

1 **How to fit the distribution of apex scavengers into land-**
2 **abandonment scenarios? The Cinereous vulture in the**
3 **Mediterranean biome**

4 Isabel García-Barón¹, Ainara Cortés-Avizanda^{1, 2, 3*}, Peter H. Verburg^{4, 5}, Tiago A. Marques^{6, 7}, Rubén
5 Moreno-Opo⁸, Henrique M. Pereira^{3, 9, 10} and José A. Donazar¹

6 ¹*Department of Conservation Biology, Estación Biológica de Doñana (CSIC). C/Américo Vespucio 26, 41092, Sevilla, Spain.*

7 ²*Animal Ecology and Demography Group, IMEDEA (CSIC-UIB), Miguel Marques 21, 07190 Esporles, Spain*

8 ³*REFER Biodiversity Chair. InBio. Campus Agrário de Vairão. Rua Padre Armando Quintas, nº 7, 4485-661, Vairão,*
9 *Portugal.*

10 ⁴*Environmental Geography Group, Department of Earth Sciences, VU University Amsterdam, de Boelelaan 1087, 1081, HV*
11 *Amsterdam, The Netherlands.*

12 ⁵*Swiss Federal Research Institute WSL, Zürcherstrasse 111, CH-8903 Birmensdorf, Switzerland.*

13 ⁶*Research Unit for Wildlife Population Assessment, Centre for Research into Ecological and Environmental Modeling,*
14 *University of St Andrews, The Observatory, Buchanan Gardens, St Andrews, Scotland.*

15 ⁷*Centro de Estatística e Aplicações, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal.*

16 ⁸*Evolution and Conservation Biology Research Group, University Complutense of Madrid, 28049, Madrid, Spain.*

17 ⁹*CEABN/InBio, Centro de Ecologia Aplicada "Professor Baeta Neves", Instituto Superior de Agronomia, Universidade de*
18 *Lisboa, Tapada da Ajuda, 1349-017, Lisboa, Portugal.*

19 ¹⁰*German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Deutscher Platz 5e, 04103 Leipzig,*
20 *Germany.*

21
22 * **Corresponding author:** Ainara Cortés-Avizanda, Animal Ecology and Demography Group, IMEDEA (CSIC-UIB),
23 Miguel Marques 21, 07190 Esporles, Spain Email: ainara@ebd.csic.es (orcid.org/0000-0002-9674-6434)

24

25

26

27

28 **Short title:** Vultures and future land-abandonment scenarios

29

30 **Number of words in the Abstract:** 292

31 **Number of words in main body:** 4682

32 **Number of references:** 96

33 **ABSTRACT**

34 **Aim:** Farmland abandonment or “ecological rewilding” shapes species distribution and ecological
35 process ultimately affecting the biodiversity and functionality of ecosystems. Land abandonment
36 predictions based on alternative future socio-economic scenarios allow foretell the future of biota in
37 Europe. From here, we predict how these forecasts may affect large-scale distribution of the Cinereous
38 vulture (*Aegypius monachus*), an apex scavenger closely linked to Mediterranean agro-grazing
39 systems.

40 **Location:** Iberian Peninsula

41 **Methods:** Firstly, we modeled nest-site and foraging habitat selection in relation to variables
42 quantifying physiography, trophic resources and human disturbance. Secondly, we evaluate what
43 extent land abandonment may affect the life traits of the species and finally, we determined how
44 potential future distribution of the species would vary according to asymmetric socio-economic land-
45 abandonment predictions for year 2040.

46 **Results:** Cinereous vultures selected breeding areas with steep slopes and low human presence
47 whereas foraging areas characterized by high abundance of European rabbits (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*)
48 and wild ungulates. Liberalization of the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) could potentially
49 transform positively 66% of the current nesting habitat, favoring the recovery of mature forest.
50 Contrarily, land abandonment would negatively affect the 63% of the current foraging habitat reducing
51 the availability of preferred food resources (wild European rabbit). On the other hand, the maintenance
52 of the CAP would determine lower frequencies (24-22%) of nesting and foraging habitat change.

53 **Main conclusions:** Land-abandonment may result into opposite effects on the focal species because of
54 the increase of nesting habitats and wild ungulates populations and, on the other hand, lower
55 availability of open areas with poorer densities of European rabbits. Land-abandonment models
56 scenarios are still coarse-grained; the apparition of new human uses in natural areas, may take place at
57 small and medium-sized scales, ultimately adding complexity to the prediction on the future of biota
58 and ecosystems.

59

60 **Keywords:** *Aegypius monachus*, socio-economies, European Union, farmland, land abandonment,
61 ecological rewilding.

62 (A) INTRODUCTION

63 Human activities have historically lead to wide-ranging impacts on ecosystems functioning, on the
64 services provided and ultimately on biodiversity but this trend is currently accelerating so
65 understanding their consequences on wildlife viability is nowadays a key challenge in environmental
66 sciences (Turner et al., 2007). European landscapes have supported historically larger numbers of
67 human population and associated farming land exploitations which provoked wild large body-sized
68 mammals and some bird species practically disappeared in most of the regions of the continent
69 (Gaston & Blackburn, 1995; Cardillo et al., 2005). After mid XXth century, however, the
70 modernization of the agriculture lead to severe ecological and socioeconomic changes occurred in the
71 European rural areas (Stanners & Bourdeau, 1995; Rounsevell et al., 2005) encompassing a sharp
72 depopulation and land abandonment in some regions, and urbanization and agricultural intensification
73 in others (Westhoek et al., 2006).

74 The abandonment of the European farmland and pasture landscapes (-15% between 1970-2010,
75 PBL, 2012, coined as ecological rewilding see Pereira and Navarro 2015) has favored the natural
76 succession of vegetation towards scrubland and forests (Conti & Fagarazzi, 2005). This process of
77 return to more natural states opens the opportunity for a new conservation strategy called “ecological
78 rewilding” defined as the management the first stages of ecological succession favoring the restoration
79 of natural ecosystem processes and reducing human control of landscapes (Gillson et al., 2011). The
80 management of these abandoned areas has become a challenge for conservationists (Pereira &
81 Navarro, 2015) being a prevailing issue in recent policy management discussions (MacDonald et al.,
82 2000 see below). Several studies have attempted to model the impacts of certain policies (e.g.
83 subsidies, laws on land uses, trade policies) on the evolution of land-use systems (Verburg et al., 2013;
84 Lotze-Campen et al., 2014). Based on different socioeconomic scenarios, these models provide future
85 projections of the spatial dynamics of land-use changes, which can be useful to understand ecosystem
86 consequences of land abandonment (Verburg and Overmars 2009, Pereira et al. 2010, Stürck et al.
87 2015).

88 Land abandonment processes may have positive effects on ecosystem structure and functioning
89 such as the stabilization of soils (Tasser et al., 2003), carbon sequestration (Houghton et al., 1999) and
90 the temporary increase of the biodiversity (Laiolo et al., 2004). Conversely, they may lead to
91 undesirable loss of the landscape identity, including the irreversible loss of traditional farming forms
92 (Antrop, 2005; Blondel et al., 2010). Regarding biodiversity, the consequences of these land
93 abandonment processes in Europe are controversial. Some studies have stated that land abandonment
94 processes could reduce the human presence thus increasing the availability of suitable habitat for those
95 species having been historically persecuted (Enserik & Vogel, 2006) but may have negative
96 consequences on species of interest in conservation and very dependent of traditional agro-grazing
97 practices (Fuller, 1987; Labaune & Magnin, 2002; Overmars et al., 2014). Within this context, it is of
98 prime interest to predict the consequences of these processes according with different future socio-
99 economic scenarios and their consequences on populations viability and ecosystem functioning.

