

Productive City: Walter Ruttmann's *Düsseldorf: Kleiner Film einer großen Stadt*

In June 1935, planning began in Düsseldorf for what would become the largest exhibition of the Third Reich with some seven million visitors: *Schaffendes Volk. Große Ausstellung Düsseldorf Schlageterstadt 1937* (*Productive Volk: the Great Exhibition of Düsseldorf Schlageterstadt 1937*). Although less well-known today than the *Degenerate Art* exhibition of the same year, *Schaffendes Volk* was undoubtedly the more important event for the Nazi government, intended as it was to showcase the “productivity” of the new regime—in particular the “four-year plan” of industrial investment, public works and rearmament—to the outside world. But *Schaffendes Volk* also offers a good example of urban rebranding after 1933. Düsseldorf was already known as Germany’s premier city for art and exhibitions, where the famous *Gesolei* exhibition—the largest of the Weimar Republic—had taken place in 1927. In many ways, *Schaffendes Volk* drew on the *Gesolei* legacy, but the new exhibition, as the title “Schlageterstadt” suggests, featured an entirely different symbolic geography; rather than occupying the existing exhibition buildings and grounds, it was laid out to the north of the city around the memorial for Leo Albert Schlageter, a member of the German Freikorps executed by occupying French troops in 1923 and subsequently mythologized by the Nazi party as a resistance leader and “the first soldier of the Third Reich.”¹ Erected in 1931 on the site of the execution, the Schlageter memorial had become an integral part of Düsseldorf’s urban identity after the Nazi seizure of power, its massive steel cross featuring prominently in every guidebook to the city. [IMAGE 1] Capitalizing on this iconic status, the organizers of *Schaffendes*

¹ For Schlageter’s importance to the Third Reich and Düsseldorf in particular, see Carolyn Birdsall, *Nazi Soundscapes: Sound, Technology and Urban Space in Germany 1933-1945* (Amsterdam University Press, 2012), 31-52.

Volk transformed the fields around the memorial into a new housing district—the “Schlageter district”—to emphasize the exhibition’s broader role as witness to the ‘rebirth’ of the nation and its industry. [IMAGE 2]

The same year that planning for *Schaffendes Volk* began, the Propaganda Office of the city of Düsseldorf also commissioned another project in which both the Schlageter monument and the brand image of the “productive city” figured centrally: Walter Ruttmann’s *Düsseldorf. Kleiner Film einer großen Stadt* (*Düsseldorf: A Small Film for a Big City*). For any city commissioning a filmic portrait, Ruttmann (who himself had created the film advertisement for the Gesolei exhibition in 1927) was an obvious choice. Not only had he pioneered the city symphony form with his *Berlin. Die Sinfonie der Großstadt* of 1927. By 1935, he had also become a go-to expert for short form promotional films. Ruttmann had already made two such films for the newly founded Office of the Reich Peasant Leader, *Blut und Boden* (*Blood and Soil*, 1933) and *Altgermanische Bauernkultur* (*Ancient German Peasant Culture*, 1934), as well as one film for the German Council on Steel Usage, *Metall des Himmels* (*Metal from the Sky*, 1934-5). In 1935, after taking up a full-time position in the advertising department of the UFA, he then embarked on a series of films on the subject he was best known for, creating city portraits of Düsseldorf (1935), Stuttgart (1935) and later Hamburg (1938).

Commissioned directly by urban PR departments and produced under the aegis of Germany’s largest film company, these miniature city films have a decidedly different feel from Ruttmann’s Weimar work. In *Berlin*, Ruttmann had sought to convey the experience of the modern industrial city as such, depicting it as a “complex machine,” whose daily cycles of work and leisure served to manage the sheer excess of bodies,

traffic and information circulating within it.² To this end, he also avoided focusing on famous monuments, depicting Berlin rather as a collection of “any-spaces-whatever”—of streets, canals, offices, factory floors, restaurants, theaters, cinemas, sports arenas, etc.³ By contrast, Ruttmann’s later city portraits were explicit exercises in branding, which sought to demonstrate the city’s role in the national “reawakening” after 1933. The Stuttgart film, for example, showcased the city’s traditional tourist destinations, while also foregrounding modern building projects and above all Stuttgart’s new role as the “City of Germans Abroad” and home of the Deutsches Auslands-Institut, which had become the headquarters for efforts to propagate National Socialist ideology to Germans living abroad.⁴

