Mother Nature as Brand Strategy.

Gender and Creativity in Tampax Advertising 2007-2009

Note on text version:
This is a version of the article of the same name in the journal Enterprise & Society. All images (except one) have been redacted, but are available in the journal article. The Mother Nature advertisements and videos are available via the Internet and on YouTube.

In 2007, Mother Nature saved Tampax. An advertising campaign, featuring nature personified as a middle-aged woman, played a decisive role in helping the tampon brand overcome a challenging period. Five years earlier, the owners, Procter & Gamble (P&G), had developed their own plastic, as opposed to cardboard, tampon applicator in the form of ‘Tampax Pearl’, and was promptly sued for patent infringement by original plastic applicator inventor Playtex (Hanes Brands), a fight P&G lost. On other fronts, the menstrual product industry was battling against an ageing population, and a steady uptake in menstrual suppressant hormonal birth control, resulting in an annual 1 per cent market share drop for the previously globally bestselling brand.1 A team of women at advertising agency Leo Burnett came up with a new Tampax branding strategy in response, and as a result the international ‘Mother Nature’ campaign ran in Europe and North America from 2007 till 2009. This article surveys the issues facing Tampax in the 2000s, and the campaign that stabilised it at the top of the sector by the 2010s.

The case of ‘Mother Nature’ provides a vivid example of the breakdown between cultural production, activism and corporate messaging in the 2000s, and is explored as related to Frederick Jameson, Deborah Root and Nancy Fraser’s work on the blurred boundaries of activism and commerce.2 The ‘Mother Nature’ campaign was an early example of the benefits of using humour, ironic gendered narratives, and social media to sell menstrual products, as
opposed to the previous campaign tools focused on technical details and traditional media. It also utilised celebrity to great effect, through tennis player Serena Williams. Thus, it is justifiable to focus on this single campaign because it foregrounds the feminist trend, seen in the ‘femcare’ sector ever since, in addition to providing evidence for how gendered narratives still dominates the commercial side of menstruation, despite claims of doing the reverse. A close reading of both the campaign and its behind-the-scenes gestation provides evidence that gender dynamics was at the heart of the branding of Tampax, both internally – in terms of the largely female Leo Burnett team who created the campaign – and externally – in the iconography of the ‘Mother Nature’ advertisements.

Methodologically, this article uniquely utilises interviews with creative executives from Leo Burnett and the actress involved in the campaign. In contrast to previous histories written about menstrual product branding, this paper adds the voices of the creators to the analysis. This methodological step further complicates perceptions of menstrual product branding, exploring how feminist and capitalist views and aims exist side by side. For scholars of gendered narratives in marketing, this case study provides evidence about how corporations such as P&G control creative strategies, how brands like Tampax reinvent themselves, and how women in advertising continue to balance their feminist convictions with their work.

The article first discusses the context of the campaign in the decade leading up to its creation, and introduces the reader to the relevant sources and historiographical issues. Second, the paper discusses the problems facing Tampax in the 2000s, and the creative efforts made by Leo Burnett to capture consumer interest. Third, it surveys case studies from the campaign in order to give the reader a sense of the varied, vast and, at times, uneven ‘Mother Nature’
campaign and its many platforms. Finally, the paper examines ‘Mother Nature’’s economic and cultural impact, its surprising abrupt cancellation, and its legacy in ‘femcare’ advertising.

**Beyond corporate sources**

For decades, historians of corporate North America have identified the problem of access to documentation as a methodological problem.³ P&G’s archives at the corporation’s headquarters in Cincinnati, Ohio, are no exception.⁴ In lieu of limited access, historians can draw on the official Tampax histories commissioned by original owners Tambrands Inc. and current owners P&G: *Rising Tide* and *Small Wonder* respectively. ⁵ However, these sources focus on the official corporate narrative of success and business advancement. None include interviews with creators of advertising campaigns.

Information about P&G’s corporate view of Tampax is available in annual reports (published on their website), and via the Securities and Exchange Commission’s (SEC) Electronic Data Gathering, Analysis, and Retrieval (EDGARD) tools.⁶ Annual reports from the 2000s give the impression that Tampax in particular, and ‘femcare’ generally, has not been a priority for P&G until very recently. Annual reports (and their appendices) from the years the ‘Mother Nature’ campaign ran mention Tampax on average two times annually, and, in these instances, the brand was linked to larger categories, such as Beauty or, later, Health and Wellbeing. This makes the task of understanding a P&G brand a challenge, although other sources, in particular market research, provides some insight.

Beginning in the 1920s, the relatively new menstrual product businesses of North America sought advice on how best to communicate the perceived sensitive nature of their goods.⁷
Because of the taboo surrounding menstruation, marketing research provided valuable information to product manufacturers regarding what consumers wanted from advertising. Market research companies such as The World Advertising Research Centre (WARC), Passport, and Mintel have since written several reports about the menstrual product sector. WARC helpfully provides some analysis of advertising case studies, including the ‘Mother Nature’ campaign, which is described in detail by the anonymous author and has been a useful, if not entirely reliable, source. Menstrual product market research provide insight into what sells in the sector, and can help sort corporate claims of success from actual financial and cultural impact gains. However, the absence of any input from advertisers, models or actresses involved in branding means that a crucial component has gone unexplored.

For all of the reasons above, it is hard to critically examine the behind-the-scenes creation of P&G branding. This is why the methodology of interviewing non-P&G staff directly involved in the ‘Mother Nature’ campaign provided revealing data. For this research, interviews were conducted with the two executive creative directors of the ‘Mother Nature’ campaign, Anna Meneguzzo (Leo Burnett, Europe) and Rebecca Swanson (Leo Burnett, US), and the actress who performed the role of Mother Nature, Catherine Lloyd Burns. Historians of menstruation have written about advertising, but have not included the individuals who created it, such as actresses or models in campaigns, or creative professionals in advertising agencies. The introduction and analysis of these voices in this article provides a unique insight, and reinserts the agency and perspectives of the women behind the campaign. Inclusion of these voices, however, was also challenging. The interviewees were all situated between corporate, professional interests, and their own convictions and agencies as women, people who menstruate, and, sometimes, feminists. As key data in this survey, the challenge is both to listen to and contextualise their work and experiences.
Taken together, these sources provide a framework from which to understand why the campaign succeeded as a cultural artefact, and how gender and corporate influences intersected with the ‘Mother Nature’ campaign.

**Critical Menstrual Studies**

By the early 2000s, a substantial and varied historiography of menstruation was available, some of which was cited by the interviewees. In the beginning of the twentieth century, scholarship about the menstrual cycle was dominated by the fields of medicine, gynaecology and obstetrics. The medical and clinical interest in menstruation has deeper historical roots than the previous century, but for the purposes of this article it is useful to remind ourselves that it was the critique of these science-based patriarchal treatises that first galvanised a varied set of writers and thinkers to question the menstrual status quo in the mid- to late-twentieth century.

