

The Plant Journal (2020) doi: 10.1111/tpj.14898

# TERMINAL FLOWER-1/CENTRORADIALIS inhibits tuberisation via protein interaction with the tuberigen activation complex

Xing Zhang<sup>1,†</sup>, Raymond Campbell<sup>2,†</sup>, Laurence J. M. Ducreux<sup>2</sup>, Jennifer Morris<sup>2</sup>, Pete E. Hedley<sup>2</sup>, Elena Mellado-Ortega<sup>2</sup>, Alison G. Roberts<sup>2</sup>, Jennifer Stephens<sup>2</sup>, Glenn J. Bryan<sup>2</sup>, Lesley Torrance<sup>2,3</sup>, Sean N. Chapman<sup>2</sup>, Salomé Prat<sup>4</sup> and Mark A. Taylor<sup>2,\*</sup>

Received 18 March 2020; revised 18 May 2020; accepted 12 June 2020.

#### **SUMMARY**

Potato tuber formation is a secondary developmental programme by which cells in the subapical stolon region divide and radially expand to further differentiate into starch-accumulating parenchyma. Although some details of the molecular pathway that signals tuberisation are known, important gaps in our knowledge persist. Here, the role of a member of the *TERMINAL FLOWER 1/CENTRORADIALIS* gene family (termed *StCEN*) in the negative control of tuberisation is demonstrated for what is thought to be the first time. It is shown that reduced expression of *StCEN* accelerates tuber formation whereas transgenic lines overexpressing this gene display delayed tuberisation and reduced tuber yield. Protein–protein interaction studies (yeast two-hybrid and bimolecular fluorescence complementation) demonstrate that StCEN binds components of the recently described tuberigen activation complex. Using transient transactivation assays, we show that the *StSP6A* tuberisation signal is an activation target of the tuberigen activation complex, and that co-expression of *StCEN* blocks activation of the *StSP6A* gene by StFD-Like-1. Transcriptomic analysis of transgenic lines misexpressing *StCEN* identifies early transcriptional events in tuber formation. These results demonstrate that StCEN suppresses tuberisation by directly antagonising the function of StSP6A in stolons, identifying *StCEN* as a breeding marker to improve tuber initiation and yield through the selection of genotypes with reduced *StCEN* expression.

Keywords: protein-protein interaction, Solanum tuberosum (potato), TERMINAL FLOWER 1/CENTRORA-DIALIS, tuberigen complex, tuberisation.

#### INTRODUCTION

Tuberisation in potato is a major photoperiodic developmental programme by which tubers develop from axillary underground buds at the base of the main stem. These buds are activated and grow diagravitropically to form specialised below-ground branches called stolons. On tuberisation, longitudinal growth of the stolon ceases, whereas the subapical stolon region initiates radial growth (Viola et al., 2001). Tuberisation is promoted by long nights, cool temperatures and low rates of nitrogen fertilisation, and depends on the physiological age of the seed tuber (reviewed in Rodriguez-Falcon et al., 2006). The earliness of

tuberisation dictates the time to crop maturity and is therefore a crucial factor in potato agronomy. Varieties that reach maturity quickly are particularly beneficial when potato is used as an intercrop or in environments where growing seasons are short due to climatic conditions and disease pressure (Adavi *et al.*, 2018).

The molecular mechanisms that control tuber formation have been investigated in detail over the past few decades. Recent breakthroughs have demonstrated that the tuberisation signal is encoded by an ortholog of *FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT)* termed *StSP6A* (Abelenda *et al.*, 2011; Navarro *et al.*, 2011). *StSP6A* and *FT* encode small globular

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>College of Life Science and Technology, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, China,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Cell and Molecular Sciences, The James Hutton Institute, Invergowrie, Dundee DD2 5DA, UK,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>School of Biology, Biomolecular Sciences Building, University of St Andrews, North Haugh, St Andrews, Fife, Y16 9ST, UK, and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Centro Nacional de Biotecnología, C/Darwin no. 3, Campus de Cantoblanco, Madrid 28049, Spain

<sup>\*</sup>For correspondence (e-mail mark.taylor@hutton.ac.uk).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>These authors made an equal contribution.

proteins belonging to the phosphatidylethanolamine-binding protein (PEBP) family. The StSP6A mobile 'tuberigen' signal is produced in the leaves and moves to the stolon tip where tuberisation is initiated. Expression of this signal is amplified during transport by an autoregulatory mechanism (Navarro et al., 2011) that is not yet fully understood. Genetic screens of various populations and association panels for earliness of tuberisation, using whole-plant senescence as a proxy for tuber initiation, identified alleles of CYCLING DOF FACTOR 1 (StCDF1) as one of the key components in tuberisation signalling which mediates interaction between the circadian clock and StSP6A expression in the leaves (Kloosterman et al., 2013). In early maturing tuberosum cultivars, naturally occurring alleles of StCDF1 evade light-dependent protein destabilisation and enable tuberisation under long days. These findings, together with other results implicating CONSTANS-like 1 (StCOL1) and Phytochrome B (PhyB) in the day length pathway, led to a detailed model for tuberisation control (Kloosterman et al., 2013) in which StCOL1 inhibits tuberisation during long days via the transcriptional control of StSP5G, another member of the FT gene family that acts as a repressor of StSP6A (Abelenda et al., 2016). StCOL1 must be stabilised to induce StSP5G, in a process that requires active PhyB. As such, the inductive capacity of StCOL1 is predominant when its expression coincides with daytime, which occurs under long days (or short nights). StCDF1 is shown to act as a repressor of StCOL1, while complex formation with the circadian clock components GIGANTEA and FKF1 triggers destabilisation of this negative regulator. In potato genotypes expressing truncated StCDF1 alleles that lack the C-terminal FKF1-interacting region, strict photoperiodic control of tuberisation is then lost due to stabilisation of StCDF1 protein, which leads to constitutive repression of StCOL1 and defective expression of StSP5G (Kloosterman et al., 2013).

As well as StSP6A, other phloem mobile elements are important in tuberisation signalling (Hannapel and Banerjee, 2017). These include StBEL5 mRNA, the transcripts for other StBEL family members (Ghate et al., 2017) and a number of small regulatory RNAs that affect tuberisation signalling (Martin et al., 2009; Eviatar-Ribak et al., 2013; Lehretz et al., 2019). StBEL5 functions upstream of StSP6A and is able to induce genes involved in tuber development via its interaction with POTH1, a KNOTTED 1-type transcription factor (Mahajan et al., 2012). Other members of the BEL1-like gene family in potato also encode mobile signals, and StBEL11 and StBEL29 were recently demonstrated to antagonise the function of StBEL5 (Ghate et al., 2017). This suggests that, as for flowering, additional pathways may converge to control tuberisation, although it is remarkable that allelic copies encoding truncated forms of StCDF1 are present in all modern potato cultivars. Indeed, due to their dominant character, these variants had a major role in

driving tuberisation under longer day lengths on the introduction of potato to Europe (Gutaker et al., 2019), although direct tuber initiation studies showed that genetic variation at loci other than the earliness locus also exerts an effect on tuberisation time (Van den Berg et al., 1996; Kittipadukal et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2014). Genes underlying these quantitative trait loci (QTLs) remain to be identified.

Arabidopsis FT interacts in the shoot apex with the basic leucine zipper (bZIP) transcription factor FD to form a hexameric complex with 14-3-3 proteins, termed the florigen activation complex (FAC). The interaction of these proteins leads to the activation of several downstream MADS-box floral identity genes such as APETALA1 and the initiation of floral induction (Abe et al., 2005; Wigge et al., 2005). The FD protein is also shown to interact with the flowering repressor TERMINAL FLOWER-1 (TFL1), homologous to CENTRORADIALIS (CEN), to form a transcriptional inhibitory complex repressing the same floral identity genes that are induced by FT (Hanano and Goto, 2011). This indicates that FD is required for TFL-1 activity, and that FT and TFL-1 function antagonistically. Lineage-specific duplications of the FT- and TFL1-like genes have led to redundancy and neo-functionalisation within species, with far-reaching impacts on plant architecture. Stable mutations in TFL1-like gene copies have underpinned domestication of crops such as barley, strawberry and legumes (Comadran et al., 2012; Iwata et al., 2012; Kwak et al., 2012), and in tomato a natural mutation in the CEN homolog SELF-PRUNING (Pnueli et al., 1998) had a major beneficial impact by greatly increasing production in varieties destined for the processing industry.

Recently, an analogous complex termed the tuberigen activation complex (TAC) comprising StSP6A, St14-3-3s and StFD-LIKE1 (StFDL1) has been identified in potato (Teo et al., 2016). This complex is thought to have a regulatory role in tuber initiation by acting in an equivalent manner to the FAC. This raises the possibility that potato orthologues of TFL-1/CEN act as tuberisation inhibitors by competing with StSP6A in the tuberigen complex, thus exerting a major regulatory role in tuber initiation and development. We had identified in previous studies a TFL-1/CEN ortholoque (StCEN) on potato chromosome 3 as being the gene responsible for one of the largest effect QTLs for postharvest sprout growth (Morris et al., 2019). The direct orthologue of StCEN in tomato (Solyc03g026050) has a role in the consistent promotion of vegetative growth and delay of flowering (Hollwey et al., 2017).