Düsseldorf undertakes an analogous branding operation. Far from the nameless spaces of labor and leisure foregrounded in *Berlin*, the film highlights one highly symbolic place after another. From the opening titles displayed over the famous Jan Wellem monument, Ruttmann then takes spectators on a virtual tour of signature sites and architecture: the ruins of Barbarossa’s imperial palace in the Kaiserswerth district; the houses of the Altstadt; the Rheinhalle and planetarium (originally constructed for the Gesolei); the Königsallee with its shops and terrace cafes; the Imperial Gardens (Hofgarten) with its famous sculptures and fountains (e.g. the Märchenbrunnen and the “Grüner Junge”); at the same time, the film highlights—in a manner reminiscent of

² See Walter Ruttmann, “How I Made My Berlin Film” (1927), trans. Michael Cowan, in: *The Promise of Cinema: German Film Theory 1907-1933* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2016), 463-464 (here 464).

³ Gilles Deleuze adapted the term “any-space-whatever” from Pascal Augé to describe the deterritorialized spaces of a post-WWII cinema, in which perception became uncoupled from action. Here, I use the term in a sense closer to that of Augé to describe how Ruttmann’s film captures the anonymous, transitory spaces created by modern urban planning, in which individuals are depersonalized.

⁴ For more on the Stuttgart film, see Michael Cowan, *Walter Ruttmann and the Cinema of Multiplicity* (Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press, 2014), 135-136.

Metall des Himmels—Düsseldorf’s “productivity” through a focus on key industrial buildings such as the offices of the Henkel conglomerate, the Stahlhof (home of the Association of Steel Works), and the headquarters of Mannesmann steel production (designed by Peter Behrens). This is, indeed, the *same* combination of places highlighted in contemporary guidebooks, and *Düsseldorf* is, by any measure, a tourist film, modeled broadly on contemporary guidebooks, which themselves sought to rebrand Düsseldorf from “art and garden city” to a center of steel production.⁵ [IMAGES 3,4,5,6]

This focus on symbolic places finds an echo in the film’s symbolic temporality. Whereas *Berlin* condensed its action into a single random day—an “any-day-whatever”—to convey the typical functioning of the urban apparatus, *Düsseldorf*, as Carolyn Birdsall has pointed out, follows a ritual timeframe by highlighting urban festivals and rituals over the space of a single year, from the opening shots of January Carnival celebrations to the closing sequence featuring the traditional St Martin’s festivities in November (where children’s choirs descend into the streets). Between the two, the film features a lengthy sequence of the annual July fair organized by the St. Sebastianus Schützenverein, which was celebrating its 500th anniversary during the filming of *Düsseldorf* in 1935. Here, too, one can find direct equivalents in the guidebooks.⁶

⁵ On *Düsseldorf* as a tourist film, see also Birdsall, *Nazi Soundscapes*, 154.

⁶ *Düsseldorf und seine nähere Umgebung* (1937) includes a text by Hans Müller-Schlösser explaining the various Volksfeste of the city, which covers precisely the same festivals featured in Ruttmann’s film: Carnival, the Schützenfest and the Kinderfest (St Martins Day). Müller-Schlösser admits begrudgingly that Carnival might have been influenced by France, but insists on the Germanic origins of the Schützenfest and St Martins Day. See Hans Müller-Schlösser, “Wie Düsseldorf Volksfeste feiert,” *Düsseldorf und seine nähere Umgebung* (Düsseldorf: Droste, 1937), 178-184. See also *Düsseldorf. Kunst- und Gartenstadt am Rhein im Jahre der großen Reichsausstellung „Schaffendes Volk“ Düsseldorf 1937* (Düsseldorf: Landesfremdenverkehrsverband Rheinland e.V., 1937), 7.