100 We examine here how the spatial distribution of apex scavenger may be affected by different
101 socio-economic scenarios including macro-economic projections at global scale and land use models
102 that translate these changes into spatial patterns of land abandonment at the European scale (Stürck et
103 al., 2015). Vultures have a millenary link with human agro-grazing systems (Donázar et al., 1997;
104 Moreno-Opo et al., 2010) with well recognized roles as providers of regulatory and cultural ecosystem
105 services (Haines-Young & Potschin, 2013; Maes et al., 2013; Cortés-Avizanda et al., 2015; DeVault et
106 al., 2015). We took the Cinereous vulture (*Aegypius monachus*) as our study model because this
107 species is known to be closely linked to the traditional agro-grazing systems in Mediterranean
108 landscapes. Specifically, we aim: i) to model the Cinereous vulture's nest-site and foraging habitat
109 selection. ii) On the basis of these mentioned models, to predict the potential habitat available for the
110 species in peninsular Spain. Finally, iii) due to the land abandonment patterns are governed by socio-
111 economic scenarios, we examined how future projections of abandonment in Europe could shape the
112 persistence and expansion of the species. We focused on how the land-abandonment projections for
113 2040 may affect the availability of nesting and foraging suitable areas and the potential future
114 expansion of this species.

115

116 **(A) METHODS**117 **(B) Focal Species and Study Area**

118 The Cinereous vulture is the largest bird of prey living in the Palearctic (up to 12 kg) (Cramp &
119 Simmons, 1980; del Hoyo et al., 1994). The Eurasian population is estimated on 7,200-10,000 pairs
120 with around 2,068 breeding pairs in Spain (Moreno-Opo & Margalida 2013), thus becoming the most
121 important area for the species in Europe (BirdLife International, 2015). Because of the long-term
122 population decline suffered across the entire distribution range, the species is globally-listed as “near
123 threatened” (Birdlife International, 2015). The species breeds in loose colonies reaching up to
124 hundreds of pairs (45-312) with nests separated by distances from a few meters to several kilometres
125 apart. It usually nests on the top of large trees (Cramp & Simmons, 1980; Moreno-Opo et al., 2007;
126 Dobado & Arenas, 2012) avoiding areas with high human disturbance (Donázar et al., 1993;
127 Poirazidis et al., 2004; Morán-López et al., 2006; Fernández-Bellón et al., 2015). The Cinereous
128 vulture feeds on small and medium-sized carcasses being the European rabbits (*Oryctolagus*
129 *cuniculus*) the most important item (3-60% of diet) in Mediterranean regions (Hiraldo, 1977;
130 Corbacho et al., 2007). The species forages preferentially in open areas (Donázar et al., 1993; Carrete
131 & Donázar, 2005; Moreno-Opo & Guil, 2007) mainly during the breeding season and independently
132 of the distance to the breeding colony (Carrete & Donázar, 2005).

133 Our study was conducted in the peninsular Spain (492.173 km² of total surface, INE, 2006) where
134 the complex orography and geographical characteristics determine that temperatures decrease
135 northwards and precipitation southeastward (Tullot, 2000). Accordingly, vegetation communities
136 reflect this climate range. Thus, Atlantic vegetation occupies the north and northwest and
137 Mediterranean biomes (woodland and scrubland) most of the center and south of the peninsula. Where
138 traditional agro-grazing systems dominate, the Mediterranean biome has been transformed into open
139 habitats with scarce trees also called “*Dehesas* or *Montado*” (Peinado & Rivas-Martínez, 1987)
140 occupying almost 5,000,000 ha in southern and south-western Iberia (Joffre & Rambal, 1993).

141 **(B) Analytical procedures**142 *(C) Modelling current nest-site and foraging habitat selection*

143 We modelled the Cinereous vulture breeding sites based on the Spanish Breeding Bird Atlas (Fig.
144 1, a) (Martí & Moral, 2003). One hundred fifty-six cells of 5571 UTM of 10 x 10 km presented at least
145 one breeding pair of Cinereous vulture. We removed cells with area < 100 km² from the analysis,
146 keeping 4,547 cells of UTM 10 x 10 km, 144 of which (3.2%) held breeding vultures. The potential
147 foraging areas were estimated according to radio-tracking studies (Carrete & Donazar, 2005, Moreno-
148 Opo et al., 2010). Consequently, for each of the 144 occupied squares, an area of 30 km diameter was
149 established covering 2,500 km² (we created a buffer of 25 cells of 10x10 km, with the center cell being
150 the one used by vultures for breeding, Fig. 1, b).

151 Based on previous studies (Donázar et al., 2002; Carrete & Donázar, 2005; Costillo, 2005; Morán-
152 López et al., 2006), we chose a primary set of 30 explanatory variables to characterize nest-sites and
153 foraging habitats. For modelling the nesting sites, these variables were grouped into two categories: (a)
154 environmental: describing physiography, climate and vegetation and (b) human-disturbance:
155 describing presence of human settlements and infrastructures. For modelling the foraging habitat the
156 variables were grouped in the same two above-mentioned categories (a, and b), joined by another
157 category, (c) describing trophic resource availability (European rabbit, wild ungulates and livestock).
158 To avoid co-linearity and the non-independence of the variables selected, we calculated the Spearman
159 correlation coefficients for all the potential pairs of variables; those exceeding $|r| > 0.7$ were
160 considered redundant and then the least biologically meaningful variable was consequently excluded
161 from further analyses (Dormann et al., 2013). After this procedure, 8 and 12 explanatory variables
162 were finally chosen respectively for nest-site and foraging habitat selection analyses (see Table 1).

163 We used Generalized Linear Models (GLMs) to evaluate the presence/absence of Cinereous
164 vulture (1/0; binomial response with a logit function). The data set was dominated by absences (144
165 and 742 presences, 4403 and 3805 absences for nesting and foraging respectively). Thus, to balance
166 the number of presences and absences, 1000 independent samples of 144 and 742 absences were

167 selected for nesting and foraging respectively, and the model fit for each sample. Models were fitted
168 using backward and forward stepwise procedures, using Akaike's information criterion (AIC) to select
169 the best model of each trial. Models were built within the R environment (version 3.1.1, R Core Team
170 2014) using the function `glm` in the 'stats' package.

171 According with Legendre & Legendre (1998), prior to analysis, slope and elevation were centred
172 on their respective means to reduce co-linearity with higher order terms, and standardized to unit
173 variance. We also performed preliminary univariate analyses to examine the existence of potential
174 nonlinear responses; then if required, we added quadratic terms into the models (Donazar et al., 1993).

175 To assess the models' performance, we used Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) analyses
176 (Hirzel et al., 2006) to evaluate the sensitivity (true positive rate) and specificity (true negative rate)
177 for the all dataset. Each point on the ROC curve represents the trade-off between making a true
178 positive prediction versus a false positive prediction with increasing prediction threshold. The result
179 produces an area under the curve (AUC) that measures how well the model predicts point occurrences.
180 The theoretically perfect result is $AUC = 1.0$, whereas a test performing no better than random yields
181 $AUC = 0.5$ (Pearce & Ferrier, 2000; Fawcett, 2006). All the analyses were performed with R 3.1.1 (R
182 Core Team 2014) in the R package `ROCR` (Sing et al., 2005) that calculates the ROC curves, the AUC
183 and the threshold values.

184 Finally, the breeding-site and foraging habitat suitability maps were created as the average
185 probability of presence obtained from the 1000 nesting and foraging habitat models. Consequently, the
186 map categorized all the UTM 10x10 km cells of the peninsular Spain with a range of values from 0 to
187 1 (i.e. 0 for not suitable habitat and 1 for perfect suitable habitat). The threshold value above which
188 each cell was characterized as suitable was estimated as the average of the 1000 cut-off values for
189 each cross-validation replicate and type of habitat. The cut-off value corresponded to the point on the
190 ROC curve where specificity and sensitivity were maximised (i.e. where the total amount of
191 misclassification is minimised). Thus, the cells characterized as suitable for nest-site habitat were
192 those that had values higher than 0.51 and for foraging habitat those that had values higher than 0.49.

