption is taken part from van Gemert *et al.* (22) and part from Van Gemert *et al.* (19) with melanin absorption calculated using Eq. 4 subtracted from Everett (22,24). Dermis absorption is taken part from van Gemert *et al.* (22) and part from Meglinksi *et al.* (19) and dermis scattering is calculated using Eq. 6 from Jacques (27). Subcutaneous fat absorption is taken directly from Meglinski *et al.* (19) and scattering is calculated using eqs. 7 and 8 from Bashkatov *et al.* (28).

light can reach further into the skin than the diffuse incident light.

Stratum corneum thickness. Stratum corneum thickness for each stratum corneum thickness simulated, the results are compared in Fig. 4 by plotting the depth at which the fluence rate reaches 90%, 10% and 1% of the incident value at each wavelength. As expected, simulations with a thinner stratum corneum show deeper penetration of light at all wavelengths from 200 to 400 nm. There is reduced depth of radiation travel through the highly absorbing stratum corneum, particularly at shorter wavelengths.

Incident angle. Figure 5 compares the fluence rate down a central column of the grid as a function of depth for all three incident angles at each wavelength. The fluence rate is normalized to the incident irradiance. It can be seen that incident angles further away from the normal, result in a larger fluence rate at the surface but lower fluence rate further down. Fluence rate values higher than 1 are seen near the top of the grid due to scattering effects. A higher fluence rate at the top of the skin for incident angles further away from the normal is possibly due to the forward bias of scattering in biological tissue (22,23). As light enters the skin at more oblique angle, it has a higher chance of being scattered in the x and y directions and exiting through the



Figure 3. Plots showing the depth as a function of wavelength into skin at which the fluence rate reaches 90%, 50%, 10%, 1% and 0.1% of the incident light value. The fluence rate values are taken from a central column of the outputted fluence rate grid. Data from a direct incident light source are plotted for 200–400 nm on a log depth scale (a) and 400–1000 nm on a linear depth scale (b). Similarly, the results obtained from simulating a diffuse incident light are plotted from 200 nm to 400 nm on a log depth scale (c) and 400 nm to 1000 nm on a linear depth scale (d). For all plots, the data are plotted over a scaled image of the skin model shown in Fig. 1. For all wavelengths, the direct light penetrates further into the skin.



Figure 4. Plot showing the depth into skin as a function of wavelength at which the fluence rate reaches 90%, 10% and 1% of the incident light value for stratum corneum (layer 1) thicknesses of 30 μ m (a), 20 μ m (b), 14.8 μ m (c), 10 μ m (d) and 0 μ m (e). The data are plotted on a log scale over a scaled image of the skin model, identical to that in Fig. 1. A value of '0' on the depth axis indicates the position of the skins surface on each subplot. Even with no stratum corneum present, less than 1% of the light reaches the basal layer within the UVC range.

top of the grid rather than traveling straight down in the z direction as light entering along the normal would. This causes an increase in the number of photons traveling through voxels in the upper layers of the skin, resulting in the higher fluence rate. For the same reason, an increased fluence rate is also observed in the upper layers for the diffuse incident light results compared with the direct incident light.

For each plot in Fig. 5, each dataset intersects once near the top of the grid, within the stratum corneum. The depths of these intersection points are displayed in Table 2.

Lateral spread. Contour plots of a central slice of the fluence rate grid are produced for each wavelength. Contours are plotted for the points where the fluence rate reaches 90%, 50% 10%, 1% and 0.1% of the incident value (Fig. 6). The spread of light in the lateral direction from a direct beam occurs due to scattering within the skin. It can be seen from Fig. 6 that longer wavelengths have different lateral spread and the different scattering coefficients for each layer result in different rates of lateral spreading, which dictate the unique contour shape. We can also see from Fig. 6e and f that the lateral spread of the 630 and 800 nm radiation goes beyond the 1 mm grid width.

