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Synopsis

Objective
To elucidate how population trends in total antimicrobials dispensed in the community translate into individual exposure.

Methods
Retrospective, population-based observational study of all antimicrobial prescribing in a Scottish region in financial years 1995, 2000 and 2005-2014. Analysis of temporal changes in all antimicrobials and specific antimicrobials measured in: WHO DDD per 1000 population; prescriptions per 1000 population; proportion of population with ≥1 prescription; mean number of prescriptions per person receiving any; mean DDD per prescription.

Results
Antimicrobial DDD increased between 1995 and 2014, from 5651 to 6987 per 1000 population (difference 1336 (95%CI 1309 to 1363)). Prescriptions per 1000 fell (from 821 to 667, difference -154, -151 to -157), as did the proportion prescribed any antimicrobial (from 39.3% to 30.8% (-8.5, -8.4 to -8.6)). Rising mean DDD per prescription, from 6.88 in 1995 to 10.47 in 2014 (3.59, 3.55 to 3.63), drove rising total DDD. In the under-5s, every measure fell over time (68.2% fall in DDD per 1000; 60.7% fall in prescriptions per1000). Among 5-64 year olds, prescriptions per1000 was lowest in 2014 but among older people, despite a reduction since 2010, the 2014 rate was still higher than in 2000. Trends in individual antimicrobials provide some explanation for overall trends.

Conclusion
Rising antimicrobial volumes up to 2011 were mainly due to rising DDD per prescription. Trends in dispensed drug volumes do not readily translate into information on individual exposure which is more relevant for adverse consequences including emergence of resistance.
Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is a global public health threat with antimicrobial use in humans significantly contributing.\textsuperscript{1-3} Approximately 80\% of UK antimicrobial use is in the community, with up to 50\% deemed inappropriate.\textsuperscript{1} Associations between primary care prescribing and AMR are well described,\textsuperscript{1, 4-7} and multiple initiatives have targeted reductions in this prescribing,\textsuperscript{2, 3, 8-10} but UK rates appeared to still be increasing until 2012.\textsuperscript{11, 12} This interpretation is based on population-level volumes of antimicrobials dispensed, measured in WHO Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics Methodology’s DDD.\textsuperscript{13} DDD is the assumed average maintenance dose per day for an individual drug’s main indication in adults rather than the actual daily dose used in practice which has changed over time, as has the mix of antimicrobials used which also affects total DDD.\textsuperscript{13} Increases in total DDD could be due to more people being prescribed, more prescriptions for each person, and/or changes in antimicrobial choice or dose. Therefore, total DDD can change without changes in the proportion of the population exposed. Measures other than total DDD are required to examine antimicrobial use and the impact of antimicrobial stewardship interventions,\textsuperscript{14} and changes within specific patient groups and antimicrobials could help explain population changes. In this study, we examined changes in primary care antimicrobial use from 1995 to 2014 in Tayside, Scotland, using patient-level data for all community dispensed antimicrobials across a complete geographical population.

Population and methods

The Tayside region of Scotland has a stable population with health care delivered by a single National Health Service (NHS) organisation. Access to NHS services requires registration with a single general practice which delivers all primary health care including prescribing.
The Health Informatics Centre (HIC), University of Dundee, enables anonymised linkage of health and demographic data from multiple population datasets in NHS Tayside at the individual patient level, via a unique identifier (the community health index (CHI) number) used across all healthcare delivery in Scotland. We linked demographic data on everyone registered with a Tayside general practice to dispensed primary care prescriptions for oral antimicrobials for financial years 1995, 2000 and 2005-2014. Annual measurements were in financial years (e.g. 2005 is 1st April 2005 to 31st March 2006) so each year included a complete winter. HIC provided annual population estimates by age and gender. We calculated the DDD for each prescription using 2014 values. Data allowing DDD calculation (either/both of strength or quantity) were missing from 0.8% of prescriptions (highest in earlier years) so we used multiple imputation to estimate missing DDD values, with age, gender, drug and year of prescription as predictors. Antimicrobials were grouped into classes as defined in the British National Formulary version 68 chapter 5 (penicillins, tetracyclines, macrolides, sulphonamides and trimethoprim, quinolones, metronidazole and tinidazole, cephalosporins, other). We calculated antimicrobial DDD and prescriptions dispensed per 1000 population per year, in total, by class, and by age group (<5 years old; 5-64 years old; >64 years old). We then calculated the proportion of the population with at least one prescription in each study year, the mean and median number of prescriptions per patient per year among patients with any antimicrobial prescription in that year, and the mean and median DDD per prescription in each year, in total and by age group and gender.