During the 1970s, menstruation became one of many topics that concerned the women’s rights movement in the US (and elsewhere). For example, the publication of feminist self-care classic *Our Bodies, Ourselves* provided medical knowledge in laywomen’s terms. Similar to the authors of *Our Bodies, Ourselves*, the first critical histories and explorations of menstruation shared a dislike of the menstrual product industry and advertising. Journalists such as Gloria Steinem and Karen Houppert began writing about menstruation in the same decade. Steinem approached the topic with humour, in the *Ms Magazine* essay ‘If Men Could Menstruate’, a short essay that argued that in a world where the genders were assumed to be stereotypically feminine or masculine, menstruating men would make bleeding a competition about having the largest flow and the cycle would become a status symbol. Houppert,
starting her investigation in US-based news and cultural paper *The Village Voice*, approached the topic with journalistic methods, providing an early interrogation of the industry, including analysis of advertising and a detailed argument about the shortcomings of tampons. Historians of tampon advertising have identified how corporations were aware of the sensitive cultural issues associated with tampons, notably the issue of virginity, and how early advertising often presented tampons as an empowering, modern and moral choice. As will become evident in the discussion of the ‘Mother Nature’ campaign, Tampax has a long history of engaging with the women’s rights movement, and of appropriating its messages. For example, the first non-belted pad New Freedom (Kimberly-Clark), utilised models in clothing reminiscent of the women’s liberation and hippie movements, and promised freedom and empowerment. Overall, the 1970s can be seen as a revolutionary time for menstrual activism, culture and discourse, while the corporations that sold menstrual products emerged from the decade relatively financially unharmed.

In the next decade, the World Health Organisation’s survey of international attitudes towards menstruation revealed global dissatisfaction with commercial brands, as well as a pervasive taboo. Most of the early 1980s, however, were dominated by the debate surrounding Toxic Shock Syndrome (TSS), which was linked to P&G tampon brand Rely, of which more later. To a lesser degree, debates about the environmental consequences of flushing disposable products, about whether or not Pre-Menstrual Syndrome (PMS) should be diagnosable, and the link between menstruation and HIV/AIDS continued during the decade.

My interviewees either identified as feminists or expressed clear feminist views, and all were aware of the Second Wave feminist interest in the menstrual taboo. To a lesser extent, environmental and health issues related to the menstrual cycle was also brought up by the
interviewees. The interviewees were also aware that critique of the manufacturing and advertising of products remains of interest to the wider public, exemplified by the increase in new books aimed at a wide readership and invested in the idea that the industry is problematic and sexist. The interviewees often reflected on their complicated role as supporters of women’s rights versus advertisers of gendered products, and expressed unease as these blurred boundaries became apparent in the ‘Mother Nature’ campaign.

With this extensive and important critical menstrual historiography in mind, this article introduces the voices of the creators to the analysis of menstrual product advertising and branding, while also showing how the longstanding debates about gender tropes in advertising and corporate culture remain.

A new time of crisis

With a history of gendered advertising built around promises of modernity, hygiene and femininity, Tampax brand managers could have utilised tried-and-tested stereotypes that had worked during other public and fiscal crisis. The 00s were by no means the first (or last) time that P&G’s interest in menstruation would be challenged, and a reminder of the challenges facing Tampax at the time can help better contextualise why the ‘Mother Nature’ campaign was both a departure from old tricks, and a re-invention of stereotypes.

Since the 1930s, the industry has experienced an astronomical growth as women in North America and Western Europe switched to non-reusable products from washable and reusable options, thus creating a new market and consumer base consisting of women of reproductive age. Around the millennium, however, the sector was coming to terms with a significant slowing of pace, resulting in a ‘sluggish tampon market’ by 2007, although Tampax never
dipped lower than an impressive 49 per cent of the market. At the same time, the popularity of menstrual suppression techniques was on the rise in the Western markets that Tampax relied most on, presenting an existential threat to all menstrual product manufacturer. P&G was also troubled by competitor Playtex’ advertising displays. Playtex, originally introduced by the International Latex Corporation in 1960, was owned by Hanes Brands by the 2000s, a company with a smaller brand portfolio and seemingly endless energy directed at attacking Tampax. Campaigns on television and in magazines detailed Playtex’ superior comfort, absorption and ‘discreetness’. The plastic applicator was described as more comfortable and modern, and was showed to excel in soaking up (blue, as advertising standards forbid red) liquid as compared to Tampax.

For all these reasons, the early 00s were not a period of growth for the menstrual product industry, which was increasingly stuck in a saturated market. For P&G, however, it was a time of innovation in other ways, as it began to come to terms with new acquisitions such as Tampax. Established in 1837 by William Procter and James Gamble, and focused primarily on cleaning agents, P&G grew into a multinational corporation in the late-twentieth century. P&G had a reputation for pioneering the techniques of branding to great success, with images of the American housewife becoming a symbol for its cleaning brands for example. In 2000, a key shift in P&G leadership ushered in a new enthusiasm for branding within the corporation, spearheaded by Chief Executive Officer Alan George Lafley. During his leadership, which spanned the 2000s, P&G experienced a growth in sales, cash flow, and annual core earnings-per-share. The corporation gained a reputation for being consumer-driven and externally focused, with an increased interest in innovation and risk taking, although Lafley and his group of innovators did not seem to have taken much interest in the Tampax brand publicly.
How, then, to update and refresh menstrual product branding strategies for a new millennium? Theorists of the late-twentieth century note how culture and economics became ever more entangled at this time. Analyst of postmodernism and late-capitalism Frederick Jameson defined the era from the late 1980s onwards as one where imperialist, monopoly structures gave way to multinational corporations like P&G, which in turn coincided with a turn away from sincerity in popular culture. Postmodern tropes, such as pastiche, blasé and irony, seeped into the culture not only via television and the Internet, but also through corporate co-option of this new tone, including within menstrual product advertising. In what both Jameson and postcolonial theorist Deborah Root discuss as ‘a cannibalistic turn’, commercial interests collapsed the sincerity and goals of activism, culture and art, and became memes and phenomena in themselves. Historian of advertising and gender Jean Umiker-Sebeok pointed out that advertising changed slowly in the 1980s, but that the pace increased as the millennium approached, and went into hyperdrive with social media platforms and their potential for advertising to specific groups in the 00s. Soon, it became difficult to see what was real culture and what was advertising, exemplified by the ‘Mother Nature’ campaign’s sometimes unclear affiliation with the Tampax brand and P&G, and its influence on popular culture as well as profit margins.