193 (C) *Modelling future nest-site and foraging habitat selection*

194 We built land-abandonment maps from simulations for a set of socio-economic scenarios.
195 They accounted for changes in a broad range of topics like human population growth, , international
196 trade policies, endogenous bioenergy demand, land-use regulation and subsidies, forest protection and
197 uptake of agro-environmental schemes, nature conservation policies, forest management, long-term
198 climate change mitigation and climate impacts (Stürck et al., 2015). These scenarios (Libertarian
199 Europe, Eurosceptic Europe, Social Democracy Europe and European Localism, hereinafter referred
200 to as Libertarian, Eurosceptic, Social Democracy and Localism respectively. Table S1) were
201 developed within the VOLANTE project (Visions of Land Use Transitions in Europe, Lotze-Campen
202 et al., 2014). They were simulated by a series of models that include macro-economic projections at
203 the global scale and land-use models that translate macro-level changes into spatial patterns of land
204 abandonment at the European scale (Stürck et al., 2015), resulting in land change maps at a resolution
205 of 1 km² (Fig. 2).

206 Impacts of land abandonment may be predicted based on the well-known life history traits of the
207 species and results of the above-mentioned analyses. Thus, nesting habitat is limited by the existence
208 of buildings or forest areas, which in turn will be affected by land abandonment. Thus, the drawdown
209 of farmlands uses as livelihood by the migration of the countryside population to cities along with re-
210 growth of forest and scrublands would convert currently unoccupied areas into new suitable breeding
211 grounds. Foraging habitat is, on its part, mostly limited by the availability of wild prey (ungulates and
212 wild rabbits). Presumably, land abandonment and the ecological succession resulting there from (i.e.
213 pasture to scrubland or scrubland to forest) would reduce the abundance of the European wild rabbit
214 due to the disappearance of mosaics of pastures, crops and scrubs which provide high-quality
215 resources and refuge against predators (Cortés-Avizanda et al., 2015 and references therein). On this
216 basis, we focused on the evaluation of how the land abandonment processes can change the habitats of
217 the Cinereous vultures. We first deal with a descriptive approach analysing in what extent land
218 abandonment has positive or negative effects on the current nesting and foraging habitats. We
219 specifically overlap the land-use scenarios maps for 2040 (with cells characterized as either positive or

220 negative probability of land abandonment) with the suitability maps for nest-site and foraging habitat
221 (cut-points respectively 0.51 and 0.49). In addition, we performed two GLM analyses (Binomial errors
222 and Logit link functions) examining how the probability of occupation by nesting and foraging
223 Cinereous vultures was related to the land abandonment predicted by each land-use scenario in each
224 10x10 km square. Thus, the response variable (probability of use) was confronted with a factor
225 variable with eight levels. Post-hoc Tukey tests were then applied.

226

227 (A) RESULTS

228 Nest-site models showed that the presence of Cinereous vulture was related positively with terrain
229 slope and negatively with variables describing humanization: buildings and roads (see Table 2).
230 Accordingly, the species selected cells with intermediate rough lower or mean values of slope and
231 where the “use buildings” (i.e. industrial, religious/cultural and residential) and the roads were scarce.
232 Besides, the probability of presence of breeding vultures was positively associated to higher average
233 of temperatures and average of precipitation (Table 2). Almost no relationship was found between the
234 presence of breeding vultures and the amount of forest or reforestation coverage. Regarding foraging
235 models, the presence of species was a positively associated with terrain slope, scrubland and open
236 areas called "*dehesa / montado*" (see details Table 3). Contrary to nesting habitat selection models, the
237 precipitation was not included in the models. The average deviance explained by the nest-site models
238 was $37.4\pm 4.3\%$ (Table 2) whereas for foraging habitat models was $47.2\pm 1.6\%$ (Table 3). The
239 respective corresponding average AUC values were 0.88 ± 0.02 and 0.92 ± 0.005 indicating a good and
240 excellent classification performed respectively (Supplementary Material, Fig. S2).

241 Suitability maps for nesting and foraging habitats (Fig. 1c and d) identified respectively 1210 and
242 1328 cells having >0.51 and >0.49 probability of presence of Cinereous vultures (27% and 23% of the
243 peninsular Spain). These suitable areas are concentrated in the center and southern half of Iberia.

244 For both, current and suitable distributions, modeling predicted higher percentage of cells subject
245 to land abandonment (and therefore, being susceptible of improving the quality of currently occupied

246 and potentially suitable breeding areas). The impact was higher under the Libertarian and Social
247 Democracy scenarios (between 48.6/66.0% and 47.2/66.4% for current/suitable habitat) whereas under
248 Localism and Eurosceptic the range was clearly lower (between 13.9/24.5% and 18.7/36.4% for
249 current/suitable habitat) (Table 4, Fig.3). The potential impoverishing of foraging areas because the
250 decrease of agro-grazing activities and the associated abundance of small and medium-sized carcasses
251 show also a similar trend between scenarios (Table 4, Fig. 3). Higher frequencies of cells would be
252 affected under the Libertarian and Social Democracy (50.5/62.6% and 49.5/63.2% of current/suitable
253 habitat) against Localism and Eurosceptic scenarios (12.3%/23.0% and 18.3/34.3%) (see also Figs. S3,
254 S4 and S5). Departing from these data and considering simultaneously appropriate conditions for
255 nesting and foraging, only 9.3% (Social Democracy), 9.4% (Libertarian), 8.1% (Eurosceptic) and
256 10.6% (Localism) of the land-abandonment forecasts in Iberia met simultaneous conditions as
257 appropriate areas for nesting and foraging of Cinereous vultures. These areas were located in the
258 eastern and southern border of the current distribution range (Central System and the Baetic
259 mountains; Fig. 3).

260 Finally, the detailed results of the GLM analyses regarding the impact of land abandonment
261 processes (Tables S2 and S3, Fig. S6) showed that higher probability of occupation by nesting vultures
262 was positively related to higher probability of land abandonment in all the four socio-economic
263 scenarios showing significant differences ($p < 0.001$) in three of them (Libertarian, Localism and Social
264 Democracy). Attending to those squares showing land-use changes slight significant differences were
265 only found between the Eurosceptic and Localism scenarios ($p = 0.044$). With respect to the foraging
266 habitat, higher probability of presence was negatively associated to land abandonment in all the
267 scenarios reaching significant differences for Localism and Eurosceptic. Attending to those squares
268 showing land-use changes significant differences ($p < 0.001$) were found between Eurosceptic and both
269 Libertarian and Social Democracy.

270

271 **(A) DISCUSSION**

272 We provide insight into the availability of suitable areas for the expansion of a prioritized species
273 from a conservation and flagship standpoint under different scenarios of land abandonment dependent
274 of European policies. In all the cases, the availability of habitat for breeding and foraging not only
275 would be maintained but also an increase of suitable areas is predicted. Although this result agrees
276 with that found in the analysis of the effects of land abandonment on the distribution of other large
277 body-sized vertebrates (see Milanesi et al., 2016 and references therein), our results clearly shows
278 profound differences between the considered scenarios. Particularly, we have found that in the case of
279 a liberalization of the CAP (i.e. Libertarian and Social Democracy) the large-scale abandonment of
280 marginal agricultural areas would lead to considerable expansion of potential habitats in comparison
281 with the Eurosceptic and Localism scenarios. These results are consistent with other studies that have
282 explored possible changes in agricultural area under parallel conditions, although there is high
283 variation and uncertainty in the location and extent of these areas (Verburg et al., 2013; Renwick et al.,
284 2013). In general, it shows that maintaining the current land management policies (CAP and
285 associated subsidies) would reduce the long-term availability of abandoned (rewilded) lands by
286 stopping (at least partially) rural exodus thus avoiding the loss of traditional agro-grazing practices in
287 marginal areas, mainly mountains (Navarro & Pereira 2012).

