Bis(alkyl)thioethers on a biphenyl scaffold: A spectroscopic and structural insight
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Abstract

A series of bis(alkyl)thioether compounds utilising biphenyl as the backbone of the type 2,2′-bis(alkythio)-1,1′-biphenyl have been prepared with yields of 32-70\%. The six benzyl derivatives all displayed an AB quartet for the CH\textsubscript{2} hydrogens within their \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectra due to restricted rotation within the molecule. Where the alkyl group was changed to neopentyl the CH\textsubscript{2} protons became equivalent giving rise to a singlet within the \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectrum. The compounds have been characterised principally using multinuclear NMR spectroscopy and single crystal X-ray diffraction.
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1. Introduction

Organosulfur compounds have a long and rich history in organic synthesis acting as valuable intermediates.[1, 2] Compounds containing C–S bonds are highly desirable, in particular alkyl aryl and dialkyl sulfides, resulting in a large number of reported methods for their synthesis.[3] Thioethers have a wide and varied use within chemistry with compounds containing this sulfur linkage studied in medicinal chemistry (A),[4] as ligands in organometallic chemistry (B)[5] and in catalysis (C) (Figure 1).[6] Additionally, compounds of this type have been shown to be useful precursors for the formation of other highly interesting species.[7]

![Figure 1: Compounds demonstrating the various uses of thioethers.](image)

Of particular relevance to the work presented here are bis(alkyl)thioethers, and their derivatives, attached to polyaromatic backbones such as acenaphthene, naphthalene, biphenyl and binaphthalene. The chemistry of these systems has seen far less investigation than those based upon the 1,2-benzene scaffold. A number of reports have been published describing the synthesis of simple thioether compounds (D),[8-11] (E)[12, 13] and (F)[14] (Figure 2). However, to date there has been no report of the acenaphthene derivative. The work conducted by Barbero, which describes the synthesis of E, was examining synthetic routes to arene mono- and disulfonyl chlorides which are key intermediates for the synthesis of strong Brønsted acids which are useful organocatalysts.[13] Longer chain alkyl groups have also been utilised including ethyl derivatives of naphthalene (G)[15] and biphenyl (H)[12] as well as a butyl analogue on naphthalene (I)[16] (Figure 2).
Thioethers bound to polyaromatic backbones are not limited to only alkyl derivatives. A variety of bis(aryl)thioethers and their derivatives attached to naphthalene, acenaphthene, biphenyl and binaphthalene are also known. Figure 3 (J-M) shows the phenyl analogues that have been prepared based upon these backbones.[15, 17-19] As part of a wider investigation into using peri-substitution in the formation of novel bonding motifs Aschenbach and Knight prepared compounds K and J respectively alongside other chalcogen derivatives including selenium and tellurium analogues. In both cases the onset of an attractive interaction, in the form of a 3c-4e bond, across the peri-gap was proposed based upon single crystal X-ray diffraction data and computational analysis (B3LYP level of DFT).[15, 17] A one-pot synthesis of 2,2′-bis-substituted biphenyls, of which L is an example, was developed by Studer in 2015. This involved the addition of Mg-thiolates to benzyne followed by homocoupling of the resulting ortho-substituted arylmagnesium species. They found a number of the 2,2′-bis-substituted biphenyls readily crystallised revealing interesting interactions within the solid state as determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction.[18]
The Woollins group has recently been investigating the use of rigid organic backbones such as naphthalene and acenaphthene in the formation of novel bonding interactions (3c-4e bonds) between chalcogens. Building on this work we proposed to introduce a more rotationally free backbone to investigate the importance of the rigidity on the potential formation of a 3c-4e bond. Biphenyl was selected as the backbone as a number of potential synthetic routes to the desired chalcogenoether compounds could be designed based upon the known literature compounds 2,2′-dibromo-1,1′-biphenyl (1) and dibenzo[c,e][1,2]dithiine (2). Additionally, the introduction of axially chirality from the biphenyl backbone makes these compounds of interest as potential ligands for asymmetric catalysis as they represent a simpler alternative to, for example, binaphthalene. The work presented here represents the first step in designing synthetic routes towards the related selenium and tellurium chalcogenoethers. In this work we describe the synthesis and crystal structures of six 2,2′-bis(benzylthio)-1,1′-biphenyl compounds with varying para substituents on the benzyl group. Additionally, 2,2′-bis(neopentylthio)-1,1′-biphenyl has been prepared and spectroscopically characterised. The features of their 1H NMR spectra and solid state structures are examined and compared.

