1 TITLE

2 Phylogenomic insights to the origin and spread of Phocine Distemper Virus in European harbour
3 seals in 1988 and 2002

4

5 AUTHORS

- 6 Iben Stokholm^{1*}, Tero Härkönen², Karin Harding³, Ursula Siebert⁴, Kristina Lehnert⁴, Rune Dietz⁵,
- 7 Jonas Teilmann⁵, Anders Galatius⁵, Linnea Worsøe Havmøller⁵, Emma Carroll⁶, Ailsa Hall⁶, and
- 8 Morten Tange Olsen^{1*}
- 9

10 AFFILIATIONS

- ¹¹ ¹Section for Evolutionary Genomics, Natural History Museum of Denmark, University of
- 12 Copenhagen, DK-1350 Copenhagen K, Denmark
- 13 ²Department of Environmental Research and Monitoring, Swedish Museum of Natural History, Box
- 14 50007, S-10405 Stockholm, Sweden
- ³Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Gothenburg, Box 463 SE-405
- 16 30, Sweden
- ⁴Institute for Terrestrial and Aquatic Wildlife Research, University of Veterinary Medicine
- 18 Hannover, 25761 Büsum, Germany,
- 19 ⁵Marine Mammal Research, Department of Bioscience, Aarhus University, DK-4000 Roskilde,
- 20 Denmark
- ⁶Sea Mammal Research Unit, Scottish Oceans Institute, University of St Andrews, St Andrews,
- 22 Fife, KY16 8LB
- 23

24 CORRESPONDING AUTHORS

- 25 *Iben Stokholm (ibenstokholm@gmail.com)
- 26 *Morten Tange Olsen (morten.olsen@snm.ku.dk)
- 27

28 RUNNING HEAD

29 Phocine Distemper Virus in harbour seals

30

31 ABSTRACT

32 The 1988 and 2002 Phocine Distemper Virus (PDV) outbreaks in European harbour seals (Phoca 33 *vitulina*) are among the largest mass mortality events recorded in marine mammals. Despite its large 34 impact on harbour seal population numbers, and three decades of studies, many questions regarding 35 the spread and temporal origin of PDV remain unanswered. Here, we sequenced and analysed 7,123 36 base pairs of the PDV genome, including the coding and non-coding regions of the entire P, M, F 37 and H genes in tissues from 44 harbour seals to shed new light on the origin and spread of PDV in 1988 and 2002. The phylogenetic analyses trace the origin of the PDV strain causing the 1988 38 39 outbreak to between May 1987 and April 1988, while the origin of the strain causing the 2002 40 outbreak can be traced back to between June 2001 and May 2002. The analyses further point to 41 several independent introductions of PDV in 1988, possibly linked to a southward mass 42 immigration of harp seals in the winter and spring of 1987-1988. The vector for the 2002 outbreak 43 is unknown, but the epidemiological analyses suggest that the subsequent spread of PDV from the 44 epicentre in Kattegat, Denmark, to haul-out sites in the North Sea through several independent 45 introductions.

46

47 **KEYWORDS**

48 Morbillivirus; epidemic; viral phylogeny; virus evolution, wildlife pathogen

49 INTRODUCTION

50 Over the past few decades, several epidemics have been recorded in marine mammal populations

- 51 around the globe (Jo et al., 2018; Duignan et al., 2014). The pathogens responsible for these
- 52 epidemics have mainly been identified as Influenza A (Krog *et al.*, 2015) or viruses belonging to
- the morbillivirus genus such as various cetacean morbilliviruses (Kennedy et al., 1989; Domingo et

54 al., 1990; Aguilar & Antonio Raga 1993; Birkun et al., 1999; Lipscomb et al., 1996), Canine

55 Distemper Virus (CDV) (Mamaev et al., 1995) and Phocine Distemper Virus (PDV) (Dietz et al.,

56 1989a: Curran et al., 1990; Harding et al., 2002; Härkönen et al., 2006). The morbillivirus genus is

57 a group of highly infectious viruses from the *Paramyxoviridae* family. The genus also includes the

58 Measles Virus (MeV) and the now eradicated Rinderpest (RPV) that have caused severe disease

59 outbreaks among humans and cattle respectively, resulting in suffering and economic losses in

60 affected populations (Furuse *et al.*, 2010; Roeder *et al.*, 2013). Morbillivirus infection occurs

61 through the respiratory route where the virus replicates in macrophages and dendritic cells (Rijks *et*

62 *al.*, 2012). Members of the genus have frequently caused epidemic disease in previously unexposed

63 populations, and during the past century interspecies infections have been reported on several

64 occasions (Mamaev et al., 1995; Goldstein et al., 2009; Roelke-Parker et al., 1996). Thus, new

conotic diseases could potentially emerge from wildlife reservoirs of morbilliviruses.

66

The PDV epidemics in 1988 and 2002 are among the largest mass mortality events ever recorded in marine mammals, resulting in the death of over 23,000 harbour seals in 1988 and approximately 30,000 harbour seals in 2002 (Dietz *et al.*, 1989a: Harding *et al.*, 2002; Härkönen *et al.*, 2006). The two outbreaks share similarities with respect to the timing, duration and location of the first cases, occurring early in spring on the island of Anholt in central Kattegat, Denmark. From there, the virus seems to have spread to harbour seal colonies across northern Europe both to neighbouring haul-out 73 sites and via large jumps in location (Härkönen et al., 2006). The sudden appearance and spread of the previously undescribed morbillivirus among European harbour seals in 1988 immediately 74 75 sparked investigations into the virus' origin and mechanisms of transmission and spread. Antibodies 76 against morbillivirus have subsequently been found in samples collected from harp seals 77 (Pagophilus groenlandicus) and ringed seals (Pusa hispida) from Greenland and Svalbard waters 78 prior to the European outbreak in 1988, suggesting that the virus is circulating in Arctic seals (Dietz 79 et al., 1989b). In contrast, the virus seems not to be circulating in European harbour seals. PDV 80 antibodies were not detected in harbour seals in these waters prior to the 1988 outbreak, and the 81 proportion of the European population carrying antibodies declined steadily after each outbreak, 82 dropping from more than 50% in 2003 to less than 10% in 2007 (Bodewes et al., 2013; Ludes-83 Wehrmeister et al., 2016; Pomeroy et al., 2005). It has been hypothesized that the unusual mass 84 migration of harp seals in the winter and spring 1987/1988 lead to the introduction of the virus to 85 the European harbour seals in 1988 (Haug & Nilssen, 1995; Nilssen et al., 1998), but the exact 86 timing of these events have never been investigated, and a vector for the 2002 epidemic has not 87 been proposed. Moreover, while the long-distance transmission events of PDV among harbour seal 88 colonies was initially suggested to be aided by the less susceptible and more mobile grey seal 89 (Haliocherus grypus) (Härkönen et al., 2006; McConnell et al., 1999) more recent tagging data 90 indicate that while harbour seals are, in general, philopatric, individual seals occasionally undertake 91 long-distance movements (Dietz et al., 2013; Reijnders et al., 2010; Tougaard et al. 2008; Aarts et 92 al., 2016). Therefore, dispersing harbour seals could have served as a vector for spreading the virus 93 across Europe.

94

95 Thus, despite nearly three decades of research on PDV, a number of fundamental questions remain
96 to be addressed regarding the origin and evolution of PDV, the diversity and relationship of

97 circulating PDV strains, the source and spread of PDV, and the mechanisms of virus transmission. 98 Here, we sequenced a continuous 7,123 bp sequence of the PDV genome, including the complete 99 coding and non-coding regions of the viral P, M, F and H genes directly from harbour seal samples 100 collected throughout the geographic and temporal progression of the 1988 and 2002 outbreaks to provide the first detailed epidemiological assessment of the emergence and spread of PDV among 101 102 harbour seals in northern Europe.

103

104 **MATERIALS & METHODS**

105 Sampling

106 Tissue samples from harbour seals were collected at haul-out sites along the coasts of Denmark, 107 Sweden, Germany, the Netherlands, England and Scotland during the 1988 and 2002 outbreaks 108 (Supplementary Table 1). Unfortunately high quality samples (e.g. lung tissue stored in RNAlater at 109 -80°C) were only available animals that died in Sweden during the 2002 outbreak. Thus we tested 110 32 liver and muscle tissue samples collected at locations in Denmark and Germany in 1988, and 67 111 spleen, lung and muscle tissue samples from 2002, covering haul-out sites in most Northern Europe. 112 To increase the likelihood of detecting and sequencing the PDV virus, preference was given to 113 samples collected from carcasses that were fresh at the time of collection. Furthermore, we 114 attempted to increase the phylogenomic inference by selecting samples representing as broad a 115 geographical and temporal range as possible, prioritizing samples from early, mid and late stages of 116 each outbreak.