Within this parade of privileged times and places, *Düsseldorf* carefully avoids any overt references to National Socialist party politics.⁷ Nonetheless, the film is at pains to represent a new city fit for new times. Many of the sites foregrounded in the film were explicit “achievements” of the Nazi government, including the newly rebuilt railway station (1932-1936) and the extension of the silos at the Plange mill (1934). At the same time, the film strives to imbue Düsseldorf with a sense of history, giving particular attention to the all-important Schlageter monument. Just after the opening shots, featuring a parade of carnival masks, the film cuts to a different kind of mask: a series of death masks—followed by gravestones—of significant artists and intellectuals: the authors Karl Immermann and Christian Dietrich Grabbe, the painters Peter von Cornelius and Alfred Rethel, and the composer Robert Schumann (notably absent is the city’s most celebrated poet Heinrich Heine). This parade of founding figures then culminates in a sweeping camera movement, which pans through the sky to land on the 88-foot cross of the Schlageter memory site. As one review published just after the film’s premiere on 15 November 1935 described it, the sequence served to link two types of “heroes”: “This soaring upward movement of the camera is like a soaring up the spirit—a symbolic image, whose wondrous arc binds together the heroes of the spirit and the fighter for the new times.”⁸ The reviewer’s language here recalls, once again, contemporary guidebooks, which touted the monument as the site where “the German hero revolted and German spirit raised itself up.”⁹ Forming the culmination of an illustrious line of

⁷ The censors even removed one image of the Swastika and the words NSDAP from a montage of light advertisements (though the name of a local Nazi paper, *Die braune Post. NS-Sonntags-Zeitung*, is still visible among the titles shown in the sequence). See *Walter Ruttmann. Eine Dokumentation*, ed. Jeanpaul Goergen (Berlin: Deutsche Kinemathek, 1989), 144.

⁸ Cited in Goergen, *Walter Ruttmann*, 144.

⁹ *Düsseldorf und seine nähere Umgebung*, 57.

forefathers, the Schlageter monument thus serves in Ruttmann's film to inscribe the list of "Dichter und Denker" into a narrative of national sacrifice and national "reawakening."¹⁰

Indeed, the "soaring" camera movement of the Schlageter sequence can be understood in analogy to other Nazi ritual performances of that same narrative and national resurrection. In Leni Riefenstahl's *Triumph of the Will* (on which Ruttmann himself had worked), one such performance is on view in a scene where the names of WWI battles are called out as the Nazi flags are gradually lowered to the ground, only to be lifted up toward the sky as the speakers of the workers' brigades explain that the martyrs of Nazism's prehistory are not dead: "You are not dead. You live in Germany." In the Schlageter sequence, Ruttmann's camera movement performs a similar gesture. As the names of illustrious ancestors appear one by one along with their death masks, the camera leads the gaze of spectators downward toward the gravestones and eventually to the earth (quite literally in a tilt downward from the Immermann gravestone). As the music grows more solemn, the camera then abruptly turns upward to the sky and—through a conspicuous dissolve of clouds—lands on a low-angle shot of the Schlageter cross. [IMAGES 7-11] Not unlike other Nazi commemoration ceremonies, Ruttmann's camera here performs a "resurrection" of fallen heroes, whose sacrifice is redeemed through a rebirth of the German nation.

The "wondrous arc" of the Schlageter sequence in fact forms part of a broader pattern of camera movement in *Düsseldorf*. Unlike the mostly stationary shots of *Berlin*, the camera in *Düsseldorf* is in constant motion. On one level, as Lutz Philipp Günther

¹⁰ In Hans Johst's drama *Schlageter* (1930), which was dedicated to Hitler and shown in more than 1000 German cities, the execution of the closing scene is accompanied by the words "Deutschland erwache!"

suggests, such pervasive camera movement forms part of the film's tourist mission, taking viewers on "phantom rides" through the city streets.¹¹ Indeed, the film is full of the kinds of pans, tilts and travelling shots that imitate the gaze of a tourist surveying the city, its monuments, vistas, buildings and skylines. In many cases, the film even mimics verbatim the suggestions of guidebooks on how to experience the city visually; for example, one sequence in which a street-level shot of the Wilhelm-Marx-Haus ("Düsseldorf's trademark" according to contemporary guidebooks) is followed by a panorama of the urban vista from the platform atop the same building reproduces the instructions of contemporary guides to take the elevator to the top of the building for the best "long view" (Fernsicht) of the city [IMAGES 12-15].¹²