2. Synthetic Methods

2.1 General

All synthetic manipulations were performed under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen using standard Schlenk-line techniques. Dry solvents were either collected from an MBraun Solvent Purification System, or were dried and stored according to common procedures. Chemicals were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, Acros Organics, Alfa Aesar or were taken from the laboratory inventory and used without further purification. 2,2′-dibromo-1,1′-biphenyl was prepared according to the literature procedure. Dibenzo[c,e][1,2]dithiine and 1,1′-biphenyl-2,2′-dithiol were synthesised according to modified literature procedures. The synthetic protocols for 1-3 are included within the supplementary information for completeness. All NMR spectra were recorded using a...
Bruker Avance II 400 or Bruker Avance III 500 spectrometer at 25 °C. Assignments of $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra were made with the use of H–H DQF-COSY, H–C HSQC, H–C HMBC two-dimensional experiments. For $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR tetramethylsilane was used as an external standard, for $^{19}$F{$^1$H} NMR CCl$_3$F was used as an external standard. Residual solvent peaks were also used for calibration (CDCl$_3$ δ$_H$ 7.26, δ$_C$ 77.2 ppm). Chemical shifts (δ) are given in parts per million (ppm). Coupling constants (J) are given in Hertz (Hz). Mass Spectrometry spectra were acquired at the EPSRC UK National Mass Spectrometry Facility in Swansea using a Waters Xevo G2-S (ASAP). Infrared spectra were recorded as KBr discs in the range of 4000-400 cm$^{-1}$ using a Perkin-Elmer System 2000 NIR Fourier Transform spectrometer. Elemental analyses were performed by Stephen Boyer at the London Metropolitan University.

2.2 Experimental

General Method A

To a solution of 3 (1 eq.) dissolved in ethanol (15 mL), sodium hydroxide (2.2 eq.) was added and the solution stirred for 30 minutes. The benzyl bromide (2.2 eq.) was added to the reaction flask, and the resulting mixture stirred for 24 hours at room temperature. The solvent was removed and the crude product dissolved in diethyl ether (20 mL). The suspension was filtered under vacuum to remove the salt by-product. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure yielding the crude product. Recrystallisation from ethanol afforded the product.

$2,2'$-bis(benzylthio)-1,1'$-biphenyl (4)

Prepared following method A using 3 (100 mg, 0.46 mmol), sodium hydroxide (39 mg, 1.01 mmol) and benzyl bromide (0.12 mL, 1.01 mmol). Compound 4 was obtained as a white crystalline solid (96 mg, 0.24 mmol, 53%), m.p 110-113 °C (lit. 116 °C).[12] Crystals suitable for X-ray work were obtained by recrystallisation from ethanol. Anal. calcd. for C$_{26}$H$_{22}$S$_2$ (398.58 g mol$^{-1}$): C, 78.34; H, 5.56. Found
C, 78.27; H, 5.66. $^1$H NMR: $\delta_{\text{n}}$ (400.1 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 7.37 (2H, dd, $^3J_{\text{HH}}$ 7.9, $^4J_{\text{HH}}$ 1.3 Hz, H-5), 7.29 (2H, pseudo-td, $^3J_{\text{HH}}$ 7.6, $^4J_{\text{HH}}$ 1.6 Hz, H-4), 7.24-7.16 (12H, m, H-3, 9,10,11), 7.07 (2H, dd, $^3J_{\text{HH}}$ 7.5, 1.6 Hz, H-2), 3.99 (4H, ABq, $\Delta\delta_{\text{AB}}$ 0.02, $^2J_{\text{HH}}$ 12.9 Hz, H-7). $^{13}$C NMR: $\delta_{\text{c}}$ (100.6 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 141.1 (ArC$_{\text{q}}$, C-6), 137.3 (ArC$_{\text{q}}$, C-8), 136.3 (ArC$_{\text{q}}$, C-1), 130.5 (ArCH, C-5), 129.1 (ArCH, C-9), 128.9 (ArCH,C-2), 128.5 (ArCH, C-10), 128.3 (ArCH, C-3), 127.2 (ArCH, C-11), 125.9 (ArCH, C-4), 38.6 (CH$_2$, C-7). HRMS (APCI+): $m/z$ (%) 399.1236 (20) [M+H]$^+$, 321.0767 (5) [M-C$_{\text{H}}$]$,^+$ 307.0610 (10) [M-C$_{\text{H}}$]$,^+$ 287.0889 (15) [M-C$_{\text{H}}$]$,^+$ 229.0140 (25) [M-C$_{\text{H}}$]$,^+$ 181.1011 (100). IR (KBr): $\nu_{\text{max}}$/cm$^{-1}$ 3126w ($\nu$Ar-$\text{H}$), 2922m ($\nu$C-H), 1578m, 1510m, 1424m, 1041m, 1069s, 717s ($\nu$C=S). Raman (glass capillary): $\nu_{\text{max}}$/cm$^{-1}$ 3053s ($\nu$Ar-$\text{H}$), 2924m ($\nu$C-H), 1588s, 1237m, 1040m, 1003s, 723s ($\nu$C-S), 466m.