The collapse between capitalist and activist goals emerges strongly in the story of Mother Nature. As detailed above, the industry has always conducted its own research into the behaviour and beliefs of its consumers, but the post-millennium decade marks an increased use of external material and research by the industry. In this case, interviewees repeatedly cited critical feminist literature in their answers. The willingness of corporations and advertisers to interact with, process and use critical literature about themselves became a
cornerstone of campaign building for the creative Tampax team. P&G was part of the trend of appropriating feminism for commercial means during these decades, as identified by journalist Andi Ziegler in *We Used To Be Feminists Once.*\(^3^3\) In *Cashing in on the Curse,* Karen Houppert argued that the industry had been appropriating feminism for a long time, and that they continued to do so as feminism changed.\(^3^4\) The first decade of the new millennium was rife with examples of this, notably soap brand Dove’s (Unilever) ‘Real Beauty’ campaign featuring women who were not models. Despite later ridicule of such blatant attempts to connect with feminist literate viewers, advertising that interacts with social justice and identity issues continues to ‘go viral’. Furthermore, social scientists have sometimes also worked for the industry, blurring the lines between independent and market research even further. As recently as 2016, social scientists Timothy de Waal and founder of consumer research consultancy Cultural Connections LLC, Maryanne McCabe, published their research for pad brand Stayfree (Energizer in North America, Johnson & Johnson internationally) in *Consumers, Markets and Culture.*\(^3^5\) Consumer researchers, anthropologists, historians and medical professionals have contributed vast amounts of data and analysis to the industry over the years, resulting in a reflexive, culturally literate and self-aware sector. How, then, to make sense of this intersection of knowledge about and production of stereotypes by the industry?

In her contemporaneous analysis of feminism in late-capitalism, cultural theorist Nancy Fraser pointed out that corporations were not shy about offering ‘empowering scripts’, and that the coopting of social justice messages, and especially feminism, was speeding up in the decades around the new millennium.\(^3^6\) For scholar of gender and work Kathi Weeks, this acceleration caused a mirage for working women, in which the seductive but impossible
option of ‘having it all’ resulted in stress, more working, and, fundamentally, benefits for multinational corporations.\textsuperscript{37}

In summary, a perfect storm consisting of menstrual suppression, an ageing population, and an aggressive competitor, pushed P\&G to take action in a time when changes in culture, media and capitalism meant that P\&G branding itself sought re-branding. CEO Lafley later reminisced that those were the days when creativity and branding became important for P\&G again, after some decades of playing it safe and family-friendly.\textsuperscript{38} How, then, to deal with this new crisis and gain ground in an already saturated market?

\textbf{Marketing tampons in times of trouble}

The options for how to market menstrual products have expanded in the last thirty years, specifically due to the relaxation of advertising standard guidelines, and the emergence of new social media platforms.\textsuperscript{39} The basic gender binaries in advertisement have, however, remained visible since sociologist Erving Goffman first conducted his visual review of marketing in the 1970s.\textsuperscript{40} Goffman included a reference to New Freedom (Kimberly-Clark) pad advertising to illustrate how young female models were posed to suggest aspirational youth and liberation. He argued that the ‘commercial realism’ of gendered advertising was simply another ritual that reflected, but never accurately portrayed, society. With their close ties to women and, by extension, whatever represented femininity at the time, menstrual products share many characteristics with other categories of goods that have utilised gendered stereotypes in order to sell. P\&G was itself fundamental to the creation of the female homemaker trope in its advertisement for soap brand Tide, but the campaigns were often created by women in advertising rather than the male-dominated corporation.\textsuperscript{41}
The idea that gender tropes were only dreamed up by male executives is neither correct nor helpful, as it erases the work women have done to create representations of womanhood. For example, in the case study of ‘Mother Nature’, concepts of gender remain binary and fixed, in stark contrast to the contemporary debates about gender as performance, hierarchy, and in terms of historical narratives. The interviewees, however, were vary of historical critiques of gendered advertising, and saw themselves as continuing a long battle for equity in advertising and menstrual discourse. They also described their work as radically different from the P&G team and its corporate interests, explaining that when the creative team tried to challenge gender binaries, P&G protested. While a surface-level reading of the ‘Mother Nature’ campaign reflects clear gendered tropes, the experiences of the women behind-the-scenes show efforts to challenge the status quo and protest against ‘commercial realism’.

Another key factor influencing the campaign was timing. ‘Mother Nature’ launched during the prelude to the international financial crisis in 2008, and ran for the duration of the chaos. CEO Lafley was not particularly worried, commenting in The Independent that ‘Virtually every product that P&G sells is not loosing frequency of usage.’ P&G had benefitted from a steady increase of disposable consumer income in the 2000s, especially amongst women. Tampax was not the cheapest tampon on the market, but its brand recognition and consumer loyalty was so strong that debates about lowering the price were not considered. US consumers in particular preferred the applicator tampon type, rather than the digital non-applicator form popular in Europe, which had to be inserted with fingers, meaning more direct contact with blood and the body. More importantly, consumers had also forgiven, forgotten or never heard of the Toxic Shock Syndrome (TSS) crisis of the 1980s, when P&G’s first tampon venture ended in disgrace after the Rely brand became linked to the lethal disease. The episode resulted in a recall of Rely, and a drop in tampon sales across all (international)
brands.\textsuperscript{48} P&G dealt with TSS by briefly abandoning the market and seeking out a more trusted brand.

P&G bought Tambrands Inc. seventeen years after the TSS crisis, and has since produced, marketed and sold Tampax internationally.\textsuperscript{49} After acquisition, campaigns were rolled out on television and in print, featuring young (usually, but not exclusively, White) models, close-ups of the products, and a voice-over explaining that Tampax was a safe choice. Narratives were built around potentially embarrassing scenarios like wearing white clothing or going camping, with tag-lines such as ‘Made To Go Unnoticed’. The marketing formula was thus a combination of information and attempts at connecting with the consumer on an emotional level. Humour was used only if it strengthened the core message of the product’s leak-proof promise, and relied heavily on gendered narratives. For example, in a 2005 television commercial, female students are caught passing items in a classroom.\textsuperscript{50} When the male teacher asks to see what he assumes to be a note, a girl passes him a wrapped tampon. The teacher is confused about the item, as are the boys in the class, whereas the girls grin at each other knowingly. The tone is humorous, and fundamentally underlines Tampax’ brand promise of hiding menstruation (and menstrual products) from society, in particular from men and boys, who are portrayed as innocent and unintelligent. Surveying this trope, medical sociologist and nurse Annemarie Jutel argued that the focus on solving the menstrual ‘debility’ problem in such campaigns would not empower women, but rather crystalized social beliefs about female biology further.\textsuperscript{51} In other words, P&G were not choosing creative advertising strategies for Tampax at the beginning of the millennium, and the new leadership did not make it a focus for innovation. Thus, the brand was both blessed and cursed with a reputation for stability.
P&G’s careful attitude to marketing Tampax in the 2000s was perhaps understandable as the brand had been a category-leader for so long. Furthermore, the corporation’s reputation as a menstrual product manufacturer was still on shaky ground post-TSS, and tampons lagged far behind in the overall menstrual product category, where panty liners and pads dominated the market after TSS.\textsuperscript{52} The P&G pad brand Always had already been facing growing competition from new pad brands, a sign that the overall menstrual product category was diversifying and expanding slightly, notably with the re-emergence of the nineteenth century technology of menstrual cups.\textsuperscript{53} International sales were also slowing, as Asian corporations like Kingdom Marketing Services and the Unicharm Group gained ground.\textsuperscript{54} In addition, Kimberly-Clark had changed the packaging of Kotex products from pastel colours to black in an industry first, signalling that competitors were willing to take risks with packaging, if not advertising. The combination of increased international competition and the market saturation of tampons, meant that P&G had little to gain from innovating Tampax, whereas competitors saw options for making a big impact in the stale category. Rebecca Swanson, the Leo Burnett creative executive working on the US side of the ‘Mother Nature launch, recalls this period as a time when she was watching others break boundaries: ‘we were crushed, we wanted to do that but weren’t allowed.’\textsuperscript{55} The simmering unease already detected by the (largely female) advertising and branding teams involved in Tampax branding, reached a boiling point with Playtex’ entrance to the market, and would soon be difficult for the P&G leadership to overlook. Setting out to ‘regain leadership and fight back by differentiating ourselves from the competition’, the Tampax team considered its options in 2007.\textsuperscript{56} P&G decided to listen to the creative team at Leo Burnett first.
The Leo Burnett team

