



University of
St Andrews

School of Economics and Finance Discussion Papers

Inequalities in accessing LPG and electricity consumption in India: The role of caste, tribe, and religion

Vibhor Saxena and P.C. Bhattacharya

School of Economics and Finance Discussion Paper No. 1512
2 Nov 2015 (revised 25 Jun 2017)
JEL Classification: O13, Q41, Q48
Keywords: Energy inequality; Cooking fuel; Electricity usage;

School of Economics and Finance
Online Discussion Paper Series
issn 2055-303X
<http://ideas.repec.org/s/san/wpecon.html>
info: econ@st-andrews.ac.uk

Inequalities in accessing LPG and electricity consumption in India: The role of caste, tribe, and religion

Vibhor Saxena*
University of St Andrews
School of Economics and Finance (F8)
St Andrews, Fife, the Scores
U.K., KY16 9AR
vs57@st-andrews.ac.uk
Phone: +44-1334 462438

Prabir C. Bhattacharya
Heriot-Watt University
Department of Economics (SML)
MB 1.01, Riccarton Campus
Edinburgh, U.K., EH14 4AS
p.c.bhattacharya@hw.ac.uk

*Corresponding author at all stages

Inequalities in accessing LPG and electricity consumption in India: The role of caste, tribe, and religion

Abstract

Using the National Sample Survey Organisation data from the 68th round (2011–12) of 87,753 households, this paper investigates the inequalities in access to Liquid Petroleum Gas (LPG) and electricity usage by the households belonging to the three major disadvantaged groups in India, viz., the scheduled castes, the scheduled tribes, and the Muslims. The results of our empirical analysis suggest that, after controlling for the determinants which impinge on the households' microeconomic demand and regional supply characteristics, the households belonging to the scheduled tribe and scheduled caste communities do have significantly poorer access to LPG and electricity usage as compared to the upper caste households. The decomposition analysis of average differences in the predicted outcomes shows that it is the scheduled caste and scheduled tribe households who would appear to face most discrimination. The Muslim households too face significant inequality in accessing LPG. Policy implications of the findings are considered.

Key words: Inequality; Cooking fuel; Electricity usage; Discrimination

JEL classification: O13, Q48, J15

1. Introduction

A number of policy initiatives exist in India to help the households belonging to the disadvantaged social groups to access modern energy goods.¹ The purpose of this paper is to examine the determinants of access to cleaner cooking fuels and electricity by households in India. We investigate, in particular, the inequality in access to Liquid Petroleum Gas (LPG) and electricity by three major disadvantaged groups in the country, viz., the scheduled castes (SCs), the scheduled tribes (STs), and the Muslims. The scheduled caste is the term applied to a wide range of Hindu groups belonging to the lowest rung in the caste hierarchy. They comprise about 16 per cent of India's population and they continue to face many disadvantages, even though discrimination on the basis of caste has been declared illegal in the Indian constitution. The scheduled tribes include most so-called tribal or indigenous communities throughout India. Considered to be outside the Hindu caste system, they comprise about 8 per cent of India's population. Both the scheduled castes and scheduled tribes are widely viewed as being at the lowest level of the social scale. Muslims in India, who comprise about 14 per cent of India's population, are also considered to be considerably deprived in many dimensions.² The results of our analysis suggest that, after controlling for the other socioeconomic factors which impinge on the households' demand and supply characteristics (Magri, 2007; Danh, 2015), the households belonging to these disadvantaged groups do have significantly poorer access to LPG and electricity usage as compared to the upper caste households (which is our comparison category; this category consists of all the non-scheduled caste Hindu households plus the households belonging to the numerically small religious groups in the country, such as the Sikhs, Jains, and Buddhists³). We also present evidence of unequal treatment – induced by the physical and social isolation as well as

¹ For example, the Rajiv Gandhi Grameen Vidyutikaran Yojana (RGGVY) for electricity, Scheduled Castes Sub Plan (Government of Punjab) for cooking gas and electricity, Deen Dayal Upadhyaya Grama Jyoti Yojana (DDUGJY) for electricity, Integrated Power Development Scheme for electricity, and LPG Agency for Scheduled Castes for cooking gas, among others.

² See Sachar Committee Report (2005). See also Desai and Kulkarni (2008) and Asadullah and Yalonzky (2012).

³ In other words, we are referring to all households other than the scheduled castes, scheduled tribes, and Muslim households as 'upper caste households'. As a purely literally description, this is of course, not entirely correct, for this category would include Christians and members of the other backward castes (OBCs) who will not consider themselves as upper caste. Our categories, like most used in social sciences, are not isomorphic. We recognise that those within particular categories do not wholly or uniformly share the ideal-typical or non-quantified characteristics attributed to each. We rely on tendencies and prevalences, not isomorphic uniformities.

outright discrimination against them – which significantly determines a portion of total inequality in access to these energy goods by the three disadvantaged groups.

The importance of energy in achieving economic development in low income countries is, of course, widely recognised (WEC, 2001; IEA, 2002/2012; UNDP, 2000/ 2003/ 2006). Apart from its many direct benefits, the access to modern energy has many indirect but positive effects in many other dimensions as well: contributing to improvements in health, development of microenterprises, and improvements of environmental quality, etc. (Pachauri and Spreng, 2011). However, estimates suggest that approximately 1.3 billion people in the developing countries do not have access to electricity and more than 2.5 billion lack access to safe cooking fuels (Chaurey, Ranganathan, and Mohanty, 2004; WEO, 2014). Although there has been substantial progress in the number of households accessing modern energy services in India since independence, the country still contains the largest number of energy deprived people in the world. The total population of India is approximately one fifth of the world's total, but the energy consumption of India is approximately only 4 per cent of the world's total (Raha, Mahanta, and Clarke, 2014).

The two most important forms of energy for Indian households are cooking fuels and electricity. In contrast to the developed countries where air pollution is generally regarded as an urban problem, in the developing countries, the indoor air pollution is probably of greater consequence for the health and well-being of the population. The primary reason for the indoor air pollution is the type of cooking fuels used by the households. The biomass fuels and coal – both of which are widely used – are not only relatively inefficient in energy production, they also emit high levels of carbon-dioxide (CO₂) and other hazardous substances, contributing to the respiratory problems, cancer, blindness, and other illness (Mishra, Retherford, and Smith, 1999; Smith, 1993, 1994, 2006; Ellegard, 1993/1996; Zhang and Smith, 2007). These necessitate increased medical expenditures and time-off work for the household and erode their labour productivity.

In India, the only widely used clean cooking fuel available is the Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) in cylinders (Bhattacharya, 2006).⁴ These are heavily subsidised by the government when

⁴ And although a handful of blocks in the urban areas are now equipped with piped gas, they are miniscule in numbers. Similarly, less than 1 per cent of the households in India use electricity or biogas for cooking. The

targeting the poorer households. However, the informal and illegal use of LPG in motor vehicles, small industries, and lavish wedding parties hinders the supply to the targeted groups. Motor vehicles, industries and the gas distribution agencies are all usually owned by members of the high income social groups and they often prioritise the distribution of LPG cylinders to suit their own economic interests. Although the access to LPG has increased in the last decade in India, the progress has been very slow. According to the Census of 2011, less than 30 per cent of the households have access to cleaner cooking fuels. While 66 per cent of the urban households have access to the cleaner cooking fuel, the figure for the rural households is only 12 per cent (D'Sa and Murthy, 2004; UNICEF, 2011; Census of India, 2011 - House listing and Housing Census Data Highlights). Apart from the supply side issues, the targeted groups may also, of course, have a lack of demand for LPG due to the cost of cooking equipment, bureaucratic difficulties in getting an official LPG account, or even that the subsidised LPG cylinders may be too costly for many in the marginalised social groups.⁵ Various governments in the last few years have adopted a number of programmes for the marginalised and poorer households (such as the *Rajiv Gandhi Gramin LPG Vitaran Yojana* and *Pratyaksh Hastantrit Labh* (PAHAL)). However, the widespread corruption in the form of fake customers, duplicate connections, lack of banking facilities, and black marketing of LPG have considerably reduced the impact of these programmes.