2,2'-bis((4-methylbenzyl)thio)-1,1'-biphenyl (5)

Prepared following method A using 3 (118 mg, 0.54 mmol), sodium hydroxide (46 mg, 1.18 mmol) and 4-methylbenzyl bromide (220 mg, 1.18 mmol). Compound 5 was obtained as a white crystalline solid (103 mg, 0.24 mmol, 45%), m.p 128-130 °C. Crystals suitable for X-ray work were obtained by recrystallisation from ethanol. Anal. calcd. for C$_{26}$H$_{22}$S$_2$ (426.63 g mol$^{-1}$): C, 78.83; H, 6.14. Found C, 78.69; H, 6.24. $^1$H NMR: $\delta_{\text{n}}$ (500.1 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 7.38 (2H, dd, $^3J_{\text{HH}}$ 7.9, $^4J_{\text{HH}}$ 1.3 Hz, H-2) 7.30 (2H, pseudo-td, $^3J_{\text{HH}}$ 7.6, $^4J_{\text{HH}}$ 1.6 Hz, H-3), 7.21 (2H, pseudo-td, $^3J_{\text{HH}}$ 7.4, $^4J_{\text{HH}}$ 1.3 Hz, H-4), 7.14-7.08 (6H, m, H-5, 9), 7.04 (4H, d, $^2J_{\text{HH}}$ 7.9 Hz, H-10), 3.98 (4H, ABq, $\Delta\delta_{\text{AB}}$ 0.02, $^2J_{\text{HH}}$ 12.8 Hz, H-7), 2.31 (6H, s, H-12). $^{13}$C NMR: $\delta_{\text{c}}$ (125.8 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 140.9 (ArC$_{\text{q}}$, C-6), 136.8 (ArC$_{\text{q}}$, C-11), 136.6 (ArC$_{\text{q}}$, C-1), 134.1 (ArC$_{\text{q}}$, C-8), 130.5 (ArCH, C-5), 129.2 (ArCH C-10), 128.9 (ArCH, C-9), 128.7 (ArCH, C-2), 128.3 (ArCH, C-3), 125.7 (ArCH, C-4), 38.1 (CH$_2$, C-7), 21.2 (CH$_3$, C-12). HRMS (APCI+): $m/z$ (%) 427.1539 (3) [M+H]$^+$, 105.0693 (100) [M-C$_{\text{H}}$]$,^+$ 2919m ($\nu$C-H), 1579s, 1452s, 1087m, 1040s, 741m ($\nu$C-S). Raman (glass capillary): $\nu_{\text{max}}$/cm$^{-1}$ 3052w ($\nu$Ar-$\text{H}$), 2922m ($\nu$C-H), 1587m, 1237m, 1042m, 744s ($\nu$C-S).
2,2’-bis((4-bromobenzyl)thio)-1,1’-biphenyl (6)

Prepared following method A using 3 (104 mg, 0.48 mmol), sodium hydroxide (40 mg, 1.05 mmol) and 4-bromobenzyl bromide (260 mg, 1.05 mmol). Compound 6 was obtained as white needle like crystals (132 mg, 0.24 mmol, 51%), m.p 125-127 °C. Crystals suitable for X-ray work were obtained by recrystallisation from ethanol. Anal. calcd. for C_{26}H_{20}Br_2S_2 (556.37 g mol\(^{-1}\)): C, 56.12; H, 3.62. Found C, 55.98; H, 3.60. \(^1\)H NMR: \(\delta_H\) (500.1 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 7.38-7.30 (8H, m, H-2, 3, 10), 7.25 (2H, pseudo-td, \(^3\)J\(_{HH}\) 7.4, \(^4\)J\(_{HH}\) 1.2 Hz, H-4), 7.06 (2H, dd, \(^3\)J\(_{HH}\) 7.5, \(^4\)J\(_{HH}\) 1.4 Hz, H-5), 7.04 (4H, d, \(^3\)J\(_{HH}\) 8.3, H-9), 3.87 (4H, ABq, \(\Delta\delta_{AB}\) 0.02, \(^2\)J\(_{HH}\) 12.9 Hz, H-7). \(^{13}\)C NMR: \(\delta_c\) (125.8 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 141.3 (ArC\(_q\), C-6), 136.3 (ArC\(_q\), C-8), 135.4 (ArC\(_q\), C-11), 131.4 (ArC\(_q\), C-10), 130.5 (ArCH, C-9), 130.4 (ArCH, C-5), 129.4 (ArCH, C-2), 128.3 (ArCH, C-3), 126.2 (ArCH, C-4), 120.9 (ArC\(_q\), C-11), 37.9 (CH\(_2\), C-7). HRMS (APCI\(^+\)): \(m/z\) (%) 184.0334 (15) [M–C\(_{14}H_{12}BrS\)]\(^+\), 170.9620 (\(^{81}\)Br) & 168.9640 (\(^{79}\)Br) (100) [M–C\(_{15}H_{14}BrS\)]\(^+\). IR (KBr): \(\nu_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}\) 3054w (\(\nu_{\text{ar-H}}\), 1560m, 1454s, 1423s, 1214s, 1025m, 760s (\(\nu_{\text{C-Br}}\), 723m (\(\nu_{\text{C-S}}\). Raman (glass capillary): \(\nu_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}\) 3047s (\(\nu_{\text{ar-H}}\), 2923w (\(\nu_{\text{C-H}}\), 1588s, 1485s, 1069m, 750 (\(\nu_{\text{C-Br}}\).
2,2′-bis((4-fluorobenzyl)thio)-1,1′-biphenyl (7)