Statistical comparisons between 2014 and 1995 used chi-squared tests for proportions, Student’s t-test for means, and Mann–Whitney U test for medians. Statistical tests of trend for total DDD and total prescriptions, both per 1000 population per year, included the continuous data period (2005 to 2014). The large dataset means that statistical tests of significance should be interpreted alongside clinical significance.
To estimate the extent to which changes in total DDD and total prescriptions, per 1000 population, were attributable to changes in each contributory measure (patients per 1000 population with any prescription, mean prescriptions per patient with any, mean DDD per prescription) we calculated the relative (percentage) change in each measure for each year compared to 1995. To investigate potential reasons behind any changes we first examined seasonal variation in prescribing, which is attributed to prescribing for respiratory tract infection. Seasonal variation, using DDD, is a European Surveillance of Antimicrobial Consumption (ESAC) quality indicator. We calculated prescriptions per 1000 population quarterly by age group and expressed seasonal variation as overuse in “winter” quarters (October-March) compared to the previous “summer” quarters (April-September) as a percentage, and compared 2014 to 1995 using chi-squared tests. We then examined trends in prescribing, from 2005-2014, of twelve commonly prescribed individual antimicrobial drugs (amoxicillin, ciprofloxacin, clarithromycin, co-amoxiclav, doxycycline, erythromycin, flucloxacillin, lymecycline, nitrofurantoin, oxyetracycline, penicillin V, trimethoprim).

Anonymised record linkage was conducted in accordance with HIC Standard Operating Procedures (SOP). These are approved by The East of Scotland Research Ethics Service and the NHS Tayside Caldicott Guardian with agreement that studies adhering to the SOP do not require individual ethical review. All data were analysed in IBM SPSS statistics v22 in an ISO27001 and Scottish Government accredited data Safe Haven.

Results
In 1995 and 2014 there were 419,447 and 441,298 registered patients, respectively. 49% were male. In all study years 5% were under 5 years old, but the proportion >64 years increased from 16% to 20%. 2,377,258 antimicrobial DDD were dispensed in 1995, rising to 3,083,451 in 2014, but prescriptions fell from 345,328 to 294,391, and the proportion of the population exposed fell from 39% to 31%.
Among the whole population, DDD per 1000 population fell between 1995 and 2000 then increased to a peak at 7092 in 2011 before decreasing to 6987 in 2014 (Table 1, Figure 1). There was a very large (68%) reduction among those <5 but increases otherwise (Table 1). Change varied by antimicrobial class (Figure 1), with more than doubling of tetracycline DDD per 1000 population per year between 1995 and 2014 (18% versus 31% of total DDD), and increases in all other classes except cephalosporins (7% versus 0.5%) and quinolones (4% versus 2%) (Figure 1, Table S1).

Prescriptions per 1000 population

Antimicrobial prescriptions per 1000 population overall fell between 1995 and 2000 then increased until 2011 before falling to 667 by 2014 (Table 1, Figure 2). There was a large (61%) reduction among those <5s and a small (2%) increase among those >64 (Table 1). Seasonal variation was greatest among pre-school children (Figure 3) with 52-69% more prescriptions per 1000 population each winter, except in 2007 (40%) due to low winter prescribing, and no significant difference between 1995 and 2014 (chi\(^2\) test \(p=0.92\)). Seasonal variation in other age groups decreased over time, from 29% among 5-64yr olds and 25% among those >64 in 1995, to 5% and 6% in 2014, respectively (chi\(^2\) tests \(p<0.001\)). Changes by antimicrobial class were similar to DDD, with reductions in cephalosporins (from 9% to 1% of prescriptions) and quinolones (4% to 2%), and increases in tetracyclines (10% to 17%) and sulphonamides/trimethoprim (7% to 12%) (Figure 2, Table S1).