While the legal usage of LPG at the household level is limited to cooking, the use of electricity is, of course, much more widespread. Indeed, it is possible to argue that the access to electricity is probably of greater consequence than the access to LPG for improving the economic outcomes in the short run. However, while the official estimates indicate that more than 90 per cent of the rural areas and 100 per cent of the urban areas were electrified in the decade of 2000-2010, the uninterrupted delivery of electricity is often not achieved (Alam,

household level data on energy consumption in India were first collected by the National Council of Applied Economic Research in the early 1960s. These data were employed for the first time in an Energy Survey of India report in 1965. Since then a number of other studies and reports have examined the patterns of energy usage in India. See Pandey (2002) for a review. Our focus, as we have stated, is on the energy consumption by the three marginalised social groups in the country.

⁵ There is also the issue here that many of the households having home based enterprises may prioritise the use of LPG illegally for commercial purposes rather than for domestic cooking. This clearly has a gender dimension in that the cooking is mostly done by women in the households who are then forced to use hazardous biomass fuels and/or coal with detrimental consequences for their health. This is an important side effect of having home based enterprises particularly in poor communities, and does not seem to have received much attention in the literature. Analysis morbidity and mortality by gender in households with home based enterprises would clearly appear to be an interesting area of future research.

Sathaye, and Barnes, 1998). It is not uncommon for many villages and urban areas to be supplied with electricity for only a few hours a day. Public sector entities dominate the energy sector in India and subsidies given are often untargeted. Both the states and national governments in their pronouncements have regularly emphasized that the provision of access to electricity for disadvantaged sections of the population is of utmost importance. However, because the village electrification's primary objective was to increase the farm output until early 2000, the question of the actual provision of electricity to households was neglected. Since 2004, under the *Rajiv Gandhi Vidyutikaran Yojna*, the policy shifted its focus from the grid coverage in the village to the household level electrification in the rural areas. Bhattacharya (2006) mentions that electricity theft and leakages are widespread in many areas of the country. And, because, the primary objective of the rural electrification had been irrigation, it is the richer farmers and large land owners who have benefited the most from the subsidised supply of electricity. In the urban areas too, it is claimed that the supply of electricity is least interrupted in those areas where the members of the high income social groups generally live.

So far as the three marginalised groups are concerned, their places of residence would appear to pose a particular problem in their accessing and consuming of both LPG and electricity. On average, these households are socioeconomically backward compared to the upper caste households and they mostly reside in the poorer neighbourhoods or slums in the urban areas. In the rural areas, the scheduled caste households are often segregated in hamlets outside the main perimeters of the villages and this makes it possible to discriminate against them by the suppliers of the energy goods. Members of the scheduled tribe communities often live in the relatively remote areas. And while the Muslim communities mostly live in the urban areas, they live within the city boundaries in Muslim ghettos (Gayer and Jafferlot, 2012), where households are not always properly accounted for by the official authorities. There are also cases of illegal access to electricity in many urban slums, where direct-line connection is shared by many households. These then lead to the loss to the electricity utilities, which in turn may then lead to a reduction in the supply of electricity to these socioeconomically backward urban blocks.

Most studies of energy access in developing countries can be classified, as Kanagawa and Nakata (2007) have noted, into three broad types: descriptive, experimental, and analytical.

Kanagawa and Nakata (2007) also noted that while the descriptive and experimental studies are quite common in the literature, the analytical studies are less so. In the Indian context, while a few studies have looked at the determinants of access to electricity in such a framework (Oda and Tsujita, 2011; Kemmler, 2007), even fewer have looked at the socioeconomic determinants of access to cleaner cooking fuels and electricity consumption in such a framework (Gupta and Kohlin, 2006; Rao and Reddy, 2007). Further, while a few researchers have examined the impact of the scheduled caste and scheduled tribes status in the context of accessing electricity, the impact of the Muslim status in accessing electricity and LPG has received very little attention (see, however, Thorat, 2009; Oda and Tsujita, 2011). In our work, we shall analyse the socioeconomic determinants of access to cleaner cooking fuels and electricity consumption by households in India, with main focus being on these determinants as they relate to various social groupings in the country.

Of the very few analytical studies referred to above, Kemmler's (2007) is probably the most relevant in the context of discussions in the present paper. Kemmler's study suggested that the scheduled caste and scheduled tribe households face discrimination in accessing electricity; however, the study did not present any quantitative analysis for this conclusion (besides excluding the urban areas from its analysis). Kemmler's study also excluded Muslim households from its analysis and was limited to electricity access only. Our analysis differs in including all three marginalised social groups and considering both access to LPG and electricity consumption (in both the rural and urban areas).

In developed countries access to any particular type of energy good and its consumption level are mainly demand driven at the household level. In a developing country such as India, however, the supply side factors are also of great importance. And it is not only the conventional types of supply side factors ((such as whether the household is located in the rural or urban areas (with the households in the rural areas being more prone to suffer relatively poor supplies) and the state-level heterogeneity in energy infrastructure and prices)) that are important in India, but also what one might call the hidden or not-so-transparent supply side factors such as overt or latent discrimination against particular social groups in the supply of energy goods. In other words, the impacts of education, household income, age or other determinants of access to modern energy goods may be unfavourably skewed against the marginalised social groups. To capture the extent of discrimination against

these social groups, we shall compare the differential impacts of these determinants on the marginalised social groups vis-a-vis the upper caste households.

The plan of the rest of the paper is as follows. Section 2 presents the econometric specification and the description of the dataset and variables used. Section 3 discusses the identification issues and presents the results of the multivariate regression and decomposition analysis. Section 4 concludes with the policy implications.

2. Econometric specification, Data, and Variables

2.1 Econometric specification

As access to electricity is now almost universal in India, the dependent variable in the case of electricity is the natural log of total electricity consumption by a household (Meier and Rehdanz, 2010), while, in the case of access to LPG, it is binary (Long and Freese, 2001; Cameroon and Trivedi, 2010; Hendrickx, 2002). Further, since we hypothesise that a household's total consumption of electricity and probability of access to LPG depend on the social groupings, even after controlling for the other economic characteristics (Gangopadhyay, Ramaswami and Wadhwa, 2003; Heltberg, 2004; Kemmler, 2007), we linearly specify Y_i^* for both the energy goods in equation (A).

$$Y_i^* = \beta + \sum_{m=1}^q \Omega_m X_{im} + \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j X_{ij} + \varepsilon_i \quad (\text{A})$$

and

$$Y_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } Y_i^* > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (\text{B})$$

Considering that the Generalised Linear Model in equation (A) is consistent for both the continuous (observable) and binary (unobservable) dependent variables (Liao, 1994, pp. 10 – 11), for the access to LPG, equation (B) is the measurement equation that connects the latent dependent variable in equation (A). In equation (B) the variable takes value 1 if the household has access to LPG, zero otherwise. Thus, the link function of Y_i^* in equation (A) is unity for the log of electricity usage and it changes to probit for the access to LPG.⁶ $\sum_{m=1}^q \Omega_m X_{im}$ is the

⁶ Here the probit model can be expressed in terms of probability for a binary outcome variable, $Prob(y = 1) = 1 - F(-(\cdot)) = F(\cdot) = \Phi(\cdot)$, where the general form of the CDF of error term is replaced by the standard normal CDF, Φ . Therefore, for the nonevent $Prob(y = 0) = 1 - \Phi(\cdot)$. The argument of the function $F, (\cdot)$, from equation A is $\beta + \sum_{m=1}^q \Omega_m X_{im} + \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j X_{ij}$.

sum of dummy variables representing the scheduled caste, scheduled tribe and Muslim households and $\sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j X_{ij}$ is the set of other control variables in the specification. Therefore, $\beta + \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j$ can be defined as the coefficients for the upper caste households. The specification of dependent variable and covariates in the equations above are discussed below. For the identification of the vector of coefficients we assume that the covariance between errors ε_i and covariates X_i is zero.

2.2 Data and Variables

The data used in the analysis come from the National Sample Survey Organisation (NSSO). The NSSO conducts different types of surveys in its different rounds, and each round consists of a year's duration. The household consumer expenditure survey (CES) is the main component of the organisation and it takes place every five years. The data collected within the period of 2011 – 2012 focuses on the "Employment and Unemployment" and "Household Consumer Expenditure". The Household Consumer Expenditure Survey is termed as Type 1 data of 68th round of NSSO and it is the ninth and latest survey in the CES series (MOSPI, 2012; NSS 68th round report).

The primary objective of the NSSO CES 68th round Type 1 is to collect information about the patterns of household level monthly per capita expenditure (MPCE) for both the rural and urban areas. The survey covers all the possible regions of India and also provides information of the social groupings of the households: Scheduled Caste, Scheduled Tribes, and Muslims.