We demonstrate in this study that in addition to tuber sprouting, StCEN has a major effect on tuber initiation and development, via interaction with the potato TAC StFDL1 and 14-3-3 proteins. We propose that competitive effects of StCEN on the function of TAC are part of a central mechanism in the spatial control of tuber formation and suppression of tuber fate identity of tuber sprouts.

#### **RESULTS**

#### Expression of StCEN in Desiree potato plants

The focus of this study is the functional characterisation of an orthologue of TERMINAL FLOWER 1/CENTRORADIALIS (PGSC0003DMG400014322), a member of the 13-gene FT/ TFL1 family in potato (Abelenda et al., 2014), and referred to as StCEN in this manuscript. This gene is located on chromosome 3 and was initially identified as being associated with one of the largest effect QTLs for rapid tuber sprout growth (Morris et al., 2019).

The expression pattern of StCEN in Solanum tuberosum cv. Desiree plants was determined by quantitative RT-PCR analyses of leaf, stem, root and tuber samples during 13 weeks of development (Figure S1a in the online Supporting Information). Although transcripts for the StCEN gene were detected in all organs, at week 7 its levels were higher in roots than in leaves. However, levels of this transcript increased subsequently in leaves, and by week 9 had reached levels about 2.5-fold greater than in the stem or the roots. Plants initiated tuber formation around week 6. and levels of StCEN transcript in young developing tubers harvested at week 7 were about 2500-fold lower than in leaves.

Levels of the StSP6A transcript, encoding the tuber-inducing FT signal, were also determined in the same samples (Figure S1b). Notably, StSP6A and StCEN followed similar patterns of expression in leaves, with the highest expression levels at around week 9. At this developmental stage, StSP6A expression was also induced in roots and tubers, but its levels were about 18-fold and 96-fold, respectively, lower than those measured in leaves. In stems, StSP6A transcripts were highest at week 13, reaching at this age levels similar to those in leaves.

#### Characterisation of Desiree StCEN transgenic lines

Previously, several independent StCEN transgenic lines were generated by Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of 35S-promoted overexpression (OE) and RNA interference (RNAi) constructs in potato cv. Desiree (Morris et al., 2019). Based on transcript levels in tuber sprouts, two RNAi lines and two OE lines were selected for more detailed phenotypic analysis. Notably, we observed that RNAi lines tuberised much earlier than wild-type (WT) controls, whereas tuberisation was delayed in OE lines.

In order to monitor tuberisation time in more detail, plants were grown in a hydroponic system where stolon growth and tuber initiation could be more accurately assessed. For this purpose, plantlets were grown in tissue culture for 4 weeks prior to transfer to hydroponic chambers, in a random block design. Individual plants were visually scored every 3-4 days and a value assigned according to the stage of below-ground development, using the following scale: 0, developing stolons; 1,

swelling stolons; 2, developing tubers (<1 cm); 3, tubers >1 cm (Figure 1a). Both RNAi lines initiated tubers earlier than the WT controls. The RNAi line 31, with the strongest silencing of the endogenous gene, displayed the most rapid stolon formation, tuber initiation and tuber growth. Tuber initiation in these lines was approximately 10 days earlier than in the controls. In contrast, both OE lines exhibited delayed stolon formation and tuber initiation/ growth, with the transition to tuberisation taking about four more days than in the controls.

Leaf samples were taken from these plants at days 20 and 31, and the expression levels of StCEN and StSP6A measured by gRT-PCR (Figure 1b-d). These gene expression studies confirmed a lower expression of StCEN in RNAi lines and elevated expression in OE lines. In contrast, levels of the StSP6A transcript were not significantly affected in any of the samples despite the different tuber initiation times (Figure 1d). Tubers were harvested after 76 days and weighed to measure yield (Figure 1e). For both OE lines, tuber yield was significantly less than in the WT controls (by up to about 50%), while RNAi lines showed an increased tuber yield that was particularly significant for RNAi line 31, where it was 102% greater than in the controls.


# Characterisation of the Solanum andigena 7540 genotype StCEN transgenic lines


Tuberisation in the S. andigena 7540 genotype (ADG) is strictly dependent on short day lengths (Navarro et al., 2011). To assess the role of StCEN in tuberisation more accurately, we produced transgenic StCEN RNAi and OE lines in this day-length-dependent background. Several independent lines were screened for StCEN expression level in the leaf by semi-quantitative PCR and gRT-PCR, and three of the strongest RNAi and OE lines were selected for further detailed analysis.


Plants were grown from tissue culture plantlets in controlled environment cabinets under four different day lengths, ranging from 8 to 16 h of light.


As for Desiree lines, transgenic ADG RNAi lines initiated tubers earlier and showed more rapid tuber development. Yield data at day 23 (Figure 2a) showed that under 8 h light, tuber yields were significantly higher in StCEN RNAi lines than in the WT controls. For plants grown under a 12 h day, tuber yield was also higher by up to about 50% in the RNAi lines, while in these photoperiodic conditions only one of the three WT control plants produced tubers. The higher yield of RNAi lines reflected a trend towards earlier tuberisation under this photoperiod, although this difference was not significant at the P > 95% level (Figure S2). Indeed, at later harvest times (51 days; Figure 2b), no differences in tuber yield were observed between the RNAi lines and controls. Also, for 12 h days, tuber yields were higher in both the WT and RNAi plants, indicating

### 4 Xing Zhang et al.












Figure 1. Characterisation of Desiree StCEN transgenic lines

(a) Assessment of stolon growth and tuber development in hydroponically grown Desiree StCEN transgenic lines compared with wild-type Desiree control (WT). Stolon/tuber development was scored by visual assessment using the following scale: 0, developing stolons; 1, swelling stolons; 2, developing tubers (<1 cm); 3, tubers >1 cm. All data are represented as mean values  $\pm$  SE of 12 independent biological replicates. RNAi, RNA interference. (b)-(e) The expression of StCEN in Desiree StCEN RNAi lines (b), StCEN overexpression (OE) lines (c) and StSP6A (in RNAi and OE lines) (d) was analysed in leaves from hydroponically grown Desiree plants, harvested at 20 and 31 days after transfer to hydroponic baths under glasshouse conditions. The tuber yield from hydroponically grown plants harvested at 76 days is shown in panel (e) (FW, fresh

Expression levels were determined by quantitative RT-PCR relative to the reference gene  $StEF1\alpha$ . All data are represented as mean values  $\pm$  SE of three independent biological replicates and asterisks denote values that were significantly different between transgenic lines and wild-type controls as determined by Student's t-test (\*P < 0.05; \*\*P < 0.01; \*\*\* $P \le 0.001$ ).

that the critical day length for ADG tuberisation is close to this photoperiod. In this regard, none of the plants produced tubers under a day length of 16 h, at either harvest point, consistent with a function of StCEN in suppressing the promotive effects of day length on tuber formation.


In line with this function, tuberisation was significantly delayed in the ADG StCEN OE lines compared with the WT, similar to the previous observations in the Desiree background. In OE plants, tubers initiated 15 days later than in the RNAi lines and were harvested shortly after their differentiation in OE lines, on day 38. In this first harvest, yields were significantly lower for these plants compared with the controls, for day lengths of 8, 10 and 12 h (Figure 2c). A reduced tuber yield of the OE plants was also observed after 60 days (Figure 2d). In this second harvest, tuber yield of controls in plants grown under 12 h light was also higher than for plants grown under 8 and 10 h days, and a significant reduction in tuber yield was observed in these conditions in all three OE lines (Figure S2). However, in plants grown under 8 h days, only the

stronger OE line showed a statistically significant lower yield than the WT.

Above-ground biomass was, on the other hand, significantly increased in all OE lines compared with the WT controls, independently of whether they were grown under day lengths of 8, 10 or 12 h (Figure 2e). Also, whereas RNAi lines had a reduced above-ground biomass compared with WT when grown under 8 h days (Figure 2f), no significant difference in above-ground biomass was observed for either OE or RNAi transgenic lines under 16 h days, when tuberisation was inhibited in all genotypes (Figure 2e,f). In RNAi lines grown under 10 or 12 h days, there was a variable impact on above-ground biomass, with an increase observed for all three lines grown under 12 h days, despite there being no significant impact on tuber yield. Overall, these findings indicate that the increase in above-ground biomass in OE lines relies on a reduction or total inhibition of tuberisation, and that accelerated tuber initiation and development in the RNAi lines has a less clear-cut impact on above-ground biomass.

Figure 2. Tuber yield and above-ground biomass in StCEN transgenic Solanum andigena 7540 genotype (ADG) lines.

Tuber weight yield (DW, dry weight) in ADG RNA interference (RNAi) lines (panels a and b) and ADG OE lines (panels c and d). Plants were grown in pots under growth cabinet conditions at different day lengths (8, 10, 12 h) and were harvested at days 23 and 51 (RNAi lines) and 38 and 60 [overexpression (OE) lines]. All data are represented as mean values  $\pm$  SE of three independent biological replicates and asterisks denote values that were significantly different between transgenic lines and wild-type (WT) controls as determined by Student's t-test  $(*P \le 0.05; **P \le 0.01; ***P \le 0.001)$ . Above-ground biomass yield of ADG OE lines (e) and ADG RNAi lines (f). Plants were grown in pots under growth cabinet conditions at different day lengths (8, 10, 12, 16 h) and were harvested at 51 days (RNAi lines) and 60 days (OE lines). All data are represented as mean values  $\pm$  SE of three independent biological replicates and asterisks denote values that were significantly different between transgenic lines and wild-type controls as determined by Student's *t*-test (\* $P \le 0.05$ ; \*\* $P \le 0.01$ ; \*\*\* $P \le 0.001$ ).



