A Gadolinium Spin Label with Both a Narrow Central Transition and Short Tether for use in Double Electron Electron Resonance Distance Measurements
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Abstract: The design, synthesis and application of a nine-coordinate gadolinium(III)-containing spin label, [Gd.sTPATCN]-SL, for use in nanometer-distance measurement experiments by EPR spectroscopy is presented. The spin label links to cysteines via a short thioether tether and has a narrow central transition indicative of small zero-field splitting (ZFS). A protein homodimer, TRIM25cc, was selectively labeled with [Gd.sTPATCN]-SL (70%) and a nitroxide (30%) under mild conditions and measured using the double electron electron resonance (DEER) technique with both commercial Q-band and home-built W-band spectrometers. The label shows great promise for increasing the sensitivity of DEER measurements through both its favorable relaxation parameters, and the large DEER modulation depth at both Q- and W-band for the inter-Gd(III) DEER measurement which, at 9%, is the largest recorded under these conditions.

INTRODUCTION

One application of EPR spectroscopy which is garnering particular interest and rapid improvement is its ability to measure nanometer-range distance measurements through the detection of the dipole-dipole coupling between either endogenous or exogenous paramagnetic centers. This is particularly useful in soft matter research including structural biology. For example: greater stabilization of measurements due to their high spin properties without complicating the data analysis for providing distances and very useful for determining biomacromolecular structures.

Gadolinium(III) is a paramagnetic ion with \( S = \frac{7}{2} \). As such Gd(III) complexes have a significant zero-field splitting (ZFS) interaction which is characterized by two parameters \( D \) and \( E \) with values related to the symmetry of the coordination - in high symmetry \( D \) would be near 0, and \( |E| \approx \frac{7}{2} \). At Q-band (35 GHz, \( B_0 \approx 1.25 \) T) EPR frequencies and above, complexes with the smaller \( D \) values are in the high field limit, i.e. \( D \ll g \mu_B B_0 \). In this case, the frozen solution (powder) EPR absorption spectrum is broad and featureless for the various transitions due to a distribution in the ZFS parameters, except for a relatively narrow central line from the \( | - \frac{7}{2} \rangle \rightarrow | + \frac{7}{2} \rangle \) transition. The width of the central transition is proportional to \( \frac{D^2}{|E|^2} \).

Gd(III)-Gd(III) distances up to 3.8 nm have been detected through the broadening of the central transition caused by unresolved dipole-dipole coupling. These measurements were performed at very high microwave frequencies with continuous wave (CW) EPR. Distances between 2 and 8.6 nm have been measured using the pulsed EPR methods of DEER and relaxation induced dipolar modulation enhancement (RIDME). The available distance range is complementary to other biophysical methods and very useful for determining biomacromolecular structure.

RIDME experiments for Gd(III) centers provide an increased signal compared to DEER but measure higher order (overtone) harmonics of the dipole-dipole coupling which complicates the data analysis for providing distances and narrow, which should afford better concentration sensitivity and signal-to-noise, and minimising the linker length between the Gd(III) and the biomolecule. This paper is organized as follows: first we introduce the area of Gd(III) spin labeling through a very short review of the literature with a focus on what advances may lead to increased sensitivity for distance measurements, both for signal-to-noise and accurate distance abstraction. Then we introduce the design of the label. We present its synthesis and use it to modify cysteine residues for site-directed spin labeling. Finally we use double electron electron resonance (DEER, also known as PELDOR) experiments at Q- and W-band frequencies to test a protein mixed-labeled with the new probe and with a nitroxide spin label. We show that the label has promising features for increasing the sensitivity of the DEER experiment through increased modulation depth in DEER and increased persistence of its echo (phase memory time) compared to existing Gd(III) spin labels.

In this work we show the rational design and subsequent synthesis of a nine-coordinate Gd(III) ligand for utilization as a spin label in EPR for distance measurements. We focus on keeping the lineshape of the Gd(III) EPR spectrum
DEER measurements are not prone to this complication, and this study utilizes only four-pulse DEER.24,25 The pulse sequence for this experiment uses a refocussed Hahn echo for the observer sequence at one frequency, and an inversion (pump) pulse at a second frequency.19 By pumping spins that are dipolar coupled to the observed set at variable times through the sequence, the refocussed echo intensity is modulated at a frequency corresponding to the dipole-dipole coupling frequency. Gd(III) complexes can be treated as \( S = \frac{3}{2} \) centers if the ZFS parameter \( D \) is sufficiently small and the measured frequency is proportional to the inverse cube of the distance between the paramagnetic centers.17,18 In these conditions software such as DeerAnalysis is available to allow extraction of average distances and distributions.20 Though an interesting new class of Gd(III) spin label is characterized as having a larger \( D \),27 most Gd(III) complexes used as spin labels have a ZFS \( D \) less than \( \sim 1200 \text{ MHz} \).28 Gd(III) complexes with a ZFS parameter \( D < 1100 \text{ MHz} \) must have their pseudo- secular terms in the Hamiltonian taken into account to properly analyse DEER data corresponding to distances \( < 4.0 \text{ nm} \).23,25 This limitation is removed if a sufficiently broad bandwidth is available such that the pump and observer frequencies in the DEER experiment are able to excite different Gd(III) transitions.29,30 Previous studies have shown that the DEER experiment is optimized by setting the pump pulse at the top of the narrow central transition and offsetting the observer pulse sequence by an amount sufficient to ensure both a sufficiently large detected echo, no pulse overlap and, for small ZFS/short distances, ensuring the weak-coupling regime for the dipolar coupling.2,30

Chirped and shaped pulses, made possible through the application of arbitrary waveform generators (AWGs), provide increased sensitivity for DEER experiments at Q- and W-band (ca. 95 GHz, \( B_0 \) 3.4 T).31-33 However, spectrometers that operate with AWGs are not yet commonplace. In this paper, we do not use shaped pulses but instead compare results for our label through measurements using the standard 4-pulse DEER sequence with a Bruker Q-band spectrometer and “HiPER,” a home-built high power KW W-band spectrometer (94 GHz, \( B_0 \) 3.34 T) which offers both high sensitivity and an 800 MHz instantaneous bandwidth.34,35 HiPER allows considerable flexibility in selecting the position of the pump and observer pulses. The spectrometer shares some common features with the PNNL EMSL instrument which was used to demonstrate the bandwidth and increased power on a Gd(III) labeled DNA sample in the Supplementary Materials of the reference.36