Prepared following method A using 3 (108 mg, 0.50 mmol), sodium hydroxide (39 mg, 1.0 mmol) and 4-fluorobenzyl bromide (0.12 mL, 1.0 mmol). Compound 7 was obtained as white needle crystals (148 mg, 0.34 mmol, 69%), m.p 108-110 °C. Crystals suitable for X-ray work were obtained by recrystallisation from ethanol. Anal. calcd. for C_{26}H_{20}F_{2}S_{2} (434.56 g mol⁻¹): C, 71.85; H, 4.64. Found C, 71.77; H, 4.61. ¹H NMR: δ_H (500.1 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.35 (2H, dd, ³J_HH 7.8, ⁴J_HH 1.0 Hz, H-2), 7.29 (2H, pseudo-t), ³J_HH 7.6, ⁴J_HH 1.5 Hz, H-3), 7.22 (2H, pseudo-t, ³J_HH 7.4, ⁴J_HH 1.3 Hz, H-4), 7.14-7.11 (4H, m, H-9), 7.06 (4H, dd, ³J_HH 7.9, ⁴J_HH 1.4 Hz, H-5), 6.91-6.86 (4H, m, H-10), 3.93 (4H, ABq, Δδ_{AB} 0.02, ²J_HH 12.9 Hz, H-7). ¹³C NMR: δ_C (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) 161.9 (ArC_₀, d, ¹JC 245.6 Hz, C-6), 141.2 (ArC_₀, C-6), 135.7 (ArC_₀, C-1), 132.9 (ArC_₀, d, ⁴JC 3.2 Hz, C-8), 130.5 (ArCH, C-5), 130.4 (ArCH, C-9), 129.3 (ArCH, C-2), 128.5 (ArCH C-3), 126.1 (ArCH, C-4), 115.3 (ArCH, d, ²JC 21.7 Hz, C-10), 37.8 (CH₂, C-7). ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR: δ_F (376.5 MHz, CDCl₃) −115.55 (s). HRMS (APCI⁺): m/z (%) 184.0338 (45) [M−C_{14}H_{12}F_{2}S]⁺, 109.0444 (100) [M−C_{19}H_{14}FS]⁺. IR (KBr): ν_{max}/cm⁻¹ 3060w (ν_{Ar-H}), 1597m, 1504s, 1214s, 1087m, 839s (ν_{C-F}), 741m (ν_{C,S}). Raman (glass capillary): ν_{max}/cm⁻¹ 3075s (ν_{Ar-H}), 1591s, 1236m, 1040m, 851s, 749s (ν_{C-F}), 665s (ν_{C,S}).
2,2’-bis((4-trifluoromethyl)thio)-1,1’-biphenyl (8)

Prepared following method A using 3 (106 mg, 0.49 mmol), sodium hydroxide (42 mg, 1.08 mmol) and 1-(bromomethyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzene (255 mg, 1.07 mmol). Compound 8 was obtained as a crystalline solid (98 mg, 0.18 mmol, 38%), m.p 100-102 °C. Crystals suitable for X-ray work were obtained by recrystallisation from ethanol. Anal. calcd. for C_{28}H_{20}F_{6}S_{2} (534.58 g mol⁻¹): C, 62.90; H, 3.77. Found C, 62.94; H, 3.72. ¹H NMR: δH (400.1 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.44 (4H, d, ³JHH 8.1 Hz, H-10), 7.37 (2H, dd, ³JHH 7.8, ⁴JHH 1.0 Hz, H-2), 7.33 (2H, pseudo-td, ³JHH 7.6, ⁴JHH 1.5 Hz, H-3), 7.23-7.20 (6H, m, H-4, 9), 6.98 (2H, dd, ³JHH 7.5, ⁴JHH 1.4 Hz, H-5), 3.95 (4H, ABq, ΔδAB 0.01, ²JHH 13.4 Hz, H-7). ¹³C NMR: δc (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) 141.6 (ArC₉, C-8), 141.5 (ArC₉, C-6), 135.1 (ArC₉, C-1), 130.4 (ArC₉, C-5), 129.7 (ArCH, C-2), 129.3 (ArC₉, q, ³JC 32.0 Hz, C-11), 129.1 (ArCH, C-9), 128.4 (ArCH, C-3), 126.4 (ArCH, C-4), 125.3 (ArCH, q, ³JC 3.7 Hz, C-10), 124.1 (ArC₉, q, ³JC 273 Hz, C-12), 38.3 (CH₂, C-7). ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR: δF (376.5 MHz, CDCl₃) -62.52 (s). HRMS (APCI⁺): m/z (%) 535.0970 (5) [M+H]+, 159.0410 (100) [M-C_{20}H_{12}F_{3}S_{2}]⁺. IR (KBr): νmax/cm⁻¹ 3056w (νAr-H), 1616m, 1455m, 1420m, 1323s, 1121m, 854m (νC-S), 1044m, 831m, 713m (νC-S). Raman (glass capillary): νmax/cm⁻¹ 3063w (νAr-H), 2917m (νC=S), 1618m, 1590s, 1242s, 1324m (νC=S), 1044m, 831m, 713m (νC-S).
2,2'-bis[(4-nitrobenzyl)thio]-1,1'-biphenyl (9)

![Chemical Structure Image]

NMR atom numbering for 9.