Our sample includes around 88000 households from all the states and union territories of India.⁷ The description of the variables used are as follows:

2.2.1 Dependent Variables

LPG access. The first dependent variable is the access to LPG by a household. The sample provides nine categories of primary source of cooking fuel. Of these, LPG and electricity are considered as cleaner cooking fuels and the rest (such as coal, kerosene, firewood and chips, dung cake etc.) as hazardous. Although the safety standards of kerosene are marginally better

⁷ Union territories are relatively small administrative units which are mostly governed by the President of India through his/her representatives.

than the other natural biomass fuels, its harmful effects have been widely acknowledged in the literature (Golshan et al, 2002; Fullerton et al, 2008; Nicholas et al, 2013). Some recent reports also indicate that households mostly use kerosene for purposes other than cooking (GOGLA, 2012; NSSO, 2012). Therefore, in our analysis we take LPG as the only clean cooking fuel available to the households in India. Although access to electricity has considerably increased, access to LPG has shown negligible growth in the last few decades. In our sample, approximately 48 per cent of the households have reported access to LPG. There are large differences among the rural and urban households: whilst more than 70 per cent of the households have access to LPG in the urban area, less than 30 per cent of the households have access to LPG in the rural areas.

Table 1 suggests that almost 55 per cent of the upper caste households have access to LPG. Among the three disadvantaged groups, 45 per cent of the Muslim households, 39 percent of the scheduled tribe and 34 per cent of the scheduled caste households, respectively, have access to LPG. Thus, without controlling for the determinants of access to LPG, the Muslim households have significantly better access to LPG than the Scheduled Tribe and Scheduled Caste households in this sample. However, the upper caste households, as a social group, have best access to LPG in this sample.

[Insert Table 1 here]

Electricity. The second dependent variable is the amount of electricity consumption by a household in the last thirty days in the sample. It is measured in the standard units of KWh. In India, electricity is primarily used for lighting in substitutions of biomass and other hazardous fuels for lighting (Modi, 2005; Khandker et al, 2010). In the NSSO survey primary source of lighting is divided into six categories. However, considering the recent reports on high electricity accessibility at the household level, we employ last 30 days' electricity consumption of the households as the relevant variables instead of accessibility. In our sample, regarding accessibility, more than 98 percent households have access to electricity. The average electricity consumption is around 88 KWh in the sample. The average electricity consumption in the urban area is around 110 KWh and that in the rural area 68 KWh.

Table 1 describes the average electricity consumption by social groups. Similar to the data presented for the access to LPG in Table 1, the upper caste households consume, on average, most electricity in the sample. Although all the three marginalised groups have consumption less than the upper caste households, the average electricity consumption of the Muslim households, again, is significantly higher than the Scheduled Tribe and Scheduled caste households.

2.2.2 Explanatory Variables

In line with our previous discussion, the explanatory variables included in the analysis are designed to capture the influences of both the demand and supply side factors. The variables are as follows:

Social Groups. Although the NSSO classifies sample into several groups of SC, ST, and all religions, we categorise the sample into four categories. Scheduled caste is the binary variable representing the scheduled caste households and scheduled tribe is the binary variable representing scheduled tribe households. Muslim is the dummy variable representing Muslim households in the sample. All other categories of the households are the base category for the comparisons in the estimation and is referred to in this paper as the upper caste households. Proportion of the upper caste, scheduled tribes, scheduled caste, and Muslim households is approximately sixty one, twelve, fourteen, and thirteen per cent, respectively, in our sample. These social categories determine the inequality in accessing LPG and electricity at the household level. However, we also assume that the demand side factors, in terms of the socio-cultural heterogeneity in consumption and choice patterns of different groups, are also captured by these variables.

Education. We use the level of education of the head of the household. Although the information is provided for all the household members, we assume that the education level of the head of the household is an indicator of the overall level of education of the household. In the sample, the education levels of the household's head are divided into thirteen categories: from illiterate to postgraduate and above. However, for the multivariate analysis in this paper, we constructed three levels of education. Households where the head is reported to have no formal education or illiterate are considered in the lowest category of

the education levels. Formal schooling up to the primary level is taken to belong to the second category of the education level, while the households where the head has attained higher secondary, diploma, or college level education are considered to belong to the category of the high education. We assume that educated households' demand, through various structural mechanisms, more of LPG as a cleaner cooking fuel and consume more electricity.

Consumption Expenditure. It is now widely accepted that in developing countries (and even in the developed countries to an extent), the households' living standards are better measured by their consumption expenditure than by income (Jones et al, 2010; Blundell and Preston, 1996; Poterba, 1989; Slesnick, 1993; Meyer and Sullivan, 2003, 2008, 2011). Our dataset contains information about household consumption expenditure and the full information on households' consumption is then used in the analysis as a continuous variable. We assume that the households with higher per capita consumption expenditure will, *ceteris paribus*, use more of LPG and consume more of electricity.

The NSSO sample uses two methods for comparing living standards across households: the uniform reference period (URP) and the mixed reference period (MRP) methods, respectively. The URP method records the main expenditure on all the items consumed during the last 30 days. In the MRP method the consumption expenditure is recorded for 365 days for some items (mainly clothing, bedding, footwear etc.) and for 30 days for other items (mainly food, intoxicants, and perishable goods) (MOSPI, 2012 - Key Indicators of Household Consumer Expenditure in India; NSSO, 2011-12). To capture the detailed information of the households' living standards, we use the data provided by the MRP method in our analysis. The monthly per capita expenditure (MPCE) is the household's consumption expenditure over the period divided by the household's size. The MPCE is recorded in Indian Rupees. We assume that the higher the MPCE, the higher will be the consumption of electricity and access to LPG.

Location. The location of the household is represented by two different types of variables, first by rural urban classification and second by the state/union territory in which the household is located. These variables, we have assumed, **determine the supply of energy goods and state level price and cross-price differentials in the model.** The first categorisation is on the basis of rural-urban classification. As the households in India, conditional on their rural location and inclusion of other determinants, receive less supply of LPG and electricity,

the access to LPG and consumption levels of electricity should be determined by this factor. Similarly, as all the states and union territories in India have heterogeneous infrastructure in supplying LPG and electricity, and separate pricing policies for these, we include dummies for all the states and union territories in our analysis.

Additional Explanatory Variables. In addition to the variables above, we have also included the following explanatory variables: ration card, household's main occupation, average age of the household, size of the household, household's ownership of the dwelling, and 'ceremony' within the last 30 days. Justification for including these variables is as follows. We assume that the households who possess ration cards are better equipped with documents and have relatively more knowledge to access LPG and demand electricity supply. In our sample, around 80 per cent of the households possess ration card. The reason for including the size of the household is to simply control for the amount of electricity consumption in a larger household. However, in India knowing the right persons and those who can be persuaded to intercede on one's behalf (i.e. having the right contacts) can often be important in accessing a number of different public services. A large-sized household, with a larger number of members, other things being equal, will have more 'contacts' than smaller-sized households. We therefore expect the larger sized households to have significantly more chances of accessing LPG.

Household's main occupation is classified into two categories: agriculture and non-agriculture. In our sample, a quarter of the households engaged in agricultural activities are in the very poor category, while less than 10 per cent of these households are in the not poor category. We hypothesise that the agricultural households have less chances of accessing LPG and will consume less electricity.

In India, there are round the year cultural festivities for one reason or another and it is possible that the data may include the measurement error of consumption shock resulting from these events. Therefore, we include the variable 'ceremony' in our analysis to control for any special occasion in the household in the last 30 days. It is clear from the instructions to the field staff that the main purpose of the ceremony variable was to account for the consumption shock that results from a large number of meals (not just snacks) being served

to non-households members on these occasions.⁸ Another variable in the specification is whether the household owns the dwelling where it resides. We assume that the households who own dwellings have higher chances to remain in the same dwelling and invest more in durable goods which require LPG and electricity.

2.2.3 Distribution of LPG and Electricity

Having discussed the dependent and explanatory variables, it may be useful, before proceeding to specification and estimations, to present a brief description of the distribution of LPG and electricity by the household characteristics.