P&G had a long-established relationship with advertising agency Leo Burnett, including collaborating on previous Tampax campaigns. The company was founded by Leo Burnett in 1935, and remains one of the largest in the world, making international campaigns like ‘Mother Nature’ possible. Its philosophy is based on creativity and risk taking, a combination that has resulted in the transformation of brands such as McDonald’s and Kellogg’s into household names, and helped make entire industries, for example cigarettes, thrive through decades of increased consumer media literacy and scepticism. The rethink of Tampax branding in 2007 also proved beneficial for the agency, as the total media expenditure budget was unusually large at around 15 million dollars, and the campaign involved work for Leo Burnett employees across North America and Europe.

P&G had three clear priorities for the campaign: to increase brand value through ‘emotional equity with tampon consumers’, to increase volume share by 5 per cent, and to create a brand shift from ‘Playtex loyalists and convert them to the Tampax Pearl franchise.’ The specific focus situates Tampax’ strategy in the late-capitalist corporate zeitgeist that includes aspirational lifestyle messages and a focus on the individual. The objectives would have sounded strange to earlier tampon advertisers, who sought first and foremost to inform their consumers, and dispel myths by creating marketing that rarely mentioned menstruation by name. The new option of utilising YouTube and Facebook meant that P&G’s goals could be reached in a direct, cheap and international way, bypassing the strict advertising standards that Tampax had always been hostage to in traditional media outreach.

Leo Burnett utilised its extensive global reach to create an international campaign. The work was led by two creative directors: Anna Meneguzzo in Italy and Rebecca Swanson in
Chicago. Together, they lead an international team dominated by women. Meneguzzo and Swanson had worked with the Tampax brand and P&G before, and utilised their experience of the ‘femcare’ category to push for a more original approach to the new campaign. The ‘Mother Nature’ concept was presented alongside ‘Zack’, a campaign idea featuring ‘a story of a boy with a vagina’ who suddenly had to deal with menstruation, but the idea ‘made P&G nervous as hell’. The corporation’s response to the proposed ‘Zack’ campaign video, which would go on to win a Hall of Fame Award from advertising awards The Clios, signalled to the Leo Burnett team that P&G wanted creativity only within certain boundaries. This had happened before, when P&G hired the Benenson Group to analyse Leo Burnett’s ‘femcare’ work. The group was a strategic research consultancy usually involved in advising political leaders, and it had explained that an earlier Tampax idea, ‘Advertise Your Period’ (built around the idea that because Tampax keeps menstruation secret, you would have to announce it if you wanted someone to know), would permanently ruin the equity of the brand. The issue of getting around the P&G executives (and, at times, the Beneson Group) meant that the creative team was somewhat restricted in their ambitions for the campaign from the start.

Meneguzzo explained in interview that ‘femcare’ advertising is challenging because of the general rejection of the category as disgusting and feminine. She argued that it was difficult to communicate a straightforward campaign about menstruation, and that the dominance of men in advertising did not help. Because male creative directors generally shunned the category, she explained that women were often the only ones willing to work in the ‘pink ghetto of femcare’. This created a promotion problem for women in the category because ‘femcare’ marketing rarely wins advertising awards (compared to the bigger budgets of cars and alcohol), and thus prevents women from progressing in their careers. As historian of business Kathy Peiss has pointed out, business history itself has tended to overlook the
corners of the industry dominated by women, and that listening to businesswomen and female
creative executives challenge us to complicate this narrative. While starting work on what
would become the ‘Mother Nature’ campaign, Meneguzzo and Swanson were both acutely
aware and tired of the professional confines inherent in ‘femcare.’

However, Meneguzzo found that working on the topic of menstruation became increasingly
fascinating, and started developing a critical understanding of menstrual discourse based on
conversations and reading. Soon, she had a dream of ‘making menstruation cool’ and also
contributed to an Italian book about menstrual discourse. By 2015, her goal would become
reality as a flood of popular culture and mainstream media responses to menstruation
appeared. But in 2007, Meneguzzo and her colleagues were still working within strict
frameworks where creating advertising for menstrual products was considered a challenge.
Finding a kindred spirit in Swanson, the two women set out to challenge each other and
Tampax, within the confines of the ‘pink ghetto’.

The Big Idea
As with most large campaigns, Leo Burnett’s research department began the project by
commissioning market research. The core idea for the campaign came from an analysis of
how the ‘tampon mindset’ was different from the ‘pad mindset’: ‘it takes a conscious decision
to insert a tampon into your body. […] It’s somehow bolder.’ By focusing only on tampon
use, the team was not seeking to reach everyone, and instead ‘defined the target consumer’
based on the archetype of the ‘Alpha girl’. This archetype was taken directly from child
psychologist Dan Kindlon’s book Alpha Girls: Understanding the New American Girl and
How She is Changing the World. Kindlon’s influential 2006 research presented young
American women as more assertive, bold and ambitious than previous generations, and was in
turn criticised for presenting a post-feminist model of girlhood that did not deal with systematic or historic gendered inequality. The Leo Burnett team used the ‘Alpha girl’ as an aspirational goal for their potential consumers, rather than assuming that women would identify with her directly. Instead, Meneguzzo and her colleagues sought a ‘bold attitude’ for women who were unapologetic towards menstruation, because first-time tampon users are usually older teens or adult women. They asked themselves how they could dramatise periods for people who did not care, or did not want to care, about menstruation.

The idea of personifying the monthly cycle as Mother Nature was developed as a way to get consumers to pay attention to menstruation: ‘The Big Idea [was that] Mother Nature is a b*tch! [sic.]’ She would be so annoying, abrasive, loud-mouthed, interesting and powerful, that even teenagers would have to pay attention. Her enemy would be the ‘Alpha girl’, whom viewers would aspire to be:

Mother Nature was the perfect enemy. Nature behaves sometimes as a stepmother rather than a mother: it’s an acknowledgement that a more realistic – less romantic? – person like our bold girl could easily get to. More importantly, the life stage when our girls are deciding to use a tampon is exactly the one when you fight with your mother. You know she has good intentions, yet she cannot understand you and your desire for freedom.

