



# The Stories we Tell: Memory, Engagement(s), and Donbas

**SPECIAL COLLECTION:  
SLAVIC STUDIES**

**ARTICLES – RUSSIAN &  
EASTERN EUROPEAN  
LANGUAGES**

**PRECIOUS N CHATTERJE-DOODY** 

**DARYA TSYMBALYUK** 

*\*Author affiliations can be found in the back matter of this article*



## **ABSTRACT**

This video essay presents the authors' critical-creative engagement with the testimonies of people recently displaced from Donetsk and Luhansk oblasts in Ukraine. Shifting between shadows of plants, drawings and words the video reflects on the ways experiences of displacement and war are narrated, and what kind of stories often remain untold.

**CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:**

**Darya Tsybalyuk**

University of St Andrews, GB

[dt43@st-andrews.ac.uk](mailto:dt43@st-andrews.ac.uk)

---

**TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:**

Chatterje-Doody, PN and Tsybalyuk, D 2021 The Stories we Tell: Memory, Engagement(s), and Donbas. *Modern Languages Open*, 2021(1): 6 pp. 1–3. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3828/mlo.v0i0.357>



<https://youtu.be/5JMI8SjJABM>

This video essay presents the authors' critical-creative engagement with the testimonies of people recently displaced from Donetsk and Luhansk oblasts in Ukraine.

Drawings and interviews by Darya Tsybalyuk, words and narration by Precious N Chatterje-Doody.

Palaeobotanical materials sourced from M.D. Zalessky and H.TH. Tchirkova, *Iskopayemaya Flora Srednego Otdela Kamennougol'nykh Otlozheniy Donetskogo Basseyna* (Leningrad: Glavnaya Redaktsiya Gorno-toplivnoy i Geologo-razvedochnoy Literatury, 1938);

Oral histories recorded by Darya Tsybalyuk in 2015–2019.

## TRANSCRIPT

Donbas: known to the world as but a backdrop. The site of a story about “proxy war” between Ukraine and Russia. But why privilege the tales told by states when we discuss war and conflict? What do we miss when we do that? What could we learn by engaging the public at the heart of our research? And, could more people benefit if we started presenting the stories that we uncover in more engaging ways?

More than 1.3 million people have been internally displaced from Donbas. Uprooted and transplanted elsewhere, their stories tell of Donbas not as a backdrop but an ecosystem. Like all of our lived environments, it has been cultivated over time out of communities' interactions with the landscape around them and with the other species that inhabit it. These interactions and their legacies persist through conflict and displacement.

So, what if we put aside the official “facts” and “figures” of conflict for just a moment? What if we consider how people live it in the everyday? As well as knowing the grand narratives of the “experts”, what if we could hear, see, feel, and show the mundane realities of conflict as it is lived? People displaced by war tell us tales that highlight how people and plants sustain each other... how caring and cultivation can bring comfort and normality. This form of knowledge also matters.

And, with the grief of loss, everyday sensory experiences can somehow become more salient in memory. Memories full of casual observations about sights, sounds, and surroundings that betray how humans, insects and animals together create our lived environments—a cycle that continues through and beyond conflict.

For, even academic researchers recognize that emotions matter when studying war, conflict, and its impact. And, people's personal stories can show how far the emotion of grief stretches, beyond when human lives are lost. Its tendrils branch out into a million anxieties about plans disrupted, relationships cut short, communities scattered, and efforts unfulfilled... the realities of leaving your roots behind, of feeling that a part of your heart and soul cannot come with you. These are emotions that go on to shape lives, communities, and environments.

As people and plants are transplanted from their homes and cultivate another life elsewhere, their new environments maintain these links to other times, places, and communities. And, perhaps more links are to be made. By listening and watching, illustrating and narrating, thinking and feeling—not dispassionately, but by engaging—just maybe more people will have more ways of seeing more than just a backdrop.

## AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS

**Precious N Chatterje-Doody**  [orcid.org/0000-0002-4615-158X](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4615-158X)  
Open University, GB

**Darya Tsymbalyuk**  [orcid.org/0000-0002-8293-5541](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8293-5541)  
University of St Andrews, GB

Chatterje-Doody and  
Tsymbalyuk **3**  
*Modern Languages Open*  
DOI: 10.3828/mlo.v0i0.357

### TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:

Chatterje-Doody, PN and  
Tsymbalyuk, D 2021 The  
Stories we Tell: Memory,  
Engagement(s), and Donbas.  
*Modern Languages Open*,  
2021(1):  
6 pp. 1–3. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3828/mlo.v0i0.357>

Published: 14 July 2021

### COPYRIGHT:

© 2021 The Author(s). This is an  
open-access article distributed  
under the terms of the Creative  
Commons Attribution 4.0  
International License (CC-BY  
4.0), which permits unrestricted  
use, distribution, and  
reproduction in any medium,  
provided the original author  
and source are credited. See  
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

*Modern Languages Open* is a  
peer-reviewed open access  
journal published by Liverpool  
University Press.