[Insert Table 2 here]

As would be expected, there exists significant differences by education levels in the sample. Only 23 percent of the households have access to LPG where head of the household is informally educated or has no formal education. This proportion rises to 45 per cent for the households with formally educated head up to secondary education and around 77 per cent for the household where the head has a college degree or higher qualification. Similarly, for three categories of education the average electricity usage increases from 65 KWh, to 81 KWh, to 119 KWh, respectively. Therefore, in our sample, educated households have higher proportion of access to LPG and higher electricity usage.

Only 21 percent of the agricultural households have access to LPG compared to 55 percent of the non-agricultural households. Similarly, while the average electricity usage among the agricultural households is around 67 KWh, it is more than 92 KWh for the non-agricultural households. Households without ration cards have less electricity usage in comparison to the households with ration cards, though access to LPG is marginally better for the households without the ration cards (when we do not control for the other factors).

Households which had 'ceremony' in the last 30 days in the sample record more access to LPG and higher usage of electricity. Although the difference is marginal in the case of LPG, it is significantly higher in the case of electricity consumption. Household with the ceremony have average consumption of 109 KWh of total electricity, whereas without ceremony the average

⁸ Instructions to the field staff, Chapter 3, Volume 1, Page C-14.

total electricity consumption is 87 KWh in the sample. As mentioned above, this variable is useful in controlling for the consumption shock of a household which had conducted a ceremony in the last 30 days. Without controlling for the other factors, 45 percent of the households who own the dwelling have access to LPG and have average electricity usage of 88 KWh.

3. Identification Issues and Estimation Results

3.1 Identification

As in most applied econometric analysis, there is an issue of endogeneity to be addressed in our exercise. Thus, while a higher standard of living – measured in our case by a higher level of consumption expenditure – can lead to an increased usage of modern energy goods, increased usage of modern energy goods can also, of course, contribute to a higher level of income and standard of living. A household's income, *ceteris paribus*, would depend on the health of its members (healthy members being more productive than the unhealthy members), and the health of its members would clearly be influenced by the extent to which it has access to non-hazardous modern energy goods (Behm, 1980; Deaton, 1999; Benzeval et al, 2000). Ill health may also, of course, deplete family savings or lead to family indebtedness through illness related expenditure.⁹ The mutual interdependence of consumption expenditure and modern energy goods usage means that estimating the impact of one on the other requires special estimation methods. To remove the simultaneity bias requires instruments for consumption expenditure – exogenous variables that are correlated with consumption expenditure but are not otherwise associated with energy goods usage. In our case the variables land holdings and the possession of a car/jeep are seen to satisfy the requirements for use as instrumental variables. These variables are correlated with consumption expenditure but are not directly associated with the use of electricity or LPG access. Larger landholdings and use of cars and jeeps are generally associated with higher income and hence consumption in India (Besley and Burgess, 2000), while there are no strong reasons to believe that electricity or LPG usage lead to higher landholdings or ownership of cars. They can therefore be used as instruments for consumption expenditure, and consistent

⁹ In this context, see also Rao (2013).

estimation can be obtained by using instrumental variable (IV) estimation method.^{10, 11} In IV estimation, we use two stage least squares (2SLS) and Maximum Likelihood (IV-probit) Estimation (MLE) techniques for continuous and binary dependent variables, respectively.

3.2 Estimation Results

3.2.1 Electricity Consumption

We first consider the determinants of electricity consumption. The results are presented in Table 3. Our main results pertain to IV estimates (column 2), though we also report the results of the OLS estimates (column 1).

[Insert Table 3 here]

Among the social group variables, the coefficients of the scheduled tribe and scheduled caste variables are negative and significant in both regressions. The coefficient of the Muslim variable is also negative and significant in the OLS estimate, but insignificant in the IV-2SLS estimates. For both the scheduled caste and scheduled tribe households, the size of the coefficient is smaller after correcting for the endogeneity. The scheduled tribe status leads to about 10 percent and schedule caste status about 5.6 percent less electricity usage compared to the upper caste households.

The coefficient of the monthly per capita expenditure is positive and significant in both regressions. In the 2SLS estimation, the size of the coefficient is more than twice as large as

¹⁰Both the Durbin-Wu-Hausman test and Wald test reject the null hypothesis of exogeneity of consumption expenditure for 2SLS and IV-probit (Davidson, 2000). For the relevance of instruments, we tested the partial correlation in the first stage regression of 2SLS. Also, for 2SLS, we tested the joint significance of our instruments in the first stage and following Stock et al (2002) we find strong evidence of correlation. In the case of IV-probit we extracted the first stage estimates of MLE and found strong partial correlation of our instruments with the endogenous regressor.

For the validity of instruments, we used Sargen's test of overidentification (Sargan, 1958) for 2SLS, and found strong evidence that our instruments are valid. For IV-probit, as we prefer MLE over two step procedure (Heckman, 1979; Freedman and Sekhon, 2010; Newey, 1987), we faced a minor limitation of testing the validity of instruments in the nonlinear case. However, we use 2SLS only for this test. Again, the Sargan's test did not reject the null and we conclude that our instruments are valid.

¹¹ There is also a minor issue here in that the NSSO consumption expenditure data include expenditure on energy goods (both traditional and modern). The expenditure on these items as a proportion of the total household consumption expenditure, however, is microscopically small. Nevertheless, as a robustness check for the analysis presented in the paper (based on the full NSSO expenditure data), we also carried out an analysis excluding expenditure on energy goods from the NSSO consumption expenditure data. The results remain unchanged and can be easily replicated.

in the OLS estimation. Of the other variables, both the low education households' have negative and significant coefficients in both columns. The 2SLS estimates show that the households with illiterate or informally educated heads use around 10 percent less electricity after controlling for other factors.

The coefficient of the household in the rural area is negative in both regression, the size of the coefficient in column 3 showing that the rural households use almost 26 per cent less electricity than urban households. The coefficient of the 'ceremony', the variable included to measure any consumption shock in the specification, is negative in both regressions, insignificant in the OLS and significant in the 2SLS estimates.

Ration card, which we believe to be an indicator of the availability of legal documentation, significantly increases the usage of electricity. The possession of a ration card enables the holders to access a wide range of publicly provided goods and services from direct energy subsidies to house construction funds etc.,¹² all of which can contribute to improving the living standards of a household.

Households which are primarily engaged in the agricultural activities (i.e. households whose main occupation is agriculture) use around 6.2 per cent less electricity in comparison to the non-agricultural households. As expected, the coefficients of the average age of the household, the size of the household and the ownership of the dwelling where household resides are all positive and significant.

3.2.2 LPG Access

Turning next to the LPG case, Table 4 presents three sets of estimates. Columns 1 and 2 present the raw coefficient estimates of probit and IV-probit, respectively, while column 3 – the column of our main interest – presents estimates in the form of marginal effects of covariates on the event probability (Greene, 1990).¹³ Here the marginal effects are equivalent to examining the partial-derivatives of probability with respect to a covariate while other

¹² For example, Food Security, Child Development Fund, and *Indira Awaas Yojna* for construction of houses, among others.

¹³ Although the inverse of the standard normal CDF can be seen as Z scores, it is not intuitively obvious to interpret the linear additive effects of covariates on the inverse of the standard normal CDF.

variables are held constant at their means. The marginal effects in column 3 are from IV-probit estimation.

[Insert table 4 here]

Similar to the results in the case of electricity, the coefficients of the scheduled caste and scheduled tribe variables are negative and significant in the LPG case, too. The marginal effects of IV-probit estimates in column 3 show that both the scheduled caste and scheduled tribe status lead to a decrease in event probability (here access to LPG) by almost 0.09. However, for the Muslim households, the decrease in the event probability is 0.03.

Of the other variables, the illiterate or informally educated households leads to a decrease of almost 0.32 in the event probability of accessing LPG. This declines to 0.17 for the households where the head of the household is primary to secondary literate. A household in the rural area has 0.26 less probability of accessing LPG compared to those in the urban area. Similarly, households with agriculture as the main occupation leads to a decrease in the probability of accessing LPG by 0.11. Households with ration card and households who own the dwelling have a higher probability of accessing LPG by 0.042 and 0.025, respectively. The marginal effect of ceremony is statistically insignificant. Marginal effect of consumption expenditure is quite large: and increase in per capita expenditure by 1 Rupee increases the chances of accessing LPG by 0.003.¹⁴

3.3 Predicted values

Table 5 shows the results of the average predicted outcomes by the categories of determinants in the multivariate regressions. The predicted values are calculated by using IV estimates for both LPG and electricity. The column 2 shows the predicted probabilities of accessing LPG and column 3 shows the predicted outcome of total electricity usage by key variables. Although the IV-probit marginal effects have been discussed above, they do not, of course, explain the average predicted probabilities of accessing LPG by social groups. Similarly, while the regression estimates for electricity consumption use natural log of the electricity

¹⁴ Scaling of this variable by dividing it by 100 (roughly equivalent to \$1.5) shows the high marginal effect of increased income/consumption of a household on the probability of accessing LPG.

consumption as a dependent variable, the predicted outcomes have been exponentiated for Table 5.