Proportion prescribed any antimicrobial

The proportion of the population with at least one antimicrobial prescription per year fell overall. There was a reduction from 1995 to 2000, followed by increases between 2005 and 2012, then a reduction to 308 per 1000 population by 2014 (Tables 1 and 2). The biggest reductions were among those <5, who had the highest rate until 2005. Older people had the highest rate since then, but this reduced from 445 to 412 per 1000 population between 2010 and 2014. Among 5-64 year olds, there was a 24% reduction
Higher proportions of females (11-13% difference) had prescriptions each year but without temporal trend in differences (45% versus 33% in 1995; 37% versus 25% in 2014). Gender difference was largest among 5-64 year olds (females 13-15% higher each year). Among those <5 more males (1-3% higher each year) had any prescription (Table S2).

**Prescriptions per patient dispensed at least one antimicrobial**

In 1995, the 164,864 people in Tayside with at least one antimicrobial prescription had a mean 2.09 prescriptions. Overall, there were clinically small but statistically significant changes over time, with increases since 2000 resulting in patients with any prescription in 2014 receiving a mean additional 0.08 prescriptions compared to 1995. There were reductions among pre-school children and increases among older people (Table 1). Females with any prescription had higher mean prescriptions, overall (mean additional 0.19-0.28 prescriptions per patient per year), among 5-64 year olds (additional 0.20-0.28) and those over 64 years (additional 0.15-0.36), but among those <5 this was higher for males (additional 0.00-0.12). The gender difference among those >65 increased over time but there were no other temporal patterns (Table S2).

Median prescriptions per patient with any per year was 1.00 except among those >64, and those <5 in 1995 only, with median 2.00 (Table 1).

**DDD per prescription**

Mean DDD per prescription overall increased by more than half over the study period (Table 1, Table 2). Among young children it was low (due to paediatric dosing) and decreased by 0.72 (95% CI -0.66 to -0.80) while it increased among 5-64 year olds by 4.00 (3.95 to 4.06) (Table 1). Males had higher mean DDD per prescription overall, and the difference increased over time, from 0.69 additional DDD per prescription in 1995 to 2.28 in 2014. The difference among those <5 decreased with time; males having an additional 0.23 in 1995 but females having an additional 0.05 by 2014 (Table S2).
Median DDD per prescription was 2.50 in all years among those <5, but it increased from 5.25 in 1995 for others to 7.50 among those aged 5-64 years and 8.00 among those >64 by 2014 (Table 1).

Among most antimicrobial drug classes mean DDD per prescription increased: penicillins from 5.60 to 8.64; tetracyclines from 12.87 to 18.88; quinolones from 7.15 to 11.23; macrolides 8.51 to 15.44.

Cephalosporin mean DDD was more constant (4.54 and 4.87) and the mean DDD per sulphonamide/trimethoprim prescription decreased from 7.69 in 1995 to 5.77 in 2014.

Contribution to changes in aggregate measures

Total antimicrobial DDD per 1000 population was 24% higher in 2014 than in 1995 despite prescriptions per 1000 population decreasing by 19% (Table 2). Of potentially contributory measures, the proportion of the population with any prescription fell continuously and although mean prescriptions per patient increased until 2011, the changes are numerically small and decreased slightly by 2014 (Table 2).

Changes in this measure only account for 10,455 (3.6%) prescriptions dispensed in 2014. In contrast, the mean DDD per prescription increased by 52.2% between 1995 and 2014 (Table 2). Had the mean DDD per prescription not changed, total DDD in 2014 would have been 2,025,410 (rather than the observed 3,083,451), a 19% reduction in DDD per 1000 population from 1995, rather than the observed 24% increase.