The absolute sensitivity of the DEER experiment relies on the product of the echo intensity at the observer frequency and modulation depth of the signal.2,36,37 The echo intensity will depend upon the population of spins that can be probed and relaxation rates at that position.38 Since the DEER experiment measures the dipole-dipole coupling that varies as the inverse-cube of the interspin distance, an increased phase memory time \( (T_m) \) will allow larger distances to be accurately determined through measurement of a longer DEER time trace.38 The spin-lattice time \( (T_1) \) is also important since it determines how often an experiment can be repeated within a given time and thus the ability to signal average. The optimal signal-to-noise ratio is achieved through balancing the DEER measurement temperature according to \( T_m \) and \( T_1 \) values and the population of the transitions to be measured.2 For example, for \( S = \frac{1}{2} \) nitroxides, 50 K is a good choice of temperature for DEER experiments due to the balance between long \( T_m \) and short \( T_1 \) at this temperature.38 In contrast, the high-spin nature of Gd(III) means it is preferable to measure at a lower temperature since \( T_1 \) is short and not limiting and \( T_m \) increases as the temperature is lowered.39 However, the population of the \( | - \frac{1}{2} \rangle \rightarrow | + \frac{1}{2} \rangle \) transition eventually reduces at lower temperatures and generally a balance is found at around 10 K.2

The modulation depth (herein also called \( \lambda \)) is related to the fraction of spins excited by the pump pulse and the probability that they are inverted. It is measured experimentally as the difference from unity for the normalized form factor of the DEER time trace after the modulations have been damped, where the form factor is obtained from the primary data through background correction.20 As it is important to maximize the fraction of pumped spins to increase sensitivity, a complex with small ZFS, and therefore a narrow central transition, is desirable. A number of Gd(III) complexes have previously been investigated. The full-width at half-height (FWHH) of the central transition for the DOTA derivative [Gd.C1] (Figure 1) is reported as 1.15 mT (95 GHz, 10 K) and this is the narrowest central transition for a spin label attached to a biomolecule so far reported.27,30,40 However, it has a long linker, which can result in broad distance distributions. Decreasing the length between the Gd(III) and the protein, to give [Gd.C9] (Figure 1), which is desirable for precision distance measurements, increased the FWHH of the central transition to 2.48 mT (95 GHz, 10 K)27,30,41 Both of these DOTA derived spin labels form a disulfide bond with a cysteine residue. In contrast, the [Gd.DO3MA-3BrPy] spin label (see Figure 1) has been developed to conjugate to cysteine via a thioether bond which provides the shortest linker arm to date, and - unlike a disulfide - was shown to be chemically stable for in-cell applications.9 The FWHH of the central transition of the label was reported to be 6.4 mT (reported as 180 MHz, W-band, 10 K) which is much broader than [Gd.C1].9 Very recent work reports that through removal of the methyl group from the methyl acetate of the ligand in [Gd.DO3MA-3BrPy] the ZFS of the Gd(III) is reduced and the phase memory time is extended, which increases the DEER experiment sensitivity.42 However, there is a class of Gd(III) complex that has been identified as a promising candidate for new spin la-

![Figure 1. Chemical structures of existing Gd(III) spin labels significantly relevant to this work,9,40,41 and the Gd(III) complexes presented in this article. XN represents a thiol-containing biomolecule.](image-url)
labels. 20 This is the nine-coordinate Gd(III) complex of the 9-
N$_3$ tris(pyridine-2-carboxylate) ligand [Gd.TPATCN] (Figure 1). 43,44  Previous solution-state CW EPR studies indi-
cate that it has a small peak-to-peak linewidth (a parameter indirectly linked to a small ZFS). 45 Moreover, a recent study, which refers to [Gd.TPATCN] as Gd-No$_3$Pic, calculated $D$
to be 485 ± 20 MHz ($\sigma_D = 155 ± 37$ MHz), much smaller
than the DOTA complex (calculated to be 714 ± 43 MHz, $\sigma_D$
= 328 ± 99 MHz) used most often as the parent compound
of spin labels described as having a small ZFS. 20 This publica-
tion sets a new standard in extracting the ZFS parameters
from EPR data: prior $D$ values have been found using a vari-
ety of fitting methods which, while self-consistent, cannot be
quantitatively compared. We therefore report the FWHH
of our echo-detected field sweep spectra which can be directly
compared to the FWHH data reported in the literature, and
provide an indication of the magnitude of the ZFS.

Here, we modify [Gd.TPATCN] to produce the com-
 pact, water soluble and cysteine-thiol specific spin label
[Gd.40 sTPATCN]-SL to allow bioconjugation. The aim of
this work is to demonstrate the rational design of a label
in order to maintain a small ZFS by minimizing the ef-
fact of breaking the symmetry of [Gd.TPATCN] while pro-
viding a thioether linkage. For example, by using a 4-
nitropyridine functionality, the new label should have a similar
length from the Gd(III) to the protein as MTSSL and
bind through a thioether. 46 This can be seen from the de-
posited crystal structure of [Gd.TPATCN] (CSD-206377)
where the distance from the 4-position of the pyridine to
Gd(III) is 0.53 nm. 43 This distance is comparable to the
distance between the sulfur and the midway point of the
nitroxy radical for the commonly used cysteine-selective ni-
trooxide spin label ((1-oxyl-2,2,5,5-tetramethyl pyrroline-3-
methyl)methanethiosulfonate) (MTSSL) which is 0.55 nm
in the crystal structure (see Figure S17). 47 Though the con-
formational flexibilities of the two tethers would be expected
to be quite different.