288 That land abandonment is beneficial or not for the maintenance of biodiversity is an open debate
289 (see Navarro & Pereira 2012 and references therein). In the case of the top scavengers, there are
290 contradictory starting points (Cortes-Avizanda et al., 2015) and in any case, no attempt to quantify the
291 effect of different land-abandonment scenarios. To be able to discern we must deepen our results,
292 particularly in relation to the nesting and foraging habitat. Starting with the later, our results show that
293 land abandonment was negatively associated to habitat suitability for foraging vultures in all the four
294 examined socio-economic models, only slightly differing between them in the observed general trend.
295 In consequence, the most favourable foraging areas seem to have no high probability of being
296 abandoned in the next future. Cinereous vultures show a clear preference for open Mediterranean
297 woodlands (“*dehesa/montado*”) a traditional agro-forestry system encompassing high biodiversity
298 (Blondel et al., 2010) and relatively higher densities of wild prey (ungulates and wild rabbits) (Carrete

299 & Donázar, 2005) whose abundance was decisive in our predictive models. In fact, the Cinereous
300 vulture depends heavily on lagomorphs (3-60% of diet, Hiraldo, 1977, Corbacho et al., 2007) a pattern
301 also found in the rest of their distribution area where the diet is based on small and medium-sized prey
302 (rodents) typical of open landscapes like natural and semi-natural steppes (Dobado & Arenas 2012 and
303 reviews therein). In this scenario, and as was stated above, farmland abandonment and the subsequent
304 ecological succession would negatively affect European wild rabbit abundances (Cortés-Avizanda et
305 al., 2015).

306 Opposite impacts of land abandoning may be predicted for nesting habitat. The exodus of humans
307 and the changes in professional tasks in rural areas, would determine the expansion of woodland
308 which would increase the availability of suitable breeding grounds (see above). In fact, detailed
309 statistical analyses reinforce the consistency of the pattern regarding each of the four socio-economic
310 models of land abandonment: all of them highlighted association between abandonment and suitability
311 for nesting vultures. In other words, the most favorable areas to hold breeding Cinereous vultures
312 would be more prone to be abandoned in the next decades. Our results interestingly have detected a
313 stronger negative effect of the existence of buildings (active or in ruins) which would be therefore a
314 proxy of historical human occupancy and harassment. This may explain why the Cinereous vultures
315 and other large body-sized species (especially those nesting in trees particularly vulnerable to human
316 persecution, Martínez-Abraín et al., 2009) have been historically absent of many regions of the
317 Mediterranean Basin (Donázar, 2013). Although direct persecution (hunting) of large birds of prey has
318 currently almost disappeared from the Mediterranean regions (de Juana & de Juana, 1984; Donázar et
319 al., 2016), the reluctance of these species towards humans still remains (Donázar, 2013), probably
320 because the long-term selective processes imposed by the above-mentioned persecution would have
321 favored individuals with shy behavior (Ciuti et al., 2012). This fact may also explain why we found a
322 clear preference by steeper areas for breeding which is consistent with findings from previous studies
323 (Donázar et al., 1993; Sánchez-Zapata & Calvo, 1999; Morán-López et al., 2006; Moreno-Opo et al.,
324 2007).

325 Other positive effects of land abandonment may be linked to the increase food resources
326 associated to the expansion of wild ungulate populations, underway since the middle 20th century
327 (Breitenmoser, 1998; Gortázar et al., 2000; Apollonio et al., 2010). Wild ungulates appear also
328 regularly in the diet of Cinereous vulture (Hiraldo, 1977, Corbacho et al., 2007). In Iberia, the
329 Cinereous vulture consumes them in a higher proportion than other obligate scavenger species
330 probably because the species prefer to forage in wilder habitats thus reducing competition with
331 dominant and social griffon vultures (*Gyps fulvus*) which rely more frequently on farms and
332 supplementary feeding stations (Hiraldo, 1977; Donázar, 2013; Cortés-Avizanda et al. 2012).
333 Preference for wild ungulates and high humanization may also explain why large regions holding high
334 extensive livestock densities (e.g. Ebro valley, Iberian Southeast) were not identified as suitable areas
335 by our models.

336 In summary, the target species presents a clear duality in the expected effects of land
337 abandonment since it needs forests to nest but relatively open areas to obtain the food. However, land
338 abandonment seems likely to affect more potential nesting areas than foraging areas, so the overall
339 effect can be positive. This picture nonetheless, may be far from being temporarily stable. The
340 availability nesting and foraging habitats in rewilding landscapes may change radically because more
341 dense and fewer used woodlands and scrublands are subjected to recurrent wildfire in the
342 Mediterranean Basin (Kelly & Brotons, 2017; Moritz et al., 2014). This could lead to decrease these
343 new available suitable nesting habitats but on the contrary, burnings could also reduce the density of
344 the shrubs thus creating new patchy areas benefiting the European wild rabbit (Rollan & Real, 2011).
345 It must be taken into account, however, that the long-distance foraging movements performed by large
346 body-sized species like the Cinereous vulture would cushion these effects because breeding and
347 foraging areas use to be clearly separated (Carrete & Donázar, 2005).

348 It must be also emphasized that, when future projections are made, the constraints derived not only
349 from the adequacy of habitats but from the life-history traits of species themselves cannot be ignored.
350 In this sense, our target species shares with the rest of obligate avian scavengers and other large-body-
351 sized birds of prey, a "conservative" strategy that includes high philopatry and low colonizing abilities

352 (Forero et al., 2002; Hernández-Matías et al., 2010). This means that, although in the coming decades
353 large areas of Mediterranean Iberia may be suitable for housing Cinereous vultures, this scavenger
354 may not probably colonize these regions in a similar period. In fact, although the Iberian population of
355 Cinereous vultures has increased almost ten-fold during the last four decades its distribution area has
356 remained almost unchanged (see e.g. de la Puente et al. 2007, Moreno-Opo & Margalida, 2013).
357 Within this context, active rewilding strategies (reintroduction projects) would be necessary to re-
358 establish populations of large scavengers after land abandonment (Deinet et al., 2013).

359 (B) Perspectives

360 Land abandonment in the Mediterranean Basin may shape the provision of ecosystem processes,
361 including functions and services that are scarcely recognized. Our study highlights that there are broad
362 regions in the Iberian Peninsula that may be suitable for a top scavenger in the future. A substantial
363 fraction of these areas may be subject to future transformations derived from land abandonment and
364 the outcomes of this process will largely determine the likelihood of colonization by these scavengers.
365 Therefore, it is not only the exploration of the locations of likely land abandonment that are important,
366 but also the processes of re-growth and landscape change following. While these have been included
367 to some extent in the model projections used (Verburg & Overmars 2010), there are still large
368 knowledge gaps. It is expected that large-scale land-abandonment processes would not result in
369 uniform outcomes, but rather in patchy landscapes of different wilderness patterns which ultimately
370 add complexity to the prediction of the probability of presence (and abundance) of biota. The
371 development of ecological succession depends not only on the end of traditional human uses but also
372 on the management and processes such as fires or invasive species (Kelly & Brotons, 2017; Pereira &
373 Navarro 2015 and references therein).

374 From a species-specific point of view, it should not be forgotten that abandonment of traditional
375 land-uses might not imply necessarily lower pressures on wildlife. Large areas, mainly in mountain
376 ranges, formerly devoted to traditional agro-grazing activities are currently suffering a conversion to
377 new intensive uses (recreational activities, eco-tourism, intensive forestry) which may significantly

378 reduce breeding habitats and affect the breeding success of different species (Donazar et al., 2002;
379 Arroyo & Razin, 2006). Additionally, infrastructures such as wind-turbines or power-lines are
380 increasingly built in remote areas thus becoming a new concern for the viability of the populations of
381 the large gliding birds (Smallwood, 2007; Carrete et al., 2009). Also, land-abandonment (including
382 active rewilding processes) imply primarily the rebuilding of complex ungulate-carnivore interactions
383 which may trigger bottom-up and top-down regulation within ecosystems (Ripple et al., 2001) and
384 spatiotemporal changes in the availability of food resources for vertebrate scavengers (Wilmers et al.,
385 2003). At the end, however the viability of populations of large-body sized mammals (ungulates and
386 carnivores) will be strongly dependent of the interactions with humans and of how potential conflicts
387 are solved (Bisi et al., 2007). In fact, these conflicts, notably predation of carnivores on livestock may
388 lead to indirect persecution of vultures by poisoning which has virtually extirpated entire populations on
389 large parts of the Mediterranean Europe during the last centuries (Bijleveld, 1974; Donazar et al.,
390 2009, Cortes-Avizanda et al 2015).