Major effects on flower development were also observed in the StCEN transgenic lines. For WT, RNAi and OE lines, no flower buds or open flowers were observed in plants grown under 8 and 10 h days. In addition, no signs of flower bud initiation were observed in OE lines grown under 12 and 16 h days (Figure 3a,c). In the RNAi lines, however, flower buds developed up to 15 days earlier than the WT under 12 h light, and 7 days earlier under 16 h days (Figure 3b,d). Also, open flowers were observed earlier in both the WT and RNAi lines under 16 h compared with 12 h days.

We analysed the expression levels of StSP6A and StCEN in the 8, 12 and 16 h day leaf and stolon samples from RNAi (day 23) and OE (day 38) lines (Figure 4a-d). Notably, differences in the StSP6A expression pattern were more marked in stolons than the leaf samples, and these effects were opposite in the RNAi (Figure 4a,b) and OE lines (Figure 4c,d). Differences in StSP6A expression were minimal in leaves. However, in stolon samples from all three RNAi lines, the StSP6A expression level was markedly higher (by more than 100-fold) than in the WT under all day

lengths (Figure 4b). A similar trend was observed in OE lines (Figure 4c,d). Whereas StSP6A expression was reduced by only up to fourfold in OE leaves, in stolon samples from OE plants grown under 8 and 12 h days, StSP6A transcript levels were reduced by 11 500-fold (Figure 4c,d). This trend was not observed in stolons from 16 h day plants, where StSP6A approached the limits of detection in both the WT and transgenic lines.

StCEN expression was also measured in leaf and stolons from the transgenic lines and WT controls (Figure 4g,h). Significantly higher levels of StCEN expression (by up to 200-fold) were detected in the leaves of OE lines (Figure 4g). In stolons, StCEN expression levels were also higher compared with WT values, although the fold increase was less than in leaves (a maximum of 16-fold; Figure 4h). StCEN expression showed the opposite pattern in StCEN RNAi lines (significantly lower in leaf samples from StCEN RNAi lines than in the corresponding controls; Figure 4e), while differences in stolons were statistically significant only for 8 h day samples from RNAi lines 11 and 28 (Figure 4f).

# Transcriptional profiles associated with altered *StCEN* expression in stolons from transgenic lines

Transcriptomic analyses were performed using a custom Agilent microarray containing probes representing transcripts of all 39 031 protein-coding genes predicted from the potato genome sequence (Potato Genome Sequencing Consortium, 2011). We compared transcriptional profiles in non-induced stolons from the ADG OE, RNAi and WT lines.


A pairwise comparison of transcripts from RNAi lines and WT identified 4635 genes that were differentially expressed ( $\log_2 \geq 0.5$  or  $\leq 0.5$ ,  $P \leq 0.05$ ). Using k-means clustering this gene set was grouped into four main clusters (Figure S3). Cluster 1 (1215 genes) contained transcripts weakly upregulated in RNAi lines whereas Cluster 2 contained 1260 transcripts strongly upregulated in RNAi lines compared with WT and OE lines. Clusters 3 (1202 genes) and 4 (958 genes) contained transcripts weakly (Cluster 3) or strongly (Cluster 4) downregulated compared with the WT and OE lines. Lists of genes associated with the four k-means clusters are provided in Table S1.

Based on previous literature, we selected genes that had been associated with early tuberisation and show that these genes are in fact upregulated in the RNAi lines compared with WT and OE lines (Figure 5a). Further analysis revealed that three MADS-box genes encoding StMADS17 (PGSC0003DMG400028359), StMADS107 (PGSC0003DMG4 00001143) and a previously undescribed StMADS box factor (PGSC0003DMG400046931) located on chromosome 10 are differentially expressed in RNAi stolons. An earlier genome-wide survey of the potato MADS-box family (Gao

et al., 2018) identified StMADS1, -3, -11, -12, -13, -17 and -27 as potential downstream targets of StSP6A. In our analysis, however, only StMADS17 showed a strong and consistent upregulation in stolons of the StCEN RNAi lines (Figure 5), while the rest of the MADS-box genes potentially targeted by StSP6A did not show any altered expression (Data S2). More remarkably, in the set of strongly upregulated genes in Cluster 2, we identified several transcripts encoding for germin-like proteins, described in Arabidopsis as located in the plasmodesmata (Ham et al., 2012). Transcripts annotated as germin3, -4 and -12 are in fact expressed at levels between about 200- and 700-fold higher in the stolons from RNAi lines than in those from controls (Figure 5b), suggesting that this family of proteins may have a relevant role in the switch in from apoplastic to symplastic sucrose unloading, marking initiation of tubers (Viola et al., 2001).

# StCEN interacts with components of the tuberigen complex


The phenotype of the *StCEN* transgenic lines, in both the Desiree and ADG backgrounds, suggests that StCEN is a negative regulator of tuberisation. The TFL-1 CEN homologue has been shown in Arabidopsis to function as a floral inhibitor that competes with FT for interaction with the FD bZIP factor in the assembly of the florigen activation complex. Binding of TFL-1 to the 14-3-3s and FD proteins does not activate downstream genes and results in inhibition of flowering (Hanano and Goto, 2011). We therefore investigated whether the inhibitory effects of StCEN on



7540 genotype (ADG) transgenic lines. Floral development in ADG *StCEN* overexpression (OE) (panels a and c) and RNA interference (RNAi) (panels b and d) lines grown in cabinets under day lengths of 12 h (a,b) and 16 h (c,d). All data are represented on the part of the control of the contro

Figure 3. Floral development of Solanum andigena

lengths of 12 h (a,b) and 16 h (c,d). All data are represented as mean values  $\pm$  SE of six independent biological replicates. Asterisks denote values that were significantly different between transgenic lines and wild-type (WT) controls as determined by Student's t-test (\* $P \le 0.05$ ; \*\* $P \le 0.01$ ; \*\*\* $P \le 0.001$ ).







Figure 4. StSP6A and StCEN expression patterns in Solanum andigena 7540 genotype (ADG) transgenic lines.

The expression of StSP6A (a-d) and StCEN (e-h) in leaves (a.c.e.g) and stolons (b.d.f.h) of ADG RNA interference (RNAi) lines (a,b,e,f) and overexpression (OE) lines (c,d,g,h) was analysed in plants cultivated in growth cabinets for 23 days (RNAi lines) and 38 days (OE lines) under day lengths of 8, 12 or 16 h. Expression levels were determined by quantitative RT-PCR relative to the reference gene StEF1a and are expressed on a log scale. All data are represented as mean values  $\pm$  SE of three independent biological replicates and asterisks denote values that were significantly different between transgenic lines and wild-type (WT) controls as determined by Student's *t*-test (\* $P \le 0.05$ ; \*\* $P \le 0.01$ ; \*\*\* $P \le 0.01$ ; 0.001).



tuberisation could be due to competition with StSP6A for interaction with the FD-like factors comprising the tuberigen activator or TAC complex (Teo et al., 2016). Firstly, we amplified the open reading frames (ORFs) of recently identified potato FD (StFD) and FD-like (StFDL1a) proteins from a Desiree cDNA and used these fragments to build yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) constructs to test whether StCEN is able to bind each of the putative tuberigen components. Based on these Y2H assays, StCEN was observed to physically interact with StFD and StFDL1a (Figure 6a). Also, the StCEN protein shares four amino acid residues that are conserved in other FT family members and are essential for binding 14-3-3 proteins (Teo et al., 2016). Thus, a mutated StCEN construct in which these four amino acid codons were replaced (R64K/P96L/F103A/R132K) was used to test whether interaction with StFD and StFDL1a was dependent on 14-3-3 binding as observed for StSP6A (Teo et al., 2016). For the StCENmRPFR mutant, no interaction with StFD or StFDL1a was detected in the Y2H interaction

assays (Figure 6b), demonstrating that this interaction is mediated by 14-3-3s.

Bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) with split-yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) was used to confirm and localise these interactions in planta. Since the two halves of YFP were reported to have a propensity to reassemble in the absence of a bona fide protein-protein interaction, resulting in a weak fluorescent false-positive signal (Horstman et al., 2014), a quantitative approach was used for these studies. Differences in signal strength were measured after co-infiltration with the two split-YFP protein fusions, using negative controls where the protein binding motif had been mutated in one of the interacting partners. In this way, mean pixel intensities for yellow fluorescence were determined from low-magnification images collected from random fields on the two opposing agro-infiltrated half-leaves. Each half-leaf expressed one putative interacting protein fused to the C-terminal portion of split-YFP (YC) in combination with either WT or mutant forms of the other putative interacting protein fused to the N-terminal portion of split-YFP (YN).