To test the selective conjugation of the label to cysteine,
[Gd.40 sTPATCN]-SL was used to label the synthetic peptide,
Ac-GASDYQRGLGC-OH and the coiled-coil (cc) domain of
the tripartite motif protein family member 25 (TRIM25cc)
engineered to contain a single cysteine residue: the natural
cysteine residues from TRIM25cc were removed and a sin-
gle cysteine variant, R236C, was introduced. TRIM25cc has
previously been crystallized (PDBID:4LTB), showing the for-
mation of an anti-parallel homodimer. 48 In this crystal the
distance between the C$_\alpha$ atoms of R236 of each monomer
is 4.25 nm. We know from previous (unpublished) work that
the TRIM25cc in solution does not have the same structure
as the crystal, we were confident that this labeling site
would position the [Gd.sTPATCN]-SL at a distance greater
than 4 nm. This removes ambiguity in the analysis of the
DEER data that may be caused by not being able to achieve
sufficient bandwidth in our experiments with our commer-
cial Q-band spectrometer for Gd(III) spin labels with small
ZFS. 2,23,25,30 The distance was also strategically chosen
and to test the $T_m$ of the label-protein conjugate to ensure that the
DEER time trace could be of sufficient length to measure
the dipolar coupling frequency required for the distance.
To test the scope of [Gd.40 sTPATCN]-SL at Q- and W-band
frequencies, the DEER measurements were performed on a mixed-labeled TRIM25cc R236C sample which had both
[Gd.40 sTPATCN]-SL and MTSSL attached, to simulate the
spectroscopically orthogonal labeling approach. This is sim-
ilar to the methodology introduced previously, though here
the biomolecule is first labeled with the Gd(III) label which
we find is kinetically slow, and then with MTSSL rather than
mixing pre-labeled protein together. 16

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Synthesis and Materials.** Synthesis of [Gd.40 sTPATCN]-
SL. [Gd.TPATCN] was modified by introducing the 4-
((carboxymethyl)thio)picolinic acid moiety to increase the
water solubility of the final spin label. As outlined in
Scheme 1, the ligand was prepared from 4-nitropicolinic acid
1 in four steps involving esterification of 4-nitropicolinic
acid to 2, an acyl transfer from the trifluoroacetic anhy-
dride (TFAA) to the oxygen of the N-oxide followed by a
Boekelheide rearrangement to selectively functionalize the
6-methyl group to afford 3, 49 reaction with ethyl thioglyco-
late leading to substitution of the nitro group to give 5, and
mesylation using triethylamine and methanesulfonyl chlo-
ride (MsCl) to give a reactive sulfonate ester electrophile
6. Alkylation of 1,4,7-triazacyclononane (9-N$_3$) with the
mesylate 6 gave the ligand 7, followed by base hydrolysis
and reaction with gadolinium triacetate at pH 7 to yield
the C$_5$-symmetric complex, [Gd.40 sTPATCN], following pH
adjustment and HPLC purification (Scheme 2, 23% overall
yield). Importantly, this procedure could be readily modified
to produce the asymmetrically substituted complex
[Gd.40 sTPATCN]-SL (Scheme 3, 15% overall yield), made by
stepwise alkylation reactions, using the carbamate, BOC-9-
N$_3$ as a precursor and 4-nitropyridine as the site for labeling
through conjugation to a cysteine thiol.

**Protein production, purification, labeling and preparation of EPR samples.** The pET28a-TRIM25cc plasmid vector with a His-SUMO leader sequence (a kind gift from Owen
Pornillos), 48 had natural cysteine residues removed and the
R236C mutation introduced using QuikChange site di-
rected mutagenesis (Qiagen). Mutations were verified by se-
cquencing (MRCPPU, College of Life Sciences, University of
Dundee, Scotland) on a Biosystems model 3730 automated
capillary DNA sequencer using Applied Biosystems Big-Dye
Version 3.1.

The pET28a-TRIM25cc R236C plasmid was transformed
into BL21-DE3 chemically competent cells and plated
onto lysogeny broth (LB)-agar plates containing 50 µg/ml
kanamycin (kan) using standard procedures. A single colony
was selected for growth in 10 ml LB containing 50 µg/ml kan overnight at 37°C, 200 rpm. The 10 ml overnight culture was used to inoculate 1 l LB-kan and was grown to an O.D.₆₀₀ of 0.6 at 37°C, 200 rpm, before TRIM25cc R236C expression was induced using 1 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) for 4 h. The cells were pelleted by centrifugation (15,000 × g, 0.5 h) and frozen until use. The cell pellets were suspended in 30 ml lysis buffer (30 mM Tris, 300 mM NaCl, pH 9) with 100 µM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) protease inhibitor solution in ethanol. The suspended pellets were sonicated using 6 × 30 s pulses (20 µm amplitude) on ice with 30 s breaks between each pulse. The cellular debris was removed by centrifugation (45,000 × g, 30 min), and the supernatant was passed through a 5 ml HisTrap™ HP column prepacked with Ni Sepharose (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) pre-equilibrated with lysis buffer. The column was washed through with 2 column volumes (CV) of lysis buffer, then 2 CV wash buffer (25 mM Tris, 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, pH 8). The TRIM25cc R236C was eluted in 3 CV elution buffer (25 mM Tris, 100 mM NaCl, 200 mM imidazole, pH 8) and treated with 10 mM DTT for 0.5 h at room temperature.

Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) was used to purify the TRIM25cc R236C further and remove all traces of DTT. The SEC was performed with a HiLoad Superdex 75 pg preparative column with 3 CV SEC buffer (10 mM Tris, 100 mM NaCl, pH 8) and run at 1 ml/min after the TRIM25cc R236C was loaded using a 1 ml sample loop. Protein elution occurred within 30 min in the SEC buffer and the protein containing fractions, determined by the chromatogram (Figure S2), were pooled. The fractions containing the purified TRIM25cc R236C protein was determined by gel electrophoresis (Figure S3). The fractions were pooled after gel electrophoresis to give the pure TRIM25cc R236C protein. This was subsequently concentrated to 200 µl, 100 µM (determined by the absorbance at 280 nm) using a Vivaspin 500 µl centrifugal concentrator column with 10 kDa molecular weight cut off, and labeled with 50 eq. [Gd.sTPATCN]-SL, 16 h, room temperature on a rotating frame. For the labeling carried out in this work the [Gd.sTPATCN]-SL was added to the required concentration from solid stock but since it is easily water soluble a concentrated stock could be prepared if desired. Following centrifugation to remove any precipitate, the excess label was removed using a His SpinTrap 100 µl column (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) by washing with SEC buffer until no [Gd.sTPATCN]-SL was detected in the washes using 280 nm absorbance, equating to 5 CV. Elution buffer was then used to free the protein from the resin.