Trends in individual drugs

Amoxicillin had the highest DDD and prescriptions per 1000 population of any individual drug and both increased until 2011 then fell until 2014 (Figure 4, Table S3). The converging trend lines for DDD and prescriptions per 1000 population reflect the increasing DDD per prescription (Figure 4). Other penicillins (co-amoxiclav, flucloxacillin and penicillin V) also had increasing DDD per prescription, and reducing prescriptions per 1000 population (Figure 4). Drugs targeted by a 2008 Tayside antimicrobial stewardship intervention (ciprofloxacin and co-amoxiclav)\(^{19}\) had reducing DDD and prescriptions per 1000 population since 2008, while drugs recommended in the intervention guidelines (doxycycline,\(^{19}\)}
nitrofurantoin and trimethoprim) had increasing DDD and prescriptions per 1000 population but reducing DDD per prescription (Figure 4). Clarithromycin and erythromycin had high mean DDD per prescription, with small increases over time (Figure 4). Lymecycline and oxytetracycline have very high mean DDD per prescription (>28 at each time point, Figure 4), reflecting long term use for acne, and resulting in disproportionate contributions to total DDD per 1000 population for a relatively small number of patients (Figure 4, Table S3).

Discussion

Summary

In this large population based study with individual prescribing data we found that antimicrobial use in DDD increased considerably between 1995 and 2014 in Tayside, despite substantial reductions in prescriptions per 1000 residents and the proportion of the population exposed. Between 2000 and 2011 there were annual increases in total prescriptions, the proportion of the population exposed, and mean prescriptions per patient, but total prescriptions and the proportion exposed then fell again and had returned to the same level as 2000, or lower, by 2014. Among pre-school children (<5 years old) antimicrobial use by all measures decreased substantially, but among older people (>64 years old) all measures were the same or higher, except the proportion exposed, which had decreased by 7%. Seasonal variation, representing prescribing for respiratory tract infection, reduced overall but remained high among pre-school children. The most significant change in prescribing practice was the large increase in mean DDD per prescription, which was driven by both increasing doses (e.g. penicillins and macrolides) and changes in choice of antimicrobial (e.g. greater use of doxycycline).

Strengths and Limitations

We report secular trends across a comprehensive set of antimicrobial prescribing measures using patient-level dispensed prescribing data across a whole geographical population. Most previous reports
generally focus on volume (e.g. DDD) and/or prescriptions (or packages) per 1000 population, or

patient-level analysis for specific clinical conditions.\textsuperscript{20-26} A recent, more comprehensive, study included
data across a whole country but without demographic information and included only seven years’
data.\textsuperscript{14} Our ability to link demographic and detailed prescribing data at patient level, including
historically, enabled detailed investigation over a relatively long time period.

The limitations of this study include those common to observational studies using routine data, such as
potentially incomplete data. We addressed missing DDD data using multiple imputation. Consistent with
successful imputation, the mean DDD per prescription was almost identical afterwards. A further
limitation is the lack of prescribing indication data, but coding in GP records is not reliably consistent
between practices or over time. In our analysis of 12 individual drugs, prescriptions per 1000 population
of trimethoprim and nitrofurantoin, both used almost exclusively for urinary tract infections (UTI) in NHS
Tayside, increased after a stewardship intervention which promoted these drugs,\textsuperscript{19} then levelled off
since 2011 (Figure 4). The decreasing mean DDD per prescription is consistent with recommended short-
course treatment for uncomplicated UTI.\textsuperscript{27} The proportion of nitrofurantoin and trimethoprim
prescriptions for women aged 16 to 64 years for three-days or less (\leq0.6 grams nitrofurantoin; \leq1.2
grams trimethoprim), increased from 0.5% to 31.5%, and 13.1% to 59.9%, respectively, between 1995
and 2014. Reductions in trends of amoxicillin and doxycycline prescriptions since 2011/2012, without
increases in other drugs used for respiratory tract infections (Figure 4), suggest reductions in prescribing
for this indication recently.