DISCUSSION

With so much variability in the choices of optical properties to use within the Monte Carlo simulations, an emphasis was made on finding properties that were realistic when considering the biological contents of each layer. Figure 2 displays the final chosen optical properties for each layer. Several distinct peaks can be seen in the absorption plots. These peaks correspond to particular absorption features of the chemical constituents within the layer. The small peak in the stratum corneum and epidermis at around 280 nm is due to the keratin present in each of these layers (32). Blood present in the dermis and subcutaneous fat produces several peaks. The first is at around 425 nm and is named the Soret band or Soret peak. There are then two more smaller peaks between 500 and 600 nm which form the Q-band (23,32,33). There is also a peak at around 970 nm in the epidermis, dermis and subcutaneous fat, which is due to the increased absorption of water at this wavelength range (19,33).

Discontinuities appear at the transition points between the plotextracted and calculated data in the stratum corneum scattering and absorption at 400 nm, as well as the epidermal scattering at 800 nm. These jumps are likely mostly due to small differences in the datasets or anisotropy (g) values used in calculation, as well as uncertainties introduced when extracting data from plots produced by van Gemert *et al*, Everett and Wan *et al*. (22,24,25).

The results show large differences in light penetration depth with wavelength which correspond closely with the differences in optical properties. This highlights a drawback of this method; its reliability is fully dependent on the availability of accurate optical properties. These can be hard to measure for skin, especially in the shorter-UV wavelength region where the absorption is high (34). There is also a large variability in the geometry and contents of skin, and therefore optical properties both between and within individuals (29,33,35,36). This may limit comparisons between studies and while it is unclear how applicable the results are at an individual level, the data are more easily interpreted collectively, and broad comparisons can be made. For example,



Figure 5. Normalised fluence rate as a function of depth into the skin for incident light at angles of incidence of 0, 45 and 65° from the normal to the skins' surface. The results are plotted for wavelengths of (a) 222 nm, (b) 300 nm, (c) 350 nm, (d) 400 nm, (e) 630 nm and (f) 800 nm. Data are taken from a central column of the outputted fluence rate grid. For all wavelengths, the most oblique angles result in a higher fluence rate at the top of the skin but an overall smaller penetration depth.

Table 2. Depth into the skin model where the data intersection points seen in Fig. 5 occur.

Intersecting datasets	222 nm Intersection depth (mm)	300 nm Intersection depth (mm)	350 nm Intersection depth (mm)	400 nm Intersection depth (mm)	630 nm Intersection depth (mm)	800 nm Intersection depth (mm)
0 and 45°	0.0017	0.0050	0.0068	0.0073	0.0145	0.0158
0 and 65°	0.0012	0.0034	0.0043	0.0047	0.0085	0.0088
45 and 65°	0.0010	0.0026	0.0031	0.0034	0.0061	0.0061



Figure 6. Contour plots for wavelengths of (a) 222 nm, (b) 300 nm, (c) 350 nm, (d) 400 nm, (e) 630 nm and (f) 800 nm showing the lateral spread of light through a center slice of the grid from a 0.1 mm diameter beam directed straight down onto the surface of the skin model. The contours indicate the point at which the fluence rate reaches 90%, 50%, 10%, 1% and 0.1% of the incident value. The different optical properties in each layer change the rate that the fluence rate depletes as the light travels through, dictating the shape of the contour. Panels (e) and (f) show the contours being cut off due to the lateral spread of these wavelengths being wider than the grid width. It should be noted then that repeating boundary conditions were not in place in these simulations to allow the lateral spread of a single beam to be investigated.

in vivo measurements of the penetration depth of UV radiation into forearm skin by Meinhardt *et al.* show around 37% of the light at 300 nm reaches 15–30 μ m and 40–60 μ m at 340 nm (34). This is consistent with the data used to make Fig. 3(a) which show 37% of the light at 300 and 340 nm reaching 15 and 54 μ m, respectively. For longer wavelengths, results from a 2-D Monte Carlo simulation produced by Ash *et al.* show 1% of 450 nm light reaching a depth of around 1.6 mm and 1% of 650 nm light reaching about 4.750 mm (37). This is consistent with the results in Fig. 3(b) at 450 nm and 650 nm which show 1% of the light reaching around 1.6 mm and a little over 5 mm, respectively. This shows that the results obtained by the simulation are well within the expected range and provide useful information across a broad wavelength region.