117

118 Virus screening and sequencing

Viral RNA was extracted from spleen, lung, liver or muscle tissue using the Thermo Scientific 119

KingFisherTM Cell and Tissue DNA Kit. The extractions were first tested for the presence of viral 120

121 RNA by RT-PCR runs with the H5 (5	5'-AGATGATATCTTTCCTCC-3') and H6 (5'-
---------------------------------------	--------------------------	------------	-----

122	ATCCATATGAGTTGCTCC-3') primers. Different sequencing methods were attempted to
123	generate full genomes, but ultimately only primer walking followed by standard Sanger sequencing
124	of four genes was possible. Primer pairs designed for sequencing the PDV genome (de Vries et al.
125	2013) were used to amplify and sequence 13 separate, but overlapping segments of 7,123 bp of the
126	PDV genome, covering the complete sequence from position 1,744 to 8,915 (excluding stop
127	codons), including the phosphoprotein (P), the unglycosylated matrix protein (M), the fusion
128	protein (F), and the attachment protein hemagglutinin (H) (Supplementary Table 2 and
129	Supplementary Figure 1). RT-PCRs were performed with the QIAGEN OneStep RT-PCR kit with
130	cycling conditions of 30 min at 50 °C, 10 min at 95 °C, 40-38 cycles of 1 min at 95 °C, 1 min at 55-
131	65 °C and 1 min at 72 °C (Supplementary Table 3) and a final step of elongation for 10 min at 72
132	°C followed by 4 °C. The total volume for each reaction was 25 μl and consisted of 5 μl QIAGEN
133	OneStep RT-PCR Buffer, 1µl dNTP (10 mM), 1.5 µl of each primer (10 µM), 1 µl QIAGEN
134	OneStep RT-PCR Enzyme Mix, 12.5 μ l H ₂ O and 2.5 μ l RNA template. PCR products were purified
135	and sequenced by Sanger sequencing at Macrogen Europe (Amsterdam, the Netherlands).
136	
137	Sequence preparation
138	The raw sequences were assembled and examined for errors in Geneious version 9.1.2 (Kearse et
139	al., 2012) (Supplementary Table 4). All ambiguous base calls and singletons (i.e. mutations only
140	occurring in a single sample) were checked by re-sequencing. Low signal regions at the beginning
141	and end of each sequence were removed, and two bases (TT) were deleted before the M gene

- 142 (position 1,651-1,652) and the H gene (position 5,302-5,303) to place the coding regions in the
- 143 correct reading frame. The resulting sequences were mapped to the PDV reference genome from
- 144 1988 (NCBI GenBank accession no. KC802221; de Vries et al., 2013) using MUSCLE (Edgar,

2004) to obtain a continuous 7,123 bp sequence, spanning the coding and non-coding regions of the
viral P, M, F and H genes. Three different alignments were generated; one including sequences

147 from the 1988 outbreak (eight sequences), one including the 2002 outbreak sequences (36

148 sequences) and one of all sequences combined from the two outbreaks (44 sequences).

149

150 Substitution saturation and recombination analyses

The full data set was tested for the absence of substitution saturation using the entropy-based index in DAMBE (Xia et al. 2003; Xia, 2013) (Supplementary Table 5). The results showed no indication of substitution saturation among the sequences. Likewise, no evidence of recombination was found using GARD recombination analysis under the HKY85 nucleotide evolution model implemented in Datamonkey (www.datamonkey.org) (Hasegawa *et al.*, 1985; Pond *et al.*, 2006; Delport *et al.*, 2010).

157

158 *Phylogenetic analyses*

Phylogenetic trees and divergence times were estimated by using the Bayesian Markov chain Monte 159 160 Carlo (MCMC) method in the BEAST 2.4.6 software package (Bouckaert et al., 2014). Sequence alignments were partitioned into coding regions, 1st, 2nd and 3rd codon positions and non-coding 161 intergenic regions to account for the expected variation in the substitution rates among in this region 162 163 of the sequences (Lio & Goldman, 1998). The data were analysed using the HKY substitution 164 model (Hasegawa et al., 1985) determined as the best fit model based on the final weight of three 165 criteria; AIC (Akaike, 1973), BIC (Akaike, 1973) and DT (Minin et al., 2003) estimated in 166 JModeltest (Posada, 2008) (Supplementary Table 6). The evolution of the partitions was described 167 by the Gamma site model thereby allowing the substitution rates of each site to correspond to a 168 continuous distribution of different rates among sites (Haug, 1990). Runs were carried out under the

169 assumption of a coalescent constant population growth model for the dataset, and a coalescent 170 exponential population growth model for the separate 1988 and 2002 datasets, respectively, using a 171 relaxed uncorrelated lognormal molecular clock in all analyses (Drummond et al., 2006) 172 (Supplementary Table 7 and 8). The tree was calibrated by the inclusion of sampling dates (tip dates), specified in years. Four independent runs were conducted with a chain length of 20,000,000 173 iterations, with sampling of the tree parameters for every 2,000th iteration and a burn-in of 10 %. 174 The results of each individual run were visually inspected in Tracer v1.6.0 for convergence and to 175 check that the effective sample size (ESS) values were > 200. Subsequently, the files produced from 176 177 independent runs of the MCMC yielding similar results were merged into one tree and log file using 178 LogCombiner v2.4.6 (Bouckaert et al., 2014) with 50% sampling frequency and a burn-in of 10%. 179 The tree files were summarized in maximum clade credibility trees in TreeAnnotator and viewed in 180 Figtree v1.4.3 (Rambaut 2016). The time to the most recent common ancestor (TMRCA) of the 181 combined 1988 and 2002 dataset was estimated as a mean value with its 95% high-probability density (HPD) interval. To account for uncertainties in branch lengths and topology the final tree 182 183 was constructed using Densitree (Bouckaert & Heled, 2014).

184

185 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

186 Sequencing PDV from the 1988 and 2002 outbreaks

187 A total of 99 harbour seal samples were screened from the outbreaks in 1988 (n = 32) and 2002 (n =

188 67) from which 7,123 base pairs of the PDV genome (position 1,744-8,915, excluding stop codons)

189 was successfully amplified and sequenced from 44 samples; eight from 1988 and 36 from 2002

190 (Figure 1; Supplementary Table 1; Supplementary Table 4). In total 112 polymorphic sites were

191 found in the combined 1988 and 2002 dataset, 16 polymorphic sites (including 11 singletons)

192 occurred in the sequences from the 1988 samples, and 57 polymorphic sites (32 singletons)

193 occurred in the sequences from the 2002 samples (Supplementary Tables 9 and 10). The

amplification and sequencing success was higher for samples from 2002 than 1988, and within the
2002 samples success was higher for samples that had been stored in RNAlater at -80°C compared
to storage in ethanol or frozen dry at -20°C. Nevertheless, our results illustrate that PDV virus can
be extracted and sequenced from infected seals even after three decades of suboptimal sample
storage.

199

200 A winter origin of the 1988 and 2002 PDV strains

201 The origin of the virus sequenced from the 1988 epidemic was estimated to be mid-November 202 1987, with a 95% probability interval ranging from mid-May 1987 to early April 1988 (Table 1). 203 This estimate correlates well with the timing of observed mass migrations of harp seals from the 204 Barents Sea south along the coasts of Norway and into the North Sea in the winter of 1987-1988 205 (Haug & Nilssen, 1995). The migration event is widely believed to have introduced PDV to the 206 previously unexposed European harbour seals (Haug & Nilssen, 1995; Nilssen et al., 1998; Dietz et 207 al., 1989a,b; Härkönen et al., 2006), and was presumably caused by the collapse of the Barents Sea 208 capelin (Mallotus villosus) and herring (Clupea harengus) stocks (Haug & Nilssen, 1995). 209 Although the morbillivirus itself has not been detected in Arctic seals (Kreutzer et al., 2008) 210 morbillivirus specific antibodies have been found in Greenlandic harp seals and ringed seals 211 sampled between 1984 and 1987 (Dietz et al., 1989b), as well as in Barents Sea harp seal samples 212 collected between 1987 and 1989 (Markussen & Have, 1992). Markussen and Have (1992) 213 documented that the percentage of harp seals with antibodies increased from 1987 to 1989, 214 indicating that a large proportion of the Barents Sea seals carried a morbillivirus before and during 215 the European epidemic in 1988. Harp seals are migratory and even discrete populations have 216 overlapping ranges during part of the year (Øien & Øritsland, 1995; Folkow et al., 2004),

supporting the possibility of periodical encounters and intraspecific and interspecific diseasetransmissions across the Arctic.

219

220 The origin of the virus sequenced from the 2002 epidemic was estimated to be mid-January 2002, 221 with a 95% probability interval ranging from late June 2001 to early May 2002 (Table 1). Thus, like 222 the 1988 outbreak, the 2002 PDV strain can be traced back to the preceding winter. However, 223 unlike the 1988 outbreak, there was no obvious candidate for the introduction of PDV in 2002. The 224 phylogenetic analyses presented here strongly suggest that the 2002 strain did not originate directly 225 from the 1988 strain (Figure 2B). This is also supported by previous analyses of shorter PDV 226 sequence fragments (Nielsen et al., 2009; Earle et al., 2011), as well as an absence of virus in 227 European harbour seals screened between the two outbreaks (Ludes-Wehrmeister et al., 2016; 228 Bodewes et al., 2013). PDV neutralizing antibodies have been detected in harp seals, ringed seals, 229 hooded seals (Cystophora cristata) and polar bears (Ursus maritimus) sampled between 1988 and 230 1996 in the waters surrounding Greenland, the Canadian Arctic and North America (Nielsen et al., 231 1997; Cattet et al., 2004). PDV positive harbour seals, grey seals and harp seals were also detected 232 along the North Atlantic coast of North America between 2004-2007 (Siembieda et al., 2017). Thus 233 there are several potential candidate species that could have been reservoir hosts or carriers. Similar 234 to 1988, the Barents Sea capelin and herring stock experienced declines in the years just before the 235 2002 epidemic (Loeng & Drinkwater 2007); however, this collapse did not result in a new mass migration of harp seals as occurred in 1998. Nevertheless, the virus could have been carried and 236 237 transmitted by single migrating individuals as harp seals tagged in Canada were observed to visit 238 the North Sea between 2001 and 2002 (Kreutzer et al., 2008).