The core narrative revolved around a duel between Mother Nature and her daughters. The archetype for this duel was “deity vs human” or else “David vs Goliath”: a cunning yet insightful way to make a big fuss out of a topic that would’ve gone otherwise neglected.
Elevating the idea beyond embarrassment and into the realms of epic, godly fights, menstruation would become a battleground fought between a goddess and women.

In addition to the attention-grabbing lead character, the creative team focused on introducing humour into the campaign. Leo Burnett is hardly the first to observe that menstruation offers many opportunities for jokes, puns, creative euphemism and symbolism. Interviewees referenced Gloria Steinem’s ‘If Men Could Menstruate’ as a particularly successful work that balanced body politics and fun. Since Steinem’s 1970s article, there has been an increase in creative and funny responses to menstruation, including podcasts, zines, rallies and ‘bleed-ins’, tampon costumes, and art projects. However, such examples were all fundamentally rooted in political body activism, whereas the ‘Mother Nature’ campaign largely stripped the reproductive justice aspects away in order to emotionally connect with the consumer group through apolitical humour.

Leo Burnett also responded to the challenges set by P&G by creating a holistic communication plan including social media, television and radio spots, magazine print, packaging, a website, in-store merchandising and samples. The new potential of social media was integrated throughout the campaign, via a Facebook page and YouTube videos. In the early 2000s, Facebook and YouTube were booming enterprises, used by millions daily all over the world. The use of commercials on both platforms have since been heavily debated and criticised, but in 2007 most advertisers were not utilising all the potential to its full extent. The combination of the strong lead-character, humour, non-coded references to menstruation, and social media set the stage for the campaign, but of all these ingredients, Mother Nature herself dominated.
Case studies from the campaign

A handful of examples provide useful insights into the typical narrative of the overall campaign. Across the many communication channels, the ‘Mother Nature’ campaign was tied together by the tagline ‘Outsmart Mother Nature’, a red box carried by Mother Nature symbolizing menstruation, and Mother Nature herself in a green suit. Other than this, the campaign varied greatly in length, message and scope across platforms. The television advertisements usually started with a young White woman (portrayed by a model) in a high-risk menstrual event; at the beach, wearing a short white skirt, dancing, in a romantic situation with a man, etc. These situations were commonplace in menstrual advertising history, and the ‘Mother Nature’ campaign made fun of them.79 Mother Nature would interrupt the trope, trying to present the red box to the woman while delivering passive-aggressive quips like ‘it’s time for your monthly gift dear’ or ‘did you miss me?’ The women, initially surprised, quickly regained control over the situation and responded that they were not worried about her arrival, because ‘they had Tampax’. The interrupted activity (dancing, photo shoots, tennis, etc.) would restart, and Mother Nature would fade into the background, somewhat disgruntled or unsettled. Apart from the charisma of Mother Nature, there was nothing revolutionary in such portrayals of menstruation.

A second strain of the campaign featured tennis player Serena Williams; an unusual front-woman for Tampax for many reasons. It had been decades since any celebrity had been willing to front a menstrual product, but it had remained a goal for Tampax and Leo Burnett. After numerous rejections from celebrity agents, both P&G and the creative team were delighted and surprised when Williams agreed.80 For Williams, the campaign fit her goals of empowering girls and speaking about taboo topics like racism and women’s bodies in sport.81 In the early 00s, Williams was also talking about her own problems with menstrual migraines.
in the media – predating similar debates about Olympic athletes in 2016 by a decade.\textsuperscript{82}

Williams was already a superstar, although her stardom has since galvanized after, amongst other events, her historic winning streak, appearance in Beyoncé music video (‘Sorry’, \textit{Lemonade}, 2017), and more public engagement on matters of race and gender discrimination in tennis and beyond. Prior to Williams, Olympic gymnast Cathy Rigby had featured in Stayfree (Johnson & Johnson at the time) pad print advertising in the 1980s, but by the 2000s famous celebrities favored gender-neutral brands with more money such as Nike.\textsuperscript{83} Menstrual product advertising and female athletes, especially in tennis, had developed an uneasy relationship ever since the first women’s tennis tournament had declined Tampax sponsorship in favor of the Virginia Slims cigarette brand in the 1970s. Virginia Slims had by then gained a reputation for using feminist messages in its branding (the ‘You’ve Come Along Way Baby’ campaign ran from the 1970s to the 1990s), whereas Tampax was seen as a threat because it linked to closely to an already existing stereotype of women in sports as hormonal and emotional.\textsuperscript{84}

Williams was presented as Mother Nature’s enemy in the posters and YouTube videos where she featured. She towered over Mother Nature, wearing a professional white outfit, and winning against the deity in tennis games. The combination of these two unusual front women, the menopausal goddess and the Black woman champion, provides a striking collection of images. Each bring a distinct narrative to the campaign, and were fleshed out as individuals who both respected and annoyed one another. Although the idea of pitching women against each other as competitors in advertising is not new, the combination of two minorities within advertising made the dynamic different to the ads featuring Burns alongside young scantily-clad White models. Compared to the models, Williams was represented at
work, and in a non-embarrassing position. As equals and enemies, the two poked fun of each other in the videos, and were given equal time to speak. The back-and-forth joking dynamic was thus different from the videos where Mother Nature was simply disrupting an anonymous woman’s life. Here, the woman talked back, while shown working and talking about menstruation. The YouTube videos featuring Williams were also the first in a long line of viral menstrual product advertising featuring women of color, for example Kotex’ collaboration with yoga instructor Jessamyn Stanley (2017), Lunette’s work with Madame Ghandi and Julie Atto (2017), Thinx’ controversial subway campaign (2016), Bodyform’s ongoing partnership with young influencers, and, on a larger scale, P&G’s ‘My Black is Beautiful’ campaign (2016). The reoccurrence of risk-taking in menstrual advertising and collaboration with women of color suggest the double-bind articulated by sociologist Patricia Hill Collins: ‘Black women remain visible yet silenced, their bodies become written by other texts’. The advertising industry’s selective inclusion of African-American women in particular, defined as ‘trendsetters’ by Nielsen market research in the 2010s, has been extensively critiqued in terms of cultural appropriation and stereotyping. For all these reasons, it is noteworthy that it was Williams who reached out to P&G, and, because she was part of shaping the videos she appears in, is neither ridiculed, sexualized nor belittled in the campaign. At the same time, her cultural currency clearly provided P&G and Tampax with the star power the category had previously lacked, thus elevating the marketing into the realms of viral cultural product.

In addition to Williams’ historic fronting of a tampon, the language used in the videos she featured in is also notable in the history of menstrual advertising. During one of the YouTube videos built around a pre-match press conference with Mother Nature and Williams (currently over 50,000 views on YouTube), Mother Nature quips: ‘Bad blood? Well, there is plenty of
blood, but none of its bad.’ The mention of blood in a menstrual product campaign was norm-breaking, as the category usually relied on words such as ‘flow’ or ‘liquid’. Whereas television and print was still governed by strict rules about what advertisers could show and say, social media provided a platform where menstrual reality could be presented. Menstrual product advertising was not allowed on television in the US and UK until the late 1970s, and Kimberley-Clark were the first to use the word ‘period’ in the campaign ‘Kotex fits. Period.’ in 2000. Swanson explained that the use of words like ‘blood’ in the ‘Mother Nature’ campaign was only possible because there were so many women involved in the campaign. Williams’ openness, personal interest in menstrual health, and her growing star-power clearly also helped make the frank use of words and jokes a reality.