391 From a more global perspective, the effects of land-use changes on biodiversity will interact with
392 impacts caused by global change, especially derived from global warming in coming decades in the
393 Mediterranean basin (Hampe & Petit, 2005; Giorgi & Lionello, 2008). Specifically, synergic or
394 antagonistic effects in the expansion or reduction of the distribution range of different species would
395 occur as well as changes in behavioral interspecific relationships, in the face of changes of the current
396 environmental traits (Dawson et al., 2011). In a region such as the Iberian Peninsula, where a
397 significant advance of desertification phenomena is expected (Schröter et al., 2005), the results of our
398 study may be modulated in an opposed way, by reducing the extent and quality of mature forests
399 selected by the Cinereous vultures to breed. This would be certain especially in the southernmost of
400 the distribution area and foreseeing an expansion of the species to northern latitudes (Araujo et al.,
401 2011). Analyzing the interactions between land use change, climate change, ecological succession and
402 its effects on the habitat of target species requires more complex approaches than those used on our
403 study. However, our study indicates an order of magnitude of the potential changes in available area
404 under land -abandonment, which is a starting point for further investigation.

405 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

406 We especially thanks to I. Afán and D. Aragonés (LAST-EBD-CSIC) for helping with map design. D.
407 Serrano, R. Jovani and N. Fernández for their helpful comments in the preliminary version of the MS.
408 IGB was supported by a Pre-Doctoral contract from the Spanish Ministry of Economy and
409 Competitiveness (BES-2014-070597). ACA was supported by a contract Juan de la Cierva
410 Incorporación (IJCI-2014-20744; Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad, Spain) and a PostDoc
411 contract Programa Viçent Mut of Govern Balear, Spain (PD/039/2017).. This work was partially
412 funded by projects RNM-1925 (Consejería de Innovación, Ciencia y Empleo, Junta de Andalucía) and
413 CGL2015-66966-C2-1-2-R MINECO/FEDER EU and the Severo Ochoa Excellence Award from the
414 Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (SEV-2012-0262). TAM thanks partial support by CEAUL
415 (funded by FCT - Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, Portugal, through the project
416 UID/MAT/00006/2013).

417

418

419

420

421

422 **BIOSKETCH**

423 **Isabel García-Barón (IGB)** focuses her research on ecological spatial modelling, identification and
424 study of anthropogenic threats and their applications in biodiversity and conservation management.

425 *Author contributions:* A.CA. and J.A.D. conceived the study; I.GB., T.A.M., A.CA. and J.A.D.
426 compiled and analysed the data; P.H.V. prepared the land-use change scenarios; I.GB., A.CA., T.A.M.
427 and J.A.D. wrote the paper; all authors commented on earlier versions of the manuscript.

428

429 REFERENCES

- 430 Antrop M. (2005) Why landscapes of the past are important for the future. *Landscape and urban*
431 *planning*, **70**, 21–34.
- 432 Apollonio M., Andersen R., & Putman R. (2010) *European Ungulates and Their Management in the*
433 *21st Century*. Cambridge University Press. 5
- 434 Araújo M., Alagador D., & Cabeza M. (2011) Climate change threatens European conservation areas.
435 *Ecology Letters*, **14**, 484–492.
- 436 Arroyo B. & Razin M. (2006) Effect of human activities on bearded vulture behaviour and breeding
437 success in the French Pyrenees. *Biological Conservation*, **128**, 276–284.
- 438 Baldock D., Beaufoy G., Selby A., Guiheneuf P.I., & Manterola J.J. (1996) Farming at the margins:
439 abandonment or redeployment of agricultural land in Europe. (IEEP and LEI-DLO, Ed.). Beja P.,
440 Pais M., & Palma L. (2007) Rabbit *Oryctolagus cuniculus* habitats in Mediterranean scrubland:
441 the role of scrub structure and composition. *Wildlife Biology*, **13**, 28–37.
- 442 Bisi J., Kurki S., Svensberg M., & Liukkonen T. (2007) Human dimensions of wolf (*Canis lupus*)
443 conflicts in Finland. *European Journal of Wildlife Research*, **53**, 304–314.
- 444 Bijleveld M. (1974) *Birds of prey in Europe*. MacMillan Press. London.
- 445 BirdLife International. (2015). *Aegypius monachus*. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Gland,
446 Switzerland: IUCN. Available at www.iucnredlist.org.
- 447 Blázquez-Álvarez M. & Sánchez-Zapata J. (2009) El papel de los ungulados silvestres como recurso
448 para la comunidad de vertebrados carroñeros. *Munibe*, **29**, 308–327.
- 449 Blondel J., Aronson J., Boudiou J.Y., & Boeuf G. (2010) *The Mediterranean Basin: biological*
450 *diversity in space and time*. Oxford University Press, New York.
- 451 Breitenmoser U. (1998) Large predators in the Alps: the fall and rise of man's competitors. *Biological*
452 *Conservation*, **83**, 279–289.
- 453 Cardillo M., Mace G., & Jones K. (2005) Multiple causes of high extinction risk in large mammal

- 454 species. *Science*, **309**, 1239–1241.
- 455 Carrete M. & Donázar J. (2005) Application of central-place foraging theory shows the importance of
456 Mediterranean dehesas for the conservation of the cinereous vulture, *Aegypius monachus*.
457 *Biological Conservation*, **126**, 582–590.
- 458 Carrete M., Sánchez-Zapata J., Benítez J.R., Lobón M., & Donázar J.A. (2009) Large scale risk-
459 assessment of wind-farms on population viability of a globally endangered long-lived raptor.
460 *Biological Conservation*, **142**, 2954–2961.
- 461 Chamberlain D.E., Rehfisch M.R., Fox A.D., Desholm M., & Anthony S.J. (2006) The effect of
462 avoidance rates on bird mortality predictions made by wind turbine collision risk models. *Ibis*,
463 **148**, 198–202.
- 464 Ciuti S., Muhly T., Paton D.G., McDevitt A.D., Musiani M., & Boyce M.S. (2012) Effects of humans
465 on behaviour of wildlife exceed those of natural predators in a landscape of fear. *Proceedings of*
466 *the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, **279**, 4407–4416.
- 467 Conti G. & Fagarazzi L. (2005) Forest expansion in mountain ecosystems:’’environmentalist’s
468 dream’’or societal nightmare. *Planum*, **11**, 1–20.
- 469 Corbacho C., Costillo E., & Perales A. (2007) Alimentación del buitre negro. *Moreno-Opo, R. y Guil,*
470 *F.(Coords.) Manual de gestión del hábitat y de las poblaciones de buitre negro en España.*
471 Dirección General para la Biodiversidad. Ministerio de Medio Ambiente., Madrid.
- 472 Cortés-Avizanda A., Donázar J.A., & Pereira H.M. (2015) Top scavengers in a wilder Europe.
473 *Rewilding European landscapes (Pereira H.M. and Navarro L.N.)* (ed. by Springer International
474 Publishing), pp. 85–106.
- 475 Costillo E. (2005) *Biología y conservación de las poblaciones de buitre negro Aegypius monachus en*
476 *Extremadura*. Universidad de Extremadura, Dissertation.
- 477 Cramp S. & Simmons K.E.L. (1980) *Handbook of the Birds of Europe the Middle East and North*
478 *Africa. The Birds of the Western Palearctic*. Oxford University Press. Oxford.
- 479 Dawson T.P., Jackson S.T., House J.I., Prentice I.C., & Mace G.M. (2011) Beyond predictions:

- 480 biodiversity conservation in a changing climate. *Science*, **332**, 53–58.
- 481 del Hoyo J., Elliot A., & Sargatal J. (1994) Handbook of the Birds of the World Vol.2. New World
482 Vultures to Guinea-fowl. Lynx Editions, Barcelona, Spain.
- 483 de la Puente J., Moreno-Opo R., & del Moral J.C. (2007) El buitre negro en España: censo nacional
484 (2006). SEO/BirdLife.
- 485 Deinet S., Ieronymidou C., Burfield I.J., McRae L., Foppen R.P., Collen B., & Böhm M. (2013)
486 Wildlife comeback in Europe. The recovery of selected mammal and bird species. Final report to
487 Rewilding Europe by ZSL.
- 488 DeVault T.L., Beasley J.C., Olson Z.H., Moleón M., Sánchez-Zapata J.A., Carrete M., & Margalida
489 A. (2015) Ecosystem services provided by avian scavengers. *Why Do Birds Matter? Birds’
490 Ecological Functions and Ecosystem Services* (ed. by C.H. Şekercioglu, D.G. Wenny, and C.J.
491 Whelan), University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- 492 Dobado P.M. & Arenas R. (2012) The Black Vulture: Status, Conservation and Studies. .
- 493 Donazar J.A., Naveso M.A., Tella J.L., & Campión D. (1997) Extensive grazing and raptors in Spain.
494 *Farming and birds in Europe*, 117–149.
- 495 Donazar J., Hiraldo F., & Bustamante J. (1993) Factors influencing nest site selection, breeding
496 density and breeding success in the bearded vulture (*Gypaetus barbatus*). *Journal of Applied
497 Ecology*, 504–514.
- 498 Donazar J.A. (2013) Estudios de viabilidad para la reintroducción del buitre negro en Cádiz y Málaga.
499 Memoria final de actividades realizadas. Consejería de Medio Ambiente. Junta de Andalucía,
500 Córdoba.
- 501 Donazar J.A., Cortés-Vizanda A., Fargallo J.A., Margalida A., Moleón M., Morales-Reyes Z.,
502 Moreno-Opo R., Pérez-García J.M., Sánchez-Zapata J.A., Zuberogoitia I., & Serrano D. (2016)
503 Roles of raptors in a changing world: from flagships to providers of key ecosystem services.
504 *Ardeola*, **63**, 181–234.
- 505 Dormann C., Elith J., & Bacher S. (2013) Collinearity: a review of methods to deal with it and a

- 506 simulation study evaluating their performance. *Ecography*, **36**, 27–46.
- 507 Enserik M. & Vogel G. (2006) The carnivore comeback. *Science*, **314**, 746–749.
- 508 Fawcett T. (2006) An introduction to ROC analysis. *Pattern recognition letters*, **27**, 861–874.
- 509 Fernández-Bellón D., Cortés-Avizanda A., Arenas R., & Donázar J.A. (2016) Density-dependent
510 productivity in a colonial vulture at two spatial scales. *Ecology*, **97**, 406–416.
- 511 Fisher D. & Owens I. (2004) The comparative method in conservation biology. *Trends in Ecology &*
512 *Evolution*, **19**, 391–398.
- 513 Forero M.G., Donázar J.A., & Hiraldo F. (2011) Causes and Fitness Consequences of Natal Dispersal
514 in a Population of Black Kites. *Ecology*, **83**, 858–872.
- 515 Fuller R. (1987) The changing extent and conservation interest of lowland grasslands in England and
516 Wales: a review of grassland surveys 1930–1984. *Biological conservation*, **40**, 281–300.
- 517 Gaston K. & Blackburn T. (1995) Birds, body size and the threat of extinction. *Philosophical*
518 *Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, **347**, 205–212.
- 519 Gillson L., Ladle R., & Araújo M. (2011) Baselines, patterns and process. *Conservation Biogeography*
520 (ed. by R.. J. Ladle and R.. J. Whittaker), pp. 31–44. Blackwell Publishing.
- 521 Giorgi F. & Lionello P. (2008) Climate change projections for the Mediterranean region.
522 *Global Planet Change*, **63**, 90–104.
- 523 Gortázar C., Herrero J., Villafuerte R., & Marco J. (2000) Historical examination of the status of large
524 mammals in Aragon, Spain. *Mammalia*, **64**, 411–422.
- 525 Haines-Young R. & Potschin M. (2013) Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services
526 (CICES): Consultation on Version 4, August–December 2012. *EEA Framework Contract No*
527 *EEA/IEA/09/003*.
- 528 Hampe A. & Petit R.J. (2004) Conserving biodiversity under climate change : the rear edge
529 matters. *Ecology letters*, **8**, 461–467.
- 530 Hernandez-Matias A., Real J., Pradel R., Ravayrol A., Vincent-Martin N., Bosca F., & Cheylan G.

- 531 (2010) Determinants of territorial recruitment in bonelli's eagle (*Aquila fasciata*) populations.
532 Auk, 127, 173–184.
- 533 Hiraldo F. (1977) *El Buitre Negro (Aegypius monachus) en la Península Ibérica. Población, biología*
534 *general, uso de recursos e interacciones con otras aves*. University of Sevilla, Spain.
- 535 Hirzel A., Lay G. Le, Helfer V., Randin C., & Guisan A. (2006) Evaluating the ability of habitat
536 suitability models to predict species presences. *Ecological Modelling*, **199**, 142–152.
- 537 Houghton R., Hackler J., & Lawrence K. (1999) The US carbon budget: contributions from land-use
538 change. *Science*, **285**, 574–578.
- 539 INE (2006) Anuario Estadístico. Instituto Nacional de Estadística. Ministerio de Economía y Hacienda,
540 Madrid, 2006.
- 541 Joffre R, and Rambal S. (1993) How Tree Cover Influences the Water Balance of Mediterranean
542 Rangelands. *Ecology*, **74**, 570–582.
- 543 Kelly L.T. & Brotons L. (2017) Using fire to promote biodiversity. *Science*, **355**, 1264–1265.
- 544 Labaune C. & Magnin F. (2002) Pastoral management vs. land abandonment in Mediterranean
545 uplands: impact on land snail communities. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **11**, 237–245.
- 546 Laiolo P., Dondero F., Ciliento E., & Rolando A. (2004) Consequences of pastoral abandonment for
547 the structure and diversity of the alpine avifauna. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **41**, 294–304.
- 548 Lotze-Campen H., Popp A., Verburg P.H., Lindner M., Verkerk H., Kakkonen E., Schrammeijer E.,
549 Schulp N., van der Zanden E.H., van Meijl H., Tabeau A., Helming J., Kuemmerle T., Lavalle
550 C., Batista F., & Eitelberg D. (2014) Description of the translation of sector specific land cover
551 and land management information. Deliverable No: 7.3 o the Volante Project. .
- 552 MacDonald D., Crabtree J., Wiesinger G., Dax T., Stamou N., Fleury P., Gutierrez Lazpita J., &
553 Gibon A. (2000) Agricultural abandonment in mountain areas of Europe: Environmental
554 consequences and policy response. *Journal of Environmental Management*, **59**, 47–69.
- 555 Maes J., Teller A., Erhard M., Liqueste C., & Braat L. (2013) Mapping and assessment of ecosystems
556 and their services-. *An analytical framework for ecosystem assessments under action 5* pp. 1–58.

- 557 EU biodiversity strategy to 2020,
- 558 Margalida A., Colomer M., & Sanuy D. (2011) Can wild ungulate carcasses provide enough biomass
559 to maintain avian scavenger populations? An empirical assessment using a bio-inspired
560 computational. *PLoS One*, **6**, e20248.
- 561 Martí R. & del Moral J. (2003) *Atlas de las aves reproductoras de España*. Dirección General de
562 Conservación de la Naturaleza-Sociedad Española de Ornitología, Madrid.
- 563 Martínez-Abraín A., Oro D., Jiménez J., Stewart G., & Pullin A. (2010) A systematic review of the
564 effects of recreational activities on nesting birds of prey. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, **11**, 312–
565 319.
- 566 Milanesi, P., Breiner, F.T., Puopolo, F. & Holderegger, R. 2017. European human-dominated
567 landscapes provide ample space for the recolonization of large carnivore populations under
568 future land change scenarios. *Ecography* **40**:1-10.
- 569 Morán-López R., Sánchez Guzmán J.M., Borrego E.C., & Sánchez A. V. (2006) Nest-site selection of
570 endangered cinereous vulture (*Aegypius monachus*) populations affected by anthropogenic
571 disturbance: present and future conservation implications. *Animal Conservation*, **9**, 29–37.
- 572 Moreno-Opo R., Arredondo A., & Guil F. (2010) Foraging range and diet of cinereous vulture
573 *Aegypius monachus* using livestock resources in central Spain. *Ardeola*, **57(1)**, 111–119.
- 574 Moreno-Opo R. & Guil F. (2007) *El buitre negro*. Dirección general para la Biodiversidad. Ministerio
575 de medio Ambiente Medio, Madrid 404 pp.
- 576 Moreno-Opo R., Guil F., & Agudín S. (2007) *Manual de gestión del hábitat y de las poblaciones de*
577 *buitre negro en España*. Organismo Autónomo de Parques Nacionales.
- 578 Moreno-Opo R. & Margalida A. (2013) Conservation of the Cinereous Vulture *Aegypius monachus*
579 in Spain (1966–2011): a bibliometric review of threats, research and adaptive management. *Bird*
580 *Conservation International*, **24**, 178–191.
- 581 Moritz M.A., Batllori E., Bradstock R.A., Gill A.M., Handmer J., Hessburg P.F., Leonard J.,
582 McCaffrey S., Odion D.C., Schoennagel T., & Syphard A.D. (2014) Learning to coexist with