[Insert Table 5 here]

In the case of LPG, upper caste households have more than 51 per cent chance of accessing LPG. All the three marginalised groups in the sample record average predicted probability less than that of the upper caste households. Of the three marginalised groups, however, it is the scheduled tribe households which have the lowest predicted probability of accessing LPG at around 40 percent. Muslim households have the highest predicted probability in comparison to the scheduled caste and tribe households. Also, the differences between the upper caste and Muslim households are very low in the sample.

There is a large difference in the average predicted probability of rural and urban areas. Keeping other variables constant at their means, the average predicted probability of the rural households is almost half that of the urban households in the sample. Similar large differences in the predicted probabilities can also be seen in the agriculture and education categories in the sample. The higher education households have a predicted probability that is more than twice as large as that of the households whose head is illiterate or informally educated.

As in the case of LPG, in the case of electricity usage, too, the scheduled tribe households have the lowest predicted outcome followed by the scheduled caste households. The Muslim households, however, have a predicted outcome that is larger than that of all the other social groups, including the upper caste households. This should not be surprising due to the relatively higher concentration of Muslim households in the urban areas. Similar to the LPG case, the households in the urban areas, on average, get better access to the electricity consumption in the sample. The predicted probability of electricity usage increases as we move up from the 'illiterate or informally educated' to the 'highly-educated' category households. The agriculture and non-agriculture divide is again significant in the predicted outcomes (in line, one may say, with the supply side issues that are involved in providing electricity in the rural areas). Finally, it may be noted that the differences in predicted outcomes by our categories in electricity consumption are lower than those for the LPG access.

3.4 Estimating Discrimination

In large parts of India, members of the scheduled caste and scheduled tribe communities are often denied access, through several discriminatory measures, to common public facilities. Members of the scheduled tribes mostly live in the relatively remote areas. Muslim households are largely concentrated in the ghettos, while the members of the scheduled caste communities often live in segregated hamlets and blocks. In this sub-section, we present estimates of the share of unobservable factors in predicting the differences in averages of probability of accessing LPG and electricity usage by the three marginalised groups in the sample. In the light of our regression estimates and predicted values, it is clear that the estimates of discrimination are likely to be more relevant for the scheduled tribe and scheduled caste than for the Muslim households in the sample.

The pair wise decomposition methodology of regression estimates was first proposed by Oaxaca (1973) and Blinder (1973). This methodology is primarily for the linear models and the estimation of decomposition methods were primarily used for the linear decomposition (Oaxaca, 1973; Neumark, 1988; Oaxaca and Ransom, 1994). However, access to LPG is a binary dependent variable in this paper and IV-probit estimation has been employed. For the decomposition of the nonlinear models with unobservable dependent variable, several methods have emerged on the basis of Oaxaca-Blinder methodology in the literature (Gomulka and Nicholas, 1990; Fairlie, 2006; Yun, 2004; Bauer and Sinning, 2008). We employ the methodology proposed by Yun (2004). Due to the endogeneity bias in OLS and Probit estimates, Oaxaca-Blinder decomposition in the analysis uses the consistent estimates of 2SLS and IV-probit regression.¹⁵

Tables 6 and 7 show the decomposition of total differences in predictions due to the characteristics and unexplained part for the scheduled caste, scheduled tribe and Muslim

¹⁵ It is equally important to mention that Oaxaca-Blinder method uses linear predictions from the regression estimations. Therefore, for electricity, the group differences in predicted outcomes are in log functional form from 2SLS and, for LPG, area under the z-score of a normal distribution for IV-probit estimates. It is, therefore, obvious that the predicted outcomes of social groups are functionally different from the predicted outcomes in Table 5, where exponentiated and probability values have been presented post estimation. Also, in Table 5, the predicted outcomes have been calculated by keeping other variables at their means and, of course, this is not the case with decomposition analysis. In estimating discrimination, this should not be considered as a major limitation, as our main interest is in the proportion of unexplained to explained part in predicting group based differences.

households in the sample.¹⁶ We are mainly interested in the proportion of unobservable factors (differences in returns to the determinants of energy goods) and their statistical significance in the sample.¹⁷

[Insert Tables 6 and 7 here]

Columns 2, 3, and 4 in the tables present the decomposition estimates of the three marginalised social groups, respectively. Total difference, difference by endowments (characteristics), and difference by the unobservable factors are presented in second, third, and fourth row respectively.¹⁸ In the last row we have constructed the ratio of unexplained share in the total difference to the explained share in the difference (U/E). This ratio can vary from zero to infinity, assuming there exists total differences in the group outcomes. The larger the value of this ratio, the more is the proportion of latent factors in determining the total differences for the particular social group against the upper caste households.¹⁹ This unexplained to explain ratio can be seen as a simple rule to construct an easily understandable comparison between the marginalised groups.

The estimates in Table 6 show that all the three marginalised groups have significant share of the unexplained part in determining the predicted outcomes vis-à-vis the upper caste households in the sample. For the Muslim households, besides the minimum total differences, the unexplained to explained ratios is minimum in comparison to the scheduled caste and scheduled tribe households.

Of the decomposition for electricity in Table 7, while the scheduled caste and scheduled tribe households have significant proportion of unexplained part and record higher unexplained to explained ratio in comparison to the Muslim households, the coefficient of the Muslim households for the unexplained part is very low with very low difference in the predicted

¹⁶ For pairwise decomposition of differences in mean outcomes we have only presented the two-fold decomposition. We consider the intersection of characteristics' differences with low returns (coefficients) are a part of discrimination against marginalised social groups.

¹⁷ We have reported the estimates of total unobservable factors (differences in coefficients – slopes and intercepts). However, detailed decomposition of all the determinants can be presented.

¹⁸ It is important to mention that the decomposition estimates are likely to depend on the choice of base category among dummy covariates. However, we have employed the proposed transformations in the literature by which the results of the decomposition are independent of the choice of the omitted category (Yun, 2005; Jan, 2008).

¹⁹ Although decomposition can be formulated from the viewpoint of any of the two groups in the equation, we have used the viewpoint of marginalised groups in all three pairwise decompositions. However, results on the reversed viewpoints can easily be produced.

outcomes. If these unexplained factors are termed as discrimination in the supply of energy services, then, among the major social groups, it is the scheduled caste and scheduled tribe households who suffer most discrimination (unequal returns) in the equality spaces of electricity and LPG distribution.

4. Conclusion and Policy Implications

This paper has studied the determinants of access to LPG and electricity usage by households in India. Our sample consists of 87753 households. In contrast to the previous studies, the analysis of the paper covers all the states and union territories in India and includes both the rural and urban areas.

On the demand side, the results show that higher income and higher education levels are both associated with an increased probability of accessing LPG and higher usage of electricity. Households in the rural areas, as expected, face severe supply constraints in comparison to the urban households and their probability of accessing LPG and electricity usage is significantly lower.

The major findings of the paper, however, relate to the lower predicted probabilities of accessing LPG by the households belonging to all the three marginalised social groups and the lower predicted outcomes of electricity usage by two of the three marginalised social groups, viz., the scheduled caste and scheduled tribe. We carried out a decomposition analysis to explore the role of what we have called the systematic discrimination against marginalised social groups. We found that the contribution of the unequal treatment in their lower predicted probabilities of accessing LPG and lower predicted outcome in electricity usage is the largest for the scheduled caste households. As already mentioned, the members of the scheduled castes, the scheduled tribes, and the Muslims communities mainly reside either in the isolated hamlets or in remote areas or urban ghettos due to social isolation and other structural factors, and these make it possible to discriminate against them in the supply of energy goods (Kemmler, 2007).