The mixed-labeled TRIM25cc R236C was prepared by mixing protein with 50 eq. [Gd.sTPATCN]-SL for 11 h rotating at room temperature. The unreacted [Gd.sTPATCN]-SL was removed as above to give 70% [Gd.sTPATCN]-TRIM25cc R236C as confirmed by LC-MS at the University of St Andrews (details below and Figure S6). Subsequently, 5 eq. MTSSL from a concentrated DMSO stock (0.095 M) was added and left at RT for 2 h. The excess MTSSL was removed by washing through the Vivaspin concentrator five times before buffer exchange to D₂O, 20 mM Tris, 200 mM NaCl, pH 8 by washing through the concentrator a further five times. A Bradford assay (using a Bovine Serum Albumin calibration curve) was performed to assess concentration. R1-[Gd.sTPATCN]-TRIM25cc R236C sample was flash frozen in a 3 mm O.D. suprasil EPR tube with 50% glycerol-d₈. The final sample was 60 µl (Q-band) or 80 µl (W-band) with approximately 85 µM R1-[Gd.sTPATCN]-TRIM25cc R236C (mixed label) monomer concentration.

The fully-MTSSL-labeled R1-TRIM25cc R236C sample was prepared by adding 10 eq. MTSSL to purified TRIM25cc R236C at 4°C for 12 h on a rotating frame. The excess label was removed by washing through the Vivaspin concentrator five times before buffer exchange to D₂O, 20 mM Tris, 200 mM NaCl, pH 8 by washing through the concentrator a further five times. A Bradford assay (using a Bovine Serum Albumin calibration curve) was performed to assess concentration. The R1-TRIM25cc sample was flash frozen in a 3 mm O.D. suprasil EPR tube with 50% glycerol-d₈. The final sample was 60 µl with approximately 12 µM R1-TRIM25cc R236C monomer concentration. EPR samples were visually inspected before and after freezing for any signs of precipitation, and were found to be clear.

Details of the labeling the synthetic peptide Ac-GASDYGQRLGC-OH can be found in the Supporting Information.

The samples for the pulsed EPR measurements of the Gd(III) complexes were prepared by dissolving the lyophilized complexes in H₂O/glycerol (1:1 v/v) or D₂O/glycerol-d₈ (1:1 v/v) to give 20 µM solutions. They were measured as frozen solutions in 3 mm O.D. suprasil EPR tubes.

**Mass spectrometry.** LC-MS and LC ESI MSMS was performed on a QToF Premier equipped with an Acquity UPLC (Waters Corp) to confirm both the synthesis of the Gd(III) complexes and the modification of the synthetic peptide [Gd.sTPATCN]-Ac-GASDYGQRLGC-OH. Reverse phase gradient separation was achieved using an Acquity UPLC BEH C18 column 1.7 µm (2.1 mm × 100 mm) (Waters Corp.). The 0.4 ml/min solvent gradient ran from 100% water containing 0.1% formic acid to 100% acetonitrile over 5 min.

Positive ions from the electrospray ion source were recorded as a full MS spectrum. The desired precursor ion was mass selected by the quadrupole with an isolation window that transmitted the full isotopic envelope. Collision induced dissociation was performed in the quadrupole with argon as the collision gas. Here, the collision energy was ramped from 25 eV to 45 eV and the resulting MSMS spectrum recorded.

A reference spray provided a “lock mass” that enable a cal-
ibration correction for accurate mass determination of both the MS and MSMS data.

The intact protein analysis was performed on a QToF Premier equipped with an Acquity UPLC (Waters Corp.). The protein sample was desalted on-line using a Waters MassPREP on-line desalting cartridge. The 0.4 ml/min solvent gradient ran from 95% water (containing 0.1% formic acid):5% acetonitrile to 20% water (containing 0.1% formic acid):80% acetonitrile over 5 min. Positive ions from the electrospray ion source were recorded over the mass range 800-2000 u. Protein data are processed using Masslynx 4.1 and deconvoluted using MaxEnt1 to show the nominal neutral mass of the protein.

The peptides resulting from the tryptic digest were analysed on a Synapt G2s HDMs equipped with an Acquity i-class UPLC (Waters Corp.). Reverse phase gradient separation was achieved using an Acquity UPLC BEH C18 column (1.7 µm (2.1 mm × 100 mm) (Waters Corp.). The 0.2 ml/min solvent gradient ran from 90% water containing 0.1% formic acid to 50% acetonitrile containing 0.1% formic acid over 40 min before re-equilibration. 3 µl was injected for the MS analysis; 5 µl injected for the MSMS.

Positive ions from the electrospray ion source were recorded as a full mass spectrum. For MSMS, the desired precursor ion was mass selected by the quadrupole with an isolation window that transmitted the full isotopic envelope. Collision induced dissociation was performed in the trap region of the Triwave. Here, the collision energy was ramped from 20 eV to 30 eV and the resulting MSMS spectrum recorded.

A reference spray provided a “lock mass” that enable a calibration correction for accurate mass determination of both the MS and MSMS data.

LC-MS at the University of St Andrews was analysed on a Waters 2795 HPLC and LCT, desalting with a Waters MassPrep on-line desalting column, eluting with an increasing gradient of acetonitrile. The masses were calibrated against horse heart myoglobin and the charge envelope was deconvoluted using MaxEnt1.