- 583 wildfire. *Nature*, **515**, 58–66.
- 584 Navarro L.M. & Pereira H.M. (2012) Rewilding Abandoned Landscapes in Europe. *Ecosystems*, **15**,
585 900–912.
- 586 Navarro L.M. (2014) *Rewilding abandoned landscapes in Europe: Biodiversity impact and*
587 *contribution to human well-being*. Universidade de Lisboa,
- 588 Overmars K., Schulp C., & Alkemade R. (2014) Developing a methodology for a species-based and
589 spatially explicit indicator for biodiversity on agricultural land in the EU. *Ecological Indicators*,
590 **37**, 186–198.
- 591 Pearce J. & Ferrier S. (2000) An evaluation of alternative algorithms for fitting species distribution
592 models using logistic regression. *Ecological Modelling*, **128**, 127–147.
- 593 Peinado M. & Rivas-Martínez S. (1987) *La vegetación de España*. Colección Aula Abierta, 3.
- 594 Pereira H.M., Leadley P.W., Proença V., Alkemade R., Scharlemann J.P.W., Fernandez-Manjarrés
595 J.F., Araújo M.B., Balvanera P., Biggs R., Cheung W.W.L., Chini L., Cooper H.D., Gilman E.L.,
596 Guénette S., Hurtt G.C., Huntington H.P., Mace G.M., Oberdorff T., Revenga C., Rodrigues P.,
597 Scholes R.J., Sumaila U.R., & Walpole M. (2010) Scenarios for global biodiversity in the 21st
598 century. *Science*, **330**, 1496–1501.
- 599 Pereira H.M. & Navarro L. (2015) *Rewilding European Landscapes*. Springer,
- 600 Poirazidis K., Goutner V., Skartsi T., & Stamou G. (2004) Modelling nesting habitat as a conservation
601 tool for the Eurasian black vulture (*Aegypius monachus*) in Dadia Nature Reserve, northeastern
602 Greece. *Biological conservation*, **118**, 235–248.
- 603 Renwick A., Jansson T., & Verburg P. (2013) Policy reform and agricultural land abandonment in the
604 EU. *Land Use Policy*, **30**, 446–457.
- 605 Rollan A. & Real J. (2010) Effect of wildfires and post-fire forest treatments on rabbit abundance.
606 *European Journal of Wildlife Research*, **57**, 201–209. 152
- 607 Rooney T. & Waller D. (2003) Direct and indirect effects of white-tailed deer in forest ecosystems.
608 *Forest Ecology and Management*, **181**, 165–176.

- 609 Rounsevell M., Ewert F., Reginster I., Leemans R., & Carter T.R. (2005) Future scenarios of
610 European agricultural land use: II. Projecting changes in cropland and grassland. *Agriculture,*
611 *Ecosystems & Environment*, **107**, 117–135.
- 612 Ruiz de la Torre J. (1990) Mapa Forestal de España, 1:200.000, Memoria General, ICONA, Madrid.
613 158 191 pp.
- 614 Sánchez-Zapata J.A. & Calvo J.F. (1999) Raptor distribution in relation to landscape composition in
615 semi-arid Mediterranean habitats. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **36**, 254–262.
- 616 Sánchez J.J. (2004) Buitre negro *Aegypius monachus*. *Libro Rojo de las Aves de España* pp. 134–8.
617 Madrid: Dirección General para la Biodiversidad-SEO/BirdLife.
- 618 Schroter D., Cramer W., Leemans R., Prentice I.C., Araujo M.B., Arnell N.W., Bondeau A., Bugmann
619 H., Carter T.R., Gracia C.A., de la Vega-Leinert A.C., Erhard M., Ewert F., Glendining M.,
620 House J.I., Kankaanpää S., Klein R.J.T., Lavorel S., Lindner M., Metzger M.J., Meyer J.,
621 Mitchell T.D., Reginster I., Rounsevell M., Sabate S., Sitch S., Smith B., Smith J., Smith P.,
622 Sykes M.T., Thonicke K., Thuiller W., Tuck G., Zaehle S., & Zierl B. (2005) Ecosystem Service
623 Supply and Vulnerability to Global Change in Europe. *Science*, **310**, 1333–1337.
- 624 Sing T., Sander O., Beerenwinkel N., & Lengauer T. (2005) ROCr: visualizing classifier performance
625 in R. *Bioinformatics*, **21**, 7881. 165
- 626 Smallwood K. (2007) Estimating wind turbine-caused bird mortality. *The journal of wildlife*
627 *management*, **71**, 2781–2791.
- 628 Stanners, D., & Bourdeau, P. (1995). Europe's environment: the Dobriš Assessment. Copenhagen:
629 European Environment Agency.
- 630 Stürck J., Levers C., van der Zanden E.H., Schulp C.J.E., Verkerk P., Kuemmerle T., Helming J.,
631 Lotze-Campen H., & Verburg P.H. (2015) Future land change trajectories in Europe: simulation
632 and visualization of land cover and land management changes. *Regional Environmental Change*,
633 1–17.
- 634 Tasser E., Mader M., & Tappeiner U. (2003) Effects of land use in alpine grasslands on the probability

- 635 of landslides. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, **4**, 271–280.
- 636 Tullot I.F. (2000) *Climatología de España y Portugal (Vol. 76)*. Universidad de Salamanca,
- 637 Turner B.L., Lambin E.F., & Reenberg A. (2007) The emergence of land change science for global
638 environmental change and sustainability. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **104**,
639 20666–20671.
- 640 Verburg P. & Overmars K. (2009) Combining top-down and bottom-up dynamics in land use
641 modeling: exploring the future of abandoned farmlands in Europe with the Dyna-CLUE model.
642 *Landscape ecology*, **24**, 1167–1181.
- 643 Verburg P., Tabeau A., & Hatna E. (2013) Assessing spatial uncertainties of land allocation using a
644 scenario approach and sensitivity analysis: a study for land use in Europe. *Journal of*
645 *environmental management*, **127**, S132–S144.
- 646 Virgós E., Cabezas-Díaz S., & Lozano J. (2007) Is the wild rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) a
647 threatened species in Spain? Sociological constraints in the conservation of species. *Biodiversity*
648 *and Conservation*, **16**, 3489–3504.
- 649 Van Vuuren D.P., Kok M., van der Esch S., Jeuken M., Lucas P., Prins A.G., & Hilderink H. (2012)
650 Roads from Rio+20. Pathways to achieve global sustainability goals by 2050. **Tech. Repo**, .
- 651 Westhoek H.J., van den Berg M., & Bakkes J.A. (2006) Scenario development to explore the future of
652 Europe's rural areas. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, **114**, 7–20.
- 653 Wilmers C.C., Crabtree R.L., Smith D.W., Murphy K.M., & Getz W.M. (2003) facilitation by
654 introduced trophic top predators: grey wolf in Yellowstone National Park subsidies to
655 scavengers. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **72**, 909–916.
- 656

657 **Table 1.** Explanatory variables used in the analyses of Cinereous vulture nest-site and foraging habitat
 658 selection in Iberian Peninsula modelling. Note that all variables were calculated on a 10x10 km
 659 squares. Symbols preceding the description indicate the use of these variables in (*) nest-site and (†)
 660 foraging habitat models.