Within this system of camera movement, Ruttmann's film places a key emphasis on verticality, as the camera tilts up and down to scan the facades of the city's buildings. But as much as these shots function to imitate a tourist gaze, they also take part—not unlike the low-angle shots in *Triumph of the Will*—in a reverential observation of the newly awakened nation, and in particular of the German steel industry, whose central companies the film carefully identifies for spectators.¹³ Many of the companies featured here had already figured in *Metall des Himmels*, whose molten steel imagery Ruttmann also repeats in *Düsseldorf* in a lengthy sequence of steel production, and both Henkel and Mannesmann would go on to form subjects of independent promotional films by

¹¹ Lutz Philipp Günther, *Die Bildhafte Repräsentation deutscher Städte. Von den Chroniken der frühen Neuzeit zu den Websites der Gegenwart* (Cologne: Böhlau, 2009) 251.

¹² See *Düsseldorf und Umgebung. Grieben Reiseführer* (Berlin: Grieben, 1935), 36; *Düsseldorf und seine nähere Umgebung*, 46.

¹³ On low angle shots of industrial buildings in the film, the review of 1935 had this to say: "[D]ie Bilder [sehen] die Bauten in monumentaler Schrägstellung. Das ist keine ästhetische Verspieltheit, das ist der geistesverwandte Bildausdruck für die Kraft der Stadt." Cited in Goergen, *Walter Ruttmann*, 144.

Ruttmann.¹⁴ All were key players in a central National Socialist narrative of “awakening” through reindustrialization and rearmament after the years of occupation and reparations.

In this sense, *Düsseldorf* illustrates well how the formal means of experimental filmmaking could be applied to ideological ends after 1933. Indeed, such formal features included not only camera movement, but also montage, in particular Ruttmann’s signature use of visual and thematic parallels. In *Berlin*, the pervasive parallels between people, machines and animals served to generate an effect of statistical “regularity,” showing what the city’s various actants typically do at given moments in the course of a day.¹⁵ After 1933, and in particular beginning with *Metall des Himmels*, a distinct change in Ruttmann’s editing patterns becomes visible, where such parallels no longer serve to convey regularities but rather a sense of historical continuity, whereby industrial production appears as the culmination of a long history of Germanic “productivity.”¹⁶

Düsseldorf offers a good example of this montage of continuity. Just after the Schlageter sequence, the film cuts to a field of tulips, followed by a landscape filmed through the window of a moving train, soon revealed as a train travelling to Düsseldorf from the surrounding countryside. In contrast to the famous of *Berlin*, the smooth train-ride of *Düsseldorf* positions the city not as the embodiment of a technological modernity that “interrupts” nature, but as a city firmly “rooted” in the landscape. Shots of the tulips give way to trees gliding past the windows, then to the water of the Rhine flowing

¹⁴ For an extended analysis of Ruttmann’s steel films, see Cowan, *Walter Ruttmann and the Cinema of Multiplicity*, 133-173.

¹⁵ As I’ve argued elsewhere, this “regularity also served to mitigate the contingency of the 1000s of photographic representations used in *Berlin*. Cowan, *Walter Ruttmann and the Cinema of Multiplicity*, 75-82.

¹⁶ See Cowan, *Walter Ruttmann and the Cinema of Multiplicity*, 149-153.

elegantly, and finally to the agricultural industry along the river banks, before turning to frolicking bathers, water-skiers, and sailboats on the river.

In a preliminary written sketch for the film, Ruttmann described his intention to show the city “embedded” in the surrounding landscape,¹⁷ and many of his visual parallels perform a similar function of “embedding” the industrial city in a deep tradition. Typical, in this respect, is sequence in which Ruttmann cuts from the neoclassical Doric columns of the Ratinger Gate in the old city to the newly completed silos of the Plange Mill. [IMAGES 16-17] The graphic match effects a juxtaposition of visual forms familiar from Ruttmann’s earlier work, but it also takes on a new ideological function of embedding industrial buildings within a national architectural tradition. Such uses of experimental film language were, in fact, a frequent trope of non-fiction filmmaking under Nazism. Guido Seeber featured similar parallels in his film *Ewiger Wald* (1936) to compare the rows of trees in the “eternal forest” to lines of Prussian soldiers, and Ruttmann himself would feature a similar use of montage in his *Mannesmann* film (1937), where the steel pipes of the Mannesmann factory dissolve into the trees of the forest around Remscheid where the factory was founded. Such parallels worked to convey a semiotics of “rootedness,” where industry appears as the outgrowth (rather than the interruption) of artisanal labor, where modern architecture builds upon (rather than supplanting) classical traditions, and where the city itself appears embedded in both history and the landscape. In this sense, Ruttmann’s montage in *Düsseldorf* strives to realize the project he laid out in an interview from 1935: “I would be happy if this idea [...] could provide me with the opportunity to create the epos of a German landscape,