**EPR experiments.** Pulsed EPR measurements were carried out either with the high-power (150 W) Q-band (34 GHz) Bruker Allextas E580 with an ER 5106QT-2w cylindrical resonator or the home-built high power (1 kW) W-band (94 GHz) “HIPEC” spectrometer.\(^{18,19}\)

**Echo-detected field sweeps.** The echo-detected field sweeps were taken using a Hahn echo \(\frac{\pi}{2} - \pi - \tau - \pi - \tau - \text{echo} \) pulse sequence. For Q-band the \(\frac{\pi}{2}\) and \(\pi\) pulse durations were 16 and 32 ns respectively, the interpulse delay, \(\tau\) was 200 ns and the shot repetition time (SRT) was 2 ms. The measurement temperature was 10 K. At W-band the measurements were carried out at 9.8 K using \(\frac{\pi}{2}\) and \(\pi\) pulse durations of 4 ns and 8 ns respectively, \(\tau\) of 300 ns, a sequence repetition frequency of 3 kHz and 3000 shots per point. The FWHH was measured by normalizing the spectrum to its maximum and measuring its width at the half intensity point using the plot function in Matlab.

**Relaxation measurements.** Relaxation data were collected at Q-band. \(T_1\) was measured using an inversion recovery sequence \(\pi - t - \frac{\pi}{2} - \tau - \pi - \tau - \text{echo} \) at the field corresponding to the maximum of the absorption spectrum. These measurements were also performed at 10, 20 and 50 K for all of the Gd(III) complexes, as well as at 7 K for [Gd.sTPATCN]-SL and [Gd.sTPATCN]-peptide with a \(\frac{\pi}{2}\) of 16 ns and \(\pi\) pulse of 32 ns and \(t\) and \(\tau\) values varying depending upon requirement (Figure S10). The data were fit using the Xepr software with a bi-exponential curve. The \(T_1\) values are presented in Table S3 assuming a Bloch model for relaxation and are found at intensity value of 0.26 using Matlab, where the fully recovered magnetization has an intensity of one.

\(T_m\) was measured at the maximum intensity of the echo-detected field sweep spectrum using a \(\frac{\pi}{2} - \tau - \pi - \tau - \text{echo} \) pulse sequence to see the echo decay with increasing interpulse delay. The \(\frac{\pi}{2}\) was 16 ns, the \(\pi\) pulse 32 ns, acquiring 32 ns around the top of the echo with an initial \(\tau\) of 200 ns for all of the Gd(III) complexes and 220 ns for the R1-[Gd.sTPATCN]-TRIM25cc R236C sample. This was incremented in 8 ns steps. Measurements were taken at 10, 20 and 50 K for all of the Gd(III) complexes, as well as at 7 K for [Gd.sTPATCN]-SL and [Gd.sTPATCN]-peptide. The SRT was dependent upon temperature, with 2 ms at 50 K, 5 ms at 20 and 10 K. A two-step phase cycle was also applied. The resultant echo decay curves (Figure S9) were fitted with a stretched exponential decay using the Xepr software and parameters are reported in Table S2. The \(T_m\) values presented in Table 1 are 10% values of \(T_m\) found using the Matlab plot function rather than the stretched exponential decay fit values, as this is what is commonly reported in the literature. There is a small variation in the \(T_m\) measurement each time a sample is re-measured and we estimate an error of about 0.1 µs for the data in Table 1. \(T_1\) and \(T_m\) measurements were also taken at various field positions (Figures S11-13).

**DEER.** DEER experiments were carried out using a four-pulse, deadtime free sequence \(\left( \frac{\pi}{2} \text{observe} - \tau_1 - \pi \text{observe} - t - \pi \text{pump} - (\tau_1 + \tau_2 - t) - \pi \text{observe} - \tau_2 - \text{echo} \right)\) on R1-[Gd.sTPATCN]-TRIM25cc R236C.\(^{18,19}\) For the Q-band measurements, the cavity was over-coupled following standard procedure such that the matched minimum occurs at 34.0 GHz. The variable experimental parameters, including the frequency of the pump and observer pulses, are in Table S4. The pump pulse was set on the top of the Gd(III) absorption spectrum for experiments 2 and 4 and on the maximum of the nitroxide absorption spectrum for experiments 3 and 5. The observer sequence used 32 ns \(\frac{\pi}{2}\) and \(\pi\) pulses and \(t\) was stepped in 8 ns increments. The SRT was optimized to measure at least 70% of the echo height and for each scan, 30 shots were taken at each time point except for experiment 2, which used 16 shots per point. A two-step phase cycle with nuclear modulation averaging (5 steps of 24 ns separation with an initial \(\tau_1\) of 400 ns) was applied.

The W-band DEER experiments were performed at 9.8 K. The pump pulse was set on the top of the Gd(III) absorption spectrum, and an 8 ns pump pulse was used. The \(\frac{\pi}{2}\) was 4 ns, the \(\pi\) pulse 8 ns for the observer sequence. The inter-pulse \(\tau_1\) and \(\tau_2\) were set at 300 ns and 8400 ns respectively and the pump pulse was incremented in 40 ns steps with 201 data points. The observer pulse sequence was set at an offset of 420 MHz. As with the field sweep, the sequence repetition frequency used was 3 kHz, averaging 3000 shots per point.

DeerAnalysis2018 was used to process the DEER data.\(^{26}\) Artefacts were removed by cutting 800 ns from the end of all the time traces taken at Q-band. The zero-time was set at 329 ns except experiment 4 (322 ns) and 1 (141 ns), and a three-dimensional homogeneous background was assumed. The “noisy” option, implemented in DeerAnalysis2018, was used to avoid artefacts at the very beginning of the data set (before the zero-time) effecting the modulation depth results. The Tikhonov Regularization parameter was generated as the best choice by DeerAnalysis2018, given in Table S4.
The data and results for a $\tau_2$ of 6 $\mu$s Gd(III)-Gd(III) experiment at Q-band (experiment 6) are presented in Figure S14.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 2. ESI QTOF MS results confirming the selective conjugation of [Gd.sTPATCN]-SL with TRIM25cc R236C to give [Gd.sTPATCN]-TRIM25cc R236C. The green mass spectra indicate simulated (top) and experimental (bottom) masses for TRIM25cc R236C. The red spectra indicate simulated (top) and experimental (bottom) masses for [Gd.sTPATCN]-TRIM25cc R236C. The red experimental spectrum shows no peak corresponding to the mass of unlabeled protein, demonstrating $> 95\%$ labeling in the [Gd.sTPATCN]-TRIM25cc R236C. The simulated masses correspond well to the experimental results.

