Understanding the ontogeny of foraging behaviour: insights from combining marine predator bio-logging with satellite-derived oceanography in hidden Markov models
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1. Introduction
The development of foraging strategies that enable juveniles to efficiently identify and exploit predictable habitat features is critical for survival and long-term fitness. In the marine environment, meso- and sub-mesoscale features such as oceanographic fronts offer a visible cue to enhanced foraging conditions, but how individuals learn to identify these features is a mystery. In this study, we investigate age-related differences in the fine-scale foraging behaviour of adult (aged ≥5 years) and immature (aged 2–4 years) northern gannets Morus bassanus. Using high-resolution GPS-loggers, we reveal that adults have a much narrower foraging distribution than immature birds and much higher individual foraging site fidelity. By conditioning the transition probabilities of a hidden Markov model on satellite-derived measures of frontal activity, we then demonstrate that adults show a stronger response to frontal activity than immature birds, and are more likely to commence foraging behaviour as frontal intensity increases. Together, these results indicate that adult gannets are more proficient foragers than immatures, supporting the hypothesis that foraging specializations are learned during individual exploratory behaviour in early life. Such memory-based individual foraging strategies may also explain the extended period of immaturity observed in gannets and many other long-lived species.
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Individual foraging specializations are prevalent among adults of long-lived species [13,14], and have potentially far-reaching consequences for individual fitness, as well as influencing the manner in which populations can respond to environmental change [15]. However, the mechanisms producing and maintaining such individual differences are only poorly understood. In some species, foraging specializations are learned by cultural transmission from mother to offspring (e.g. in sea otters Enhydra lutris [16]) or among a close-knit social group (e.g. in social primates and dolphins [17,18]). However, in most cases individuals acquire foraging specializations independently and in the absence of detectable morphological differences. Hence an alternative explanation is that such specializations are learned during individual exploratory behaviours in early life, that then become canalized and refined with age and experience [14,19]. This ‘exploration-refinement’ process [20] may be especially important for some forms of specialization such as individual foraging site fidelity (IFSF), where an animal repeatedly visits the same foraging patch. However, there are very few data to examine the development of IFSF [19] or the association between IFSF and foraging proficiency. IFSF could result from individuals learning to identify and relocate profitable patches, but while it is well known that foraging competence tends to increase with age [5,10] it is less clear whether or not this includes an enhanced ability to recognize suitable patches.

In the marine environment, meso- and sub-mesoscale oceanic features such as fronts, eddies and filaments entrain nutrients, enhance primary productivity and aggregate zooplankton [21–23]. These features occur throughout the oceans, creating enhanced foraging conditions that attract higher predators, including cetaceans [24], sea turtles [25], pinnipeds [26] and seabirds [27]. The foraging behaviour of these marine predators has been linked to fronts identified from both composite mapping of remotely sensed sea surface temperature and chlorophyll-a fields [28–30], and from surface velocity fields estimated via satellite altimetry [27,31]. However, while these features are ubiquitous, spatial and temporal variation in size, intensity and persistence affects their suitability as foraging patches [28,32], and we lack an understanding of how individuals learn to identify these areas or the cues that they use to find them.

In this study, our objective was to investigate simultaneous age-related differences in both foraging specialization (IFSF) and proficiency; in particular, the use of frontal areas as foraging habitat. We focus on the northern gannet Morus bassanus (hereafter gannet), a long-lived neritic seabird characterized by overlapping generations and a long pre-breeding period (>5 years) [33]. Adult gannets display high consistency in individual foraging behaviour [34,35] including IFSF associated with foraging in areas of high frontal activity [28,29]. By contrast, a recent study revealed much lower levels of IFSF among immature birds, suggesting that young individuals require a protracted period of learning to develop the foraging consistency observed in adults [19]. Alternatively, immature birds may simply choose to explore a greater range of different sites than adults on successive foraging trips. It is not currently known whether immatures are less able than adults to locate and exploit areas of high frontal activity or whether IFSF is associated with a more restricted foraging distribution among adults overall, as might be expected if birds learn to avoid unprofitable foraging areas.

To better understand how cognitive processes are influenced by and give rise to movement patterns requires the integration of high-resolution telemetry data, fine-scale remote sensing data and recent methodological developments in data analysis [36]. Here, we combine data collected by high-resolution GPS-loggers with satellite-derived measures of frontal activity using state-switching models [37]. We compare the foraging specialization and proficiency of immature and chick-rearing adult gannets, examining three specific predictions: (i) adults use a more restricted range of foraging locations than immature birds, resulting in a narrower foraging distribution at population level and hence a degree of segregation between adults and immature birds at sea; (ii) adults show both higher IFSF and a stronger response than immature birds to areas of high frontal activity indicative of suitable foraging sites and (iii) associated with these changes, adults make more effective use of time at sea, spending no more time foraging than immatures, despite needing to provide for dependent offspring in addition to themselves.