While we cannot assume similar changes in patient-level prescribing explain trends in DDD elsewhere,
the observed reductions in cephalosporin and fluoroquinolone use and increases in tetracycline use are
consistent with national guideline changes,\textsuperscript{19,28} and we know that cephalosporins, co-amoxiclav and
fluoroquinolones, as a proportion of all community prescriptions, have reduced across Scotland and in
England.\textsuperscript{12,29} These intended changes contributed to observed increases in DDD due to promoted drugs
such as doxycycline having a relatively low DDD value. Changes in recommended dosing additionally contributed to the observed increased DDD per prescription. Local guideline recommended amoxicillin doses for non-severe community acquired pneumonia increased from 500mg to 1g three times daily in 2009,\textsuperscript{28,30} and the increasing amoxicillin DDD per prescription is consistent with this guideline influencing dosing. Similar trends in dosing impacting on DDD per treatment have occurred elsewhere,\textsuperscript{14} indicating our findings will apply more widely.

**Comparison with other data**

The observed increase in total antimicrobial DDD until 2011 is consistent with other reports of primary care antimicrobial use,\textsuperscript{11,14,31-34} with many developed countries reporting reductions in the 1990s and increases between 2000 and 2010.\textsuperscript{9,12,35,36} Compared to primary care data from all Scotland and from England, Tayside had lower DDD per 1000 population in 2010 (Tayside 19.3 DDD per 1000 population per day, Scotland 20.1, England 20.6) but higher rates than England by 2014 (19.1 \textit{versus} 17.5).\textsuperscript{12,37,38} Tayside had a bigger reduction in antimicrobial prescriptions between 2011 and 2014, falling from 2.06 to 1.83 per 1000 population per day, than Scotland (2.14 to 2.05),\textsuperscript{12} England (1.92 both years),\textsuperscript{39} Wales (2.32 to 2.30),\textsuperscript{40} and Northern Ireland (2.90 to 2.84).\textsuperscript{41} National reports have limited adjustment for population demographics with the exception is the “STAR-PU” (Specific Therapeutic group Age-sex weightings Related Prescribing Units) English prescribing indicator,\textsuperscript{29} which provides useful adjusted trends over time but limited external comparison.

Two large European studies analysing antimicrobial prescribing data up to 2007, reported different trends when measuring using DDD \textit{versus} packages per 1000 population,\textsuperscript{22,36} and one reported rising DDD per package (0.04-0.31 DDD increase/package/year), similar to our findings.\textsuperscript{22} A Belgian study reported increases in antimicrobial DDD but decreases in antimicrobial packages, treatments and the proportion of people exposed from 2002-2009 using a variety of measure definitions (rates per 1000 population, per 1000 insured patients, per 1000 GP contacts).\textsuperscript{14} None of these studies reported
prescribing measures by age or gender of patients exposed, or for individual antimicrobial drugs, which we found help explain trends in the overall measures.

Between 1994 and 2000, reductions of 45% in the proportion of patients with respiratory tract infections prescribed antimicrobials, and 27% in total prescriptions per 1000 registered patients, were reported across 108 UK general practices. Similarly, reductions in total antimicrobial prescriptions and prescriptions for upper respiratory tract infection, adjusted for patient, practitioner and comorbidity, per 100 patient encounters, were reported between 1991 and 2003 across 495 Australian GPs. In contrast, across 570 UK general practices, a 29% increase in the proportion of cough/cold consultations with an antimicrobial prescription was observed between 1995 and 2011. Consultations coded as cough/cold increased and the authors attributed between-practice variation to varying concordance with antimicrobial stewardship, but could be due to coding.