- 239
- 240

241 *Multiple introductions of PDV in 1988*

In addition to supporting the hypothesised introduction of PDV by harp seals in the winter of 1987-242 243 88, the phylogenetic analyses of the 1988 data provide new insight to the emergence and 244 subsequent pattern of spread among harbour seals. In the beginning of March 1988, the viral strains 245 split into two clades; one clade containing sequences from central Kattegat and a second clade 246 containing sequences from the Wadden Sea and the Limfjord. The analysis of the 1988 data in 247 isolation – as well as the combined 1988-2002 data – places a sample (#42524) collected in the 248 Limfjord on 22 July 1988 at the base of the tree (Supplementary Figure 2). Interestingly, while the 249 viral sequence from sample #42524 contains mutations that are unique to the 1988 outbreak, it 250 diverges from the rest of the 1988 sequences by six mutations (Supplementary Table 9). The 251 presence of these mutations was confirmed by forward and reverse sequencing and Phred scores of 252 > 30, and they do not appear to be artefacts caused by DNA damage and deamination as only three 253 of the six substitutions are C-T or G-A. Moreover, another sample from the Limford (#42523) – 254 which was omitted from the full phylogenetic analyses because full sequences only could be 255 obtained for positions 3,910-4,535, 5,027-6,204 and 6,715-8,916, respectively – also contained four 256 of the six unique mutations found in sample #42524. The basal phylogenetic placement of the 257 Limfjord sequence indicates that the 1988 outbreak among European harbour seals consisted of not 258 just a single, but several introductions of related PDV strains. The observed rise in the number of 259 harbour seal deaths along the Danish west coast by February 1988 (Danielsen et al., 1988; Dietz et 260 al., 1989a) might have been caused by such an introduction, independent of the full-scale outbreak 261 two months later in Kattegat. This peculiar Limfjord strain could represent an early and partly 262 unsuccessful variant of the virus, later enhanced in the form of the second, more virulent and 263 widespread strain. Further clarification on the role of harp seals as vectors of the 1988 outbreak, as 264 well as the potential introduction, evolution, pathogenicity and circulation of several PDV strains

throughout this outbreak, would require the successful retrieval and comparison of viral RNA from
European harbour seals and Barents Sea harp seals collected before, during and after the 1988
outbreak.

268

269 Spread of PDV in 2002 through multiple transmission events

270 In 2002, the first harbour seal mortalities were observed on the island of Anholt in Kattegat on May 4th, and by May 30th, sick seals had been reported along the Danish and Swedish coasts of Kattegat 271 and Skagerrak (Härkönen et al., 2006). On June 16th the first cases were reported from Vlieland in 272 the Dutch Wadden Sea (Härkönen et al., 2006), and by July 18th the index case of England was 273 274 recorded in the Wash area (Lawson & Jepson, 2003), 800-1000 km from Kattegat. Intriguingly, sick 275 seals were not observed in the geographically intermediate German and Danish Wadden Sea and 276 the Limfjord before mid-September (Härkönen et al., 2006). Thus, based on the observed sequence 277 of mortalities, the predominant hypothesis has been that the virus made a long-distance jump from the Kattegat epicentre to localities in the Dutch Wadden Sea from where it spread in two waves: 278 279 west to England, Belgium and France, and east to the German and Danish Wadden Sea before 280 going north along the coast to the Limfjord (Härkönen et al., 2006).

281

The phylogenetic analysis presented here supports a Kattegat epicentre of the PDV outbreak in 2002, but offers new insights into the subsequent long-distance spread among European harbour seal colonies (Figure 2A; Supplementary Figure 3). The most basal sample of the phylogenetic tree is from Kattegat, which by early May splits into branches containing samples from Kattegat, Skagerrak, Øresund and southwestern Baltic, in agreement with the observed spatio-temporal pattern of emergence. In contrast, the phylogenetic analysis does not agree with the presumed scenario in which harbour seal colonies outside of the epicentre were infected by one long-distance transmission event from Kattegat to the Dutch Wadden Sea and further to other North Sea colonies.
Rather, based on the phylogenetic analyses we hypothesise that PDV arrived in southern England,
the Wadden Sea and the Limfjord, respectively, through at least three independent long-distance
transmission events from the Kattegat epicentre (Figure 2C). The integrity of each of these
subclades is supported by posterior values above 0.8, but the positioning of samples within
subclades, as well as the relationship among subclades is poorly resolved (Figure 2A;
Supplementary Figure 3).

296

297 The finding of multiple transmission events poses the question of who the likely carriers of PDV 298 among harbour seal colonies are. As harbour seals show strong site fidelity throughout the summer 299 (Dietz et al., 2013) and exhibit fine-scale population genetic structuring (Olsen et al., 2014), it has 300 previously been assumed that the more mobile and less susceptible grey seal acted as carrier of 301 PDV between geographically distant haul-out sites (Hammond et al., 2005; Pomeroy et al., 2005; 302 Härkönen et al., 2006). However, while grey seals did haul-out on Anholt in 2002, the numbers 303 were likely no more than 5-10 animals, several orders of magnitude lower than the 800-1000 304 harbour seals hauling out. Thus, although it only takes one infected animal to start an epidemic, the 305 likelihood of transmission from harbour seal to harbour seal is substantially greater than from grey 306 seal to harbour seal. Moreover, recent tagging and genetic studies indicate that especially juvenile 307 harbour seals undertake movements across much greater distances than previously reported, and 308 thus potentially could have played a larger role in transporting PDV longer distances. For instance, 309 Wadden Sea harbour seals have been shown to undertake foraging trips of a couple of hundred 310 kilometres into the North Sea (Dietz et al., 2013; Tougaard et al., 2008; Aarts et al., 2016), and 311 recent population genetic analyses suggested movements among the seals in southern England, 312 France and the Dutch Wadden Sea (Olsen et al., 2017). Likewise, tagging of harbour seals in the

313 fall and early winter of 2016 provided the first documented movements from a haul-out in southern 314 Kattegat to the central Limfjord (Rune Dietz and collaborators, unpublished) by an animal that has 315 now been genetically determined to originate from the Limfjord (Morten Tange Olsen, 316 unpublished). Thus, while grey seals cannot be ruled out, we hypothesise that both short- and long-317 distance PDV transmissions in 2002 could have been facilitated by harbour seal movements. 318 Although beyond the scope of the present analysis, additional insights into the dispersal of seals and 319 transmission of PDV could be obtained by integration of genetic, epidemiological and tagging data 320 (Swinton et al., 1998; Hall et al., 2006). 321

322 New insights to PDV virus evolution

323 The mean substitution rate of the full data set – including coding and non-coding regions – was found to be 6.73×10^{-4} sub/site/yr, while the substitution rate estimates for the sequences of each 324 outbreak were 2.28x10⁻³ sub/site/yr and 1.58x10⁻³ sub/site/yr for 1988 and 2002, respectively. The 325 results correspond well with the rates of 10^{-3} to 10^{-4} sub/site/yr reported for other morbilliviruses 326 (Furuse et al., 2010; Hanada et al., 2004; Xu et al., 2014). The substitution rates estimated at gene 327 level did not differ much and were found to be between 8.03×10^{-4} to 2.2×10^{-4} for the full data set, 328 5.75×10^{-3} to 4.62×10^{-3} for the 1988 sequences and 5.72×10^{-3} to 1.53×10^{-3} for the 2002 sequences 329 (Table 2). In the full dataset, the F, M and H genes had similar substitution rates with overlapping 330 HPD intervals, whereas the substitution rate of the P gene (2.2×10^{-4}) was substantially lower. 331 332 Interestingly, while the P gene had the lowest substitution rate, it had the highest proportion of nonsynonymous substitutions (64%), followed by the F gene (50%) and H gene (48%), and finally the 333 334 M gene (23%). The P gene encodes for three different proteins; P (1,524 bp), V (899 bp) and C (525 bp), which use different reading frames of the same nucleotide sequence, and high sequence 335 336 variability has previously been documented in MeV (Bankamp et al., 2008; Beaty & Lee, 2016;

337 Devaux & Cattaneo, 2004). Nine amino acid changes were found in the reading frame of the P 338 protein, which is a part of the polymerase complex and is essential for viral RNA replication 339 (Bankamp et al., 2011). Six amino acid changes were found in the reading frame of the V protein 340 and two amino acid changes were found in the reading frame of the C protein. The V and C proteins 341 both play important roles in the inhibition of interferons (Nanda & Baron 2006; Chinnakannan et 342 al., 2014) and influence the antiviral host response. Additionally, the C protein is believed to affect 343 the transcription of mRNA of the viral genes (Baron & Barrett 2000) and this could explain why the 344 percentage of changes is lower than the V protein. H and F genes code for the hemagglutinin 345 glycoprotein and the fusion protein, respectively, allowing the virus to attach and fuse with the host 346 cell membrane (Wild et al., 1991). The two genes are known targets for the virus-neutralizing 347 antibodies (Xu et al., 2014), and would be expected to contain a high amount of genetic variation, 348 which our study also suggests. The substitution rate of the H gene for MeV have previously been estimated to be 6.44×10^{-4} sub/site/yr (Furuse *et al.*, 2010) – an estimate which corresponds well to 349 our estimate of 5.6×10^{-4} for PDV. The M gene codes for the matrix protein and is considered to be 350 351 relatively conserved (Beaty & Lee, 2016), which the relatively low proportion of non-synonymous 352 substitutions estimated for PDV also suggests.

353

354 *Perspectives*

Wildlife pathogens, such as PDV and other morbilliviruses, represent a major risk for -epidemic
outbreaks resulting in extensive die offs in naïve populations and species. The past centuries'
human activities and extensive utilization of natural resources in terrestrial and marine
environments have led to substantial habitat alteration, loss of biodiversity and climatic changes
(Jones *et al.*, 2008). As a consequence, wildlife species shift their geographical ranges, increasing
the likelihood of disease transmissions and outbreaks within and among naïve species and

populations (Karesh *et al.*, 2012). Indeed, the mass migration of harp seals hypothesised to have
brought PDV to the naïve harbour seal population in 1988 was caused by a collapse of capelin and
herring stocks following intense human exploitation (Haug & Nilssen, 1995). Such outbreaks could
lead to ecological or economic losses and have huge consequences for already exposed or
endangered species (Osterhaus *et al.*, 1997; Thorne & Williams, 1988). Further work should focus
on understanding the dynamics of pathogen emergence and spread, including the co-evolutionary
dynamics of intra- and interspecific pathogen transmissions.