$[\text{Gd.TPATCN}], [\text{Gd.sTPATCN}]$ and $[\text{Gd.sTPATCN}]-\text{SL}$ were synthesized. The $[\text{Gd.sTPATCN}]-\text{SL}$ was used to label the synthetic peptide Ac-GASDYQRRLGC-OH (ammonium bicarbonate buffer at pH 7.8, 1 eq label, RT, 72 h) and TRIM25cc R236C (Tris NaCl buffer at pH 8.0, 50 eq label, RT, 16 h) at cysteine. The labeling of the peptide was monitored by LC-MS and selective modification of the cysteine was verified by MS/MS fragment analysis (Figure S1). Electrospray ionization (QToF-MS) of the TRIM25cc R236C indicated $> 95\%$ labeling, giving $m/e = 33797.6$ (calc. for [M-Met] 33797.4) for the native protein and 34665 (calc. 34665) for the [Gd.sTPATCN]-labeled conjugate wherein the N-terminal methionine cleaves under the analysis conditions and the cysteine residue is bound to the Gd(III) complex with displacement of the 4-nitro group (Figure 2). LC-ESI MS/MS analysis of a trypsin digest of the conjugated protein gave unequivocal evidence for selective linkage of $[\text{Gd.sTPATCN}]-\text{SL}$ to the cysteine residue, consistent with the site-specific labeling hypothesis (Figure S5).

The conditions for labeling the protein are similar, though the reaction time is longer ($> 95\%$ yield, 16 h, RT), to those reported for $[\text{Gd.DO3MA-3BrPy}]$. This label was reported to label with an 80% yield in six hours at room temperature. We utilized the slow labeling rate to allow production of a mixed-labeled TRIM25cc R236C with $[\text{Gd.sTPATCN}]-\text{SL}$ and the nitroxide label MTSSL, to test the Gd(III) label for DEER and to simultaneously demonstrate its scope as a spectroscopically-orthogonal label to nitroxides. The protein was intentionally under-labeled with $[\text{Gd.sTPATCN}]-\text{SL}$, by leaving it to react for only 11 h at room temperature to attain 70% labeling (as opposed to 16 h for full labeling) as assessed by LC-MS (see Figure S6). The remaining free cysteines were then reacted with MTSSL to give R1-[Gd.sTPATCN]-TRIM25cc R236C where R1 is the nitroxide spin label. For comparative purposes, an R1-TRIM25cc R236C sample was also prepared. The modulation depth of the DEER data for R1-TRIM25cc R236C was close to 30% which is the typical value we measure in this set-up for full MTSSL labeling. Given the measured good reactivity of MTSSL with the TRIM25cc R236C cysteines, we inferred that all cysteines remaining after 11 h incubation with [Gd.sTPATCN]-SL had reacted with MTSSL. Therefore, we expected R1-[Gd.sTPATCN]-TRIM25cc R236C to be labeled with 70% Gd(III) and 30% nitroxide and, assuming non-cooperative labeling, the protein in solution comprises of approximately 49% double-[Gd.sTPATCN]-SL-labeled dimers (21 $\mu$m dimer), 42% mixed Gd(III)-nitroxide dimers (18 $\mu$m dimer) and 9% double-nitroxide-labeled dimers (4 $\mu$m dimer).

Figure 3. The Q-band echo-detected field sweeps of R1-[Gd.sTPATCN]-TRIM25cc R236C: nitroxide and Gd(III) at Q-band, 10 K (green and red respectively) and Gd(III) at W-band, 9.8 K (orange).

The echo-detected field swept spectra (34 GHz, 10 K) for the compounds in water/glycerol solution are characteristic of Gd(III) complexes with a small ZFS. The FWHH of the absorption spectrum, corresponding to the central transition of all the studied samples, are presented in Table 1. The FWHH of symmetrically modified [Gd.sTPATCN] is larger than the parent compound and increases further for the asymmetric nitro complex [Gd.sTPATCN]-SL, from 1.72 mT to 2.10 mT to 3.58 mT in the same solvent mixture. These changes are to be expected: the ligand field created by the TPATCN ligand containing either Eu(III), Dy(III) or Yb(III) is very sensitive to small changes in its local environment, and these changes would be expected to impact on the ZFS for Gd(III). 27,30,40

Encouragingly, conjugation to the peptide Ac-GASDYQRRLGC-OH or protein TRIM25cc R236C decreases the observed FWHH (Table 1). This is consistent with our hypothesis that the complex should have a higher symmetry, and therefore smaller ZFS, when bound to a thiol. For the mixed-labeled R1-[Gd.sTPATCN]-TRIM25cc R236C the FWHH of the echo-detected field swept spectrum is 2.54 mT at Q-band (34 GHz, 10 K) and 1.14 mT at W-band (94 GHz, 9.8 K), see Figure 3. This W-band measurement is comparable to the narrowest central transition for a Gd(III) spin label attached to a protein reported to date. 27,30,40

We present the time taken for the echo to decay by 90% to indicate the $T_m$ to aid comparison to the literature and also because this is a useful practical number for the ability to measure the DEER time trace out to longer times. Longer time DEER measurements allow the capture of lower frequency dipole-dipole coupling and therefore longer inter-
The protein variant, the improvement in groups from \([\text{Gd.DO3MA-3BrPy}]\) reports an extension of the band, 10 K. The recent study that removes the methyl still have a reasonable SL appears significant. The \([\text{Gd.TPATCN}]\)-based complexes (and \([\text{Gd.C9}]\)) Gd(III) spin labels on proteins in similar solvent mixtures: (Q-band, 10 K) is 12.6 µs for \([\text{Gd.sTPATCN}]\)-based complexes on proteins in a deuterium-containing solvent mixture as a convenient method to increase the \(T_m\), but deuteration of the protein would certainly lead to further improvements.