When YN-StCEN was co-expressed with YC-FD, yellow fluorescence was observed primarily in the nuclei of cells, clearly visible at low magnification as a single, bright spot in each fluorescent epidermal cell (Figure 6c). In contrast, a much weaker yellow fluorescence was observed in cells that co-expressed YN-StCENm<sup>RPFR</sup> with YC-FD and imaged using the same microscope settings (Figure 6d). Similar fluorescence patterns were obtained for interactions of StFDL1a with StCEN and StCENm<sup>RPFR</sup>.

Quantitative imaging of random fields similar to those shown in Figure 6(c,d) was used to confirm that the YN-StCEN fusion gave significantly higher levels of yellow fluorescence than the YN-StCENm<sup>RPFR</sup> fusion in combination with the YC-StFD and YC-StFDL1a fusions (Figure 6E, Data S3).

Higher-magnification images of single nuclei showed that reconstituted YFP fluorescence was distributed throughout the nucleoplasm and in discrete subnucleolar bodies (Figure 6f–h). The same pattern of fluorescence was seen for both WT and mutant forms of YN-StCEN, when expressed in combination with either YC-StFD or YC-StFDL1a, although the signal obtained for StCENmRPFR was much weaker than that for the WT protein.

StSP6A was described to interact with a strong binding affinity with each of the 11 14-3-3 proteins identified in potato, and this interaction is required for binding of





Figure 5. Heat map representation of selected transcripts associated with early tuberisation in stolons from *Solanum andigena* 7540 genotype (ADG) transgenic lines

Heat map representation of selected transcripts associated with early tuberisation (a) and germin transcripts (b) which are differentially expressed in stolons from ADG StCEN RNA interference (RNAi) lines compared with wild-type (WT) and overexpression (OE) lines. Heat maps were constructed using Morpheus matrix visualisation and analysis software, (https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus) and log<sub>2</sub>-transformed normalised expression values.






StSP6A to FD (Teo et al., 2016). Thus, it was suggested that the apparent StSP6A and StFD/StFDL1a interaction seen in the Y2H system was probably mediated by the endogenous yeast 14-3-3 proteins (Teo et al., 2016). We therefore assessed the interaction of StCEN with two selected potato 14-3-3 proteins (St14-3-3a or St14-3-3f), both in Y2H and plant cell BiFC, to investigate whether StCEN binds these proteins. Interaction of these two proteins in Y2H was weak, but a clear fluorescent signal could be detected in BiFC assays. Quantification of the yellow fluorescence obtained with YN-StCEN fusions showed that this was significantly greater than for the YN-StCENmRFPR fusions in plant cells infiltrated with either the YC-St14-3-3a or the YC-St14-3-3f fusions (Figure 6e).

In epidermal cells infiltrated with the YN-StCEN and YN-StCENmRFPR proteins, in combination with YC-St14-3-3a and YC-St14-3-3f, yellow fluorescence was primarily observed in the cytoplasm (Figure 6i). However, a subpopulation of epidermal cells expressing the WT YN-StCEN and YC-St14-3-3a fusions also showed punctate fluorescent

Figure 6. Interaction between StCEN and StFD and StFDL1a proteins.

Yeast two-hybrid assays demonstrating the interaction between StCEN and StFD and StFDL1a proteins (a) and loss of interaction in the StCEN-mRPFR mutant (b) (3AT, 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole). The empty vector pDEST22 was used as a negative control. Fluorescence from reconstituted yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) is shown in yellow and chlorophyll fluorescence (chloroplasts) is shown in magenta. (c), (d) Low-magnification z-stack projections of tissue infiltrated with paired constructs: YN-StCEN and YC-FD (c) or YN-StCENmRFPR and YC-FD (d), collected from opposite half-leaves under the same imaging conditions. Fluorescence was primarily in nuclei, with a very weak cytoplasmic signal occasionally visible. The wild-type protein fusion (c) showed brighter nuclear fluorescence than the mutant protein fusions (d). Quantification of the difference in interaction between wild-type and mutant protein pairs is shown in (e). Each bar represents the ratio of mean quantified fluorescence in bimolecular fluorescence complementation experiments between one YC partner (the C-terminal portion of split-YFP) and a pair of YN proteins (N-terminal portion of split-YFP); wild-type versus mutant in each case (i.e. the left-hand bar shows the ratio of fluorescence between (YC-FD+YN-StCEN) and (YC-FD+YN-StCENmRFPR). Mean pixel intensity values in the YFP channel of random fields from maximum intensity projections ( $n \ge 10$ ) were used to calculate the ratios, where each pair of constructs were imaged under the same conditions from opposing halfleaves. The larger the ratio, the greater the reduction in fluorescence observed with the mutant protein compared with the wild-type. The significance of the reduction in fluorescence was tested using a one-tailed t-test and represented using asterisks:  $*P < 5 \times 10^3$ ;  $**P < 1 \times 10^6$ ; \*\*\*P <1 × 10<sup>7</sup>. (f)–(h) Higher-magnification images of representative nuclei showing the localisation of reconstituted YFP in the following pairs of constructs: YN-StCEN and YC-FD (f); YN-StCEN and YC-FDL1a (g); YN-StCENmRFPR and YC-FD (h). In each case, the protein interaction leads to YFP fluorescence in the nucleoplasm and nucleolus. Within the nucleolus (outlined in (h) with a dotted line), fluorescence is concentrated in discrete bright bodies. The nuclear localisation is consistent for both wild-type and mutant protein combinations. (i), (j) Showing the fluorescence when YN-StCEN and YC-14-3-3 are co-expressed. In epidermal tissue (i), fluorescence is predominantly cytoplasmic, with some cells also showing bright punctate spots at the cell periphery (arrowheads). In contrast, mesophyll cells (j) typically show no, or low levels, of cytoplasmic signal, and fluorescence is highly localised to bright, punctate spots which again localise to the cell periphery, evident at cell junctions (arrowheads). The scale bar in (d) represents 100  $\mu m$  (for c and d), 5  $\mu$ m in (f)–(h) and in (j) 20  $\mu$ m (for i and j).

spots at the cell periphery (Figure 6i, arrows). In contrast, the fluorescent signal of mesophyll cells was fainter in the cytoplasm (Figure 6j), while it displayed a punctate distribution in the cell periphery, which was observed as



aligned spots at the junction between two cells (Figure 6), arrows).

The StFD and StFDL1a proteins share a conserved C-terminal S/TAP motif, whose phosphorylation is essential for binding 14-3-3 proteins and for their regulatory function (Teo et al., 2016). A T224A substitution in this motif was shown to disrupt interaction of potato StFDL1a with both StSP6A and St14-3-3 proteins (Teo et al., 2016). The T224A mutation was introduced into YN-StFDL1a, both WT and mutant forms of this protein giving rise, on co-expression with YC-StCEN, to YFP fluorescence that was primarily localised in the nucleus (cf. Figures 6f-h). However, guantification of the YFP signals showed that the T224A mutant protein leads to a significantly weaker signal than the WT (Figure 6e), in a similar way to that observed for mutations in StCEN disrupting the 14-3-3 interaction motif, in support of interaction with 14-3-3s being essential for TAC complex formation.

# StCEN suppresses TAC activation of the StSP6A and germin3 genes

To demonstrate that StCEN and StSP6A exert antagonistic effects on StSP6A transcription, transient transactivation assays were performed. Our observation that alterations in StSP6A expression levels were higher in the stolons of StCEN OE and RNAi plants than in the leaves indeed suggested that StSP6A is a direct target of the StFDL1a factor in the stolons. To test this hypothesis, a 2.64 kb promoter region upstream of the StSP6A start codon was fused to the luciferase gene (p6A::LUC) and used as a reporter construct in transactivation assays. This reporter was agro-infiltrated into Nicotiana benthamiana leaves, alone or in combination with effector constructs expressing the StFDL1a, StCEN and StSP6A proteins under control of the XVE (StFDL1a) or 35S promoters (StSP6A, StCEN and StCENm), to the estradiol-responsive or constitutive expression of these proteins (Figure 7a). Leaves co-expressing the StSP6A and StFDL1a proteins showed in these assays near to three-fold higher LUC expression levels than those infiltrated with the p6A::LUC reporter alone. Higher LUC levels were also observed on single expression of the StFDL1a factor, although fold induction in this case was less than with the combined StSP6A and StFDL1a proteins. Increased LUC activity was also only detected after estradiol application, demonstrating that StFDL1a is required for this activation. StSP6A-dependent induction of its own promoter, on the other hand, was suppressed by StCEN but not the StCENm mutant protein defective in 14-3-3 interaction (Figure 7a). These results suggest that the co-expressed StSP6A and StFDL1a proteins interact with N. benthamiana 14-3-3s in the formation of a TAC targeting the p6A promoter, while StCEN suppresses this activation, probably by interfering with recruitment of StSP6A into this complex. Moreover, our

observation that WT StCEN, but not StCENm defective in 14-3-3 interaction, suppresses StSP6A co-activation of the LUC reporter, indicates that 14-3-3s have a critical role in facilitating interaction of StSP6A with StFDL1a, and therefore in TAC formation.