368

369 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Professor Tom Gilbert for encouraging the initiation of the project, Dr. Line Nielsen for initial advice on RT-PCR amplifications, and not least the field personnel that collected tissue samples from thousands of dead harbour seals in 1988 and 2002. The study was supported by the Villum Foundation, the Danish Ministry of the Environment, the Volkswagen Foundation (Az.: 89911), and by the BONUS program BaltHealth, which has received funding from BONUS (Art. 185), funded jointly by the EU, Innovation Fund Denmark (grants 6180-00001B and 6180-00002B), Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (grant FKZ 03F0767A), Academy of Finland (grant 311966) and Swedish Foundation for Strategic Environmental Research (MISTRA).

370

371 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

372 IS and MTO conceived and designed the study; MTO, TH, KH, US, RD, JT and AH provided

373 funding; TH, KH, US, KL, RD, JT, AG, LWH, EC and AH collected and curated the samples; IS

374 conducted the lab work and phylogenetic analyses; IS and MTO drafted the manuscript. All authors

375 provided input to the manuscript and approved the final version.

3	76	
3	77	CONFLICT OF INTEREST
3	78	The authors declare no conflict of interest.
3	79	
3	80	DATA ACCESSIBILITY
3	81	The edited PDV sequences will be made available on NCBI GenBank upon acceptance of the
3	82	manuscript.
3	83	
3	84	REFERENCES
3	85	Aguilar, A. & Antonio Raga, J., 1993. The Striped Dolphin Epizootic in the Mediterranean Sea.
3	86	Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences, 22(8), pp.524–528.
3	87	Akaike, H., 1973. Information Theory and an Extension of the Maximum Likelihood Principle. In
3	88	B. N. Petrov, & F. Csaki (Eds.), Proceedings of the 2nd International Symposium on
3	89	Information Theory, pp.267–281.
3	90	Bankamp, B. et al., 2011. Genetic characterization of measles vaccine strains. Journal of Infectious
3	91	Diseases, 204(SUPPL. 1), pp.533–548.
3	92	Bankamp, B. et al., 2008. Genetic variability and mRNA editing frequencies of the phosphoprotein
3	93	genes of wild-type measles viruses. Virus Research, 135(2), pp.298-306.
3	94	Baron, M.D. & Barrett, T., 2000. Rinderpest viruses lacking the C and V proteins show specific
3	95	defects in growth and transcription of viral RNAs. Journal of virology, 74(6), pp.2603–11.
3	96	Available at:
3	97	http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10684274%0Ahttp://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articl
3	98	erender.fcgi?artid=PMC111748.

399 Beaty, S.M. & Lee, B., 2016. Constraints on the genetic and antigenic variability of measles virus.

400 *Viruses*, 8(4), pp.1–20.

- Birkun, A. et al., 1999. Epizootic of morbilliviral disease in common dolphins (Deiphinus deiphis
 ponticus) from the Black Sea. *The Veternary Record*, 144, pp.85–92.
- 403 Bodewes, R. et al., 2013. Prevalence of phocine distemper virus specific antibodies: bracing for the
- 404 next seal epizootic in north-western Europe. *Emerging Microbes & Infections*, 2(1), p.e3.
- 405 Available at: http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/emi.2013.2.
- Bouckaert, R. et al., 2014. BEAST 2: A Software Platform for Bayesian Evolutionary Analysis. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 10(4), pp.1–6.
- 408 Bouckaert, R.R. & Heled, J., 2014. DensiTree 2: Seeing trees through the forest. *bioRxiv Preprint*
- 409 *Server*, pp.1–11. Available at:
- 410 http://biorxiv.org/content/early/2014/12/08/012401.abstract%0Ahttp://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/1
 411 0.1101/012401.
- Cattet, M.R.L. et al., 2004. Antibodies to Canine Distemper and Phocine Distemper Viruses in
 Polar Bears from the Canadian Arctic. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases*, 40(2), pp.338–342.
- 414 Chinnakannan, S.K. et al., 2014. Different functions of the common P/V/W and V-specific domains
- 415 of rinderpest virus V protein in blocking IFN signalling. Journal of General Virology,
- 416 95(PART 1), pp.44–51.
- 417 Curran, M.D. et al., 1990. Nucleotide sequence analysis of phocine distemper virus reveals its
 418 distinctness from canine distemper virus. *Veterinary Record*, 127(17), pp.430–431.
- 419 Danielsen, F., Durinck, J. & Skov, H., 1988. Ilanddrevne sæler langs udvalgte danske kyster juni
 420 1988. Ornis Consult, p.Vesterbrogade 140, DK-1620 København V, Denmark (i.
- 421 Delport, W. et al., 2010. Datamonkey 2010: a suit of phylogenetic analysis tools for evolutionary
 422 biology. *Bioinformatics*, 26, pp.2455–2457.
- 423 Dietz, R. et al., 1989. Clue to seal epizootic. *Nature*, 338(627).

- 424 Dietz, R. et al., 2013. Movement and site fidelity of harbour seals (Phoca vitulina) in Kattegat,
- 425 Denmark, with implications for the epidemiology of the phocine distemper virus. *ICES*426 *Journal of Marine Science*, 70, pp.186–195.
- 427 Dietz, R., Heide-Jørgensen, M.P. & Härkönen, T., 1989. Mass deaths of Harbor seals (Phoca
 428 vitulina) in Europe. *AMBIO*, 18(5), pp.258–264.
- 429 Domingo, M. et al., 1990. Morbillivirus in dophins. *Nature*, 348, p.21.
- 430 Drummond, A.J. et al., 2006. Relaxed phylogenetics and dating with confidence. *PLoS Biology*,
 431 4(5), pp.699–710.
- 432 Duffy, S., Shackelton, L.A. & Holmes, E.C., 2008. Rates of evolutionary change in viruses: patterns

433 and determinants. *Nature reviews. Genetics*, 9(4), pp.267–76. Available at:

- 434 http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrg2323.
- 435 Duignan, P.J. et al., 2014. Phocine Distemper Virus: Current Knowledge and Future Directions.
 436 *Viruses*, 6, pp.5093–5134. Available at: www.mdpi.com/journal/viruses.
- 437 Earle, J.P. et al., 2011. Phocine Distemper Virus in Seals, East Coast, United States, 2006.

438 *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 17(2), pp.215–220.

- 439 Edgar, R.C., 2004. MUSCLE: Multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high
- 440 throughput. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 32(5), pp.1792–1797.
- Folkow, L.P., Nordøy, E.S. & Blix, A.S., 2004. Distribution and diving behaviour of harp seals
 (Pagophilus groenlandicus) from the Greenland Sea stock. *Polar Biology*, 27(5), pp.281–298.
- (ragophilus groeniandicus) noin the Greeniand Sea stock. *Fotar Diology*, 27(5), pp.281–298
- 443 Furuse, Y., Suzuki, A. & Oshitani, H., 2010. Origin of measles virus: divergence from rinderpest
- 444 virus between the 11th and 12th centuries. *Virology journal*, 7, p.52.
- 445 Goldstein, T. et al., 2009. Phocine distemper virus in northern sea otters in the Pacific Ocean,
- 446 Alaska, USA. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 15(6), pp.925–927.
- 447 Hall, A.J. et al., 2006. Phocine distemper virus in the North and European Seas Data and models,

448

nature and nurture. *Biological Conservation*, 131(2), pp.221–229.

- 449 Hammond, J.A. et al., 2005. Identification and real-time PCR quantification of Phocine distemper
- 450 virus from two colonies of Scottish grey seals in 2002. *Journal of General Virology*, 86(9),
- 451 pp.2563–2567.
- 452 Hanada, K., Suzuki, Y. & Gojobori, T., 2004. A large variation in the rates of synonymous
- substitution for RNA viruses and its relationship to a diversity of viral infection and
 transmission modes. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 21(6), pp.1074–1080.
- Hasegawa, M., Kishino, H. & Yano, T. aki, 1985. Dating of the human-ape splitting by a molecular
 clock of mitochondrial DNA. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, 22(2), pp.160–174.
- Haug, T., 1990. This report not to be cited without prior reference to authors International Council
 for Exploration of the Sea C.M. 1990.
- 459 Haug, T. & Nilssen, K.T., 1995. Ecological implications of harp seal Phoca groenlandica invasions
- 460 in northern Norway. Whales, seals, fish and man: Proceedings of the International Symposium
- 461 on the biology of Marine Mammals in the North East Atlantic, Tromsø, Norway, 29 November-
- 462 *1 December 1994*, pp.545–556.
- 463 Härkönen, T., Dietz, R. & Reijnders, P., 2006a. A review of the 1988 and 2002 phocine distemper
 464 virus epidemics in European harbour seals. *Dis Aquat Org*, 68, pp.115–130.
- 465 Härkönen, T., Dietz, R. & Reijnders, P., 2006b. A review of the 1988 and 2002 phocine distemper
 466 virus epidemics in European harbour seals. *Dis Aquat Org*, 68, pp.115–130.
- Jo, W.K., Osterhaus, A.D. & Ludlow, M., 2018. Transmission of morbilliviruses within and among
 marine mammal species. *Current Opinion in Virology*, 28, pp.133–141. Available at:
- 469 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.coviro.2017.12.005.
- 470 Jones, K.E. et al., 2008. Global trends in emerging infectious diseases. *Nature*, 451(7181), pp.990-
- 471 U4.