We also measured the echo-decay curves at various field positions of the R1-[\text{Gd.sTPATCN}]-TRIM25cc R236C Gd(III) spectrum to probe the \(T_m\) of different transitions (see Figures S11-S13). In agreement with the literature, we found that the longest decay curve corresponds to the central transition. At a field separation from the maximum of the Gd(III) lineshape of 12 mT (335 MHz, Q-band), only a minor decline of the \(T_m\) and corresponding 10% value is observed (13% decrease in 10% echo decay value). This therefore does not deter from setting the DEER observer pulse sequence here: we set our pump pulse on the maximum of the Gd(III) signal to optimize the modulation depth in the DEER and our observer pulses offset from the central transition.

Table 1. FWHH for the central line of the echo-detected field sweep, and the time for echo to decay to 10% original value as an indicator of \(T_m\) from Q-band pulsed EPR for the Gd(III) complexes synthesized here. The solutions were 20 µM in H2O 50% glycerol at 34 GHz, 10 K except \(^{1}D_{2}O, 50\%\) glycerol-\(d_8\) and \(^{1}H\) buffer in \(D_{2}O, 50\%\) glycerol-\(d_8\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>FWHH (mT)</th>
<th>10% signal (µs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Gd.TPATCN]</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Gd.sTPATCN]</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Gd.sTPATCN]-SL</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Gd.sTPATCN]-SL2</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>52.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Gd.sTPATCN]-Ac-GASDYQRLGC-OH</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1-[Gd.sTPATCN]-TRIM25cc R236C</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. a) The echo-decay and b) inversion recovery curves for R1-[\text{Gd.sTPATCN}]-TRIM25cc R236C (buffer in D2O, 50% glycerol-\(d_8\), 10 K, Q-band). The 10% value is labeled with dashed lines for the \(T_m\) and 0.26 intensity for \(T_1\) is also labeled. These relaxation measurements were collected during the same measurement period as the DEER experiments.

The pump pulse was set on the maximum of the Gd(III). At Q-band both the pump and observer pulses were offset from the minimum of the over-coupled cavity to maximize their frequency difference while maintaining a reasonable level of available power. Therefore 14 ns was the shortest pump pulse we could use while retaining 32 ns observer pulse lengths. We also found that 14 ns was an optimal length for inverting the echo at the maximum of the Gd(III) spectrum, even at the matched frequency of the cavity (34.0 GHz) where there is sufficient power for shorter pulses. This was unexpected since while an \(S = \frac{1}{2}\) center, such as a nitroxide, typically has DEER acquired with a 14 ns pump pulse with the power available in our spectrometer, the higher transition probability of the \(S = \frac{1}{2}\) Gd(III) should enable a shorter pulse length. In fact, in unpublished work for other, less narrow spectrum Gd(III) complexes we were able to use a 4 ns \(\pi\) pump-pulse. We did not encounter this behavior during the W-band optimization and used a pump pulse length of 8 ns.

Although the two measurements are not directly comparable, due to differences in their set-up including the length of the DEER time trace, we observed that at W-band there was a significant increase in sensitivity compared to Q-band and were able to obtain the data in approximately 2.5 hours rather than overnight (SRT, shots per point and number of averages are presented in Table S4 of the Supporting Information). The close agreement between Q- and W-band Gd(III)-Gd(III) data, which have very similar modulation depths and dipole-dipole coupling measurements, demonstrates that [Gd.sTPATCN]-SL is useful in this dipolar coupling regime at Q-band on a commercial spectrometer.

The R1-[\text{Gd.sTPATCN}]-TRIM25cc R236C experimentally-measured modulation depth of the Q- and W-band Gd(III)-Gd(III) DEER data presented in Figure 5b is 9%. During the DEER set-up we noticed some variation with the frequency separation between the observer and pump pulses (see Figure S16) but we did not systematically optimize our experiments for modulation depth by varying the frequency separation or temperature, and so 9% may not have been the absolute maximum for our sample. We cannot readily explain the coincidence in the modulation depth at the two frequencies, but the observation of a 9% modulation depth is particularly gratifying considering that this is a 70% Gd(III)-labeled monomer, equivalent to only approximately half the dimers being doubly labeled with [Gd.sTPATCN]-SL. The dimers that are Gd(III) and nitroxide labeled (around 42%) will contribute to the background decay function and therefore a decrease in modulation depth. Our \(\lambda\) is at the upper end of the published modulation depths for Gd(III)-Gd(III) DEER data at both 10 K which is fast at around 230 µs for the maximum of the central transition. Moving off the central transition slightly reduces the \(T_1\) (Figure S12). The short \(T_1\) allows for fast signal averaging at 10 K.
**Figure 5.** DEER data for TRIM25cc R236C, either fully labeled with R1 (R1-TRIM25cc R236C), or labeled with both R1 and [Gd.sTPATCN]-TRIM25cc R236C. a) DEER time traces: (1) and (2) Gd(III)-Gd(III) at 10 K W- and Q-band respectively; (3) and (4) nitroxide-Gd(III) at 10 K, Q-band and (5) nitroxide-nitroxide in R1-TRIM25cc R236C (50 K, Q-band). The fitted background correction is shown as a grey line. b) Background corrected DEER time traces with the corresponding fits from Tikhonov Regularization with DeerAnalysis2018 (further details in the Supporting Information). c) The distance distributions for the DEER time traces in (a) and (b) calculated with Tikhonov Regularization. d) Experimental and modeled distance distributions of TRIM25cc R236C. The black distance distribution was calculated using MMM 2018.1 by modeling MTSSL rotamers onto the R236 position on each monomer of the TRIM25cc crystal structure (PDBID:4LTB). The fits denoted by MMM* (turquoise and magenta) were also produced by MMM 2018.1 through selecting rotamers to fit the individual experimental data (green and red).

The modulation depths, \( \lambda \), are also presented.

Previous studies have theoretically estimated the modulation depth of Gd(III)-Gd(III) DEER through assuming that the pump pulse is set to the maximum of the central transition but does not have sufficient bandwidth to invert it all (15 ns for a ZFS \( D \) parameter of 1000 MHz). These have found that, in this case, there appeared to be a significant amount of free [Gd.sTPATCN]-SL around which disrupted the experiments. The evidence for the free label and the DEER results are shown in Figures S18 and S19. The non-specific binding of Gd(III) label has been observed previously by others, and its removal will need to be further investigated during future development of the labeling protocol. 