At the policy level, there are a number of large scale interventions and policies by the government to overcome inequalities and poverty in general, but very few for dealing with the issue of accessing cleaner energy sources by socially marginalised groups in India. *Rajiv Gandhi Grameen Vidutikaran Yojna* – which aims to provide free electricity connection to

below poverty line households – only targets the rural areas. Some state governments also try to implement the policy of limited free electricity to scheduled households; this, however, remains mostly ineffective due to the poor supply quality (Singh, 2003, p 136). Although there are recent amendments and extensions in the policies through Decentralised Distributed Generation, *Deendayal Upadhyaya Gram Jyoti Yojna*, and Integrated Power Development Scheme to strengthen the sub-transmission in both rural and urban areas and to classify agricultural activities and household consumers separately in the rural areas, the results of these policies on the weaker sections of the societies are yet to be felt (Ministry of Power, Government of India, 2013/2014).

Equally, while subsidy is provided for the LPG cylinders, the administration rarely monitors the distribution of these cylinders carefully. Similar to the schemes in extending electricity usage, PAHAL (Direct Benefit Transfer for LPG) has been launched to reduce the leakages in the LPG subsidies. However, the past experience suggests that this is likely to benefit mainly the non-poor sections of the society (Pachauri and Spreng, 2004), as the supply infrastructure of LPG to the socially marginalised households remains a major constraint. Our analysis suggests that the policy makers also need to address the issues arising from the socioeconomic bias against the marginalised groups in a predominantly supply driven market.

Since 2015 the national government is pursuing a market based pricing policy and elimination of subsidy on LPG. This follows the Rangarajan Committee Report (2013) which proposed that the quantity and prices of natural gas should not be under the control of the government, but instead be controlled by the market forces. However, LPG continues to be subsidised (Sen, 2015) for household consumption, though this subsidy is now kept at approximately 186 kilograms LPG per year. It is too early to say what the measure impacts of these major reforms in the pricing of natural gas are likely to be, though our belief is that the disadvantages faced by the SC and ST in accessing energy goods are unlikely to be remedied by these changes.

Finally, it is worth noting that while in the provision of employment and education, the government, through its reservation quotas and other affirmative actions, has undoubtedly improved the position of many members of the scheduled caste and scheduled tribe communities, the outcome would appear to have been much less sanguine in the provision of energy goods. The targeted policies here would appear to have had only limited impacts. There is a dichotomy here and the relevant government agencies clearly need to reflect on

why this has been so and consider whether they are ending up, willy-nilly, discriminating against the marginalised groups in the provision of energy goods.

References

- Alam, M., Sathaye, J. and Barnes D., 1998, Urban household energy use in India: efficiency and policy implications, *Energy Policy*, 26(11), 885-891.
- Asadullah, M.N., and Yalonetzky, G., 2012, Inequality of Educational Opportunity in India: Changes Over Time and Across States, *World Development*, 40(6), 2012, 1151 - 1163.
- Bauer, T. K., and Sinning, M., 2008, An extension of the Blinder–Oaxaca decomposition to nonlinear models, *Advances in Statistical Analysis*, 92(2), 197–206.
- Behm H, 1980, Socioeconomic determinants of mortality in Latin America. *Population Bulletin of the United Nations*, 13, 1–15.
- Benzeval, M., Taylor, J. and Judge, K., 2000, Evidence on the Relationship between Low Income and Poor Health: Is the Government Doing Enough?, *Fiscal Studies*, 21(3), 375 – 399.
- Besley, T. and Burgess, R., 2000, Land Reform, Poverty Reduction, and Growth: Evidence from India, *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 115(2), 389 – 430.
- Bhattacharyya, S. C., 2006, Energy access problem of the poor in India: Is rural electrification a remedy?, *Energy Policy*, 34(18), 3387-3397.
- Blinder, Alan S., 1973, Wage discrimination: reduced form and structural estimates, *The Journal of Human Resources*, 8(4), 436 – 455.
- Blundell, R. and Preston, I, 1996, Income, expenditure and the living standards of UK households, *Fiscal Studies*, 16(3), pp. 40–54.
- Cameron, A. Colin and Trivedi, Pravin K., 2010, *Microeconometrics Using Stata*, Revised Edition, Stata Press Books, StataCorp LP.
- Census of India, 2011, *Houselisting and Housing Census Data Highlights*, (Available At – http://censusindia.gov.in/2011census/hlo/hlo_highlights.html).
- Chaurey, A., Ranganathan, M. and Mohanty, P., 2004, Electricity access for geographically disadvantaged rural communities – technology and policy insights, *Energy Policy*, 32(15), 1693 – 1705.
- Danh, V.T. and Khai, H.V., 2015, Household demand and supply for clean groundwater in the Mekong Delta, Vietnam, *Renewables*, 2(4).
- Davidson, J., 2000, *Econometric Theory*, Oxford: Blackwell.
- Deaton A., 1999, *Inequalities in Income and Inequalities in Health: NBER Working Paper 7141*. Cambridge MA: National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Desai, D. and Kulkarni, v., 2008, Changing educational inequalities in India in the context of affirmative action, *Demography*, 45(2), 245 - 270.
- D'Sa, A. and Murthy, K. V., 2004, LPG as a Cooking fuel option for India, *Energy for Sustainable Development*, 8(3), 91 – 106.
- Ellegard, A. and Egneus, H., 1993, Exposure to biomass fuel pollution in Lusaka, *Energy Policy*, 21(5), 615 – 622.

-
- Ellegård, A., 1996, Cooking fuel smoke and respiratory symptoms among women in low income areas in Maputo, *Environ Health Prospect*, 104(9), 980–985.
- Fairlie, R. W., 2006, An extension of the Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition technique to Logit and Probit models, IZA Discussion Papers, 1917, Institute for the Study of Labor.
- Freedman, D.A. and Sekhon, J.S., 2010, Endogeneity in Probit Response Models, *Political Analysis*, 18(2), 138 – 150.
- Fullerton DG, Bruce N, and Gordon SB., 2008, Indoor air pollution from biomass fuel smoke is a major health concern in the developing world. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*, 102(9), 843– 851.
- Gangopadhyay, S., Ramaswami, B. and Wadhwa, W., 2003, Access of the Poor to Modern Household Fuels in India, SERFA Report for the World Bank, (Available at - http://www.cleancookstoves.org/resources_files/access-of-the-poor-to-clean.pdf).
- Gayer, L. and Jafferlot, C., 2012, Muslims in Indian Cities: Trajectories of Marginalisation, C Hurst and Co Publishers/Columbia University Press.
- GOGLA, 2012, Global Off-Grid Lighting Association, World Bank Group, (Available at - <http://www.ifc.org/wps/wcm/connect/311790804b5ca8d783fbc3bbd578891b/Lighting-Asia-offgrid-lighting-Report072512.pdf?MOD=AJPERES>).
- Golshan M, Faghihi M., and Marandi M. M., 2002, Indoor women jobs and pulmonary risks in rural areas of Isfahan, Iran, 2000, *Respiratory Medicine*, 96(6), 382 – 388.
- Gomulka, J. and S., Nicholas, 1990, The employment of married women in the United Kingdom 1970-83, *Economica*, 57(226), 171-99.
- Government of India, 2005, Sachar Committee Report, (<http://www.minorityaffairs.gov.in/sachar>).
- Greene, W.H., 1990, *Econometric Analysis*, New York: Macmillan.
- Gupta, G. and Kohlin, G., 2006, Preferences for domestic fuel: Analysis with socio-economic factors and rankings in Kolkata, India, *Ecological Economics*, 57(1), 107-121.
- Heckman, J.J., 1979, Sample selection bias as a specification error, *Econometrica*, 47, 153–61.
- Heltberg, R., 2004, Fuel Switching: evidence from eight developing countries, *Energy Economics*, 26, 869-887.
- Hendrickx, J., 2002, Review of regression models for categorical dependent variables using STATA by Long and Freese, *The Stata Journal*, 2(1), 103-105.
- IEA (International Energy Agency), 2002, *World Energy Outlook 2002*, (Available at - http://www.worldenergyoutlook.org/media/weowebiste/2008-1994/weo2002_part1.pdf).
- IEA (International Energy Agency), 2012, *Understanding Energy Challenges in India*, (Available at - https://www.iea.org/publications/freepublications/publication/India_study_FINAL_WEB.pdf).
- Jan, B., 2008, The Blinder–Oaxaca decomposition for linear regression models, *The Stata Journal*, 8(4), 453 – 479.

Jones, C.S., Milkove, D. and Paszkiewicz, 2010, Farm Household Well-Being: Comparing Consumption- and Income-Based Measures, Economic Research Service, Report Summary, US Department of Agriculture.