- 472 Karesh, W.B. et al., 2012. Ecology of zoonoses: Natural and unnatural histories. *The Lancet*,
- 473 380(9857), pp.1936–1945. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61678-X.
- 474 Kearse, M. et al., 2012. Geneious Basic: An integrated and extendable desktop software platform
- for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, 28(12), pp.1647–1649.
- 476 Kennedy et al., 1989. Viral distemper now found in porpoises. *Nature*, 336(21), pp.97–103.
- 477 Kreutzer, M. et al., 2008. Brief Report In search of virus carriers of the 1988 and 2002 phocine
- 478 distemper virus outbreaks in European harbour seals. *Archives of Virology*, 153, pp.187–192.
- Krog, J.S. et al., 2015. Influenza A (H10N7) virus in dead harbor seals, Denmark. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 21(4), pp.684–687.
- 481 Lawson, B. & Jepson, P.D., 2003. UK Phocine Distemper Virus Epizootic Investigation Report
 482 2002 / 2003.
- Lio, P. & Goldman, N., 1998. Models of molecular evolution and phylogeny. *Genome Res*, 8(12),
 pp.1233–1244.
- 485 Lipscomb, T.P. et al., 1996. Morbillivirus epizootic in bottlenose dolphins of the Gulf of Mexico.
 486 *Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation*, 8(3), pp.283–290.
- 487 Loeng, H. & Drinkwater, K., 2007. An overview of the ecosystems of the Barents and Norwegian
 488 Seas and their response to climate variability. *Deep-Sea Research II*, 54, pp.2478–2500.
- 489 Ludes-Wehrmeister, E. et al., 2016. Phocine distemper virus (PDV) seroprevalence as predictor for
 490 future outbreaks in harbour seals. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 183, pp.43–49.
- 491 Mamaev, L. V. et al., 1995. Characterisation of morbilliviruses isolated from Lake Baikal seals
 492 (Phoca sibirica). *Veterinary Microbiology*, 44(2–4), pp.251–259.
- Markussen, N.H. & Have, P., 1992. Phocine distemper virus infection in harp seals (Phoca
 groenlandica). *Marine Mammal Science*, 8(1), pp.19–26. Available at:
- 495 http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1748-7692.1992.tb00121.x.

- McConnell, B.J. et al., 1999. Movements and foraging of grey seals in the North sea. *The Journal of Applied Ecology*, 36, pp.573–590.
- 498 Minin, V. et al., 2003. Performance-Based Selection of Likelihood Models for Phylogeny

499 Estimation. *Systematic Biology*, 52(5), pp.674–683. Available at:

- 500 https://academic.oup.com/sysbio/article-lookup/doi/10.1080/10635150390235494.
- 501 Nanda, S.K. & Baron, M.D., 2006. Rinderpest Virus Blocks Type I and Type II Interferon Action:
- 502Role of Structural and Nonstructural Proteins. Journal of Virology, 80(15), pp.7555–7568.

503 Available at: http://jvi.asm.org/cgi/doi/10.1128/JVI.02720-05.

- 504 Nielsen, L. et al., 2009. Genetic diversity and phylogenetic analysis of the attachment glycoprotein
- of phocine distemper viruses of the 2002 and 1988 epizootics. *Virus Research*, 144(1–2),
 pp.323–328.
- Nielsen, O. et al., 1997. Epizootiology of Morbillivirus infection in harp, hooded, and and ringed
 seals from the Canatian Arctic and Western Atlantic. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases*, 33(1),
 pp.7–19.
- 510 Nilssen, K.T. et al., 1998. Invasions of harp seals Phoca groenlandica ERXLEBEN to coastal

511 waters of Norway in 1995: Ecological and demographic implications. *Sarsia*, 83(4), p.345.

512 Olsen, M.T. et al., 2017. Genetic population structure of harbour seals in the United Kingdom and

513 neighbouring waters. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, (May 2016),
514 pp.1–7.

515 Olsen, M.T. et al., 2014. Integrating genetic data and population viability analyses for the

- 516 identification of harbour seal (Phoca vitulina) populations and management units. *Molecular*517 *Ecology*, 23(4), pp.815–831.
- 518 Osterhaus, A. et al., 1997. Morbillivirus in monk seal mass mortality. *Nature*, 388(August), pp.834–
 519 838.

- 520 Pomeroy, P.P. et al., 2005. Morbillivirus neutralising antibodies in Scottish grey seals Halichoerus
- 521 grypus: Assessing the effects of the 1988 and 2002 PDV epizootics. *Marine Ecology Progress*522 *Series*, 287(Cdv), pp.241–250.
- Pond, S.L.K. et al., 2006. Automated phylogenetic detection of recombination using a genetic
 algorithm. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 23(10), pp.1891–1901.
- Posada, D., 2008. jModelTest: Phylogenetic model averaging. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*,
 25(7), pp.1253–1256.
- 527 Rambaut, A., 2016. http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/.
- 528 Reijnders, P. et al., 2010. Population development and status of harbour seals (*Phoca vitulina*) in
- 529 the Wadden Sea. *NAMMCO Scientific Publications*, 8, pp.95–106. Available at:
- 530 http://septentrio.uit.no/index.php/NAMMCOSP/article/view/2677.
- Reineking, B., 2003. Seal epidemic 2002: Information on Dead Seals in the North Sea, Wadden Sea
 and the Kattegat/Skagerrak Area in 2002,
- 533 Rijks, J.M., Osterhaus, A.D.M.. & Kuiken, T., 2012. Morbillivirus infections. In *Infectious*
- 534 *Diseases of Wild Mammals and Birds in Europe*. pp. 99–118.
- Roeder, P., Mariner, J. & Kock, R., 2013. Rinderpest: the veterinary perspective on eradication. *The Royal Society*, 368(1623).
- 537 Roelke-Parker, M.E. et al., 1996. A canine distemper virus epidemic in Serengeti lions (Panthera
- 538 leo). *Nature*, 379(6564), pp.441–445. Available at:
- http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8559247%5Cnhttp://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v3
 79/n6564/pdf/379441a0.pdf.
- 541 Siembieda, J.L. et al., 2017. Epidemiology of a Phocine Distemper Virus Outbreak Along the North
- 542 Atlantic Coast of the United States. *Aquatic Mammals*, 43(3), pp.254–263. Available at:
- 543 http://www.aquaticmammalsjournal.org/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=16

- 544 65:epidemiology-of-a-phocine-distemper-virus-outbreak-along-the-north-atlantic-coast-of-the545 united-states&catid=160&Itemid=326.
- 546 Swinton, J. et al., 1998. Persistence thresholds for phocine distemper virus infection in harbour seal
 547 Phoca vitulina metapopulations. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 67(1), pp.54–68.
- Thorne, E.T. & Williams, E.S., 1988. Disease and Endangered Species: The Black-Footed Ferret as
 a Recent Example. *Conservation Biology*, 2(1), pp.66–74.
- 550 Tougaard, J., Teilmann, J. & Tougaard, S., 2008. Harbour seal spatial distribution estimated from
- Argos satellite telemetry: Overcoming positioning errors. *Endangered Species Research*, 4(1–
 2), pp.113–122.
- Vandamme, A.-M., Bertazzoni, U. & Salemi, M., 2000. Evolutionary strategies of human T-cell
 lymphotropic virus type II. *Gene*, 261(1), pp.171–180. Available at:
- 555 http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S037811190000473X.
- de Vries, R.D. et al., 2013. Complete Genome Sequence of Phocine Distemper Virus Isolated from
- a Harbor Seal (Phoca vitulina) during the 1988 North Sea Epidemic. *Genome Announcements*,
- 558 1(3), pp.6–7. Available at:
- 559 http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3695424&tool=pmcentrez&render
 560 type=abstract.
- Wild, T.F., Malvoisin, E. & Buckland, R., 1991. Measles virus: Both the haemagglutinin and fusion
 glycoproteins are required for fusion. *Journal of General Virology*, 72(2), pp.439–442.
- Xia, X. et al., 2003. An index of substitution saturation and its application. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 26(1), pp.1–7.
- Xia, X., 2013. DAMBE5: A comprehensive software package for data analysis in molecular
 biology and evolution. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 30(7), pp.1720–1728.
- 567 Xu, S. et al., 2014. Evolutionary genetics of genotype H1 measles viruses in China from 1993 to

- 568 2012. *Journal of General Virology*, 95(PART 9), pp.1892–1899.
- 569 Øien, N. & Øritsland, T., 1995. Use of mark-recapture experiments to monitor seal populations
 570 subject to catching. *Developments in Marine Biology*, 4(C), pp.35–45.
- 571 Aarts, G. et al., 2016. Spatial distribution and habitat preference of harbour seals (Phoca vitulina) in
- 572 the Dutch North Sea. *Wageningen University & Research Report C118/16*, (November).
- 573

574 **FIGURES AND TABLES** 575



576

Figure 1 Spatial and temporal origin of the 44 harbour seals and PDV sequences used in the
phylogenetic analysis. Triangles = Samples from 1988 collected in Kattegat (N=2), Limfjorden
(N=2) and the German Wadden Sea (N=4). Circles = Samples from 2002 collected in Kattegat
(N=11), Skagerrak (N=6), the Baltic (N=3), Limfjorden (N=3), the Danish Wadden Sea (N=4), the
German Wadden Sea (N=4), the Dutch Wadden Sea (N=1) and the southeastern coast of England
(N=5).

584





Figure 2 Phylogenetic relationship and hypothesised spread of PDV among European harbour seals. A) Bayesian phylogenetic analysis of 36 PDV sequences obtained from harbour seals sampled during the 2002 outbreak and sequenced in 7,123bp (position 1,744-8,915) of the viral P, M, F and H genes. The analysis provides strong support for Kattegat as the origin of the outbreak, with subsequent independent pulses of spread to harbour seal localities throughout northern Europe. Uncertainties in the tree topology are visualized as a smear around the tree nodes and branches. B) Phylogenetic analysis of the combined data set of 44 samples from 1988 and 2002 supporting the independent origin of the strain leading to the 2002 outbreak. C) A novel hypothesis for the spread of PDV during 2002 from the Kattegat epicentre to other European harbour seal localities through a series of independent transmission events.