2. Methods

2.1. Study system and data collection

Fieldwork was conducted between June and August 2015 at the world’s largest gannet colony, Bass Rock, Scotland (56 60′N, 2 36′W), where ca 75,000 pairs breed annually. Using a 6 m telescopic pole fitted with a wire crook, 35 adult gannets (ages ≥5 years) were caught at the nest-site while attending chicks, and 21 immature gannets (ages 2–4 years, identified using plumage characteristics [33,38]) were caught at club sites (areas of the colony frequented by pre-breeding individuals) or while attempting to hold territories around the colony. On capture, birds were marked with a unique metal ring (British Trust for Ornithology, UK) and an individually numbered colour-tape, and programmed to record locations every 2 min. Total handling time was approximately 15 min. Maximum device weight (45 g) was less than 2% of body weight (3.2 ± 0.3 kg) and below the maximum recommended for bio-logging studies [40], while the difference in device weights for adults and immature birds was only 0.25% of body mass. Previous studies indicate that such deployments have no discernible impact on trip durations or body masses of birds [41,42]. We captured 34 adults, providing 31 devices with usable data, and downloaded usable datasets from 15 immature birds.

2.2. Oceanographic data

To identify areas of frontal activity, we used the backward-in-time finite-size Lyapunov exponent (FSLE, [43]) available via CLS/CNES Aviso (http://www.aviso.altimetry.fr). This technique measures the relative dispersion of particles traced over altimetry-derived time-dependent current velocity fields [43]. Ridges of high FSLE values occur where formally distant water masses converge to create a transport front, providing a good proxy for areas of frontal activity such as sub-mesoscale chlorophyll and SST filaments [44]. As a Lagrangian diagnostic, this approach has the benefit of (i) incorporating both the spatial
and temporal variability of altimetry velocity fields [24] and (ii) approximating the types of Lagrangian coherent structures that marine predators have previously been shown to exploit [27,45,46].

2.3. Statistical analysis

During data processing, we defined foraging trips as periods when birds were more than 10 km from the colony for more than 40 min; all other locations were classified as either colony attendance or rafting [47] and excluded from this analysis. All data were transformed to a UTM 30N projection and, to remove irregularities in satellite uplink time, were regularized by linear interpolation to 2 min intervals using the package adehabitatLT v. 0.3.23 [48].

To quantify the extent to which the foraging distributions of adult and immature birds overlapped, we calculated the bivariate kernel utilization distribution (UD) for each group using a smoothing parameter of 10 km and a grid size of 1 km in the package adehabitatHR v. 0.4.15 [48]. Overlap was estimated using Bhattacharyya’s affinity (BA) [49] where 0 equates to no overlap and 1 to complete overlap in the UDs. We estimated a null distribution of Bhattacharyya’s affinity values by randomly reassigning age class among the 46 individuals 1000 times and calculated $p$-values as the proportion of random assignment BA values that were smaller than the observed BA estimate [42].

For each foraging trip, we calculated: (a) trip duration (h), (b) total trip length (km), (c) departure angle (average of the first five bearings greater than 10 km from the colony, rad), (d) trip range (maximum displacement from the colony, km), (e) the $x$-coordinate and (f) the $y$-coordinate of the furthest location from the colony (m) and (g) the trip area (minimum convex polygon, km$^2$). Differences in trip characteristics between adult and immature birds were then examined using linear mixed-effects models fitted with bird ID as a random intercept as there were multiple trip measurements per individual. In these models, trip duration, total distance travelled and foraging area were log$_{10}$ transformed.

After testing for population-level differences, we examined the consistency of individual differences in trip characteristics by calculating a measure of repeatability based on the intraclass correlation coefficient from linear mixed-effect models fitted with bird ID as a random intercept using the package rptR [50]. We used repeatability as a proxy for foraging specialization within the adult and immature populations, testing the null hypothesis that between-individual variance in a particular characteristic was equal to within-individual variance [34]. We then tested differences in the repeatability of trip characteristics between adult and immature birds by calculating pairwise differences in $Z$-transformed repeatability estimates ($Z_r$) and examined whether or not the corresponding confidence intervals overlapped zero [50,51]. For departure angles, we calculated repeatability using circular ANOVAs fitted with the package circular [52] following standard methods [53,54].