The greatly enhanced expression of germin transcripts in StCEN RNAi lines compared with controls prompted us to investigate whether these genes are also targeted by the TAC. We selected germin3 (PGSC0003DMT400046995) for these analyses as it was the most strongly upregulated gene copy and has a predicted transit peptide. A construct containing 1845 nucleotides upstream of the presumed start methionine codon was fused to luciferase and used as a reporter as described for the StSP6A reporter. As for the StSP6A reporter, co-infiltration with the StFDL1a and StSP6A constructs resulted in a strong induction of the germin3 reporter (Figure 7b). Co-expression of StCEN with StFDL1a and StSP6A significantly reduced activation of the germin3 reporter, whereas StCENm mutated in the amino acids required for 14-3-3 protein interaction lacked any inhibitory effect. Overall, these data strongly indicate that germin3 is a direct target of the TAC complex.

#### **DISCUSSION**


#### The role of StCEN in the tuber life cycle


Previously we demonstrated a powerful effect of changes in StCEN expression on the growth of tuber sprouts during storage (Morris et al., 2019). Decreased StCEN expression levels in RNAi lines were associated with higher rates of tuber sprout growth, whereas StCEN OE transgenic lines exhibited a lower rate of sprouting than controls. In this study, we extended these observations by showing that StCEN also has a role in tuber initiation and development. We tracked StCEN transgenic lines in the variety Desiree for stolon formation, tuber initiation time and tuber growth using hydroponically grown plants, which facilitated observation of stolon and tuber development without disrupting plant growth. In this system, StCEN RNAi lines tuberised significantly earlier (as much as 10 days in some transgenic lines) than controls, while StCEN OE lines displayed reduced rates of stolon growth and delayed tuber initiation (Figure 1). Similar effects on tuber initiation and development were also observed in transgenic lines in the ADG background. Interestingly, in this strict day length-dependent genotype, effects of StCEN silencing in promoting tuberisation were more prominent under short days (Figure 2a), whereas the tuberisation delay in OE lines was stronger under long days (Figure 2d). In the ADG genotype, expression of the StSP6A tuberisation signal is induced in short days. Day length-dependent effects of StCEN mis-expression therefore indicate that StCEN inhibits tuber initiation and tuber development in an StSP6Adependent fashion.

Figure 7. Transactivation of the StSP6A and Germin3 promoters and model for action of the tuberigen activation complex (TAC).

(a), (b) Luciferase (LUC) activity luminescence (counts per second, c.p.s.) from transient expression studies of the effector constructs expressing the StFDL1a, StCEN and StSP6A proteins under control of the XVF estradiol-inducible (FDL1) and CaMV 35S constitutive (StSP6A, StCEN) promoters co-transformed with the 2.64 kb StSP6A promoter fused to the LUC reporter gene (p6A::LUC) (a) and a 1.84 kb StGermin3 promoter fused to the LUC reporter gene (pgermin3::LUC) (b). Agrobacterium tumefaciens cells containing each of these constructs were co-infiltrated into Nicotiana benthamiana leaves and luciferase activity was measured at intervals of 1 h for 16 h. Error bars represent the SEM of n = 12 leaf discs at the 10 h time point. Studies were repeated three times with similar results. Values that were significantly different were determined by Student's *t*-test (\* $P \le 0.05$ ; \*\*P < 0.01).

(c) Proposed model for TAC regulation; the StSP6A, StFDL1a and 14-3-3s tuberigen activation complex activates transcription of tuber identity genes. The complex containing StCEN, StFDL1a and 14-3-3 proteins suppresses transcription of tuber identity genes. StCEN competes with StSP6A for the formation of an active TAC complex.





StSP6A StFD **Tuber identity genes** 14-3-3 StCEN **Tuber identity genes** StFD 14-3-3

Associated with the delayed tuberisation in ADG OE lines there was an increase in above-ground biomass, which suggests that carbon partitioning to tubers is reduced in these plants. This effect was less marked in the RNAi lines, although there was a trend towards a stronger reduction of above-ground biomass for short days, where effects of promotion of tuber initiation were also most obvious. We also noted significant effects of StCEN on flower development, with delayed flowering in OE plants and the opposite for RNAi lines. Interestingly, the recent study of Plantenga et al. (2018) demonstrated that flower bud development is improved on reducing StSP6A expression and impaired when StSP6A expression increases. Our observations were consistent with this model, with enhanced rates of flower development observed in WT ADG plants under long days, when StSP6A transcript

(c)

levels are not induced. However, in the ADG OE lines, StSP6A transcript levels were reduced in leaves compared with the WT, and the rate of floral development was also decreased. Thus, interaction between FT and FT-like signals seems to be complex and requires further studies to be fully understood. Actually, given the antagonistic effects of StSP6A and StCEN, it is rather difficult to understand why the expression patterns of these genes closely match (Figure S1), although the expression patterns are not yet known at high resolution. Nevertheless, a similar scenario is seen in Arabidopsis where TFL1 is activated by increased expression of FT, despite the antagonistic roles of TFL1 and FT in controlling flowering (Jaeger et al., 2013). A model has been proposed for floral transition, where upregulation of TFL1 in direct correlation with FT is necessary to obtain distinct developmental fate outcomes for different cells. Indeed, the concept that the balance between FT and TFL1 has a major role in controlling how apical meristem cells give rise to different structures is gaining traction (Plantenga et al., 2018; Moraes et al., 2019).

# Interaction of StCEN with components of the tuberigen complex

The TAC comprises StSP6A, St14-3-3s and StFDL1a and plays a major role in promoting tuber initiation by acting in an analogous manner to the well-characterised florigenactivation complex (Teo et al., 2016). TFL1 (analogous to CEN) is shown to compete with FT for FD interaction, leading to a complex that does not activate flowering. An antagonistic function to FT has indeed been demonstrated for TFL1 homologs of Arabidopsis (Hanano and Goto, 2011), rice (Kaneko-Suzuki et al., 2018), maize (Danilevskaya et al., 2010) and kiwi fruit (Varkonyi-Gasic et al., 2019). Thus, we hypothesise that the negative effects of StCEN on tuber initiation and development could be explained by a competitive activity with TAC function, in a manner related to that reported for the FAC complex. Our Y2H and BiFC experiments clearly demonstrate that StCEN interacts with StFD and StFDL1a. The amino acid residues that are required to facilitate interaction of PEBP with 14-3-3 proteins and subsequent FAC assembly are conserved in StCEN. Mutation of these amino acids in the potato StCEN protein resulted in a weaker interaction with endogenous N. benthamiana 14-3-3s and the co-expressed FD/FDL1 proteins, consistent with 14-3-3s having a central role in TAC assembly (Figure 6). Furthermore, mutation of the FD S/TAP motif prevents StCEN and FD interaction (Figure 6e), which further supports our hypothesis that negative effects of StCEN on tuber initiation and development are mediated by competition with StSP6A for binding to the FD/ FDL1 proteins, which impairs formation of a transcriptionally active TAC. The RNAi line 31, with the strongest silencing of the endogenous gene, displayed the most rapid stolon formation, tuber initiation and tuber growth

(Figure 1). However, the relationship between transcript level and phenotype depends on translation to protein and then competitive interaction with SP6A in the tuberigen complex, so a direct level of proportionality might not be expected.

#### Impact of StCEN on StSP6A gene expression

To analyse the effects of StCEN on expression of StSP6A, we measured StSP6A transcript levels in leaves and stolons of StCEN lines (Figure 4). Notably, a reduction in StCEN expression did not significantly alter StSP6A transcript levels in the leaves, both in Desiree and ADG StCEN-RNAi lines, despite the earlier tuber initiation and developmental phenotype of these plants. In contrast, levels of the StSP6A transcript were induced by over 100fold in the stolons of ADG RNAi lines with respect to the WT controls. Strong StSP6A gene activation was actually observed independent of day length conditions in the stolons of the three tested lines, suggesting that StCEN has a major role in suppressing StSP6A expression in these organs. Consistent with a stolon-specific function of the StCEN protein, no significant effects on StSP6A expression levels in the leaves were observed for the hydroponically grown OE Desiree plants (Figure 1). Likewise, suppression in StSP6A expression levels in the ADG StCEN OE lines was much greater in stolons than in leaves.

Expression of the tuber-inducing StSP6A signal was shown to be amplified in the stolon by an autoregulatory mechanism (Abelenda et al., 2014), although the molecular details, timing and localisation of this process are unknown. It is also known that the StSP6A signal must be expressed during tuber development to maintain tuber growth (Hancock et al., 2014). Based on the observed effects of altered StCEN expression on StSP6A activation in the stolons and the interaction of StCEN with the TAC components, we propose that the StSP6A gene is a downstream activation target of the TAC complex. Further evidence for this transcriptional control is provided by the transient transactivation studies in N. benthamiana leaves, where StCEN and StSP6A were found to, respectively, suppress and enhance StFDL1a-dependent activation of the p6A::LUC reporter. Similar regulation was observed for StFD, although the effects were weaker than with the bZIP StFDL1a factor (Figure 7). These findings lead us to propose that the TAC is involved in StSP6A transcription in the stolons, in addition to directly regulating the expression of tuber identity genes (Figure 7b). As expected from the early tuberisation phenotype of the CEN RNAi lines, our transcriptional profiling analyses demonstrated an enhanced expression of StSP6A as well as many tuber-expressed genes, including those encoding patatin, sucrose synthase and gibberellin 20-oxidases in the stolons. Also, although their function in tuber transition remains to be characterised in detail, several MADS-box genes were identified as showing enhanced expression in the RNAi lines (MADS 17 107 154; Figure 5) and therefore potentially constitute additional TAC targets. Of these, StMADS17 was previously described to be strongly upregulated in stolons (Gao et al., 2018) and shown to upregulated in the strongly induced to tuberise transgenic SES lines described by Lehretz et al. (2019). StMADS17 has homology to SEPALLATA 4 (SEP 4) from Arabidopsis, with a reported role in the regulation of floral meristem development (Ditta et al., 2004).