661

Category	Code	Description
Physiography	<i>Slope</i>	*† Mean slope (°) ¹
	<i>Elev</i>	* Mean elevation (m) ¹
Climatic	<i>Prec</i>	*† Mean annual precipitation (mm) ²
	<i>Temp</i>	* Mean annual temperature (°C) ²
Vegetation	<i>Forest</i>	*† Percent coverage classified as native forest (Height > 7 m) ³
	<i>Reforest</i>	*† Percent coverage classified as reforestation (<i>Pinus</i> spp y <i>Eucalyptus</i> spp) ³
	<i>Scrubland</i>	† Percent coverage classified as scrubland from low to high height (< 5 cm - 3 m) ³
	<i>Shrubland</i>	† Percent coverage classified as shrubland from low to high height (5 cm - 7 m) ³
	<i>Dehesa</i>	† Percent coverage classified as dehesa ³
Human-related activities	<i>Build_use</i>	* Percent coverage classified as use buildings (industrial, religious and residential) ⁴
	<i>Roads</i>	*† Total length of roads (motorways, highways, country roads, paths and tracks) (km) ⁵
	<i>Inhabit</i>	† Area of inhabited areas (m ²) ⁵
Trophic	<i>Rabbit</i>	† Rabbit abundance (Calculated by assigning each Spanish province an abundance value between 1 and 4) ⁶
	<i>Wild_ung</i>	† Wild ungulates abundance (sum of richness values in the 50x50 km buffer) ⁷
	<i>Livestock</i>	† Amount of biomass (kg per year). The weighted sum of the amount of biomass of livestock existing in all the municipalities included in the 50x50 buffer ⁸

1. ASTER Global DEM spatial resolution 30m (ASTER Global DEM Validation Team, 2009)

2. Iberian Peninsula Digital Climatic Atlas (Ninyerola et al., 2005)

3. Forest Map of Spain 1:200000 (Torre, 1990)

4. Numerical Cartographic Base 1:25000 (BCN25 © National Geographic Institute of Spain)

5. Numerical Cartographic Base 1:200000 (BCN200 © National Geographic Institute of Spain)

6. Based on Virgós et al. 2007

7. Based on Blázquez-Alvarez and Sánchez-Zapata 2009

8. Based on Margalida et al. 2011

662 **Table 2.** Results of the best GLMs developed to the nesting habitat at a 10x10 km square scale. For
 663 each variable it is represented the average estimate and the average standard error of the 1000 models
 664 (Est \pm se), the standard deviation for the estimates (SD Est) and standard errors (SD se), the number of
 665 models where each variable is significant ($p < 0.05$, 'Significant'), the average deviance explained
 666 (D^2), the range of the AUC (Area Under the Curve) across the 1000 models and their standard
 667 deviations (SD D^2 and SD AUC).

Variables	Est \pm se	SD Est	SD se	Significant	D^2	SD D^2	AUC	SD AUC
Intercept	-22.859 \pm 4.173	4.342	0.432	1000				
Build_use	-2.290 \pm 0.707	0.493	0.053	972				
Roads	-0.003 \pm 0.001	0.002	< .001	783				
Prec	0.060 \pm 0.014	0.017	0.002	998				
Temp	1.212 \pm 0.204	0.211	0.021	7000				
Slope	0.125 \pm 0.052	0.077	0.028	777	37.42	4.34	0.88	0.02
Slope²	-0.026 \pm 0.007	0.007	0.001	990				
Elev	0.006 \pm 0.001	0.001	< .001	997				
Elev²	< .0001 \pm < .001	< .001	< .001	919				
Forest	0.001 \pm 0.002	0.009	0.004	910				
Reforest	-0.003 \pm 0.003	0.014	0.007	913				

668 **Table 3.** Results of the best GLMs developed to the foraging habitat at a 10x10 km square scale. For
 669 each variable it is represented the average estimate and the average standard error of the 1000 models
 670 (Est \pm se), the standard deviation for the estimates (SD Est) and standard errors (SD se), the number of
 671 models where each variable is significant ($p < 0.05$, 'Significant'), the average deviance explained
 672 (D²), the range of the AUC (Area Under the Curve) across the 1000 models and their standard
 673 deviations (SD D² and SD AUC).

Variables	Est \pm se	SD Est	SD se	Significant	D ²	SD D ²	AUC	SD AUC
Intercept	-6.620 \pm 0.433	0.303	0.039	1000				
Rabbit	0.325 \pm 0.022	0.013	< .001	1000				
Wild_ung	0.083 \pm 0.016	0.011	< .001	1000				
Livestock	< .0001 \pm < .001	< .001	< .001	1000				
Inhabit	< .0001 \pm < .001	< .001	< .001	1000				
Roads	< .0001 \pm < .001	< .001	< .001	915				
Prec	0.002 \pm < .001	< .001	< .001	848	47.20	1.63	0.920	0.005
Slope	0.083 \pm 0.028	0.038	< .001	891				
Slope²	-0.013 \pm 0.003	< .001	< .001	999				
Forest	-0.011 \pm 0.004	< .001	< .001	802				
Reforest	0.005 \pm 0.003	< .001	< .001	751				
Scrubland	0.028 \pm 0.007	< .001	< .001	999				
Shrubland	0.007 \pm 0.003	< .001	< .001	716				
Dehesa	0.020 \pm 0.006	< .001	< .001	990				

674 **Table 4.** Percentage of 10x10 km cells subject to land-abandonment and its potential effects affecting
 675 the nesting and foraging habitat available for Cinereous vultures under the four future scenarios
 676 predicted for 2040 (European Localism “EurLoc”, Eurosceptic Europe “Eurscep”, Libertarian Europe
 677 “LibEur” and Social Democracy Europe “SocDem”; Stuerck et al. 2014). We consider both the current
 678 vulture distribution and the suitable at distribution predicted by the modeling procedures (cut points: p
 679 > 0.51 for nesting habitat; $p > 0.49$ for foraging habitat). Colors highlight the effect in a 0-100 scale
 680 (from green to red). Credit photos: Manuel de la Riva.

681

Habitat	Effect	Vulture distribution	Scenario			
			EurLoc	Eurscep	SocDem	LibEur
Nesting						
	Positive: Increase mature woodland	Current	13.89	18.75	47.22	48.61
		Suitable	24.46	36.45	66.45	66.03
Foraging						
	Negative: Reduce wild rabbit populations Positive: Increase wild ungulate populations	Current	12.26	18.33	49.46	50.54
		Suitable	22.97	34.26	63.18	62.65

682

683 **FIGURE LEGENDS:**

684 **Figure 1.** Distribution of Cinereous vultures in Peninsular Spain a) nesting (based on Martí and Del
685 Moral, 2003); b) foraging (created according to inference from radio-tracking studies (Carrete and
686 Donazar, 2005, Moreno-Opo et al., 2010). The last two maps represent the average prediction of the
687 1000 final models showing each UTM 10x10 km cells predicted as suitable according to the cut-off
688 value, (>0.51 for nest-site habitat and >0.49 for foraging habitat): c) nest-site habitat; d) foraging
689 habitat.

690 **Figure 2.** Peninsular Spain rewilding scenarios predicted for year 2040 (Stürck et al., 2015): a)
691 Libertarian Europe, b) Eurosceptic Europe, c) Social Democracy Europe and d) European Localism.
692 Dark blue colored 10x10 km cells show areas with the fraction of the area affected by land
693 abandonment (change) and light blue colored 10x10 km cells show areas without land abandonment
694 (no change).

695 **Figure 3.** Result from the maps overlap showing the nest-site and foraging current and suitable habitat
696 for the Cinereous vulture in Spain peninsular subject to land abandonment predicted by the future
697 scenarios for 2040 (Stürck et al., 2015). The bottom panels (in purple) show the cells predicted
698 simultaneously as suitable for both, nesting and foraging, according to the cut-off values, (>0.51 and
699 >0.49 respectively). Maps with cells with simultaneously appropriate current nesting and foraging
700 habitat are similar to the patterns shown in the upper row of panels.