Implications

Our results demonstrate that the main factor influencing total antimicrobial DDD in Tayside is DDD per prescription. Total volume in DDD does not reliably represent actual antimicrobial use so prescriptions/packages per 1000 population and the proportion of the population exposed better evaluate changes over time and the impact of stewardship interventions. What this means for the impact on emerging antimicrobial resistance is not clear, but a recent study modelling relationships between use and resistance found different associations if use was measured in DDD versus packages. However, following reductions in antimicrobial use in all age groups between 1995 and 2000, we observed increases in most measures between 2000 and 2011, with the exception of preschool children. In the last few years of observation there were reductions across several measures, but achieving substantial and sustained reductions in the proportion of the population exposed remains challenging for antimicrobial stewardship globally. National and local antimicrobial stewardship activity in Scotland, particularly since the formation of the Scottish Antimicrobial Prescribing Group in 2008, has led to
changes in choice of antimicrobial (Figures 1, 2 and 4)\textsuperscript{9,12,19} but there is less impact on total prescribing within our study timeframe. Older people appear an obvious target group for stewardship due to high rates of exposure and higher risk of adverse events like \textit{Clostridium difficile} infection.\textsuperscript{42} However, for lower respiratory tract infections, the number needed to treat to prevent serious complications is lower in older people,\textsuperscript{43} making reductions challenging. Prescribing rates are lower among those aged 5-64 years but they received 62\% of all antimicrobial prescriptions in Tayside in 2014. They may be a better target for stewardship, with greater scope for change. Recent data from >600 general practices found minor increases in treatable respiratory tract infections associated with large reductions in antimicrobial prescribing, but the authors acknowledged the need for analysis in high-risk subgroups.\textsuperscript{44}

\textbf{Conclusion}

Our findings highlight the importance of studying trends in antimicrobial use in greater detail than total volume in DDD. With antimicrobial stewardship focusing on reducing total prescribing, we need to replicate the large reductions observed in the 1990s, building on the small reductions since 2011, and to learn from continued reductions among pre-school children. Further research is required to better understand the relationship between antimicrobial consumption and resistance and the relative importance of individual and community exposure.
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### Table 1. Summary of antimicrobial prescribing measures by year and age group among all patients registered with a general practice in the Tayside NHS Health Board region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1995</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>Difference (95% CI) 2014 vs 1995 and p-value&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2014 vs 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>&lt; 5 years</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of patients&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>23,054</td>
<td>21,695</td>
<td>19,826</td>
<td>21,266</td>
<td>21,472</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDD per 1000 patients</td>
<td>6125</td>
<td>2875</td>
<td>2666</td>
<td>2258</td>
<td>1948</td>
<td>-4177 (-4094 to -4260) p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescriptions per 1000 patients</td>
<td>1612</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>898</td>
<td>776</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>-978 (-956 to -1000) p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients per 1000 with prescription</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>-319 (-309 to -329) p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean prescriptions per patient&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>-0.74 (-0.79 to -0.69) p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median prescriptions per patient&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-1.00 p&lt;0.001~</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean DDD per prescription</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>-0.72 (-0.66 to -0.80) p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median DDD per prescription</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0 p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5 to 64 years</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of patients&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>328,048</td>
<td>322,648</td>
<td>318,200</td>
<td>338,033</td>
<td>332,417</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDD per 1000 patients</td>
<td>5082</td>
<td>4581</td>
<td>5598</td>
<td>6304</td>
<td>6123</td>
<td>1041 (1014 to 1068) p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescriptions per 1000 patients</td>
<td>709</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>-161 (-158 to -164) p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients per 1000 with prescription</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>-86 (-84 to -88) p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean prescriptions per patient&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>0.02 (0.03 to 0.01) p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median prescriptions per patient&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0 p&lt;0.001~</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean DDD per prescription</td>
<td>7.17</td>
<td>8.13</td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td>9.92</td>
<td>11.17</td>
<td>4.00 (3.95 to 4.06) p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median DDD per prescription</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>2.25 p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>&gt; 64 years</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of patients&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>68,345</td>
<td>69,443</td>
<td>71,211</td>
<td>78,274</td>
<td>87,408</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDD per 1000 patients</td>
<td>8336</td>
<td>8183</td>
<td>9617</td>
<td>11,523</td>
<td>11,510</td>
<td>3174 (3075 to 3273) p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescriptions per 1000 patients</td>
<td>1107</td>
<td>1044</td>
<td>1138</td>
<td>1239</td>
<td>1127</td>
<td>20 (9 to 31) p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients per 1000 with prescription</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>-33 (-29 to -37) p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean prescriptions per patient&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>0.28 (0.24 to 0.32) p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median prescriptions per patient&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0 p&lt;0.001~</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean DDD per prescription</td>
<td>7.53</td>
<td>7.84</td>
<td>8.45</td>
<td>9.30</td>
<td>10.21</td>
<td>2.68 (2.61 to 2.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median DDD per prescription</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>2.75 p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>All ages</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of patients&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>419,447</td>
<td>413,786</td>
<td>409,237</td>
<td>437,573</td>
<td>441,298</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDD per 1000 patients</td>
<td>5651</td>
<td>5094</td>
<td>6153</td>
<td>7037</td>
<td>6987</td>
<td>1336 (1309 to 1363) p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescriptions per 1000 patients</td>
<td>821</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>-154 (-151 to -157) p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients per 1000 with prescription</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>-85 (-84 to -86) p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean prescriptions per patient&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>0.08 (0.06 to 0.09) p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median prescriptions per patient&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0 p&lt;0.001~</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean DDD per prescription</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>7.68</td>
<td>8.39</td>
<td>9.38</td>
<td>10.47</td>
<td>3.59 (3.55 to 3.63) p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median DDD per prescription</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>2.25 p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Total patients registered with general practitioner in the region