One advantage of this model is its adaptability. Provided there are optical properties available, which, it should be noted, there is a current lack of, the simulation can be easily adapted to represent many different skin types and layer thicknesses, as well as different incident light setups. As the accuracy and diversity of available optical properties increases, the reliability and applicability of this model will also increase proportionally. Specifically, the models adaptability will be useful when it comes to disease targeting. It has been shown that changing the standard treatment bandwidth for some phototherapy treatable skin diseases based on the diagnosis and disease stage and severity has led to more effective treatment (38,39). This is, in part, due to selecting phototherapy sources with greater penetration depths when needed. The model data will therefore provide useful information when choosing the most appropriate treatment for an individual circumstance or even when developing new light-based treatments.

CONCLUSION

To the authors' knowledge, this is the first presentation of the penetration depth of optical radiation in skin over the wavelength range 200-1000 nm. The impact on penetration depth of varying parameters, including optical radiation incident angle and stratum corneum thickness was also investigated. Researchers and healthcare professionals can use this information when evaluating new and existing techniques for diagnostic and therapeutic applications as it provides a useful guide relating to light penetration. Targeted approaches can be produced by determining the optical properties specific to an individual and to a disease process and incorporating them within the model. The penetration depth of light into skin increases with wavelength from the UV to the visible light range before decreasing again in the IR range in accordance with the selected optical properties. This depth is further increased as the thickness of the stratum corneum is decreased. Additionally, the depth reached by light from a diffuse source is slightly less than that of a direct source, although the fluence rate at the top of the grid from light with more oblique incident angles is higher.

Acknowledgements—Louise Finlayson acknowledges financial support from EPSRC Industrial Doctorate Centre Scheme (2262922) and the Laser Research and Therapy Fund (registered charity SC030850).

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article:

Dataset S1. Fluence rate data from this paper can be accessed and combined with a spectrum of the users' choice via a web application at: https://loufin.shinyapps.io/Depth_Pen_App/.

Table S1. Input parameters to use within the web application (at https://loufin.shinyapps.io/Depth_Pen_App/) to reproduce the data used to produce Fig. 3(a) and (b).