The videos and images featuring Williams brought celebrity to the campaign, whereas other outputs broke different boundaries. In a guerrilla-style video (i.e. where only the actor knows about the camera, and participants sign consent forms afterwards) filmed in Manchester (UK), Mother Nature stopped women on the street and tried to hand them ‘their monthly gift’ (currently over 32,000 views). This resulted in a series of funny and awkward encounters set to George Thorogood’s rock song ‘Bad to the Bone’ from 1982. Without a script, and dealing with a potentially sensitive topic, Burns had to navigate a series of social interactions while using puns and keeping in character. In one encounter she yells out to a woman who, when turning around, is revealed to be heavily pregnant; ‘Never mind, I forgot’ quips Mother Nature and sashays away. When one woman asks what is in the red box, Burns erupts into laughter, arches her back and yells ‘that’s hilarious darling!’ In another scene she chases women up escalators, and has a conversation about period sex and fidelity with a young couple. While the underlying message was that it was time to ‘Outsmart Mother Nature’, Burns remained the focus of the video from start to finish. Despite the corporate messaging, it
is difficult not to be amused as Burns chases down and laughs with women (and, to a lesser extent, men) on the street about a supposedly taboo topic. As a form of guerrilla advertising, the resulting video is unusual in its centering on a confident older woman who interacts and, at times, bullies members of the public. It is funny to watch Mother Nature’s wrath and irony, but clearly not to experience it.

Throughout the vast campaign landscape, the figure of Burns as Mother Nature tied everything together into a recognizable brand. As the campaign gained traction in popular culture and media, it was the lead character who caught the public imagination, although Williams and the use of humor was also applauded.

**Who is Mother Nature?**

The creation of the Mother Nature character combined several female tropes, sometimes at odds with one another. She was to be ‘a villain of sorts’, an ‘opponent for girls’, and ‘like a mother-in-law!’ She was created to be irritating, but she was someone you ‘couldn’t entirely hate’ because she was a ‘worthy adversary.’ Crucially, Mother Nature was created as a woman, not a girl. Described to be around the age of 40-50, Mother Nature may well have been going through menopause, the typical age at which menstruation stops. This paradox was never directly discussed in the adverts, but underlines much of the visual humour in the campaign. Menopausal women are, after all, experienced menstruators. Furthermore, the decision to visualise her at this age, meant that the young women in the campaign tended to look childish, while Burns looked powerful.

The costume, which would become recognisable across the campaign platforms, further underlined Mother Nature’s age and power. She was envisioned as a ‘sharply dressed she-
devil, decked out in green Chanel and high heels’. P&G, who otherwise declined requests for information, sent an image of the costume, which is now housed in their archives.

The outfit visually referenced several women from popular culture who were making headlines in the 2000s. In 2006, the box-office success *The Devil Wears Prada* had introduced a similar character in post-menopausal, sharply dressed, unpleasant, smart and powerful boss Miranda Priestly (Meryl Streep). Meneguzzo also cites the character of Bree Van de Kamp from the television series ‘Desperate Housewives’; a neurotic and perfectionist homemaker in turn inspired by the Stepford wife archetype first conceptualised in Ira Levin’s 1972 satirical thriller about robotic wives. In addition, Jacqueline Kennedy Onassis’ style was visible in the bright Chanel-style suit. Drawing from this group of different women, the character was developed to gesture at their likeness, while also collapsing the cliché inhabited in their roles. Rather than a housewife or paid worker, Mother Nature was to be obsessed with her God-given duty, symbolised by her dedication to delivering ‘the monthly gift’ on time, despite criticism or adversary. As a goddess, she was beyond the gendered expectations that faced the working women in *The Devil Wears Prada* and Kennedy Onassis, whilst also
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**Fig. 1:** The Mother Nature costume in P&G’s archives. Source: P&G archivist, 2018.
challenging the submissiveness of Bree Van de Kamp and the Stepford wives’ cold inhuman
aesthetic. Like the latter’s robotic narrators, Mother Nature was not human, although she
exerted human-like personality and opinion. She was ill at ease and angry in the human
world, often tugging at her green suit as if it was too small for a goddess. Often patting her
hair, and with a dainty performative gait, the character lent into some feminine tropes, while
loosing her temper, laughing manically or chuckling devilishly. Mother Nature soon had more
character development than most figures in advertising, and therefore captured viewers’
attention.

In addition to the she-devil trope, the campaign also tapped into long-standing associations of
women with nature. The idea of identifying nature as female (and science as male) has been
extensively critiqued and explored by feminists and post-colonial scholars for its division of
masculine and feminine qualities into strict binaries. Nevertheless, the trope continues to
exist in the personification of nature as a mother or as a feminine entity in advertising. In fact,
P&G had success with the same core idea a few years prior, when the campaign for pad brand
Naturella (named Always in the US and UK) did well in ‘traditional countries’ (Mexico,
Russia, Poland). The Naturella campaign featured women in nature, dressed in long flowing
gowns and with a voice-over connecting femininity to the natural world. The product also
included chamomile extract in order to be able to make the claim that the pad was ‘natural’,
although P&G recognised that this should not be ‘overstated’ because the chamomile did not
actually do anything and the product was not organic. Thus, the superficial idea that women
and nature are connected had been proven to be profitable for the corporation in other
countries.
When Mother Nature was introduced as an idea for Western consumers, the message about femininity was delivered with irony rather than sincerity. The flowing garments from the Naturella campaign was swapped for a modern green business suit, but the underlying trope linking women and nature was nevertheless part of the core campaign idea. In the US Leo Burnett office, Swanson remembers feeling nervous about this because of the many uses of the Mother Nature trope in North American campaigns, notably in the tagline for Chiffon margarine (‘so like butter, it fools even Mother Nature’). Swanson explained that Meneguzzo was also aware of the trope, but that she felt so strongly about the idea that they agreed to develop a more interesting take on the figure.

The team challenged the tropes about a maternal, natural and feminine goddess by making Mother Nature a character who was not entirely likeable, nor easy to understand. Instead, she was to be a complicated figure. The tagline for the entire campaign underlines this: ‘Outsmart Mother Nature’. With the help of Tampax, the campaign promised to turn the old adversary of Mother Nature/menstruation into a non-issue. Mother Nature was an enemy to be conquered, and menstruation a problem to be fixed. After P&G agreed to the core ideas of the campaign, the casting process commenced in order to find an actress who would be able to embody and balance all the complicated, often paradoxical, characteristics that Leo Burnett had designed for Mother Nature in 2007.

