UNRESOLVED FINE-SCALE STRUCTURE IN SOLAR CORONAL LOOP-TOPS
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ABSTRACT

New and advanced space-based observing facilities continue to lower the resolution limit and detect solar coronal loops in greater detail. We continue to discover even finer substructures within coronal loop cross-sections, in order to understand the nature of the solar corona. Here, we push this lower limit further to search for the finest coronal loop substructures, through taking advantage of the resolving power of the Swedish 1 m Solar Telescope/CRisp Imaging Spectro-Polarimeter (CRISP), together with co-observations from the Solar Dynamics Observatory/Atmospheric Image Assembly (AIA). High-resolution imaging of the chromospheric H\textalpha 656.28 nm spectral line core and wings can, under certain circumstances, allow one to deduce the topology of the local magnetic environment of the solar atmosphere where its observed. Here, we study post-flare coronal loops, which become filled with evaporated chromosphere that rapidly condenses into chromospheric clumps of plasma (detectable in H\alpha) known as a coronal rain, to investigate their fine-scale structure. We identify, through analysis of three data sets, large-scale catastrophic cooling in coronal loop-tops and the existence of multi-thermal, multi-stranded substructures. Many cool strands even extend fully intact from loop-top to footpoint. We discover that coronal loop fine-scale strands can appear bunched with as many as eight parallel strands within an AIA coronal loop cross-section. The strand number density versus cross-sectional width distribution, as detected by CRISP within AIA-defined coronal loops, most likely peaks at well below 100 km, and currently, 69\% of the substructure strands are statistically unresolved in AIA coronal loops.
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1. INTRODUCTION

It has long been claimed (for, e.g., Gomez et al. 1993, and earlier) that coronal loops consist of bundles of thin strands, to scales below the current instrumental resolution. Today, that statement continues to remain as prevalent as ever. Coronal loops were first detected in coronagraphic observations in the 1940s (Bray et al. 1991). These loops are observed to extend into the low plasma-\beta environment of the solar corona, arching over active regions, and are filled with relatively dense plasma (in the range of \(10^8–10^{10}\) cm\(^{-3}\)) and confined by a dipole-like magnetic field (Aschwanden 2004; Reale 2010, and references therein). Coronal loops are observed to have a broad range of temperatures, and they are observed in extreme ultraviolet (EUV) from \(\sim 10^5\) K (cool loops) to a few \(10^6\) K (warm loops) and up to a few \(10^7\) K (flaring loops). In coronal loops, neighboring magnetic field lines are considered to be thermally isolated; hence, each field line can be considered independently, which we call a “strand” herein.

To explain the nature of coronal loops is to understand the origin of solar coronal heating. One fundamental issue is that we do not know what the spatial scale of the mechanisms that heat the solar corona is (Reale 2010). It has been considered that in order to form stable overdense, warm coronal loops, it may be required to assume that coronal loops consist of unresolved magnetic strands, each heated impulsively, non-uniformly, and sequentially (Gomez et al. 1993; Cargill 1994; Klimchuk 2000; Klimchuk & Cargill 2001; Reale et al. 2005; Klimchuk 2006; Klimchuk et al. 2008). At a typical spatial resolution (of most current space-based instruments observing from EUV to higher energies) of \(\sim 1000\) km (\(\sim 1'\):5: 1 arcsec (\") \(\approx 720\) km), it is likely that most observations represent superpositions of hundreds of unresolved strands that can exist at various stages of heating and cooling (Klimchuk 2006). Other studies based both on models and on analysis of observations independently suggest that elementary loop components should be even finer, with typical cross-sections of the strands on the order of 10–100 km (Beveridge et al. 2003; Cargill & Klimchuk 2004; DeForest 2007). The space-based (\textit{Hinode}; Kosugi et al. 2007) EUV Imaging Spectrometer (EIS; Culhane et al. 2007) has been used together with the Solar Dynamics Observatory (\textit{SDO})/Atmospheric Image Assembly (AIA; Lemen et al. 2012) to investigate the fundamental spatial scales of coronal loops; the results suggest that most coronal loops remain unresolved (Ugarte-Urra et al. 2012) given the 1\''\,2 (\(\sim 860\) km) and 2\''(\(\sim 1440\) km) resolution of \textit{SDO}/AIA and \textit{Hinode}/EIS, respectively.