<sup>*</sup> Mean and median prescriptions per patient dispensed at least one antimicrobial

~Although there is no numerical difference there is a statistically significant difference in the distribution

# Differences in proportions evaluated using chi-squared, in means using t-test, and in medians using Mann-Whitney U test
Table 2 Percentage change per year compared to 1995 for each measure of antimicrobial prescribing among all registered patients in Tayside

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients per 1000 with any prescription (A)</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>-16.3</td>
<td>-9.3</td>
<td>-10.2</td>
<td>-11.0</td>
<td>-9.3</td>
<td>-11.9</td>
<td>-11.2</td>
<td>-12.4</td>
<td>-15.5</td>
<td>-19.6</td>
<td>-21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean prescriptions per patient with any (B)</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>-3.3</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean DDD per prescription (C)</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>52.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescriptions per 1000 patients (A x B)</td>
<td>821</td>
<td>-19.1</td>
<td>-10.6</td>
<td>-11.0</td>
<td>-10.2</td>
<td>-8.0</td>
<td>-9.4</td>
<td>-8.6</td>
<td>-8.2</td>
<td>-12.9</td>
<td>-15.8</td>
<td>-18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDD per 1000 patients (A x B x C)</td>
<td>5651</td>
<td>-9.7</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>23.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Positive values are increases, negative values are decreases
Figures

Figure 1 Total WHO DDD of antimicrobials dispensed per 1000 registered patients in Tayside per financial year (April to March)

Test for trend from 2005-2014: β = 109.99 (R² = 0.764, p = 0.001)
Test for trend from 2005-2014: $\beta = -5.75$ ($R^2 = 0.366, p = 0.064$)
Figure 3 Quarterly prescriptions per 1000 registered patients in Tayside by age group in financial years (April to March) 2005-14
Figure 4 Trends in use of twelve individual antimicrobial drugs commonly used in primary care in Tayside, from 2005 to 2014, with use measured in DDD per 1000 population (dashed lines, left y axes), prescriptions per 1000 population (solid lines, right y axes) and mean DDD per prescription (text below x axes). Each graph is plotted on the same scale for ease of comparison.