To a solution of 3 (106 mg, 0.49 mmol) dissolved in ethanol (15 mL), sodium hydroxide (42 mg, 1.08 mmol) was added and the solution was stirred for 30 minutes. 4-nitrobenzyl bromide (232 mg, 1.08 mmol) was added to the reaction flask, and the mixture was stirred for 24 hours at room temperature. The solvent was removed and the crude product dissolved in dichloromethane (20 mL). The reaction mixture was filtered under vacuum to remove the salt. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure, yielding the crude product. Recrystallisation via a diffusion of diethyl ether into a saturated DCM solution containing the product resulted in colourless crystals (76 mg, 0.15 mmol, 32%), m.p 185-188 °C. Crystal suitable for X-ray work were obtained by diffusion of diethyl ether into a saturated DCM solution of 9. Anal. calcd. for C_{26}H_{20}N_{2}O_{4}S_{2} (512.60 g mol^{-1}): C, 63.91; H, 4.12; N, 5.73. Found C, 63.88; H, 3.96; N, 5.81. ¹H NMR: δ_{H} (500.1 MHz, CDCl₃) 8.06 (4H, d, ³J_{HH} 8.7 Hz, H-10), 7.38 (2H, d, ³J_{HH} 7.8 Hz, H-2), 7.33 (2H, pseudo-td, ³J_{HH} 7.6, ⁴J_{HH} 1.4 Hz, H-3), 7.29-7.23 (6H, m, H-4, 9), 7.02 (2H, dd, ³J_{HH} 7.5, ⁴J_{HH} 1.2 Hz, H-5), 3.97 (4H, ABq, Δδ_{AB} 0.02, ²J_{HH} 13.6 Hz, H-7). ¹³C NMR: δ_{C} (125.8 MHz, CDCl₃) 146.9 (ArC, C-8), 145.2 (ArC, C-11), 141.9 (ArC, C-6), 134.4 (ArC, C-1), 130.4 (ArC, C-5), 130.3 (ArC, C-10), 129.6 (ArC, C-4), 128.6 (ArC, C-3), 126.9 (ArC, C-9), 123.6 (ArC, C-10), 38.3 (CH₂, C-7). HRMS (APCI+): \textit{m/z} (%) 216.0063 (100) [M-C_{14}H_{12}N_{2}O_{4}⁺], 184.0341 (45) [M-C_{14}H_{12}N_{2}O_{4}S⁺]. IR (KBr): \nu_{max}/\text{cm}^{-1} 3072w (\nu_{Ar-H}), 1601m, 1517s (\nu_{N-O}), 1341s (\nu_{N-O}), 1087m, 860s, 754m (\nu_{C=S}). Raman (glass capillary): \nu_{max}/\text{cm}^{-1} 3070w (\nu_{Ar-H}), 1601m, 1520m (\nu_{N-O}), 1345s (\nu_{N-O}), 1110m, 863m, 754m (\nu_{C=S}).
To a solution of 3 (101 mg, 0.46 mmol) dissolved in ethanol (15 mL), sodium hydroxide (49 mg, 1.22 mmol) was added and the solution was stirred for 30 minutes. Neopentyl bromide (0.13 mL, 1.03 mmol) was added to the reaction flask and the mixture was stirred for 10 minutes at room temperature before being heated under reflux for 66 hours. The solvent was removed and the crude product dissolved in diethyl ether (20 mL). The reaction mixture was filtered under vacuum to remove the salt. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure, yielding a white/pale yellow oil which slowly solidified over several days (114 mg, 0.32 mmol, 70%). $^1$H NMR: δ (500.1 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 7.45 (2H, dd, $J_{8.0}$ 1.2 Hz, H-2), 7.31 (2H, pseudo-td, $^3J_{HH}$ 7.6, $^4J_{HH}$ 1.7 Hz, H-3), 7.21 (2H, pseudo-td, $^3J_{HH}$ 7.4, $^4J_{HH}$ 1.2 Hz, H-4), 7.16 (2H, dd, $^3J_{HH}$ 7.6, $^4J_{HH}$ 1.7 Hz, H-5), 2.75 (4H, s, H-7), 0.92 (18H, s, H-9). $^{13}$C NMR: δ (125.8 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 141.1 (ArC$_q$, C-6), 137.7 (ArC$_q$, C-1), 130.3 (ArCH, C-5), 128.3 (ArCH, C-2), 127.9 (ArCH, C-3), 124.9 (ArCH, C-4), 48.1 (CH$_2$, C-7), 32.18 (C$_q$, C-8) 28.9 (CH$_3$, C-9). HRMS (APCI+): m/z (%) 359.1864 (100) [M+H]$^+$, 233.0100 (25). IR (KBr): $\nu_{max}$/cm$^{-1}$ 3052w (\nu_{Ar-H}), 2956s (\nu_{C-H}), 2865m (\nu_{C-H}), 1580m, 1364m, 1452s, 1086s, 751s, 475w.