Several members of the germin gene family were also identified as showing a strongly upregulated expression in StCEN RNAi stolons compared with controls (Figure 5b). Our transactivation experiments provide compelling evidence that germin3, the most strongly upregulated of these transcripts, is a direct target of the TAC. Germins and germin-like proteins (GLPs) are evolutionarily conserved ubiquitous plant glycoproteins belonging to the cupin superfamily (reviewed in Barman and Banerjee, 2015), to which many different functions have been ascribed. As well as having enzymatic activities including oxalate oxidase, superoxide dismutase, ADP glucose pyrophosphatase/phosphodiesterase and polyphenol oxidase, GLPs are thought to promote protection against several biotic and abiotic stresses. Some evidence connects GLPs to plasmodesmal function, as two pumpkin GLPs (PDGLP1 and PDGLP2) were proposed to modulate phloem-mediated resources allocation between the primary and lateral root meristems (Ham et al., 2012). In potato, a major factor contributing to tuber induction and dormancy break is symplastic connectivity, which controls the flux of carbohydrates to meristematic tissues (Hancock et al., 2008). Symplastic connectivity between the phloem and the tuber apical meristem is controlled by the presence or lack of functional plasmodesmata at key cell and tissue interfaces. The potential link between germins and plasmodesmal function is therefore intriguing and could connect tuberisation signalling with symplastic connectivity, a hypothesis that will be tested in future work.

In this work, we demonstrate that the StCEN/TFL1 homolog is a major determinant in the control of the potato tuber life cycle, due to inhibitory activity of the TAC complex. In addition to its initially reported impact on tuber sprout growth rate (Morris et al., 2019), here we show that StCEN has a pivotal role in modulating the timing of tuber initiation and the rate of tuber development. From a commercial perspective, acceleration of tuber formation is an important trait, of particular interest in regions with a short growing season. Although allelic variation in StCDF1 accounts for the large effect QTL for foliage maturity on chromosome 5 (Kloosterman et al., 2013), tuber initiation is also influenced by other QTLs which possibly interact with the earliness locus on chromosome 5 (Van den Berg et al., 1996; Kittipadukal et al., 2012). StCEN was indeed identified in a genetic screen for inheritance of sprouting traits (Morris et al., 2019), hence evidencing that natural variation in this gene affects tuber initiation as well as tuber sprout growth. As alleles identified in the diploid parents of the cross encode identical proteins, the distinct function of these natural variants probably relies on their expression level (Morris et al., 2019). A more detailed study of these StCEN gene copies and the generation of molecular markers specific to these alleles will thus be of great assistance in breeding programmes aimed at the selection of potato genotypes with improved tuber life-cycle charac-

#### **EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

#### Plant material and growth conditions

Solanum tuberosum cv. Desiree WT (Desiree WT) and S. andigena accession 7540 (ADG) WT plants used for transformation were propagated in 90 mm Petri dishes containing MS medium (Murashige and Skoog, 1962) supplemented with 20 g L<sup>-1</sup> sucrose and  $8 \text{ g L}^{-1}$  agar at  $18 \pm 4^{\circ}\text{C}$ , 16 h light, light intensity 100 μmol m<sup>-2</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup>. Four-week-old *in vitro* subcultured plantlets were transferred to soil or hydroponic baths, and grown in the glasshouse, under controlled growth cabinet or hydroponic conditions. Glasshouse-grown plants were transferred to 12 cm pots containing compost and grown under conditions of 16 h light (18°C) and 8 h dark (15°C). Light intensity ranged from 400 to 1000  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup>

For cultivation in hydroponic growth baths (GT205 NFT Grow Tank, Progrow, https://www.pro-grow.com/), plantlets were placed in rock-wool plugs on 2.8 ml L<sup>-1</sup> Hydro-SuperMix liquid fertiliser (Bio Nova, Waalwijk, The Netherlands, https://www.bionovanutrie nts.com/), yielding 7% nitrogen (nitrate and ammonium nitrate), 4% phosphoric anhydride, 5% potassium oxide, 0.036% iron, 0.001% manganese and 0.004% zinc. This medium was continually pumped over the plant root system using a Micro-Jet MC320 water pump (Progrow), and aerated using a ceramic airstone attached to an adjustable air pump (Hailea, https://www.hailea. com). Plants were fed with Hydro-Supermix on a weekly basis and topped up with water daily to maintain the volume of the hydroponic system. Individual plants were visually scored every 3-4 days and a value assigned according to the stage of belowground development using the following scale: 0, developing stolons; 1, swelling stolons; 2, developing tubers (<1 cm); 3, tubers >1 cm.

The ADG plants used in the cabinet experiments were grown in the glasshouse under previously described conditions for 6 weeks and moved to growth cabinets under conditions of 8-16 h light (80% humidity) and 16-8 h dark (70% humidity), light intensity 300 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup> and watered daily. Individual plants were visually scored for flower initiation every 7-10 days using the following scale: 0, no buds present; 2, early developing buds; 3, late developing buds; 4, open flowers.

# Generation of StCEN transgenic potato lines

The pB19-35S-CEN and pRNAi-GG-CEN constructs used in this study were described previously by Morris et al. (2019). For generation of the ADG transgenic lines, the pB19-35S-CEN and pRNAi-GG-CEN binary vectors were transformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain AGL1 by electroporation, and cells were selected for their resistance to kanamycin and rifampicin.

Agrobacterium-mediated potato transformation was performed as described previously (Ducreux et al., 2005).

## RNA extraction and qRT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from potato leaf, stem, root, stolon and tuber samples using a RNeasy® Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, https:// www.qiagen.com/), following the manufacturer's instructions. The first-strand cDNA templates were generated by reverse transcription using a double-primed RNA to cDNA EcoDry™ Premix kit (TaKaRa, Clontech, https://www.takarabio.com/about/our-brands/ clontech). Potato elongation factor 1-alpha ( $\textit{EF1}\alpha$ ) primers were used as a normalisation control (Nicot et al., 2005). The expression level of StCEN was determined using the StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, https://www.thermofisher.com/ uk/en/home/brands/applied-biosystems.html) and StepOne Software version 2.3 (Applied Biosystems). Gene-specific primers and Universal Probe Library (UPL, Roche Life Science, https://lifescie nce.roche.com/) probes (Data S4) were used at a concentration of  $0.2~\mu M$  and  $0.1~\mu M$ , respectively. Thermal cycling conditions were: 10 min denaturation at 95°C followed by 40 cycles of 15 sec at 94°C and 60 sec at 60°C. Relative expression levels were calculated and the primers validated using the Delta-Delta Ct method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).

# Microarray analysis

A custom Agilent microarray (https://www.agilent.com/) designed to the predicted transcripts from assembly 3.4 of the DM potato genome was used as described (Hancock et al., 2014). Access to ordering the array design (AMADID 033033) from Agilent is available on request from the authors. The experimental design and complete datasets are available at ArrayExpress (E-MTAB-8346). Briefly, a single-channel microarray design was utilised, with all swelling stolon RNA samples labelled with the Cy3 dye. A total of 18 microarrays were processed, consisting of three biological replicates of stolon material for each transgenic ADG line (RNAi and OE) and WT, from 23 and 38 day-old plants grown under 12 h days. The RNA labelling and subsequent microarray processing was performed as described (Morris et al., 2014). Entire feature extraction (FE v.12.03.02, Agilent) datasets for each array were loaded as single-channel data into GeneSpring software (v.7.3, Agilent) for further analysis. Data were normalised using default single-channel settings: intensity values were set to a minimum of 0.01 and data from each array were normalised to the 50th percentile of all measurements on the array. Unreliable data, flagged as absent in all replicate samples by the FE software, were discarded. Statistical filtering of data to identify differentially expressed transcripts was achieved using volcano plots with thresholds of greater than twofold change and a Student's t-test value of  $P \le 0.05$  applied. Clustering of gene expression profiles was performed in GeneSpring using the k-means algorithm. Default parameters (100 iterations, Pearson measure as similarity correlation) were used to generate four cluster sets. Based on previous literature, a subset of genes that had already been associated with early tuberisation were selected for downstream

# Yeast two-hybrid analysis

Constructs used for testing Y2H interaction between StCEN, StCEN-mRPFR mutant (bait) and the StFD and StFDL1a (prey) proteins were produced by LR recombination of pDONR201 Gateway® vectors containing full length ORFs into the pDEST32 and pDEST22 vectors (Invitrogen, https://www.thermofisher.com/us/en/home/brands/invitrogen) following the manufacturer's

instructions. The resulting constructs were transformed into the yeast strain MaV203 following the manufacturer's standard protocol (Invitrogen). Bait and prey interactions were selected by growing transformed yeast cells on minimal synthetic dropout (SD)/–Leu/–Trp/–His selective medium containing 30 mm 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole at 30°C for 2–3 days. The ability to grow on –His medium indicated a positive interaction.