Casting Mother Nature

The casting was carried out in Los Angeles, London and New York during several months in 2007, and involved over one thousand actresses. Catherine Lloyd Burns won the part by making an early positive impression on Swanson and Meneguzzo. Burns had decided to present the character in a multidimensional way, including all of the personality traits Leo
Burnett had described, rather than focusing on some of the characteristics. Burns ad-libbed in her audition, and added a loving tone mixed with a forceful and unpredictable dose of passive-aggressiveness at unexpected moments. She also created a striking voice for Mother Nature, which Meneguzzo recalls as ‘memorably annoying’.  

Burns had previously acted on television series ‘Malcolm in the Middle’, as well as in films (‘Keeping the Faith’, ‘Everything Put Together’). She had also written for children (The Good, the Bad and the Beagle) and adults (a memoir, It Hit Me Like A Ton of Bricks). At the time of the campaign, she was one of the first leading actresses in a major menstrual advertising campaign who was not a teenager. Apart from the use of athletes, the menstrual industry had hitherto focused on models and characters who were either near the age of menarche (first menstruation) or in their early twenties. The perceived wisdom in the industry was that young consumers were important, because once they had used one brand, they were likely to become loyal lifetime consumers. The ‘Mother Nature’ campaign was thus breaking away from tradition in more than one way; by focusing on humour rather than information, starring a professional actress and a celebrity, and focusing on a woman over the age of 35.

Because of all of these reasons, Burns approached the campaign from many perspectives ‘- as a woman, a feminist, an environmentalist, an actress, an older women…’ She also recognized that Leo Burnett and Tampax were trying to advertise in a new way and was happy to be part of a different creative approach. Burns shared her feminist perspective on this:

So often women are encouraged to be hairless, odorless, infinitely patient creatures
whose job it is to shield the men they want to attract from regularly occurring bodily functions. I think the ‘Mother Nature’ campaign was an attempt to take the piss out of the shame many of us are taught to feel about being on the rag. Funny commercials about something taboo are empowering.\textsuperscript{101}

Despite welcoming the ways in which the campaign broke taboos and incorporated humor, Burns also found the character challenging, especially as a caricature of a conservative and unsexy older woman. Her feminist beliefs were constantly at odds with the corporate interests. Although she valued the campaign, Mother Nature presented a paradox:

She herself was not a very empowering image for women (in my mind). Yes, she could make a hurricane and she was a working woman, that was empowering. But the lengths she went to in order to keep up appearances wasn’t very empowering (in my mind). Mother Nature was stuck in time. She wanted women in their place and their place was with her once a month. It was as if she thought modern young women had gotten a little too comfortable as women, and Mother Nature arrived once a month to remind them that in the end, a woman's identity was controlled by shame and ultimately by the shame of getting her period.\textsuperscript{102}

Burns also questioned the corporate message inherent in the campaign, especially regarding the ways in which the Tampax product was always the rescuer in the narrative. In order to deal with the ambivalence she felt towards the role, Burns created a backstory for Mother Nature, within the strict confines of the campaign brief:

… I did not feel that Mother Nature was a feminist icon. I had to make things up for
that. Like I gave myself a very satisfying relationship with Father Time that no one knew about. I decided that contrary to my appearance I was deeply disappointed in the human race for not recognizing the price of their technological and industrial advancements - the world of nature was paying for. I also decided that my hair and makeup were done for me every morning by a bunch of bluebirds and fauns in a wooded clearing that was very beautiful. The source of my rage was progress and pollution, not women.103

Worried about becoming merely a caricature, Burns added these storylines to justify and better understand her role in the wider menstrual discourse. She prepared for the role by learning more about menstrual history and culture, echoing the interests of the creative team at Leo Burnett. In the literature, she found that menstruation was a topic mired in shame, taboos, and scientific confusion, while also exploring the positive role of tampons. As a part of what Jennifer Scanlon defines as ‘the promise of consumer culture’, the invention and mass-production of tampons had been liberating for many users throughout the world, despite issues of price, pain and diseases like TSS.104 In her reshaping of Mother Nature as a goddess and source of power, Burns echoes surgeon Leonard Schlain’s question: ‘what would be the result if women instead embraced the power Mother Nature gave them?’105 Schlain wondered about this as part of his critique of hormone therapy treatments for menopausal women, but the same query manifested itself in Burns when she started inhabiting the character on a regular basis.

Burns work resulted in Mother Nature becoming an unusually detailed female character in a major advertising campaign of the 2000s, in turn making Burns a recognizable and popular figure. Burns used her voice, body, laugh and knowledge to ad lib during the campaign,
creating memorable moments that were not scripted by Leo Burnett or Tampax. Whereas 
models in earlier Tampax campaigns mostly smiled, posed or announced scripted statements, 
Mother Nature seemed like a character from a story, and Burns looked like she was having 
fun.

**Cultural impact**

For P&G, the campaign succeeded in its specific objectives to re-conquer the applicator-
tampon market. The objective of increasing emotional equity with consumers was also 
considered achieved, with Tampax experiencing a 27-point equity surge in a six-month period 
of 2008. Importantly, it also closed the gap with competitor Playtex. Digital media was 
seen as an important part of the success (YouTube and Facebook in particular). In addition to 
reaching the set goalposts for P&G, the creative team at Leo Burnett were also recording a list 
of cultural impact examples.

Meneguzzo points out that she knew that they had succeeded when popular comedy television 
show ‘Saturday Night Live’ enquired whether Mother Nature could give away a competition 
prize, and when people started posting images of their homemade Mother Nature Halloween 
costumes on the campaign’s Facebook page (which currently counts 254,000 followers, 
although the page is no longer active). In 2009, journalist Andrew Adam Newman notably 
reviewed the campaign for *The New York Times*, describing it favourably as one ‘that erases a 
layer of euphemisms’.

The campaign broke category boundaries when it won a prestigious 
advertising Effie award in 2010, marking one of the first – but not last – times that ‘femcare’ 
won. Swanson explained that after this more people wanted to work on menstrual product 
brands at Leo Burnett, thus making Meneguzzo’s dream of ‘making menstruation cool’ a 
reality, at least in the advertising world. It was, as Swanson noted, ‘a big deal’.

Tampax has
remained a sector leader ever since, but has not created any similarly culturally influential campaigns since ‘Mother Nature’ wrapped in 2009.

The end

As Mother Nature gained popularity, the international aspects of creating a large campaign became more challenging behind-the-scenes. As the advertisements were rolled out in North America and Europe, Burns quickly realised that different corporate cultures wanted different things from the character. In the US, she was increasingly asked to play the role as a ‘comedic oddball’. However, the European P&G team felt that the US version was ‘too ditzy’. In the European market, Mother Nature should be a colder and more powerful figure, someone who was judgmental of younger women and punished them with menstruation. The idea of the she-devil was thus more pronounced in the European campaign, and it was ultimately more successful. Then, just as the European version of ‘Mother Nature’ began to dominate, the campaign was suddenly dropped entirely by P&G, resulting in confusion for the creative team and for Burns.