We attempted to measure a fully-labeled [Gd.sTPATCN]-TRIM25cc R236C sample but we found that, in this case, there appeared to be a significant amount of free [Gd.sTPATCN]-SL around which disrupted the experiments. The evidence for the free label and the DEER results are shown in Figures S18 and S19. The non-specific binding of Gd(III) label has been observed previously by others, and its removal will need to be further investigated during future development of the labeling protocol. Previous studies have theoretically estimated the modulation depth of Gd(III)-Gd(III) DEER through assuming that the pump pulse is set to the maximum of the central transition but does not have sufficient bandwidth to invert it all (15 ns for a ZFS \( D \) parameter of 1000 MHz). These have found that, in this case, there appeared to be a significant amount of free [Gd.sTPATCN]-SL around which disrupted the experiments. The evidence for the free label and the DEER results are shown in Figures S18 and S19. The non-specific binding of Gd(III) label has been observed previously by others, and its removal will need to be further investigated during future development of the labeling protocol. 

In practice, setting the pump pulse on the nitroxide causes echo and modulation depth reduction due to Gd(III) transitions also being excited. The combined effect is a slightly greater modulation depth for experiment 4 than experiment 3. The difference in spectroscopic properties between Gd(III) and nitroxides allow specificity in the measurement between Gd(III)-Gd(III) distances and Gd(III)-nitroxide distances despite the spectral overlap. A study that used two Gd(III) labels and one nitroxide label, was analyzed in terms of the different pulse power levels required for Gd(III) (observed) and nitroxide (pumped), and concluded that the different contributions could not be fully separated.


notice that the distribution of distances for experiment 4 is smaller than the distribution measured by experiment 3 (Figure 5c). This is consistent with the experiment measuring both Gd(III)-Gd(III) and Gd(III)-nitroxide distances when the pump pulse frequency is set on the maximum of the nitroxide, but predominantly Gd(III)-nitroxide distances when set on the maximum of the Gd(III). The apparent ability to separate distances arising from Gd(III)-Gd(III) from the Gd(III)-nitroxide interactions through exchanging the pump and observer pulse frequencies and/or moderating their length, has potential for future applications of DEER using spectroscopically orthogonal labels.

The dipole-dipole coupling measured by DEER can be used to determine distances and distributions. The results are displayed in Figure 5c. Unfortunately these distributions are broader than desirable for a model system, which may be a consequence of the conformational freedom of the label or the flexibility of the protein backbone.

The Gd(III)-Gd(III) results at both Q- and W-band have a most probable distance of 5.75 nm with similar standard deviations on their distributions of 0.89 nm and 0.92 nm at Q- and W-band respectively (DeerAnalysis Validations of these distributions are given in Figure S15). The purely nitroxide-labeled R1-TRIM25cc R236C DEER (Figure 5b, data set 5) has a modal distance of 4.51 nm with a standard deviation of 0.43 nm. The Gd(III)-nitroxide from experiment 3 has a most probable distance of 5.17 nm (standard deviation 0.52 nm). Comparing the distance results from these three DEER experiments indicates that the [Gd.sTPATCN] moiety bound to the protein occupies a different space to the R1 rotamers despite the very similar tether length for [Gd.sTPATCN]-SL and MTSSL (Figure S17).

The broader distribution for Gd(III) spin labels compared to the R1 side chain has been observed previously and attributed to the complexes not interacting strongly with the surrounding protein structure.\(^7\) The observed difference in the distribution width, and the systematic increase in the modal distance measured from nitroxide-nitroxide through to Gd(III)-Gd(III), could be due to both variations in the space occupied by the different labels, and an unfortunate choice of labeling position, where the disparity is most emphasized. We cannot completely discount the possibility that by labeling with the Gd(III) complex, we have caused higher order oligomers to form, which has altered the measured distances and would change the expectation value of the modulation depth.

The solution-state structure of the TRIM25cc is not known and therefore modeling our label onto the existing crystal structures using, for example, the rotamer approach as applied previously by others, may not be helpful.\(^13\) To aid the understanding of our DEER data we used the rotamer approach in the MMM 2018.1 software to model the R1 side chain onto the crystal structure PDBID:4LTB.\(^48,54\) R1 was also used as a surrogate for the [Gd.sTPATCN]-SL since the distance from the atom linked to the cysteine sulfur and the paramagnetic center are about the same (see Figure S17), though the conformations will be different. The results in Figure 5d show that the R1 rotamers do not fit either the R1-R1 distance or the Gd(III)-Gd(III) distance distribution well. With a different population of rotamers, the MMM predictions more closely resemble the experimental data, though not exactly. These results imply that we do not know the solution-state structure of TRIM25cc, but that the difference in distances we measure are feasible for spin labels of the length we have here.

Having introduced the rational design, synthesis, protein conjugation and preliminary DEER experiments for [Gd.sTPATCN]-SL, we next plan to understand the conformations of the label, optimize its use in DEER and compare to other Gd(III) spin labels.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have presented a Gd(III) spin label, [Gd.sTPATCN]-SL, based on the nine-coordinate 9-N\(_2\)tris(pyridine-2-carboxylate) ligand. It is water soluble and conjugates to cysteine residues within proteins with a short thioether tether. The spectroscopic properties of this label give increased sensitivity for distance measurements by DEER through longer echo-decay times than other reported Gd(III) labels, and a narrow central transition linewidth producing a large modulation depth. Overall, [Gd.sTPATCN]-SL is a promising label for expanding the scope and applicability of DEER measurements.
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Gadolinium (III) ions have great potential as spin labels for expanding the application of EPR spectroscopy in structural biology. Here a new molecule, [Gd.sTPATCN]-SL, is synthesized, used to label the Trim25cc protein selectively at cysteine, and subsequently characterized by EPR. The label combines a short linker, narrow EPR absorption linewidth and long phase memory time. It therefore shows promise for increasing the sensitivity of distance measurements between pairs of labels with EPR.