#### Bimolecular fluorescence complementation assays

Binary vectors for *in planta* expression of proteins as fusions to the C-terminal of N-terminal and C-terminal portions of split-YFP were produced by recombination of pDONR201 Gateway vectors into pCL112 and pCL113 (Bos *et al.*, 2010). Recombinant *A. tumefaciens* strains bearing these constructs were grown with spectinomycin selection. Agroinfiltrations were performed as described elsewhere (Bos *et al.*, 2010). Mixtures containing equal amounts of strains designed to express fusions to YN and YC were brought to final ODs between 0.03 and 1.0, depending on the experiment, and infiltrated into *N. benthamiana* leaves. Pairs of mixtures containing WT or mutant proteins were infiltrated into the opposing half-leaves.

#### Confocal imaging

Imaging was performed on a Zeiss LSM 710 microscope (Carl Zeiss Ltd, https://www.zeiss.com/) at 3 or 4 days after infiltration. A 514 nm laser was used for excitation. The YFP emission was collected between 515 and 550 nm and chlorophyll emission between 650 and 690 nm. The strength of the YFP signal was determined by collecting multiple stacks of images, near the epidermal cell layer, in a randomised pattern with a 10x objective lens. Fixed, subsaturating, collection conditions were used for test and control infiltrations from opposing half-leaves ( $n \ge 10$  per set). The mean pixel intensity of maximum-intensity projections was obtained using the Zen microscope software (Carl Zeiss Ltd). Pairwise, one-tailed t-tests were carried out in Microsoft Excel (https://www.microsoft.com/). Repetitions of experiments were carried out and all showed significant differences. Data for representative experiments are presented. That differences in signal strength were not a consequence of reduced accumulation of fusions to mutant proteins in comparison to fusions to WT proteins was confirmed through Western blotting of protein extracts from infiltrated leaves.

#### Transient transactivation studies

For transactivation studies, the StFD and StFDL1a coding regions in pDONR201 were mobilised by LR Clonase II recombination into the pMDC7 vector (Curtis and Grossniklaus, 2003) to drive estradiol inducible expression of these factors. The StCEN/TFL1 and StSP6A ORFs in the pDONR201 and pENTRY/D vectors were mobilised into the pGWB5 vector (Nakagawa et al., 2007) for 35S expression of the N-terminal GFP fusions of these proteins. The p6A::LUC reporter was generated by amplifying a 2.64 kb region upstream of the start ATG of the PGSC0003DMT400060057 gene, using the p6A\_for: 5'-CACCTGTTAATTTCCTTTCTT-3' and p6A\_rev: 5'-CTCTAGGCTTGA TAAAATTAAGT-3' primers. The PCR product was cloned into pEN TRY/D TOPO and mobilised by LR Clonase II recombination into the pLuc-Trap3 vector. The pGERMIN3::LUC reporter was generated by amplifying a 1.84 kb region upstream of the start ATG of the PGSC0003DMT400046995 gene, using the DMT46995prom\_for: 5'-AAAAAGCAGGCTTAATTCCCGCTGCCACTTTG-3' and DMT469 95prom\_rev: 5'-AGAAAGCTGGGTAACAATTAGTTCAAACTCTGTG-3' primers. The PCR product was cloned into pDONR201 and mobilised by LR Clonase II recombination into the pLuc-Trap3 vector.

For the luciferase assays, N. benthamiana leaves were co-infiltrated with Agrobacterium strains expressing either the p6A::LUC or the pGERMIN3::LUC reporter and the StFDL1a, SP6A and StCEN/TFL1 effector constructs in the indicated combinations. Strains expressing the p19 silencing suppressor were added to the infiltration mixes to avoid silencing of these constructs. Bacterial cultures for the reporter constructs were used at an  $OD_{600} = 0.3$ , and the effector and p19 strains at  $OD_{600} = 0.7$  and 1.0, respectively. To test activity of the reporter alone or the independent transcriptional control by each of the effectors, bacterial cultures were supplemented with an Agrobacterium strain expressing the empty pGWB5 vector to prevent differences in LUC activity due to competitive expression or dilution effects. Two days after infiltration, 0.6 cm diameter leaf discs were collected from the leaves and transferred to 96-well microtitre plates containing 195 µl of 0.5× MS liquid medium, the p-luciferin (Promega, https://www.promega.com) substrate (5 μg ml<sup>-1</sup>) and 10 μм estradiol to the induction of the StFD/StFDL1a effectors. Luminescence was measured with a LB 960 Microplate Luminometer (Berthold, https://www.berthold.com/). One disc was used per well and at least 12 disc replicates were measured per sample. The median of the activity values and standard error are represented.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This work was funded by the Scottish Government, Rural and Environment Science and Analytical Services Division as part of the Strategic Research Programme 2016–2021, by a GCRF Foundation Awards for Global Agricultural and Food Systems Research funded by the BBSRC project BB/ P022553/1 and also received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement number 835704. Research in Prat's lab was funded by the Spanish Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad BIO2015-73019-EXP, and the aligned Japan EIG CONCERT (PIA102017-1) projects.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

XZ, RC, EMO and LD performed expression analysis and growth yield experiments. XZ, AGR and SC performed the protein interaction experiments. JS made the transgenic lines. SP performed the transactivation experiments. PH and JM performed the microarray experiments. MT, LT, GB and SP conceived the project and obtained funding for the work. MT, RC, XZ, AGR, SC and SP wrote the manuscript.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

# **DATA AVAILABILITY**

For the microarray experiment described in this paper, the experimental design and complete datasets are available at ArrayExpress (E-MTAB-8346).

#### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

- Figure S1. StCEN and StSP6A expression profiles during potato plant development.
- Figure S2. Average tuber number per plant of ADG overexpression and RNA interference lines.
- Figure S3. Clustering analysis of transcripts differentially expressed in stolons from ADG transgenic lines.
- Data S1. List of genes that are associated with the four k-means clusters shown in Figure S3.
- Data S2. Microarray expression data of StMADS genes proposed as potential StSP6A targets.
- Data S3. Quantification of yellow fluorescent protein fluorescence in bimolecular fluorescence complementation assays involving wild-type and mutated interactors.
- Data S4. Primer sequences used in this study.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Abe, M., Kobayashi, Y., Yamamoto, S., Daimon, Y., Yamaguchi, A., Ikeda, Y., Ichinoki, H., Notaguchi, M., Goto, K. and Araki, T. (2005) FD, a bZIP protein mediating signals from the floral pathway integrator FT at the shoot apex. Science, 309, 1052-1056.
- Abelenda, J.A., Navarro, C. and Prat, S. (2011) From the model to the crop: genes controlling tuber formation in potato. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 22,
- Abelenda, J.A., Navarro, C. and Prat, S. (2014) Flowering and tuberization: a tale of two nightshades. Trends Plant Sci. 19, 115-122.
- Abelenda, J.A., Cruz-Oró, E., Franco-Zorilla, J.M. and Prat, S. (2016) Potato StCONSTANS-like1 suppresses storage organ formation by directly activating the FT-like StSP5G repressor. Curr. Biol. 26, 872-881.
- Adavi, Z., Moradi, R., Saeidnejad, A.H., Tadayon, M.R. and Mansouri, H. (2018) Assessment of potato response to climate change and adaptation strategies. Sci. Hortic. 228, 91-102.
- Barman, A.R. and Banerjee, J. (2015) Versatility of germin-like proteins in their sequences, expressions, and functions. Funct. Integr. Genomics, 15,
- Bos, J.I., Armstrong, M.R., Gilroy, E.M. et al. (2010) Phytophthora infestans effector AVR3a is essential for virulence and manipulates plant immunity by stabilizing host E3 ligase CMPG1. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 107(21), 9909-9914
- Comadran, J., Kilian, B., Russell, J. et al. (2012) Natural variation in a homolog of Antirrhinum CENTRORADIALIS contributed to spring growth habit and environmental adaptation in cultivated barley. Nat. Genet. 44, 1388.
- Curtis, M.D. and Grossniklaus, U. (2003) A gateway cloning vector set for high-throughput functional analysis of genes in planta. Plant Physiol.
- Danilevskaya, O.N., Meng, X. and Ananiev, E.V. (2010) Concerted modification of flowering time and inflorescence architecture by ectopic expression of TFL1-like genes in maize. Plant Physiol. 153, 238-251.
- Ditta, G., Pinyopich, A., Robles, P., Pelaz, S. and Yanofsky, M.F. (2004) The SEP4 gene of Arabidopsis thaliana functions in floral organ and meristem identity. Curr. Biol. 14(21), 1935-1940.
- Ducreux, L.J., Morris, W.L., Taylor, M.A. and Millam, S. (2005) Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of Solanum phureja. Plant Cell Rep. 24,
- Eviatar-Ribak, T., Shalit-Kaneh, A., Chappell-Maor, L., Amsellem, Z., Eshed, Y. and Lifschitz, E. (2013) A cytokinin-activating enzyme promotes tuber formation in tomato. Curr. Biol. 23, 1057-1064.
- Gao, H., Wang, Z., Li, S., Hou, M., Zhou, Y., Zhao, Y., Li, G., Zhao, H. and Ma, H. (2018) Genome-wide survey of potato MADS-box genes reveals that StMADS1 and StMADS13 are putative downstream targets of tuberigen StSP6A. BMC Genom., 19, 726.
- Ghate, T.H., Sharma, P., Kondhare, K.R., Hannapel, D.J. and Banerjee, A.K. (2017) The mobile RNAs, StBEL11 and StBEL29, suppress growth of tubers in potato. Plant Mol. Biol. 93, 563-578.
- Gutaker, R.M., Weiß, C.L., Ellis, D., Anglin, N.L., Knapp, S., Fernández-Alonso, J.L., Prat, S. and Burbano, H.A. (2019) The origins and adaptation of European potatoes reconstructed from historical genomes. Nat. Ecol. Evol. 3, 1093-1101.