Table 1 Summary statistics for the Bayesian phylogenetic analyses of the sequences from the PDV outbreaks in European harbour seal

605 populations

set ucld.stdev Coefficient of variance		Rate of evolution	Rate of evolution Tree height		TMRCA date [95 % HPD]		
		sub/site/yr			1988	2002	
0.71	0.75	6.73*10-4	15.54 = 15 y 198 d		25/11-1987	7/1-2002	
[0.41, 1.04]	[0.4, 1.15]	[5.04*10-4. 8.41*10-4]	[14.62, 17.02]	-	[10/6-87, 3/4-88]	[28/7-01, 30/4-02]	
3.74*10-3	4.09*10-3	2.42*10-6	0.01				
0.20	0.19	2.28*10-3	0.38	12.97			
[6.52*10-10, 0.69]	[5.61*10-10, 0.64]	[4.54*10-4, 4.22*10-3]	[9.19, 0.73]	[1.76, 23.91]	-	-	
2.55*10-3	2.31*10-3	1.55*10-5	3.17*10-3	0.09			
0.23	0.23	1.58*10-3	0.56 = 203,16 d	8.48			
[8.15*10-9, 0.62]	[8.12*10-9, 0.63]	[1.03*10-3, 2.18*10-3]	[0.46, 0.68]	[4.84, 11.96]	-	-	
2.40*10-3	2.47*10-3	5.49*10-6	1,18*10-3	0.03			
	ucld.stdev 0.71 [0.41, 1.04] 3.74*10-3 0.20 [6.52*10-10, 0.69] 2.55*10-3 0.23 [8.15*10-9, 0.62] 2.40*10-3	ucld.stdevCoefficient of variance0.710.75[0.41, 1.04][0.4, 1.15]3.74*10-34.09*10-30.200.19[6.52*10-10, 0.69][5.61*10-10, 0.64]2.55*10-32.31*10-30.230.23[8.15*10-9, 0.62][8.12*10-9, 0.63]2.40*10-32.47*10-3	ucld.stdevCoefficient of varianceRate of evolution sub/site/yr0.710.756.73*10-4[0.41, 1.04][0.4, 1.15][5.04*10-4. 8.41*10-4]3.74*10-34.09*10-32.42*10-60.200.192.28*10-3[6.52*10-10, 0.69][5.61*10-10, 0.64][4.54*10-4, 4.22*10-3]2.55*10-32.31*10-31.55*10-50.230.231.58*10-3[8.15*10-9, 0.62][8.12*10-9, 0.63][1.03*10-3, 2.18*10-3]2.40*10-32.47*10-35.49*10-6	ucld.stdevCoefficient of varianceRate of evolution sub/site/yrTree height 0.71 0.75 $6.73*10-4$ $15.54 = 15 \text{ y } 198 \text{ d}$ $[0.41, 1.04]$ $[0.4, 1.15]$ $[5.04*10-4. 8.41*10-4]$ $[14.62, 17.02]$ $3.74*10-3$ $4.09*10-3$ $2.42*10-6$ 0.01 0.20 0.19 $2.28*10-3$ 0.38 $[6.52*10-10, 0.69]$ $[5.61*10-10, 0.64]$ $[4.54*10-4, 4.22*10-3]$ $[9.19, 0.73]$ $2.55*10-3$ $2.31*10-3$ $1.55*10-5$ $3.17*10-3$ 0.23 0.23 $1.58*10-3$ $0.56 = 203,16 \text{ d}$ $[8.15*10-9, 0.62]$ $[8.12*10-9, 0.63]$ $[1.03*10-3, 2.18*10-3]$ $[0.46, 0.68]$ $2.40*10-3$ $2.47*10-3$ $5.49*10-6$ $1,18*10-3$	ucld.stdevCoefficient of varianceRate of evolution sub/site/yrTree heightGrowth rate0.710.756.73*10-415.54 = 15 y 198 d-[0.41, 1.04][0.4, 1.15][5.04*10-4. 8.41*10-4][14.62, 17.02]-3.74*10-34.09*10-32.42*10-60.01-0.200.192.28*10-30.3812.97[6.52*10-10, 0.69][5.61*10-10, 0.64][4.54*10-4, 4.22*10-3][9.19, 0.73][1.76, 23.91]2.55*10-32.31*10-31.55*10-53.17*10-30.090.230.231.58*10-30.56 = 203,16 d8.48[8.15*10-9, 0.62][8.12*10-9, 0.63][1.03*10-3, 2.18*10-3][0.46, 0.68][4.84, 11.96]2.40*10-32.47*10-35.49*10-61,18*10-30.03	ucld.stdev Coefficient of variance Rate of evolution sub/site/yr Tree height sub/site/yr Growth rate 1988 TMRCA date 1988 0.71 0.75 6.73*10-4 15.54 = 15 y 198 d 25/11-1987 [0.41, 1.04] [0.4, 1.15] [5.04*10-4, 8.41*10-4] [14.62, 17.02] - [10/6-87, 3/4-88] 3.74*10-3 4.09*10-3 2.42*10-6 0.01 - - 0.20 0.19 2.28*10-3 0.38 12.97 - [6.52*10-10, 0.69] [5.61*10-10, 0.64] [4.54*10-4, 4.22*10-3] [9.19, 0.73] [1.76, 23.91] - 2.55*10-3 2.31*10-3 1.55*10-5 3.17*10-3 0.09 - 0.23 0.23 1.58*10-3 0.56 = 203,16 d 8.48 - [8.15*10-9, 0.62] [8.12*10-9, 0.63] [1.03*10-3, 2.18*10-3] [0.46, 0.68] [4.84, 11.96] - 2.40*10-3 2.47*10-3 5.49*10-6 1,18*10-3 0.03 -	

Gene	Lenght	Number of mutations			Amino acid changes			Substitution rate		
		PDVall	PDV1988	PDV2002	PDVall	PDV1988	PDV2002	PDVall	PDV1988	PDV2002
F	1,893 (2,206)	28	4	15	0.50	0	0.66	8.03*10-4 [4.44*10-4, 1.16*10-3]	4.62*10-3 [4.52*10-4, 9.82*10-3]	3.96*10-3 [1.3*10-3, 7.08*10-3]
Н	1,821 (1,857)	31	5	12	0.48	0.40	0.50	4.08*10-4 5.6*10-4 [2.56*10-4, 8.96*10-4] 5.11*10-6	3.4*10-5 4.75*10-3 [2.44*10-4, 0.01] 4.76*10.5	5.35*10-5 2.19*10-3 [4.89*10-4, 4.37*10-3] 3.88*10.5
М	1,005 (1,447)	13	0	10	0.23	0	0.20	5.73*10-4 [1.9*10-4, 1.01E*10-3] 6.74*10-6	-	5.72*10-3 [1.57*10-3, 0.01] 6.70*10-5
Р	1,521 (1,653)	14 P	4	8	0.64	0.75	0.75	2.2*10-4 [6.26*10-5, 4.24*10-4] 2.51*10-6	5.71*10-3 [1.89*10-4, 0.01] 5.97*10-5	1.53*10-3 [3.79*10-4, 2.94*10-3] 1.25*10-5

Table 2 The number of genetic differences, amino acid changes and the substitution rates for the F, H, M and P genes calculated using an uncorrelated relaxed clock. The M gene from the 1988 data set contained no substitutions and thus no substitution rate was calculated.





Supplementary Figure 2 Phylogenetic relationship among eight PDV strains sequenced from

17 harbour seals infected during the 1988 epidemic. The analysis suggests at least two independent

- 18 introductions of the PDV strain to Limfjorden (DK LIM 42524; 1988/07/22) and Kattegat
- 19 (DK KAT 42412; 1988/05/22), respectively. Node bars are shown as the 95 % interval of the node
- heights thereby indicating their uncertainty. 20

12



Supplementary Figure 3 Phylogenetic relationship among PDV strains sequenced from harbour seals infected during the 2002 epidemic. The analysis provides strong support for Kattegat as the origin of the outbreak (DK_KAT_25002; 2002/05/24), with subsequent pulses of spread to harbour seal localities in Skagerrak (SKG), SW Baltic (BAL), the Danish German and Dutch Wadden Sea (WAD), Limfjorden (LIM) and the Wash (UK_Wash). Node bars are shown as the 95 % interval of the node heights thereby indicating their uncertainty.

Country	Tiss	ue type	Storage	temperatu re	Sample	e storage	Number of samples in the data set		nples included data set	
	1988	2002	1988	2002	1988	2002	1988	2002	1988	2002
Denmark	Liver	Spleen/lung	-20 °C	-20 °C	Organs in bags	Organs in bags	27	28	4	16
England	-	Spleen/lung	-	-20 °C/-80 °C	-	-	-	17		5
Germany	Muscle	Spleen/lung	-20 °C	-20 °C	Organs in bags	Organs in bags	5	5	4	4
The Netherlands	-	Muscle	-	-20 °C	-	-	-	2		1
Scotland	-	Spleen/lung	-	-20 °C	-	-	-	4		0
Sweden	-	Spleen	-	-20 °C/-80 °C	-	Tubes RNAlater	-	11		10
Total							32	67	8	36

Supplementary Table 1 Summary of tissue type, storage conditions and the number of samples extracted and included in the analyses.

Supplementary Table 2 Table of primers used to amplify the fragments sequenced. The primers were compiled from several previous studies and combined to construct the complete genome sequence of PDV (de Vries et al., 2013).