We used hidden Markov models (HMMs) to examine the at-sea behaviour of adult and immature gannets using the package moveHMM v.1.0 [55]. The movement of an individual along a foraging trip was decomposed into three underlying states based on characterization of the distributions of step lengths and turning angles between consecutive locations. We used a gamma distribution to describe the turning angles. The three states were defined as follows: (i) spend time in directed flight to and from foraging patches, (ii) perform slow and tortuous flight when foraging within a patch, and (iii) spend time resting on the sea surface [57].

During a previous study of gannets equipped with GPS loggers and time–depth recorders (TDRs) 81% of all TDR dives corresponded with locations identified as foraging by a similarly parameterized HMM [57]. As initial parameter values are required for model estimation, we
verified that the model had identified the maximum-likelihood estimates of the parameters by refitting the model 25 times with random initial parameter values. We used the Viterbi algorithm to estimate the most likely sequence of movement states to have generated the observations based on the fitted model [58].

To assess differences in movement patterns between adult and immature birds, we included the additive effect of age (binary; adult/immature), FSLE and the interaction between the two as covariates in the HMM framework. These covariates were included within the HMM formulation as a logistic regression that expresses the transition probabilities of the underlying state process as a function of the covariates, allowing us to assess the importance of the covariates on the probability of switching between states [59,60]. FSLE values were transformed to a positive scale to aid interpretation. The resulting models were then ranked based on the Akaike information criterion (AIC). Finally, we examined differences in the proportion of time adult and immature gannets spent in each of the three states using mixed-effects logistic regressions, with bird ID as a random intercept using the package lme4 v. 1.1-10 [61]. All analyses were conducted using R v. 3.2.2 [62].

3. Results

3.1. Foraging distribution

This study provides information on 129 foraging trips for 31 adult gannets and 118 foraging trips for 15 immature gannets, representing data for a total of 393 gannet-days. During this time, adults repeatedly used areas to the northeast and southeast of the breeding colony, while immature birds were much more widely distributed across the North Sea (figure 1; electronic supplementary material, animation S1). Consequently, the overlap in UD between the two groups, estimated using BA (figure 2), was significantly lower than the null expectation for both the 50% and 95% UD contours (BA = 0.23, p = 0.04 and BA = 0.69, p = 0.01, respectively).

3.2. Foraging specialization and proficiency

Adult gannets were significantly more repeatable than immature birds in the angle at which they departed the colony ($Z_r = 0.87$, 95% CI 0.36–1.38) and the y-coordinate (latitude) of the terminal point of their foraging trip ($Z_r = 1.23$, 95% CI 0.72–1.74), indicating a much higher level of IFSF among adults (figure 3). In addition, foraging trips of adults were more in duration than those of immature birds (median 24 h and 43 h, respectively; table 1; $\chi^2 = 4.26, p = 0.04$) despite there being little difference in the total distance travelled per trip ($\chi^2 = 1.42, p = 0.23$), the maximum range from the colony ($\chi^2 = 0.85, p = 0.35$) or the area covered at sea per trip ($\chi^2 = 0.32, p = 0.57$; table 1).

Table 1. Summary of foraging trip metrics for adult and immature northern gannets Morus bassanus tracked from Bass Rock UK.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>adult</th>
<th>immature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>median</td>
<td>range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trip duration (h)</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>3.0–56.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trip length (km)</td>
<td>629.0</td>
<td>48.8–1201.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trip range (km)</td>
<td>239.8</td>
<td>17.6–507.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trip area (km²)</td>
<td>7107.4</td>
<td>55.3–34666.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The HMM decomposed the tracking data into three distinct states, capturing clearly identifiable movement patterns that we use here as proxies for three behavioural modes: (i) short step lengths and small turning angles (step: 0.03 ± 0.02 km; turn: $\mu = 0, \kappa = 22.3$) corresponded with animals resting on the water; (ii) short step lengths and large turning angles (step: 0.41 ± 0.54 km; turn: $\mu = 0, \kappa = 1.0$) corresponded with animals foraging and (iii) long step lengths and small turning angles (step: 1.66 ± 0.43 km; turn: $\mu = 0, \kappa = 27.1$) corresponded with animals transiting to and from the colony and between foraging sites (figure 4). The AIC of the HMM was greatly improved by including age, FSLE intensity and the interaction between the two (table 2), indicating that adult and immature gannets responded differently to frontal intensity. As predicted, adults exhibited a stronger response to frontal activity than immature birds, and were more likely to switch from transiting to foraging modes as frontal intensity increased (figures 4c and 5; electronic supplementary material, animation S2).