### 2.3 X-ray Crystallography

Table 1 lists the details of data collections and refinements for 4-9. Data for 4-7 and 9 were collected using a Rigaku FRX (Mo-Ka, confocal optic) equipped with a Dectris P200 detector at $-100$ °C; for 8 using a Rigaku Saturn724 (Mo-Ka) at $-148$ °C. The data for all compounds were collected and processed using CrystalClear (Rigaku). The crystal structures were solved using direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares against $F^2$ (SHELXL) or heavy-atom Patterson methods and expanded using Fourier techniques.[28] The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, hydrogen atoms were assigned riding isotropic displacement parameters and constrained to idealised geometries. Searches of the Cambridge Structure Database were performed using the WebCSD.[29]. These data can be obtained free of charge via...
Table 1: Details of the X-ray data collections and refinements for compounds 4-9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>Crystal system</th>
<th>Space group</th>
<th>α/Å</th>
<th>β/Å</th>
<th>γ/Å</th>
<th>a/Å</th>
<th>b/Å</th>
<th>c/Å</th>
<th>α</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>γ</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>µ/mm⁻¹</th>
<th>Reflections collected</th>
<th>Independent reflections</th>
<th>Rint</th>
<th>Rall</th>
<th>wR² [I &gt; 2σ(I)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>C₂₆H₂₂S₂</td>
<td>398.58</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
<td>P-1</td>
<td>9.663(4)</td>
<td>9.882(5)</td>
<td>11.138(10)</td>
<td>93.614(6)</td>
<td>91.962(11)</td>
<td>1028.9(9)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.267</td>
<td>13941</td>
<td>3703</td>
<td>0.0541</td>
<td>0.0639</td>
<td>0.1439</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>C₂₆H₂₂S₂</td>
<td>426.63</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
<td>I2/a</td>
<td>19.162(8)</td>
<td>6.116(3)</td>
<td>112.12(2)</td>
<td>111.2(1)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>9504</td>
<td>2041</td>
<td>0.0478</td>
<td>0.0649</td>
<td>0.1255</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>C₂₆H₂₂S₂</td>
<td>536.37</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
<td>P2₁/n</td>
<td>10.942(3)</td>
<td>7.7060(19)</td>
<td>91.039(5)</td>
<td>91.039(5)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3.782</td>
<td>19488</td>
<td>4157</td>
<td>0.0299</td>
<td>0.0390</td>
<td>0.0637</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>C₂₆H₂₂F₂S₂</td>
<td>434.56</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
<td>P-1</td>
<td>7.9777(18)</td>
<td>11.591(2)</td>
<td>75.561(15)</td>
<td>1047.3(4)</td>
<td>19488</td>
<td>2265.6(15)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.028</td>
<td>15119</td>
<td>3810</td>
<td>0.0500</td>
<td>0.0634</td>
<td>0.1210</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>C₂₈H₂₆S₂</td>
<td>534.58</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
<td>P2₁/n</td>
<td>11.318(8)</td>
<td>7.664(5)</td>
<td>81.733(17)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3.0219</td>
<td>8266</td>
<td>4511.5(10)</td>
<td>8266</td>
<td>0.291</td>
<td>0.1039</td>
<td>0.0528</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>C₂₆H₂₂N₂O₃S</td>
<td>488.57</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
<td>P2₁/n</td>
<td>11.138(10)</td>
<td>7.664(5)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>30073</td>
<td>44187</td>
<td>44187</td>
<td>0.291</td>
<td>0.1039</td>
<td>0.0528</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Synthesis

The previous method of synthesising chalcogenoether compounds on naphthalene and acenaphthene used within the Woollins group started from 1,8-dibromonaphthalene and 5,6-dibromoacenaphthene respectively. A low temperature lithium halogen exchange using n-butyl lithium followed by addition of RE-ER (where R = alkyl or aryl; E = S, Se, Te) resulted in the desired compounds. 2,2'-dibromo-1,1'-biphenyl is easily prepared in good yields (74%) as shown in Scheme 1,[25] the addition of 2 molar equivalents of n-butyl lithium to 1 followed by PhE–EPh (E = S, Te) resulted in inseparable mixtures. Some evidence for the successful formation of the tellurium analogue was found within the mass spectrum with a signal at m/z 562.9575 with the isotope pattern matching that predicted for this [M+H⁺]⁺ peak (Figures S1 and S2 in ESI). In the case of the
tellurium derivative the main compound isolated after a purification attempt using column chromatography was dibenzo[\textit{b,d}]tellurophene (43%).

Scheme 1: Initial synthetic route towards \textit{bis}(chalcogen) biphenyls.