- Ham, B.K., Li, G., Kang, B.H., Zeng, F. and Lucas, W.J. (2012) Overexpression of Arabidopsis plasmodesmata germin-like proteins disrupts root growth and development. *Plant Cell*, 24, 3630–3648.
- Hanano, S. and Goto, K. (2011) Arabidopsis TERMINAL FLOWER1 is involved in the regulation of flowering time and inflorescence development through transcriptional repression. *Plant Cell*, 23, 3172–3184.
- Hancock, R.D., Roberts, A.G. and Viola, R. (2008) A role for symplastic gating in the control of the potato tuber life cycle. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 3, 27–29.
- Hancock, R.D., Morris, W.L., Ducreux, L.J. et al. (2014) Physiological, biochemical and molecular responses of the potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) plant to moderately elevated temperature. Plant Cell Environ. 37, 439–450.
- Hannapel, D. and Banerjee, A. (2017) Multiple mobile mRNA signals regulate tuber development in potato. *Plants*, **6**, 8.
- Hollwey, E., Out, S., Watson, M.R., Heidmann, I. and Meyer, P. (2017) TET 3-mediated demethylation in tomato activates expression of a CETS gene that stimulates vegetative growth. *Plant Direct*, 1, e00022.
- Horstman, A., Tonaco, I.A.N., Boutilier, K. and Immink, R.G.H. (2014) A cautionary note on the use of split-YFP/BiFC in plant protein-protein interaction studies. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 15, 9628–9643.
- Iwata, H., Gaston, A., Remay, A., Thouroude, T., Jeauffre, J., Kawamura, K., Oyant, L.H., Araki, T., Denoyes, B. and Foucher, F. (2012) The TFL1 homologue KSN is a regulator of continuous flowering in rose and strawberry. Plant J. 69, 116–125.
- Jaeger, K.E., Pullen, N., Lamzin, S., Morris, R.J. and Wigge, P.A. (2013) Interlocking feedback loops govern the dynamic behavior of the floral transition in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell*, 25, 820–833.
- Kaneko-Suzuki, M., Kurihara-Ishikawa, R., Okushita-Terakawa, C., Kojima, C., Nagano-Fujiwara, M., Ohki, I., Tsuji, H., Shimamoto, K. and Taoka, K.I. (2018) TFL1-like proteins in rice antagonize rice FT-like protein in inflorescence development by competition for complex formation with 14-3-3 and FD. Plant Cell Physiol. 59, 458–468.
- Kittipadukal, P., Bethke, P.C. and Jansky, S.H. (2012) The effect of photoperiod on tuberization in cultivated wild potato species hybrids. *Potato Res.* 55, 27–40.
- Kloosterman, B., Abelenda, J.A., Gomez, M. del M.C. et al. (2013) Naturally occurring allele diversity allows potato cultivation in northern latitudes. *Nature*, 495, 246–250.
- Kwak, M., Toro, O., Debouck, D.G. and Gepts, P. (2012) Multiple origins of the determinate growth habit in domesticated common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris). Ann. Bot. 110, 1573–1580.
- Lehretz, G.G., Sonnewald, S., Hornyik, C., Corral, J.M. and Sonnewald, U. (2019) Post-transcriptional Regulation of FLOWERING LOCUS T modulates heat-dependent source-sink development in potato. Curr. Biol. 29, 1614–1624.
- **Livak, K.J. and Schmittgen, T.D.** (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$  method. *Methods*, **25**, 402–408.
- Mahajan, A., Bhogale, S., Kang, I.H., Hannapel, D.J. and Banerjee, A.K. (2012) The mRNA of a Knotted1-like transcription factor of potato is phloem mobile. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **79**, 595–608.
- Martin, A., Adam, H., Díaz-Mendoza, M., Żurczak, M., González-Schain, N.D. and Suárez-López, P. (2009) Graft-transmissible induction of potato tuberization by the microRNA miR172. *Development*, 136, 2873–2881.

- Moraes, T.S., Dornelas, M.C. and Martinelli, A.P. (2019) FT/TFL1: calibrating plant architecture. Front. Plant Sci. 10, 97.
- Morris, W.L., Hancock, R.D., Ducreux, L.J.M. et al. (2014) Day length dependent restructuring of the leaf transcriptome and metabolome in potato genotypes with contrasting tuberization phenotypes. Plant Cell Environ. 37, 1351–1363.
- Morris, W.L., Alamar, M.C., Lopez-Cobollo, R.M. et al. (2019) A member of the TERMINAL FLOWER1/CENTRORADIALIS gene family controls sprout growth in potato tubers. J. Exp. Bot. 70, 835–843.
- Murashige, T. and Skoog, F. (1962) A revised medium for rapid growth and bio-assays with tobacco tissue cultures. *Physiol. Plant.* **15**, 473–497.
- Nakagawa, T., Kurose, T., Hino, T., Tanaka, K., Kawamukai, M., Niwa, T.K., Matsuoka, K., Jinbo, T. and Kimura, T. (2007) Development of series of gateway binary vectors, pGWBs, for realizing efficient construction of fusion genes for plant transformation. J. Biosci. Bioeng. 104, 34–41.
- Navarro, C., Abelenda, J.A., Cruz-Oró, E., Cuéllar, C.A., Tamaki, S., Silva, J., Shimamoto, K. and Prat, S. (2011) Control of flowering and storage organ formation in potato by FLOWERING LOCUS T. *Nature*, 478, 119–122.
- Nicot, N., Hausman, J.F., Hoffmann, L. and Evers, D. (2005) Housekeeping gene selection for real-time RT-PCR normalization in potato during biotic and abiotic stress. J. Exp. Bot. 56, 2907–2914.
- Plantenga, F.D., Bergonzi, S., Abelenda, J.A., Bachem, C.W., Visser, R.G., Heuvelink, E. and Marcelis, L.F. (2018) The tuberization signal StSP6A represses flower bud development in potato. J. Exp. Bot. 70, 937–948.
- Pnueli, L., Carmel-Goren, L., Hareven, D., Gutfinger, T., Alvarez, J., Ganal, M., Zamir, D. and Lifschitz, E. (1998) The SELF-PRUNING gene of tomato regulates vegetative to reproductive switching of sympodial meristems and is the ortholog of CEN and TFL1. Development, 125, 1979–1989.
- Potato Genome Sequencing Consortium. (2011) Genome sequence and analysis of the tuber crop potato. *Nature*, **475**(7355), 189.
- Rodriguez-Falcon, M., Bou, J. and Prat, S. (2006) Seasonal control of tuberization in potato: conserved elements with the flowering response. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 57, 151–180.
- Teo, C.J., Takahashi, K., Shimizu, K., Shimamoto, K. and Taoka, K.I. (2016) Potato tuber induction is regulated by interactions between components of a tuberigen complex. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 58, 365–374.
- Van den Berg, J.H., Ewing, E.E., Plaisted, R.L., McMurry, S. and Bonierbale, M.W. (1996) QTL analysis of potato tuberization. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 93, 307–316.
- Varkonyi-Gasic, E., Wang, T., Voogd, C., Jeon, S., Drummond, R.S., Gleave, A.P. and Allan, A.C. (2019) Mutagenesis of kiwifruit CENTRORADIALIS-like genes transforms a climbing woody perennial with long juvenility and axillary flowering into a compact plant with rapid terminal flowering. Plant Biotechnol. J. 17(5), 869–880.
- Viola, R., Roberts, A.G., Haupt, S., Gazzani, S., Hancock, R.D., Marmiroli, N., Machray, G.C. and Oparka, K.J. (2001) Tuberization in potato involves a switch from apoplastic to symplastic phloem unloading. *Plant Cell*, 13, 398, 398
- Wigge, P.A., Kim, M.C., Jaeger, K.E., Busch, W., Schmid, M., Lohmann, J.U. and Weigel, D. (2005) Integration of spatial and temporal information during floral induction in Arabidopsis. *Science*, 309, 1056–1059.
- Zhou, J., Fang, H., Shan, J., Gao, X., Chen, L., Xie, C., Xie, T. and Liu, J. (2014) A major QTL located on chromosome V associates with in vitro tuberization in a tetraploid potato population. *Mol. Genet. Genomics*, 289, 575–587.