Primer	Sequence I	Positions tart	Positionend	Coverage ase pairs	Gene
4	5'-TCAGCTAAGTTCTAAAAGACATTGC-3'	1 (72)	2 202	(21	р
4	3'-CAGTTAGATGAAGCATTTCCTTCGG-5'	1,672	2,303	031	P
5	5'-GAAGGAAGAGAGGGAAGCCTTGATG-3	,	2.954	(20)	р
3	3'-GTTTTTTGATCGAGTCAATCTCTCC-5'	2,224	2,854	630	P
6	5'-TGAGGATAATCAATCAATACTTAGC-3'	2 779	2 407	(20	п
0	3'-TGAGTCCTAAGTTTTTTGTAATTGC-5'	2,778	3,407	629	P
7	5'-ACCAATGCCTTTGTTAGTAATCAGG-3'	2 2 2 2	2.074	641	
/	3'-TCGGAAATCAAACATTCCGCGAGGG-5'	3,333	3,974	641	P/IVI
0	5'-GTAGTGTACATGAGTATTACTAGAC-3'	2.0	4.5.40	(10	М
8	3'-AACAGACTAAAGCTAATTAATGCTG-5'	3,9	4,549	649	IVI
0	5'-TAATGATATAGACTGAAGCACTCAC-3'	4 4 6 4	5 100	644	M/E
9	3'-TTCAGGGTTTAGAAATGGTGAATCG-5'	4,464	5,108	644	IVI/F
10	5'-ATGACTCGAGTCAAGAAACTCCCAG-3'	5 020		(27	Б
10	3'-CAGCAGTTGCTACACCTAGAGCTGC-5'	5,028	3,633	627	Г
11	5'-GGGATCAGGTAGAAGACAGAGGCG-3'	5 590	()17	(25	Б
11	3'-GGGACAGTAGTGTACCATTCCTGTG-5'	5,582	6,217	635	1
10	5'-GGGTTGTCGTACATAGATTGGAGGC-3'	6 1 4 9	((20	Б
12	3'-ATACCGGGAGTGCAATGAGGGTGCC 5'	6,148	6,///	629	Г
12	5'-AATCCTCTGATCAAATCCTTGACAC-3'	(702	7 2 4 9	(15	E/II
15	3'-ATCCTTGACTTGATGATGCACTGCC-;;'	6,703	/,348	645	Γ/Π
1.4	5'-AAAAAGCAATTTGGAGTTCAATAAG 3	7.27	7.0	(20)	П
14	3'-GATAATCCTGTAGTTTGTTGTTGG-5'	7,27	7,9	630	п
115/11/	5'-AGATGATATCTTTCCTCC-3'	7 (15	0.065	451	т
П3/П()	3'-ATCCATATGAGTTGCTCC-5'	7,615	8,065	451	п
15	5'-GATTCGTGTCTTTGAGATTGGATTC-?	7.010	0 4 4 0	(20	П
15	3'-CCATTTTTAGGTGGAATTGTTAGCC-:'	7,819	8,448	629	п
16	5'-ATAATATTTAACGGTGATGGGATGG-3'	0 266	0.72	606	ы
16	3'-AAACAAGGGAAAGAAACACTTACCG-5	, 8,300	8,972	000	п

Cycles	1	1	40	/ 38	x (1	1	1)	1	1
Time (min)	30	15			1	1	1	10	œ
Primer 4 (°C)	50	95	Х		94	64	72	72	4
Primer 5 (°C)	50	95	Х		94	64	72	72	4
Primer 6 (°C)	50	95	х		94	63	72	72	4
Primer 7 (°C)	50	95		х	94	65	72	72	4
Primer 8 (°C)	50	95	Х		94	63	72	72	4
Primer 9 (°C)	50	96	Х		94	62	72	72	4
Primer 10 (°C)	50	95	Х		94	62	72	72	4
Primer 11 (°C)	50	95	Х		94	62	72	72	4
Primer 12 (°C)	50	95	Х		94	62	72	72	4
Primer 13 (°C)	50	95	х		94	62	72	72	4
Primer 14 (°C)	50	95	х		94	58	72	72	4
(°C)	50	95	х		94	55	72	72	4
Primer 15 (°C)	50	95	х		94	58	72	72	4
Primer 16 (°C)	50	95		х	94	64	72	72	4

Supplementary Table 3 RT-PCR conditions for the 14 different primer sets used in this study.

Supplementary Table 4 The final sample set of PDV sequences covering the coding and noncoding regions of the P, M, F and H genes of samples collected in 2002 and 1988. Numbers 1-4 indicate identical sequences. * Note that viral RNA was not successfully sequenced with primer 6 for the samples 42412 and 42413 and a fragment from a German sample was used to fill the gap (see Table 9).

Sample	Species	Location	Area	Date	Tissue
25002	Harbour seal	Læsø	DK-KAT	24. May 2002	(Liver)
25030 ⁴	Harbour seal	Anholt	DK-KAT	29. May 2002	Spleen
30029	Harbour seal	Samsø	DK-KAT	6. July 2002	Spleen
30030 ⁴	Harbour seal	Samsø	DK-KAT	6. July 2002	Spleen
30031 4	Harbour seal	Samsø	DK-KAT	6. July 2002	Spleen
VB21 3	Harbour seal	Prästaskär	SE-KAT	18. July 2002	Spleen
VB22 3	Harbour seal	Flatbåden	SE-KAT	18. July 2002	Spleen
VB23	Harbour seal	Prästaskär	SE-KAT	18. July 2002	Spleen
TM3155	Harbour seal	Luseskär	SE-KAT	28. August 2002	Spleen
TM197	Harbour seal	Väderöarna	SE-SKG	12. September 2002	Spleen
TM3107	Harbour seal	Sälvik	SE-SKG	16. August 2002	Spleen
TM3109	Harbour seal	Koster	SE-SKG	19. August 2002	Spleen
TM3118	Harbour seal	Lilla Sockna	SE-SKG	21. August 2002	Spleen
TM3192	Harbour seal	Tjärnö	SE-SKG	11. September 2002	Spleen
TM16024	Harbour seal	Ramsökalven	SE-SKG	3. September 2002	Spleen
26055	Harbour seal	Karlslunde	DK-ØRE	9. August 2002	Spleen
25679	Harbour seal	Møn	DK-BAL	6. September 2002	Spleen
25682	Harbour seal	Rødsand	DK-BAL	8. September 2002	Spleen
25677	Harbour seal	Kippinge	DK-BAL	30. August 2002	Spleen
H41	Harbour seal	Ameland	NL-WAD	9. September 2002	Muscle
Pv 1939	Harbour seal	Eiderstedt	DE-WAD	29. August 2002	Spleen/lung
Pv 2149	Harbour seal	g	DE-WAD	19. September 2002	Spleen/lung
Pv 2162	Harbour seal	Sylt	DE-WAD	2. October 2002	Spleen
Pv 2163 ²	Harbour seal	Eiderstedt	DE-WAD	21. August 2002	Spleen
26001 2	Harbour seal	(North)	DK-WAD	18. September 2002	Spleen
26002 1	Harbour seal	(North)	DK-WAD	18. September 2002	Spleen
26003	Harbour seal	(North)	DK-WAD	18. September 2002	Spleen
26561 ¹	Harbour seal	Koresand	DK-WAD	11. September 2002	Spleen
26866	Harbour seal	Blinde Røn	DK-LIM	29. September 2002	Spleen
26891	Harbour seal	Blinde Røn	DK-LIM	30. September 2002	Spleen
26901	Harbour seal	Blinde Røn	DK-LIM	15. October 2002	Spleen

Sample	Species	Location	Area	Date	Tissue
EPDV2681	Harbour seal	Wash	UK-Wash	29. September 2002	Spleen/lung
EPDV2480	Harbour seal	Wash	UK-Wash	18. October 2002	Spleen/lung
EPDV1966	Harbour seal	Wash	UK-Wash	20. September 2002	Spleen/lung
EPDV1909	Harbour seal	Wash	UK-Wash	27. September 2002	Spleen/lung
EPDV1744	Harbour seal	Kent	UK-Wash	26. September 2002	Spleen/lung
PV14965	Harbour seal	Wadden Sea	DE-WAD	25. May 1988	Muscle/blubber
PV14958	Harbour seal	Wadden Sea	DE-WAD	19. May1988	Muscle/blubber
PV14948	Harbour seal	Wadden Sea	DE-WAD	24. May 1988	Muscle/blubber
PV14969	Harbour seal	Wadden Sea	DE-WAD	27. May 1988	Muscle/blubber
42524	Harbour seal	Limfjorden	DK-LIM	22. July 1988	Liver
42531	Harbour seal	Limfjorden	DK-LIM	22. July 1988	Liver
42412 *	Harbour seal	Anholt	DK-KAT	22. May 1988	Liver
42413 *	Harbour seal	Anholt	DK-KAT	22. May 1988	Liver

Supplementary Table 4 (continued)

Supplementary Table 5 Results of the substitution saturation tests of the alignment of PDV sequences from 1988 and 2002. No substitution saturation is detected if the Iss is significantly lower than Iss.c (Xia et al. 2003).

Codon(s)	Iss	Iss.c
Codon position 1 and 2	0.0117	0.8026
Codon position 3	0.0027	0.7909

Supplementary Table 6 Results of the substitution model tests conducted in JModeltest (Posada 2008). The score is the weights of AIC,
AICc, BIC and DT and the model of the highest weight is the model which is best fitted for the given alignment.

Alignment	AIC		AIC AICc BIC		IC	D	Т	
	Model	Score	Model	Score	Model	Score	Model	Score
PDVall	TVM + I	0.164	TPM1uf+I	0.165	HKY + I	0.307	HKY + I	0.016
PDV1988	TPM1uf	0.302	TPM1uf	0.304	НКҮ	0.487	HKY	0.057
PDV2002	TPM1uf+I	0.137	TPM1uf+I	0.138	HKY	0.731	HKY	0.031

Parameter	Distribution	Initial value	Interval
gammaShape.s:1stpos	Exponential	1	$[-\infty,\infty]$
gammasShape.s:2ndpos	Exponential	1	$[-\infty,\infty]$
gammasShape.s:3rdpos	Exponential	1	$[-\infty,\infty]$
gammasShape.s:coding	Exponential	1	$[-\infty,\infty]$
gammasShape.s:noncoding	Exponential	1	$[-\infty,\infty]$
Kappa.s:1stpos	Log normal	2	$[0,0,\infty]$
Kappa.s:2ndpos	Log normal	2	$[0,0,\infty]$
Kappa.s:3rdpos	Log normal	2	$[0,0,\infty]$
Kappa.s:coding	Log normal	2	$[0,0,\infty]$
Kappa.s:noncoding	Log normal	2	$[0,0,\infty]$

Supplementary Table 7 General priors applied to the parameters in BEAUTi.