Kanagawa, M. and Nakata, T., 2008, Assessment of access to electricity and the socioeconomic impacts in rural areas of developing countries, *Energy Policy*, 36, 2016 – 2029.

Kemmler, A., 2007, Factors influencing household access to electricity in India, *Energy for Sustainable Development*, 11(4), 13-20.

Khandker, S. R., Barnes, D. F. and Samad, H. A., 2010, Energy poverty in rural and urban India: Are the energy poor also income poor?, The World Bank, Policy Research Working Paper (5463).

Liao, T.F., 1994, *Interpreting Probability Models: Logit, Probit, and Other Generalized Linear Models, Quantitative Applications in the Social Sciences*, Sage Publications, London and New Delhi.

Long, J. S. and J. Freese., 2001, *Regression Models for Categorical Dependent Variables using Stata*, Stata Press, Texas.

Magri, S., 2007, Italian households' debt: the participation to the debt market and the size of the loan, *Empirical Economics*, 33, 401 – 426.

Meier, H. and Rehdanz, K., 2010, Determinants of residential space heating expenditures in Great Britain, *Energy Economics*, 32(5), 949-959.

Meyer, B. and Sullivan, J., 2003, "Measuring the Well-Being of the Poor Using Income and Consumption", *Journal of Human Resources* 38, S1180-1220.

Meyer, B. and Sullivan, J., 2008, "Changes in the Consumption, Income, and Well-Being of Single Mother Headed Families," *American Economic Review*, 98(5), December, 2221-2241.

Meyer, B. and Sullivan, J., 2011, Further Results on Measuring the Well-Being of the Poor Using Income and Consumption", *Canadian Journal of Economics*, 44(1), 52-87.

Ministry of Power, 2013-14, Govt. of India, (Available at - <http://powermin.nic.in/d-d-g>).

Mishra, V. K., Retherford, R. D. and Smith, K.R., 1999, Cooking with Biomass Fuels Increases the Risk of Blindness, *International Institute for Population Sciences, NFHS Bulletin*, Mumbai, (14).

Modi, V., 2005, Improving electricity services in rural India, Center on Globalization and Sustainable Development, The Earth Institute at Columbia University, Working Paper (30).

MOSPI – Energy Statistics, 2011, Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, (Available at - http://mospi.nic.in/Mospi_New/site/inner.aspx?status=3andmenu_id=171).

MOSPI, 2012, Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, NSS 68th Round Report (Available at - http://mospi.nic.in/Mospi_New/site/inner.aspx?status=3andmenu_id=31).

Neumark, D., 1988, Employers' discriminatory behavior and the estimation of wage discrimination, *Journal of Human Resources*, University of Wisconsin Press, 23(3), 279-295.

Newey, W. K., 1987, Efficient estimation of limited dependent variable models with endogenous explanatory variables, *Journal of Econometrics*, 36, 231 – 250.

Nicholas L. Lam, Kirk R. Smith, Alison Gauthier, and Michael N. Bates, 2013, Kerosene: A Review of Household Uses and Their Hazards in Low and Middle Income Countries, *J Toxicol Environ Health B Crit Rev*, 15(6), 396 – 432.

NSSO, 2012, Energy Sources of Indian Households for Cooking and Lighting, (Available at - <http://www.indiaenvironmentportal.org.in/files/file/Energy%20Sources%20of%20Indian%20Households.pdf>).

Oaxaca, R. L. and Ransom, M. R., 1994, On discrimination and the decomposition of wage differentials, *Journal of Econometrics*, Elsevier, 61(1), 5-21.

Oaxaca, R., 1973, Male-female wage differentials in urban labor markets, *International Economic Review*, University of Pennsylvania and Osaka, University Institute of Social and Economic Research Association, 14(3), 693-709.

Oda, H., and Tsujita, Y., 2011, The determinants of rural electrification: The case of Bihar, India, *Energy Policy*, 39(6), 3086 – 3095.

Pachauri S., 2004, An analysis of cross-sectional variations in total household energy requirements in India using micro survey data, *Energy Policy*, 32(15), 1723 – 1735.

Pachauri, S. and Spreng, D., 2004, Energy use and energy access in relation to poverty, *Economic and Political Weekly*, XXXIX(3), 17-23.

Pachauri, S. and Spreng, D., 2011, Measuring and Monitoring Energy Poverty, *Energy Policy*, 39(12), 7497 – 7504.

Pachauri, S., Mueller, A., Kemmler, A. and Spreng, D., 2004, On measuring energy poverty in Indian households, *World Development*, 32 (12), 2083-2104.

Pandey, D., 2002, Fuelwood Studies in India: Myth and Reality, page 3, Centre for International Forestry Research (Available at – <http://tinyurl.com/jg88pgf>).

Poterba, J. (1989). "Lifetime Incidence and the distributional burden of excise taxes", *American Economic Review*, 79, 325-30.

Raha, D., Mahanta, P. and Clarke, M.L., 2014, The implementation of decentralized biogas plants in Assam, NE India: The impact and effectiveness of the national biogas and manure management programme, *Energy Policy*, 68, 80 – 91.

Rao, M.N. and Reddy, B.S., 2007, Variations in energy use by Indian households: An analysis of micro level data, *Energy*, 32(2), 143-153.

Rao, N.D., 2013, Does (better) electricity supply increase household enterprise income in India?, *Energy Policy*, 57, 532 – 541.

Rangarajan Committee, 2013, Press Release, GOI, Economic Advisory Council to the Prime Minister (Available here - http://eac.gov.in/pressrel/press_psc0201.pdf)

Sachar Committee Report, 2005, Government of India (<http://www.minorityaffairs.gov.in/sachar>).

Sargan, J. D., 1958, The estimation of economic relationships using instrumental variables, *Econometrica*, 26, 393 – 415.

Scheduled Castes Sub Plan, 2015, Department of Welfare, Punjab Government, (Available at - <http://welfarepunjab.gov.in/SCSP/publications/Scheduled%20Castes%20Sub%20Plan%202015-16%20English.pdf>).

Sen, A. K., 1976, Poverty: An Ordinal Approach to Measurement, *Econometrica*, Econometric Society, 44(2), 219-231.

Sen, A., 2015, Gas Pricing Reform in India – Implications for the Indian gas landscape, Oxford Institute of Energy Studies, University of Oxford, (Available at - <http://tinyurl.com/ziyscp4>).

Singh R.B., 2003, Scheduled Caste Welfare: Myth or Reality, APH Publishing Corporation, New Delhi.

Slesnick, D., 1993, “Gaining ground: poverty in the postwar United States”, *Journal of Political Economy*, 101, pp1-38.

Smith, K.R., 1993, Fuel combustion, air pollution exposure, and health: the situation in developing countries, *Annual Review of Energy and Environment*, 18, 529-566.

Smith, K.R., 1994, Health, energy, and greenhouse-gas impacts of biomass combustion in household stoves, *Energy for Sustainable Development*, 1(4), 23-29.

Smith, K.R., 2006, Rural Air Pollution: A Major But Often Ignored Development Concern, Testimony at the Commission on Sustainable Development Session on Integrated Approaches to Addressing Air Pollution, United Nations, New York.

Stock, J. H., Wright, J. H. and Yogo, M., 2002, A survey of weak instruments and weak identification in generalized method of moments, *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, 20, 518 – 529.

Thorat, S., 2009, Dalits in India: Search for a Common Destiny, Sage Publications, New Delhi.

UNDP and ESMAP, 2003, India: Access of the Poor to Clean Household Fuels, United Nations Development Programme and the World Bank Energy Sector Management Assistance Programme.

UNDP, 2000, World Energy Assessment: Energy and the Challenge of Sustainability, United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, World Energy Council. (Available at - <http://www.undp.org/content/dam/aplaws/publication/en/publications/environmentenergy/www-ee-library/sustainable-energy/world-energy-assessment-energy-and-thechallenge-of-sustainability/World%20Energy%20Assessment-2000.pdf>).

UNDP, 2006, LPG Rural Energy Challenge, Lessons Learned and Recommendations, UNDP (Available at - <http://www.cooking-for-life.org/uploads/Modules/Reports/lp-gas-ruralenergy-challenge-light.pdf>).

UNICEF (India), 2011, Cooking with cleaner fuels in India: a strategic analysis and assessment (Available at - http://www.unicef.org/india/Policy_Brief_3-cooking_fuels_in_India.pdf).