REFERENCES

- Ciążyńska, M., G. Kamińska-Winciorek, D. Lange, B. Lewandowski, A. Reich, M. Sławińska, M. Pabianek, K. Szczepaniak, A. Hankiewicz, M. Ułańska, J. Morawiec, M. Błasińska-Morawiec, Z. Morawiec, J. Piekarski, D. Nejc, R. Brodowski, A. Zaryczańska, M. Sobjanek, R. J. Nowicki, W. Owczarek, M. Słowińska, K. Wróbel, A. Bieniek, A. Woźniacka, M. Skibińska, J. Narbutt, W. Niemczyk, K. Ciążyński and A. Lesiak (2021) The incidence and clinical analysis of non-melanoma skin cancer. *Sci. Rep* 11, 4337.
- Cancer Facts and Figures 2021 (2021) Am. Cancer Soc. Available at: https://www.cancer.org/content/dam/cancer-org/research/cancerfacts-and-statistics/annual-cancer-facts-and-figures/2021/cancer-factsand-figures-2021.pdf.
- Gupta, A., G. K. Keshri and A. Yadav (2018) Non-thermal Therapeutic Applications of Light. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. India Sect. A – Phys. Sci 88, 473–478.
- Moon, I. J., J. W. Choi, C. J. Jung, S. H. Kim, E. S. Park and C. H. Won (2021) Efficacy and safety of a novel combined 1060-nm and 635-nm laser device for non-invasive reduction of abdominal and submental fat. *Lasers Med. Sci.* https://doi.org/10.1007/s10103-021-03288-z.
- Avci, P., A. Gupta, M. Sadasivam, D. Vecchio, Z. Pam, N. Pam and M. R. Hamblin (2013) Low-level laser (light) therapy (LLLT) in skin: Stimulating, healing, restoring. *Semin. Cutan. Med. Surg* 32, 41–52.
- Hamdy, O. and I. Abdelhalim (2021) Diagnosing different types of skin carcinoma based on their optical properties: A Monte-Carlo implementation. *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng* **1046**(1), 012016.
- Kim, M. M. and A. Darafsheh (2020) Light sources and dosimetry techniques for photodynamic therapy. *Photochem. Photobiol* 96, 280–294.
- Barnard, I. R. M., E. Eadie, L. McMillan, H. Moseley, T. Brown, K. Wood and R. Dawe (2020) Could psoralen plus ultraviolet al ('PUVA') work? Depth penetration achieved by phototherapy lamps. *Br. J. Dermatol* 182, 813–814.
- Pogue, B. W., J. T. Elliott, S. C. Kanick, S. C. Davis, K. S. Samkoe, E. V. Maytin, S. P. Pereira and T. Hasan (2016) Revisiting photodynamic therapy dosimetry: Reductionist & surrogate approaches to facilitate clinical success. *Phys. Med. Biol* **61**, R57–R89.
- Barnard, I. R. M., P. Tierney, C. L. Campbell, L. McMillan, H. Moseley, E. Eadie, C. T. A. Brown and K. Wood (2018) Quantifying direct DNA damage in the basal layer of skin exposed to UV radiation from sunbeds. *Photochem. Photobiol* **94**, 1017–1025.
- Barnard, I. R. M., E. Eadie and K. Wood (2020) Further evidence that far-UVC for disinfection is unlikely to cause erythema or premutagenic DNA lesions in skin. *Photodermatol. Photoimmunol. Photomed* 36, 476–477.
- Zhang, Y., J. Zhu and N. Zhang (2017) Monte Carlo model of light transport in multi-layered tubular organs. In *International Conference* on Optical and Photonics Engineering (icOPEN 2016) Vol. 10250, p. 102500D. SPIE. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2266742.
- North, P. R. J., J. A. B. Rosette, J. C. Suárez and S. O. Los (2010) A Monte Carlo radiative transfer model of satellite waveform LiDAR. *Int. J. Remote Sens* **31**, 1343–1358.
- Ruggiero, E., S. Alonso-De Castro, A. Habtemariam and L. Salassa (2016) Upconverting nanoparticles for the near infrared photoactivation of transition metal complexes: New opportunities and challenges in medicinal inorganic photochemistry. *Dalt. Trans* 45, 13012– 13020.
- McMillan, L., P. O'Mahoney, K. Feng, K. Zheng, I. R. M. Barnard, C. Li, S. Ibbotson, E. Eadie, C. T. A. Brown and K. Wood (2020)

Development of a predictive Monte Carlo radiative transfer model for ablative fractional skin lasers. *Lasers Surg. Med.* **53**(5), 731–740.

- Wood, K. and R. Reynolds (1999) A model for the scattered light contribution and polarization of the diffuse hα galactic background. *Astrophys. J.* 525, 799–807.
- Iglesias-Guitian, J. A., C. Aliaga, A. Jarabo and D. Gutierrez (2015) A biophysically-based model of the optical properties of skin aging. *Computer Graphics Forum* 34(2), 45–55.
- Karsten, A. E. and J. E. Smit (2012) Modeling and verification of melanin concentration on human skin type. *Photochem. Photobiol* 88, 469–474.
- Meglinski, I. V. and S. J. Matcher (2002) Quantitative assessment of skin layers absorption and skin reflectance spectra simulation in the visible and near-infrared spectral regions. *Physiol. Meas* 23, 741– 753.
- Sand, M., T. Gambichler, G. Moussa, F. G. Bechara, D. Sand, P. Altmeyer and K. Hoffmann (2006) Evaluation of the epidermal refractive index measured by optical coherence tomography. *Ski. Res. Technol* 12, 114–118.
- Bashkatov, A. N., E. A. Genina and V. V. Tuchin (2011) Optical properties of skin, subcutaneous, and muscle tissues: A review. J. Innov. Opt. Health Sci 4, 9–38.
- Van Gemert, M. J. C., S. L. Jacques, H. J. C. M. Sterenborg and W. M. Star (1989) Skin optics. *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng* 36, 1146– 1154.
- 23. Lister, T., P. A. Wright and P. H. Chappell (2012) Optical properties of human skin. J. Biomed. Opt 17, 0909011.
- Everett, M. A., E. Yeargers, R. M. Sayre and R. L. Olson (1966) Penetration of epidermis by ultraviolet rays. *Photochem. Photobiol* 5, 533–542.
- Wan, S., R. R. Anderson and J. A. Parrish (1981) Analytical modeling for the optical properties of the skin with in vitro and in vivo applications. *Photochem. Photobiol* 34, 493–499.
- Fitzpatrick, T. B. (1988) The validity and practicality of sun-reactive skin types I through VI. Arch. Dermatol 124, 869–871.
- 27. Jacques, S. L. (1998) Skin Optics. Oregon Med. Laser Cent. News 1, 1–9.
- Bashkatov, A. N., E. A. Genina, V. I. Kochubey and V. V. Tuchin (2005) Optical properties of human skin, subcutaneous and mucous tissues in the wavelength range from 400 to 2000 nm. *J. Phys. D. Appl. Phys* 38, 2543–2555.
- Sandby-Møller, J., T. Poulsen and H. C. Wulf (2003) Epidermal thickness at different body sites: relationship to age, gender, pigmentation, blood content, skin type and smoking habits. *Acta Derm. Venereol* 83, 410–413.