During trips, adult and immature gannets spent a similar proportion of the day foraging ($\chi^2 = 0.14, p = 0.71$; table 3). However, adults spent a smaller proportion of daylight hours resting on the water ($\chi^2 = 33.14, p < 0.01$) and a greater proportion of time transiting ($\chi^2 = 33.15, p < 0.01$) than immature birds. Both adult and immature gannets spent greater than 80% of the night resting on the sea surface.

4. Discussion

In this study, our integrated approach revealed novel differences in the foraging specialization and proficiency of adult and immature gannets. In line with our predictions, adults had a much narrower foraging distribution than immature birds and showed greater IFSF. In addition, adults were more likely than immature birds to switch from transiting to foraging modes when encountering areas of high frontal activity. Together these results strongly suggest that the development of IFSF is linked to individuals learning to identify and remember the location of suitable foraging habitat associated with persistent and semi-persistent oceanic fronts.

Adult gannets foraged predominantly to the northeast and southeast of the breeding colony, while immature birds ranged much more widely across the North Sea, with their core foraging distribution (25% and 50% UD contours) including extensive areas east of the colony within the central North Sea that were largely ignored by adults (figure 1). A tidal mixing front forms approximately 50 km offshore to the northeast of Bass Rock and has previously been identified as important for gannets foraging from this colony [63].

To assess differences in movement patterns between adult and immature birds, we included the additive effect of age (binary; adult/immature), FSLE and the interaction between the two as covariates in the HMM framework. These covariates were included within the HMM formulation as a logistic regression that expresses the transition probabilities of the underlying state process as a function of the covariates, allowing us to assess the importance of the covariates on the probability of switching between states [59,60]. FSLE values were transformed to a positive scale to aid interpretation. The resulting models were then ranked based on the Akaike information criterion (AIC). Finally, we examined differences in the proportion of time adult and immature gannets spent in each of the three states using mixed-effects logistic regressions, with bird ID as a random intercept using the package lme4 v. 1.1-10 [61]. All analyses were conducted using R v. 3.2.2 [62].
Both adult and immature gannets visited this region, and also travelled further north to the Fladen Ground, an area that contains a semi-permanent eddy formed from the confluence of the Fair Isle current and East Shetland Atlantic inflow, and also driven in part by local bathymetry [64,65]. Immature

---

**Figure 3.** Examples of successive foraging trips by (a) four adult and (b) four immature gannets, together with (c) differences in the point estimates of repeatabilities and 95% confidence intervals for seven measures of foraging trip characteristics (for more information, see Methods). Differences that do not cross the dotted line are significantly different at the α = 0.05 level. (Online version in colour.)

---

**Figure 4.** Histograms of (a) the observed step length and (b) the observed turning angle distributions for GPS-tracked adult and immature gannets. Lines represent the HMM fitted state-dependent distributions, and are coloured according to behavioural mode. (c) Model estimated correlation between frontal intensity (finite-size Lyapunov exponent) and the probability of switching from transiting to foraging modes for adult (solid line) and immature (dashed line) gannets. (Online version in colour.)

Both adult and immature gannets visited this region, and also travelled further north to the Fladen Ground, an area that contains a semi-permanent eddy formed from the confluence of the Fair Isle current and East Shetland Atlantic inflow, and also driven in part by local bathymetry [64,65]. Immature
by persistent ocean fronts or consistently high fishing activity [28,67]. In contrast to adults, immature birds had both much lower IFSF and a much weaker response to ocean fronts, supporting the hypothesis that IFSF results from individuals learning to identify and relocate such profitable foraging locations [19,35]. Lower IFSF could potentially have been due to immature birds encountering lower intraspecific competition at sea, as a result of their broader foraging distribution [14,68], but this seems unlikely because of their substantial overlap with adults, including short trips in areas of high conspecific density (figure 1), and because longer trips to locations not visited by adults from Bass Rock are likely to have overlapped with birds from adjacent colonies [39]. Hence our data support the notion that IFSF results from learning, with site familiarity being developed in early life during individual exploration or by using social information (for instance, immature gannets frequently follow adults at sea [69]) and subsequently canalized through acquired navigational memory [20,35]. These findings complement recent developments from the physical sciences demonstrating that site fidelity to profitable foraging patches can arise through reinforcement in inhomogeneous environments [70].