WEC (World Energy Council), 2001, Pricing Energy in Developing Countries, World Energy Council, London.

WEO, (2014), World Energy Outlook, Energy Access Database, (Available at – <http://www.worldenergyoutlook.org/resources/energydevelopment/energyaccessdatabase>).

Yun, M.S., 2004, Decomposing differences in the first moment, *Economics Letters*, 82, 275– 280.

Yun, M.S., 2005, A simple solution to the identification problem in detailed wage decompositions, *Economic Inquiry*, 43, 766 – 772.

Zhang, J. and Smith, KR., 2007, Household air pollution from coal and biomass fuels in China: measurements, health impacts, and interventions, *Environ Health Perspect*, 115, 848–855.

Table 1: Distribution of LPG and Electricity by Social Groups.

	LPG	Average Electricity consumption
Upper Caste Hindu Households (Others)	0.545 (0.497)	95.212 (96.158)
Scheduled Tribes Households	0.388 (0.488)	64.090 (55.218)
Scheduled Caste Households	0.337 (0.472)	72.715 (63.622)
Muslim Households	0.453 (0.497)	89.140 (92.010)
Total Sample Size	87753	87753

mean coefficients; sd in parentheses

Table 2: Key Variables and Sample Means

	LPG	Average Electricity consumption
Informal or no education	0.230 (0.420)	65.368 (61.835)
Formal school education	0.450 (0.497)	80.880 (73.645)
Higher education (college)	0.766 (0.423)	119.415 (120.392)
Agriculture household	0.209 (0.407)	66.900 (60.835)
Non-agricultural household	0.552 (0.497)	92.485 (93.050)
Ration card	0.474 (0.499)	89.554 (88.043)
No ration card	0.531 (0.499)	79.278 (88.683)
Ceremony in the household (30 days)	0.558 (0.496)	108.545 (114.278)
No ceremony (30 days)	0.484 (0.499)	87.110 (87.698)
Household owns the dwelling	0.451 (0.497)	88.379 (89.627)
Household does not own the dwelling	0.644 (0.478)	83.287 (80.277)
Total Sample Size	87753	87753

mean coefficients; sd in parentheses

Table 3. Electricity consumption: Results of the regression analysis.

Variable	Natural Log of electricity usage (OLS) (1)	Natural Log of the electricity usage (IV-2SLS) (2)
Scheduled Tribe Households	-0.132*** (-16.76)	-0.104*** (-12.91)
Scheduled Caste Households	-0.104*** (-17.60)	-0.0560*** (-8.81)
Muslim Households	-0.0130** (-1.98)	0.00569 (0.83)
Per Capita Consumption Expenditure (MPCE)	0.000000977*** (34.55)	0.00000244*** (41.65)
Ceremony in the Last 30 Days	-0.00692 (-0.43)	-0.210*** (-8.12)
Ration Card	0.0993*** (16.98)	0.132*** (20.33)
Household's Main Occupation is Agriculture	-0.0449*** (-8.55)	-0.0629*** (-11.08)
Illiterate or informally Educated	-0.387*** (-54.04)	-0.168*** (-16.54)
Formal Schooling up to Primary Level	-0.225*** (-39.38)	-0.0583*** (-7.12)
Average Age of the Household	0.0272*** (35.10)	0.0192*** (21.58)
Square of the Average Age of Household	-0.000301*** (-30.58)	-0.000240*** (-20.95)
Total Size of the Household	0.101*** (81.64)	0.122*** (78.64)
Household Owns the Dwelling	0.259*** (40.04)	0.319*** (42.69)
Household in the rural area	-0.329*** (-68.09)	-0.263*** (-45.65)
Constant	3.416*** (160.31)	2.904*** (98.87)
Observations	87753	87753

t statistics in parentheses

* $p < 0.1$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$

Table 4. LPG access: Results of the regression analysis.

Variable	LPG (Probit) (1)	LPG (IV-Probit) (2)	LPG (IV-Probit; Marginal Effects) (3)
Scheduled Tribe Households	-0.360*** (-17.78)	-0.292*** (-15.02)	-0.091*** (-17.72)
Scheduled Caste Households	-0.339*** (-22.85)	-0.244*** (-16.40)	-0.090*** (-21.25)
Muslim Households	-0.113*** (-6.96)	-0.0787*** (-5.10)	-0.031*** (-6.71)
Per Capita Consumption Expenditure (MPCE)	0.00000141*** (17.15)	0.00000345*** (31.02)	0.003*** (10.38)
Ceremony in the Last 30 Days	0.0284 (0.70)	-0.271*** (-5.60)	0.008 (0.76)
Ration Card	0.151*** (10.33)	0.186*** (13.19)	0.042*** (10.52)
Household's main Occupation is Agriculture	-0.383*** (-26.62)	-0.386*** (-28.20)	-0.113*** (-28.25)
Illiterate or informally Educated	-1.205*** (-66.34)	-0.802*** (-29.75)	-0.320*** (-47.22)
Formal Schooling up to Primary Level	-0.642*** (-46.81)	-0.359*** (-18.52)	-0.170*** (-33.46)
Average Age of the Household	0.0452*** (23.24)	0.0304*** (15.07)	0.009*** (15.32)
Square of the Average Age of Household	-0.000462*** (-18.60)	-0.000341*** (-13.61)	-0.000096*** (-13.75)
Total Size of the Household	0.0503*** (18.59)	0.0776*** (27.48)	0.0218*** (27.04)
Household Owns the Dwelling	0.117*** (7.54)	0.205*** (13.16)	0.025*** (5.64)
Household in the rural area	-0.942*** (-80.18)	-0.777*** (-49.85)	-0.261*** (-71.90)
Observations	87753	87753	87753

z scores in parentheses; delta (d) std errors for marginal effects

* $p < 0.1$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$

Table 5. Average Predicted Outcomes

	LPG	Electricity Usage
Upper Caste Households	0.518 ^{***} (0.00259)	80.58 ^{***} (0.223)
Scheduled Tribe Households	0.402 ^{***} (0.00682)	72.60 ^{***} (0.535)
Scheduled Caste Households	0.421 ^{***} (0.00526)	76.19 ^{***} (0.436)
Muslim Households	0.486 ^{***} (0.00556)	81.04 ^{***} (0.497)
Urban Households	0.653 ^{***} (0.00361)	91.34 ^{***} (0.341)
Rural Households	0.351 ^{***} (0.00326)	70.21 ^{***} (0.240)
Household does not own the dwelling	0.419 ^{***} (0.00527)	60.70 ^{***} (0.405)
Household owns the dwelling	0.500 ^{***} (0.00235)	83.55 ^{***} (0.201)
Non-agricultural Households	0.516 ^{***} (0.00220)	79.98 ^{***} (0.189)
Household's main occupation is agriculture	0.365 ^{***} (0.00469)	75.10 ^{***} (0.382)
Higher Education	0.627 ^{***} (0.00601)	84.42 ^{***} (0.551)
Formal Schooling up to Primary Level	0.486 ^{***} (0.00280)	79.64 ^{***} (0.251)
Illiterate or informally Educated	0.316 ^{***} (0.00540)	71.35 ^{***} (0.391)
Observations (N)	87753	87753

Standard error statistics in parentheses;

probabilities of access to LPG; electricity usage is exponentiated from natural log to KWh

* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$

Average of the outcomes; other variables are at their means.

Table 6. Decomposition for LPG

	Scheduled Tribe	Scheduled Caste	Muslim
Total difference	0.162*** (0.00493)	0.213*** (0.00468)	0.105*** (0.00527)
Explained Difference	0.0709*** (0.00408)	0.0986*** (0.00281)	0.0582*** (0.00348)
Unexplained Difference	0.0907*** (0.00491)	0.115*** (0.00426)	0.0463*** (0.00517)
U/E Ratio	1.2792	1.1663	0.7955

Standard errors in parentheses

* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$ **Table 7. Decomposition for Electricity**

	Scheduled Tribe	Scheduled Caste	Muslim
Total difference	0.350*** (0.00792)	0.234*** (0.00835)	0.0477*** (0.00854)
Explained Difference	0.205*** (0.00704)	0.0980*** (0.00474)	0.0294*** (0.00588)
Unexplained Difference	0.146*** (0.00839)	0.136*** (0.00738)	0.0183* (0.00782)
U/E Ratio	0.7121	1.3877	0.6224

Standard errors in parentheses

* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$