Since adding the aryl-chalcogen species directly onto the biphenyl backbone was unsuccessful a new synthetic route starting from 2 was devised for the sulfur derivatives (Scheme 2). The equivalent tellurium compound is unknown in the literature with attempts to prepare it resulting in the formation of dibenzo[\textit{b,d}]tellurophene.[30] For this reason the sulfur compounds became the focus for the rest of this investigation. By forming a reactive dithiolate species a simple \textit{S}_2\textit{N}_2 reaction with a compound such as \textit{R}–\textit{Br} was expected to occur. The reduction of 2 to 1,1′-biphenyl-2,2′-dithiol (3) using sodium borohydride was achieved almost quantitatively (yield >99%).[26] The deprotonation of 3 to form the dithiolate is easily achieved using a base such as sodium hydroxide. We chose a series of benzyl bromides with varying substituents in the \textit{para} position of the phenyl ring in addition to neopentyl bromide to react with the biphenyl dithiolate (Scheme 2).
Compound 3 was stirred in ethanol with 2 equivalents of sodium hydroxide for 0.5 h prior to addition of the alkyl bromide. Compounds 4-9 were obtained in 32-69% yields as white/off-white solids after purification. Purification of 4-8 was achieved via recrystallisation from boiling ethanol resulting in crystals which were suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction. Compound 9 was purified by recrystallisation from a mixture of CH₂Cl₂/diethyl ether. During the attempt to recrystallise 10 the compound decomposed, attempts to purify via column chromatography also proved unsuccessful. The impurity present within 10 was identified as 2 via examination of its ¹H NMR spectrum; this showed that 10 made up 82% of the mixture allowing the yield to be calculated as 70%. The bulk purity of 4-9 was determined by elemental analysis. Compound 9 has been previously reported by Millar in 1971 as part of a study synthesising macrocyclic compounds with sulfur and oxygen within the ring.[12] Modern spectroscopic techniques allow for a more detailed analysis of its ¹H NMR spectrum with additional ¹³C and infrared spectroscopic data reported alongside its mass spectrum.

### 3.2 Crystallographic Analysis

Crystal structures of 4, 5, 7 and 9 are shown Figure 4 with 6 and 8 in Figure 5. Selected structural parameters listed in Table 2. All the C–S bond lengths are comparable to the average C_Alk–S and C_Ar–S bond lengths (C_Alk–S, 1.762 Å and C_Ar–S, 1.790 Å) determined from similar structures within the Cambridge Structural Database.[31] The C–S–C angles all confirm the expected non-linear geometry around the sulfur atom with little deviation across the series (C–S–C, 99.3(1)-104.6(1)°). The torsion...
angle between the two phenyl rings within the biphenyl backbone shows more variation. Compounds 5 (72.1(3)°), 6 (−84.7(3)°), 7 (−76.7(3)°) and 8 (−83.9(6)°) all have values below 90° as the two phenyl rings close up slightly. Compounds 4 (−112.3(3)°) and 9 (110.0(2)°) both display torsion angles greater than 90° as the phenyl rings move further apart.

Table 2: Selected bond lengths [Å], angles [°] and torsions [°] for 4-9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S–C†</td>
<td>1.765(3)-1.822(3)</td>
<td>1.768(2)-1.822(3)</td>
<td>1.775(3)-1.827(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1–S1–C13</td>
<td>104.4(1)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>101.2(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1–S1–C7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>103.4(5)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8–S8–C20</td>
<td>103.4(1)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>104.6(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1–C2–C7–C8</td>
<td>−112.3(3)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>−84.7(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1–C2–C2′–C1′</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>72.1(3)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S–C†</td>
<td>1.773(2)-1.824(3)</td>
<td>1.753(5)-1.815(5)</td>
<td>1.779(2)-1.826(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1–S1–C13</td>
<td>102.5(1)</td>
<td>100.9(2)</td>
<td>101.71(9) [102.32(8)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8–S8–C20</td>
<td>99.3(1)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>102.40(9) [101.92(9)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8–S8–C21</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>104.8(2)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1–C2–C7–C8</td>
<td>−76.7(3)</td>
<td>−83.9(6)</td>
<td>110.0(2) [−110.4(2)]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[] denotes from second molecule within the asymmetric unit.
† ranges quoted for S–C bond lengths of molecules within the asymmetric unit.
Figure 4: Crystal structures of 4 (top left), 5 (top right), 7 (bottom left) and 9 (bottom right). Only one molecule within the asymmetric unit is shown for 9. Hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity, ellipsoids plotted at the 40% probability level.
Two different conformers are present within the crystal structures of compounds 4-9. For 4, 5, 7 and 9 the compound attains an “open” structure, i.e. the two benzyl substituents point away from each other (anti-parallel). This is expected as it results in minimal steric repulsion between the two benzyl groups. Interestingly in 6 and 8 the structures adopt a “closed” structure with the two benzyl substituents pointing roughly in the same direction (parallel). This is likely due to packing effects with long range Br–H (3.044 Å) and F–H (2.594 Å) interactions present within 6 and 8 respectively.

Figure 5: Crystal structures of 6 (left) and 8 (right). Hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity, ellipsoids plotted at the 40% probability level.