Adults had much lower repeatability in trip durations and total distances travelled than in bearings and destinations of trips, as recorded previously [34,71], probably reflecting differences in conditions (e.g. wind) experienced during trips [72] or fine-scale variation in prey availability or individual energy requirements. Overall, adult and immature gannets did not differ in the proportion of time attributed to foraging on each trip. However, given that breeding adults were foraging both for self-maintenance and chick provisioning, while immature birds foraged only to provision themselves, the similarity in the proportion of time spent foraging suggests that in association with greater IFSF and a stronger response to frontal density, adults had greater foraging efficiency than immature birds [73]. This could have resulted from a higher dive rate, a higher success rate or a combination of both. Immature gannets also spent less time in transiting flight and more time resting per trip, which may have been due to lower flight performance [74]. For example, immature Eurasian griffon vultures Gyps fulvus have a lower soaring–gliding efficiency, a higher proportion of flapping flight and higher energy expenditure during flight when compared with adults [75].

Table 2. Comparison by AIC of the candidate three-state HMM that included frontal intensity (FSLE) and age as covariates acting on the transition probabilities, and an intercept only model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(\Delta AIC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FSLE * age</td>
<td>270679.2 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age * age</td>
<td>270667.2 12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSLE</td>
<td>270140.4 538.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~1</td>
<td>269905.0 774.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Example overlay of time-matched frontal intensity (finite-size Lyapunov exponent, FSLE) with one adult gannet foraging trip during 19 and 20 June 2015. Darker shading indicates more intense frontal activity, gannet locations are coloured by the Vitterbi-decoded behavioural mode, arrows indicate direction of travel. (Online version in colour.)

Segregation between adult and immature individuals could arise from differences in habitat selection or dietary requirements, mirroring the sexual segregation observed among adults in this population [42,66]. However, while distributions overlapped less than expected by chance, there was nonetheless substantial overlap, particularly northeast of the colony, suggesting that adults and immatures may target similar resources. Immature individuals are less constrained than adults during the breeding season, and so could range further from the breeding colony to target under-used habitat and reduce intraspecific competition [19,38,39] but this suggestion was not supported by the similarity in foraging trip ranges of adults and immature birds in our study (table 1). Hence the narrower foraging distribution of adults most probably arose from more experienced birds choosing a more restricted selection of foraging locations.

Adults had high IFSF and consistently switched from transiting to foraging in response to high frontal density, supporting previous evidence that IFSF among adults results from individuals returning repeatedly to sites characterized by persistent ocean fronts or consistently high fishing activity [28,67]. In contrast to adults, immature birds had both much lower IFSF and a much weaker response to ocean fronts, supporting the hypothesis that IFSF results from individuals learning to identify and relocate such profitable foraging locations [19,35]. Lower IFSF could potentially have been due to immature birds encountering lower intraspecific competition at sea, as a result of their broader foraging distribution [14,68], but this seems unlikely because of their substantial overlap with adults, including short trips in areas of high conspecific density (figure 1), and because longer trips to locations not visited by adults from Bass Rock are likely to have overlapped with birds from adjacent colonies [39]. Hence our data support the notion that IFSF results from learning, with site familiarity being developed in early life during individual exploration or by using social information (for instance, immature gannets frequently follow adults at sea [69]) and subsequently canalized through acquired navigational memory [20,35]. These findings complement recent developments from the physical sciences demonstrating that site fidelity to profitable foraging patches can arise through reinforcement in inhomogeneous environments [70].

5. Conclusion

Here, we have demonstrated how an integrated approach combining high-resolution bio-logging technology with satellite-derived environmental data in HMMs can provide novel insights into key ecological questions. This approach has been used to identify the principal movement patterns of a marine predator and to reveal age-related differences in how individuals respond when encountering potentially good foraging habitat. Foraging efficiency is well known to increase with age and experience prior to senescence, and the time taken to develop the ability to obtain sufficient food for reproduction, in addition to self-maintenance, may constrain age at first breeding in many long-lived species [10,76]. Our data suggest the development of IFSF through individual learning could play a key role in increasing foraging proficiency, and
delayed breeding may be the result of individuals acquiring individual foraging specialization. Further studies, including longitudinal analyses, are now required to quantify the relationship between individual specialization and age at first breeding in long-lived species.
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Table 3. Proportion of time spent in each behavioural mode during a foraging trip for adult and immature northern gannets Morus bassanus tracked from Bass Rock UK.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Behaviour</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Likelihood-ratio test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foraging</td>
<td>0.363</td>
<td>0.132 – 0.897</td>
<td>$\chi^2 = 0.14$, $p = 0.71$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0 – 0.389</td>
<td>$\chi^2 = 13.44$, $p &lt; 0.01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travelling</td>
<td>0.460</td>
<td>0.063 – 0.867</td>
<td>$\chi^2 = 33.15$, $p &lt; 0.01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immature</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.093 – 0.771</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>0 – 0.747</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travelling</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>0 – 0.870</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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