- Chirikhina, E., A. Chirikhin, P. Xiao, S. Dewsbury-Ennis and F. Bianconi (2020) In vivo assessment of water content, trans-epidermial water loss and thickness in human facial skin. *Appl. Sci.* 10(17), 6139.
- 31. Del Rosso, J. Q. and J. Levin (2011) The clinical relevance of maintaining the functional integrity of the stratum corneum in both healthy and disease-affected skin. J. Clin. Aesthet. Dermatol 4, 22.
- Nielsen, K., L. Zhao, J. Stamnes, K. Stamnes and J. Moan (2008) The optics of human skin: Aspects important for human health. *Sol. Radiat. Hum. Heal* 1, 35–46.
- 33. Mignon, C., D. J. Tobin, M. Zeitouny and N. E. Uzunbajakava (2018) Shedding light on the variability of optical skin properties: finding a path towards more accurate prediction of light propagation in human cutaneous compartments. *Biomed. Opt. Express* 9, 852.
- Meinhardt, M., R. Krebs, A. Anders, U. Heinrich and H. Tronnier (2008) Wavelength-dependent penetration depths of ultraviolet radiation in human skin. J. Biomed. Opt 13, 044030.
- Lock-Andersen, J., P. Therkildsen, F. De Fine Olivarius, M. Gniadecka, K. Dahlstrøm, T. Poulsen and H. C. Wulf (1997) Epidermal thickness, skin pigmentation and constitutive photosensitivity. *Photodermatol. Photoimmunol. Photomed* 13, 153–158.
- Waterston, K., L. Naysmith and J. L. Rees (2005) Variation in skin thickness may explain some of the within-person variation in ultraviolet radiation-induced erythema at different body sites. *J. Invest. Dermatol* 124, 1078.
- Ash, C., M. Dubec, K. Donne and T. Bashford (2017) Effect of wavelength and beam width on penetration in light-tissue interaction using computational methods. *Lasers Med. Sci* 32, 1909–1918.
- 38. Ling, T. C., T. H. Clayton, J. Crawley, L. S. Exton, V. Goulden, S. Ibbotson, K. McKenna, M. F. Mohd Mustapa, L. E. Rhodes, R. Sarkany, R. S. Dawe, P. M. McHenry, J. R. Hughes, M. Griffiths, A. J. McDonagh, D. A. Buckley, I. Nasr, V. J. Swale, C. E. Duarte Williamson, N. J. Levell, T. Leslie, E. Mallon, S. Wakelin, P. Hunasehally, M. J. Cork, S. Ungureanu, J. Donnelly, K. Towers, C. Saunders, R. Davis, A. G. Brain, L. S. Exton and M. F. Mohd Mustapa (2016) British Association of Dermatologists and British Photodermatology Group guidelines for the safe and effective use of psoralen-ultraviolet A therapy 2015. Br. J. Dermatol. 174, 24–55.
- Kreuter, A., J. Hyun, M. Stücker, A. Sommer, P. Altmeyer and T. Gambichler (2006) A randomized controlled study of low-dose UVA1, medium-dose UVA1, and narrowband UVB phototherapy in the treatment of localized scleroderma. *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol* 54, 440–447.