Supplementary Table 8 Priors applied for the different tests of the coalescence constant and exponential population growth.

Parameter	Distribution	Initial value	Interval
ePopSize.t.tree	Gamma	1	[0,001, 1000]
ClockRate.c:clock	Uniform	1	$[-\infty,\infty]$
ucldMean.c:clock (PDVall)	Uniform	0.0014	[0, 0.1]
ucldMean.c:clock (1988 and 2002)	Uniform	4.329E-4	[0, 0.1]
GrowthRate.t.tree	Laplace Distribution	3.0E-4	$[-\infty,\infty]$

Supplementary Table 9 Mutations found in the sequences from samples collected in 1988. The parentheses indicate the positions where a fragment from a German sample was used to fill gaps. Results from an additional sample (42523) which was not fully sequenced was included and non-sequenced areas marked with -.

Samples	Locality	1,868	2,251	2,481	3,131	3,357	4,562
		(Q = 52)	(Q = 52)	(Q = 55)	(Q = 55)	(Q = 52)	(Q = 52)
PV14965	Germany	С	А	С	G	G	G
PV14958	Germany	С	А	С	G	G	G
PV14948	Germany	С	А	С	G	Α	G
PV14969	Germany	С	G	С	G	G	Α
42524	Limfjorden	С	А	Т	Α	G	G
42523	Limfjorden	-	-	-	-	-	-
42531	Limfjorden	С	А	С	G	G	G
42412	Anholt	Α	А	С	G	(G)	(G)
42413	Anholt	С	А	С	G	(G)	(G)

Samples	Locality	4,869	4,978	5,126	5,202	5,816	5,891
		(Q = 54)	(Q = 59)	(Q = 30)			
PV14965	Germany	А	С	С	С	Т	С
PV14958	Germany	А	С	С	С	Т	С
PV14948	Germany	А	С	С	С	Т	С
PV14969	Germany	А	С	С	С	Т	С
42524	Limfjorden	А	С	С	Т	G	Т
42523	Limfjorden	-	-	С	Т	G	Т
42531	Limfjorden	С	С	Т	С	Т	С
42412	Anholt	А	С	С	С	Т	Т
42413	Anholt	А	Т	С	С	Т	Т

Samples	Locality	7,414	7,444	7,602	8,023	8,201
				(Q = 52)		(Q = 52)
PV14965	Germany	Т	А	Т	С	А
PV14958	Germany	Т	А	Т	А	А
PV14948	Germany	Т	А	Т	С	А
PV14969	Germany	Т	А	Т	А	А
42524	Limfjorden	С	G	Т	А	А
42523	Limfjorden	С	G	Т	А	А
42531	Limfjorden	Т	А	Т	А	А
42412	Anholt	Т	А	G	А	А
42413	Anholt	Т	А	Т	А	G

		1,792	1,795	2,152	2,473
Sample	Area	(P gene)	(P gene)	(P gene)	(P gene)
0_25002	DK-KAT	Т	Т	G	Т
1_25030 4	DK-KAT	Т	Т	G	С
1_30030 4	DK-KAT	Т	Т	G	С
1_30031 4	DK-KAT	Т	Т	G	С
1_VB21 ³	SE-KAT	Т	Т	G	С
1_VB22 ³	SE-KAT	Т	Т	G	С
1_TM3155	SE-KAT	Т	Т	G	С
1_TM3107	SE-SKG	Т	С	G	С
1_TM3118	SE-SKG	Т	С	G	С
1_TM3192	SE-SKG	Т	С	G	С
1_TM16024	SE-SKG	Т	С	G	С
1_26055	DK-ØRE	Т	Т	G	С
1_25677	DK-BAL	Т	Т	G	С
2_26866	DK-LIM	Т	Т	G	Т
2_26891	DK-LIM	Т	Т	G	Т
2_26901	DK-LIM	Т	Т	G	Т
2_30029	DK-KAT	Т	Т	G	Т
3_EPDV2681	UK-Wash	Т	Т	G	Т
3_EPDV2480	UK-Wash	Т	Т	G	Т
3_EPDV1909	UK-Wash	Т	Т	G	Т
3_EPDV1744	UK-Wash	Т	Т	G	Т
4_EPDV1966	UK-Wash	Т	Т	G	Т
4_H41	NL-WAD	Т	Т	G	Т
4_Pv 1939	DE-WAD	С	Т	G	Т
4_Pv 2149	DE-WAD	Т	Т	G	Т
4_Pv 2162	DE-WAD	Т	Т	G	Т
$4_{\rm Pv} 2163^{2}$	DE-WAD	Т	Т	G	Т
4 26001 ²	DK-WAD	Т	Т	G	Т
4 26002 ¹	DK-WAD	С	Т	А	Т
4 26003	DK-WAD	С	Т	G	Т
4 26561 ¹	DK-WAD	С	Т	А	Т
- 5 VB23	SE-KAT	Т	Т	G	Т
5 TM197	SE-SKG	Т	т Т	G	Т
5 TM3109	SE-SKG	Т	Ť	G	Т
5 25679	DK-BAL	Т	Ť	G	Т
5_25682	DK-BAL	Т	T	G	Т

Supplementary Table 10 The mutations (≥ 2) of the PDV2002 data set. Samples are listed with a number indicating the clade to which they belong to in the tree.

		3,614	4,010	4,698	4,747
Sample	Area	(M gene)	(M gene)	(Non c. M gene)	(Non c. M gene)
0_25002	DK-KAT	Т	С	Т	А
1_25030 4	DK-KAT	Т	С	Т	А
1_30030 4	DK-KAT	Т	С	Т	А
1_30031 4	DK-KAT	Т	С	Т	А
1_VB21 ³	SE-KAT	Т	С	С	А
1_VB22 ³	SE-KAT	Т	С	Т	А
1_TM3155	SE-KAT	Т	С	С	А
1_TM3107	SE-SKG	Т	С	Т	А
1_TM3118	SE-SKG	Т	С	Т	А
1_TM3192	SE-SKG	Т	С	Т	А
1_TM16024	SE-SKG	Т	С	Т	А
1_26055	DK-ØRE	Т	С	Т	А
1_25677	DK-BAL	Т	С	Т	А
2_26866	DK-LIM	Т	С	Т	А
2_26891	DK-LIM	Т	С	Т	А
2_26901	DK-LIM	Т	С	Т	А
2_30029	DK-KAT	Т	С	Т	А
3_EPDV2681	UK-Wash	С	С	Т	А
3_EPDV2480	UK-Wash	С	С	Т	А
3_EPDV1909	UK-Wash	С	С	Т	А
3_EPDV1744	UK-Wash	С	С	Т	А
4_EPDV1966	UK-Wash	Т	Т	Т	G
4_H41	NL-WAD	Т	Т	Т	А
4_Pv 1939	DE-WAD	Т	Т	Т	А
4_Pv 2149	DE-WAD	Т	Т	Т	G
4_Pv 2162	DE-WAD	Т	Т	Т	А
4_Pv 2163 ²	DE-WAD	Т	Т	Т	А
4_ 26001 ²	DK-WAD	Т	Т	Т	А
4_ 26002 ¹	DK-WAD	Т	Т	Т	А
4_26003	DK-WAD	Т	Т	Т	А
4_26561 ¹	DK-WAD	Т	Т	Т	А
5_VB23	SE-KAT	Т	С	Т	А
5_TM197	SE-SKG	Т	С	Т	А
5_TM3109	SE-SKG	Т	С	Т	А
5_25679	DK-BAL	Т	С	Т	А
5_25682	DK-BAL	Т	С	Т	Α

Samala	A	5,064	5,266	5,306	6,893
Sample	Area	(F gene)	(F gene)	(F gene)	(F gene)
0_25002	DK-KAT	С	Т	А	G
1_25030 4	DK-KAT	С	Т	А	G
1_30030 4	DK-KAT	С	Т	Α	G
1_30031 4	DK-KAT	С	Т	Α	G
1_VB21 ³	SE-KAT	С	Т	А	G
1_VB22 ³	SE-KAT	С	Т	А	G
1_TM3155	SE-KAT	С	Т	А	G
1_TM3107	SE-SKG	С	Т	А	G
1_TM3118	SE-SKG	Т	Т	А	G
1_TM3192	SE-SKG	Т	Т	А	G
1_TM16024	SE-SKG	Т	Т	А	G
1_26055	DK-ØRE	С	Т	А	G
1_25677	DK-BAL	С	Т	А	G
2_26866	DK-LIM	С	Т	А	G
2_26891	DK-LIM	С	Т	А	G
2_26901	DK-LIM	С	Т	А	G
2_30029	DK-KAT	С	Т	А	G
3_EPDV2681	UK-Wash	С	Т	А	G
3_EPDV2480	UK-Wash	С	Т	А	Α
3_EPDV1909	UK-Wash	С	Т	А	Α
3_EPDV1744	UK-Wash	С	Т	А	Α
4_EPDV1966	UK-Wash	С	Т	А	G
4_H41	NL-WAD	С	Т	А	G
4_Pv 1939	DE-WAD	С	G	А	G
4_Pv 2149	DE-WAD	С	Т	А	G
4_Pv 2162	DE-WAD	С	Т	А	G
$4_{\rm Pv} 2163^{2}$	DE-WAD	С	Т	А	G
4_ 26001 ²	DK-WAD	С	Т	А	G
4_ 26002 ¹	DK-WAD	С	G	G	G
4_26003	DK-WAD	С	G	А	G
4_26561 ¹	DK-WAD	С	G	G	G
5_VB23	SE-KAT	С	Т	А	G
5_TM197	SE-SKG	Т	Т	А	G
5_TM3109	SE-SKG	С	Т	А	G
5_25679	DK-BAL	С	Т	А	G
5_25682	DK-BAL	С	Т	Α	G