¹⁷ “Aus dem Entwurf,” in Goergen, *Walter Ruttmann*, 144.

which would lead organically from the Stone Age through all of the nation's historical struggles to the joy of Germany's reawakening."¹⁸

The silo montage prefigures a more extended rhetorical parallel towards the end of the film. Just after a sequence showing the Imperial Gardens and their famous sculptures, the film takes viewers into a montage of artists at work. Reminiscent of the *Schaffende Hände* (*Productive Hands*) series of artist portraits created in the 1920s by Hans Cürdis, the sequence shows a series of sculptors, wood-cutters, metal workers, architects and painters at work—all meant to represent Düsseldorf's strong artistic and artisanal tradition. [IMAGE 18] As the camera then tracks forward towards a painting of an urban industrial scene, Ruttmann cuts abruptly to a shot of a factory with smoke billowing from the chimney. [IMAGES 19-21] On one level, this visual juxtaposition simply emphasizes film's ability to bring still paintings to life. But like the cut from the Doric columns to the modern silos, it also establishes a semiotic "arc" leading from the "productive hands" of artisans to the industrial productivity of Düsseldorf's steel factories, from the chiseling of wood, metal and plaster to the forming of steel parts by giant factory machines. From the outdoor shot of the billowing smoke, the film then proceeds into the factory interiors: the packaging plant of Henkel, the pipe production of Mannesmann, and the myriad images of machines parts and molten metal that formed a recurrent motif in Ruttmann's work from *Acciaio* (1933) to *Metall des Himmels* (1935) to *Mannesmann* (1937) to *Deutsche Panzer* (1941). [IMAGE 22] The result of this transition from "productive hands" to "productive machines" is a rhetorical depiction of industrial Düsseldorf not as a break with the past, but as a "productive city," whose

¹⁸ "Ruttmann plaudert," unidentified newspaper clipping, reprinted in Goergen, *Walter Ruttmann. Eine Dokumentation*, 92.

traditions of artisanal labor flow “organically” into the modern production of steel factories. As the review of 1935 put it: “The sculptor’s cautious chisel work and the infernal pounding of the steel hammers—both activities are nothing other than witnesses of the same productive spirit (schaffenden Geistes) in the same city.”¹⁹

This celebration of “productivity” as the thread of historical continuity forms one of the central rhetorical arguments of Ruttmann’s post-1933 city portraits. In this sense, the lines spoken by a character in Ruttmann’s Stuttgart film could easily have served as the motto for *Düsseldorf*: “Motivation, proficiency and a sense of quality work [...] this has been our way down to the present day in manual labor as in industry, and this is why we have continued to improve steadily despite all the crises.”²⁰ Like *Stuttgart*, and like *Schaffendes Volk*, *Düsseldorf* sought to convey this sense of national continuity through its many juxtapositions of hands and machines, countryside and city, ancient and modern architecture.

Unlike *Berlin*, which showed a city without history, *Düsseldorf* shows us a city shot through with places and traces of national memory: with the ruins of Barbarossa’s palace, the 500 years of the Schützenverband or the graves of the city’s many “heroes.” But the film also portrays these past figures as precursors and agents of a “sacrifice,” who led the way toward to the heroic productivity of the newly industrialized city. In this sense, *Düsseldorf* takes part in a particular National Socialist narrative of urban “reawakening,” which would find another expression two years later in the exhibition *Schaffendes Volk*.

¹⁹ Cited in Goergen, *Walter Ruttmann*, 144.

²⁰ *Stuttgart, die Großstadt zwischen Wald und Reben*, censor card no. 40866, dated 4 December 1935, Bundesarchiv-Filmarchiv, Berlin, 2.