Was the frivolity, loudness and power given to the character of Mother Nature not afforded to the real women involved? Mother Nature shared many characteristics with the people who birthed her: they were middle-aged women at the height of their career who sometimes felt undervalued. They were also White and Western, passionate about supporting women, and increasingly interested in the socio-cultural histories of menstruation. Furthermore, Mother Nature’s humour and inability to be embarrassed about menstruation mirrors the experiences of Swanson and Meneguzzo, who often challenged stereotypes and explained menstrual realities in corporate meetings with P&G.
All the interviewees explained how the cancellation had come as a surprise, because the campaign was so well received and the engagement was increasing. One can only speculate as to the nature of the sudden end to the campaign, but a shift in top-level P&G corporate leadership resulting in a male-dominated corporate environment (yet again) occurred at the same time. According to the interviewees, squeamishness towards and a lack of understanding about menstruation was common amongst the P&G male employees involved. Could it be that the male-dominated P&G team lost interest in the deliberately loud-mouthed, annoying and abrasive ‘Mother Nature’ character? Or did the expensive campaign simply wrap up once Leo Burnett’s work had achieved P&G’s stated aims?

Reflecting on the gendered power dynamics of the campaign, Burns noted that many of the videos featured young women in bikinis, and that all the videos except one was directed by men. She also recalled that ‘more often than not those men paid more attention to the girls in bikinis and looked at me as an afterthought’. By sexualizing the young women, and presenting them as objects for male heterosexual desire by promising to hide their menstruation, the advertisements often began from the perspective of what film historian Laura Mulvey described as ‘the male gaze’, and presents a world in which menstruation should be concealed. This echoes the contemporary popularity of hormonal birth control, which can suppress menstruation, as well as public debates about PMS and women as unreliable hormonal persons. Discussions about menstruation and PMS often circulate around the notion that menstrual and menopausal bodies, which sometimes need rest, are not as productive under capitalism as those that are streamlined by hormonal birth control. There is a meta-echo of the debate about productivity and creativity in what the interviewees explain as the P&G male executives’ decreasing support of the campaign. Branding, after all, is not supposed to eclipse the paternal corporation. Mother Nature had outgrown her place and
become unpredictable, while the Leo Burnett team was playing with humor and descriptive language, increasingly taking more chances. P&G promptly pulled the funding and switched branding strategy.

P&G moved the Tampax campaign to advertising agency Publicis in the early 2010s, and has since produced a series of ‘how-to’ guides for young girls on YouTube, abandoning the focus on adult women altogether (although the later introduction of a Tampax menstrual cup and acquisition of organic cotton brand L. signals expansion). Meanwhile, Meneguzzo and Swanson moved on to lead other major international advertising campaigns. Burns has returned to writing. She continues to reflect on her time as a goddess for P&G, and the many ways in which complicity and agency became part of her performance:

Personally, I switched from tampons to a menstrual cup years before I got the job. It was cheaper and it was better for the environment and I didn’t like the idea of men getting rich off of charging women money every month for products that were necessities. I am pretty sure that if men got their period there would be a more cost-efficient product on the market. When I did occasionally buy Tampax, I bought the regular cardboard applicator kind, not the plastic applicator Tampax Pearl. I hated the plastic applicator ones. I thought they were sadistic. The supposed flower petals opened up into a bouquet of very sharp, pointed shapes ready to cut you inside. I never got cut, but for some reason, the idea that such an intimately placed product could hurt was a science fiction nightmare I could not forget. So I always opted for the plain old cardboard.\textsuperscript{118}
Since the ‘Mother Nature’ campaign ended, reusable and environmentally-friendly menstrual products such as cups and washable pads have become a small but persistent part of the menstrual product market. P&G introduced a Tampax menstrual cup with disposable wipes for the vagina in 2018, and market research is suggesting that traditional corporations need to act fast in order to prevent a mass evacuation from single-use disposable items to reusable products.\textsuperscript{119} In this way, Mother Nature turned out to be in line with what women want, as she and they increasingly turn away from disposable plastic and back to reusable options. As a figure created by women, this should perhaps not come as a surprise.

**Conclusion**

The campaign serves as an example of how gender dynamics remain inherent in marketing campaigns for menstrual products, but that the ways in which and reasons why gender is used is shifting. The clearest example of this was the abandoned ‘Zack’ and ‘Advertise Your Period’ campaigns, which were found too radical for P&G, but exciting and award-winning for the Leo Burnett team. Mother Nature became a campaign that both emphasised and protested against gendered narratives that are common in Western culture. This was not just a creative choice, but also a move to capture new markets. In the late 2000s, P&G was specifically expanding into the post-menopausal female market, and are now heavily marketing urinary pads towards this group. Second, surveys suggest that African-American and Black consumers are more sceptical towards tampons.\textsuperscript{120} Gesturing to menopausal women and African-Americans was useful for the corporation in a time when White young women are increasingly interested in suppressing menstruation through long-acting reversible contraceptives (LARCS). Furthermore, as Francesca Sobande has shown, young African-American and Black women rely heavily on YouTube and social media to find representation, meaning that traditional marketing has followed suit.\textsuperscript{121}
Another key finding from this study relates to the work of women in the ‘femcare’ category. The interviewees all reflected on the stronghold that traditional gender roles still had in the corporate atmosphere where they were pitching ideas. In order to get their ideas heard, the Leo Burnett team had to mould their creativity into P&G’s view of menstruation. As a consequence, radical ideas such as ‘Zack’ fell by the wayside and the campaign became more conservative. At the same time, Swanson, Meneguzzo and Burns expressed feminist beliefs, and provided reasons for working in the ‘femcare’ industry from a social justice perspective.

Serena Williams has also shown strong commitment to the advancement of women’s rights, and continues to work against racism and sexism in tennis and beyond, as shown in her work to highlight breast cancer, protest discrimination against African-American athletes, and in her support of the #MeToo movement. The predominance of women in the ‘femcare’ category (both behind and in front of the camera) provides historians of women’s lives with exciting potential for future research, especially as the menstrual product industry continues to evolve.

In terms of impact on ‘femcare’ branding, the campaign’s success paved the way for more explicitly feminist-branded advertising in the menstrual product sector, notably P&G’s pad-brand Always’ viral and award-winning campaign ‘Like A Girl’ from 2014. This campaign, which sought to rebrand the concept of doing things ‘like a girl’ as positive description, was also created by Leo Burnett. Swanson, who worked on the campaign, recalls how her colleagues in advertising wanted to join the ‘pink ghetto of “femcare”’ after ‘Like A Girl’ became the first menstrual product advertisement to run during the Super Bowl, but she remembers ‘Mother Nature’ as the first critical turning point. Today, most new menstrual product campaigns revolve around the promise of ‘breaking taboos’. For example, pad brand
Bodyform (Essity) was the first to show blood as a red (rather than blue) liquid, and to include boys in educational videos, while menstrual underwear brand THINX included trans men as models, and Kotex has made a viral mini-series about a lesbian vampire. These, and countless more ‘boundary breaking’ menstrual product ads from established and new brands, also follow in ‘Mother Nature’s footsteps by using social media platforms, humour and diverse identities.
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Interview with Burns, 26 December 2017.

Uduslivaia, ‘Sanitary Protection’.


Interview with Swanson, 25 January 2015.
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