3.3 Spectroscopic Analysis
The benzyl and neopentyl bromide starting materials all display singlets in their $^1$H NMR spectra corresponding to the CH$_2$ fragment (δ$_H$ 4.43-4.53 (benzyls) and 3.27 (neopentyl) ppm). Compounds 4-10 all display an upfield shift in this signal with the benzyl derivatives at δ$_H$ 3.93-3.99 ppm and the neopentyl at δ$_H$ 2.75 ppm. This upfield shift is as a result of exchanging the bromine atom with a more donating sulfur atom. Interestingly in the case of 4-9 this signal within the $^1$H NMR spectra appears as four lines in an AB system. The signal appears this way as the difference in chemical shift between the two CH$_2$ protons determined in hertz is very close in magnitude to the coupling between them. This second order coupling suggests restricted rotation around the aryl–aryl bond in the biphenyl backbone. This restricted rotation arises owing to the bulky nature of the benzyl groups.
in the ortho positions preventing free rotation around the biphenyl aryl–aryl bond. Such restriction results in axial chirality\[32\] within the molecule which causes the nearby hydrogen atoms (CH$_2$ group) to become diastereotopic. Similar observations were made by Mazzanti during their investigation into hindered biphenyl carbinols\[33\]. They used enantioselective HPLC to resolve the enantiomers and determined the absolute configuration on the basis of the obtained CD (circular dichroism) spectra. The true centres for each of the doublets within the AB systems for 4-9 were determined with the calculations included within the supplementary information. The true centres determined differed only slightly from those seen using the $^1$H NMR spectra directly ($\Delta\delta_{\text{AB}} \approx 0.02$ ppm). Figure 6 shows the AB system present within 4 with the true centres of each doublet highlighted. For 10 this signal appears as a singlet indicating the aryl–aryl bond can now freely rotate. Within the aromatic region of 4-9 the signals corresponding to the phenyl ring of the benzyl group display shifts downfield compared to those in the respective starting materials. For the fluorine containing compound 7 these signals appeared as multiplets due to coupling to the NMR active fluorine atom.

Figure 6: AB system present within the $^1$H NMR spectrum of 4 with the calculated true centres shown.
The biphenyl backbone was expected to result in four signals, two doublet of doublets (Hₐ and Hₐ', Figure 7) and two doublet of doublet of doublets (Hₐ and Hₐ, Figure 7) in the ¹H NMR spectra. However, the signals corresponding to Hₐ and Hₐ' actually appear as pseudo triplet of doublets. Taking Hₐ as an example this occurs due to the almost identical magnitude of the 3J_HH coupling between Hₐ and Hₐ as well as Hₐ and Hₐ' as shown in Figure 7. These observations mirror those made in other compounds where we have used the biphenyl dithiolate backbone.[34, 35] The aromatic signals arising from the benzyl substituents in 4-9 are present as expected with some appearing as multiplets due to overlapping with the biphenyl signals.

Figure 7: The section of the ¹H NMR spectrum of 5 showing the two pseudo triplet of doublets with a splitting diagram showing how these signals are formed.
$^{13}$C NMR spectra were obtained for 4-10 and in all cases the number of signal corresponding to quaternary, CH and CH$_3$ carbon atoms matched what was expected. No indication of any other species being present was observed for 4-9. The expected signals for the impurity (2) within the $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 10 were observed. The fluorine containing compounds 7 and 8 resulted in the phenyl ring carbon atoms of the benzyl group being split into doublets as expected. The CF$_3$ group in 8 gave rise to a quartet in the $^{13}$C NMR spectrum ($\delta_C$ 124.1 ppm) with a large $J_{CF}$ coupling constant of 273 Hz which was similar to other compounds incorporating the $\text{S–CH}_2\text{–(Ph}p\text{-CF}_3\text{)}$ moiety.[36] The $^{19}\text{F}[^1\text{H}]$ NMR spectra of 7 and 8 showed only one signal at $\delta_F$ –115.6 (7) and –62.5 (8) ppm providing further evidence that only one species is present. High resolution mass spectroscopy of 4-10 showed [M+H]$^+$ ions for 4, 5, 8 and 10 each displaying the expected isotopic distribution pattern. For 6, 7 and 9 signals corresponding to [XPhCH$_2$]$^+$ (X = Br (6), F (7), NO$_2$ (9)) and [BiphenS]$^+$ were observed however no [M+H]$^+$ peaks were present.

4. Conclusions

A series of bis(alkyl)thioethers based upon a biphenyl backbone were successfully synthesised. The benzyl containing compounds all displayed an AB quartet for the benzyl CH$_2$ signal owing to axially chirality introduced by restricted rotation around the aryl–aryl bond of the biphenyl backbone. When the benzyl group was changed to neopentyl this signal appeared as a singlet as the biphenyl backbone can now freely rotate. Analysis of the solid state structures of the benzyl derivatives showed two conformers, anti-parallel where the benzyl groups point away from each other and parallel where they are pointing in the same direction. The potential of these compounds to act as ligands will be investigated next.
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