However, contrary to this, Brooks et al. (2012) presented results of multi-stranded loop models calculated at a high resolution. They show that only five strands with a maximum radius of 280 km are required in order to reproduce the observed coronal loops, and a maximum of only eight strands where needed to reproduce all of the detected loops. More recently (2012 July 11), the High-resolution Coronal Imager (Hi-C; Curtain et al. 2013) took images of the 1.5 MK corona at an unprecedented resolution of 0\''3–0.4\'' (\(\sim 220–290\) km; Winebarger et al. 2014), which is unique for direct imaging of coronal loops in this passband. As a follow-up, Brooks et al. (2013) measured the Gaussian widths of 91 Hi-C loops observed in the solar corona, and the resulting distribution had a peak width of 270 km.
In other words, the finest-scale substructures of coronal loops are already observable. Other studies concerning the variations of intensity across a variety of hot loops, co-observed by AIA and Hi-C, have continued to speculate on whether or not strand substructures could potentially exist well below what Hi-C or AIA can resolve (Peter et al. 2013). Most recently, Winebarger et al. (2014) performed a statistical analysis on how the pixel intensity scales from AIA resolution to Hi-C resolution. They claim that 70% of the Hi-C pixels show no evidence for substructuring, except in the moss regions within the field of view (FOV) and in regions of sheared magnetic field.

There is strong evidence to suggest that coronal loops are, indeed, so finely structured when we consider loop legs from coordinated observations involving high-resolution spectral imaging with ground-based instruments. Antolin & Rouppe van der Voort (2012) performed a detailed and systematic study of coronal rain (Kawaguchi 1970; Schrijver 2001; De Groof et al. 2004) via the Swedish 1 m Solar Telescope (SST; Scharmer et al. 2003a)/ (CRISP; Scharmer et al. 2008) instrument at very high spatial and spectral resolutions (0.0597 image scale). They detected narrow clumps of coronal rain in Hα down to the diffraction limit (130 km) in the cross-sectional area, with average lengths between ∼310 km and ∼710 km and widths approaching the diffraction limit of the instrument. These measurements were repeated for on-disk coronal rain by (Antolin et al. 2012). Coronal rain is considered to be a consequence of a loop-top thermal instability driving catastrophic cooling of dense plasma (see Antolin et al. 2010; Antolin & Rouppe van der Voort 2012, and references therein). Radiation cooling of dense evaporated plasma (filling coronal loops) leads to the onset of plasma depletion from the loops, slowly at first and then progressively faster.

From an observational standpoint, the next step is to reveal evidence for substructuring along the full length of the coronal loop, from loop-top toward footpoint, and directly measure the threaded nature of coronal loop-tops using CRISP (with the most powerful resolving capability), in order to adequately test the existence of unresolved structure in the outer solar atmosphere. Observations from the ground-based instruments, such as CRISP, have obvious advantages over space-based facilities, with respect to resolving power given their much larger apertures. Analysis of the Hα line core from such imaging spectropolarimeters provides an excellent tracer of the magnetic environment of the lower solar atmosphere (Leenaarts et al. 2012). Through coordinated observations of coronal loops, with AIA and CRISP, we can use imaging in Hα as a proxy for revealing the internal magnetic structure of coronal loops. As discussed in Antolin & Rouppe van der Voort (2012), it is possible that there is a strong dynamic coupling between neutrals and ions in coronal loops during the formation of coronal rain. As a result, the rain can become observable in the Hα to reveal, in great detail, the topological structure of the local coronal magnetic field. The condensation process initially generates small rain clumps in situ within coronal loop-tops until the point where the mass density of the rain becomes large enough, leading to a flow of clumps toward the loop footpoints (Fang et al. 2013). The lower limit (in spatial scales), with respect to the size distribution of these clumps, is dependent upon the magnetic fine-scale structuring of coronal loops. Momentarily and as a consequence of the thermal properties of the dense plasma undergoing rapid condensation, the Hα signal is detectable within post-flare coronal loops because the atmospheric conditions in the loops match that of the chromosphere. Fundamentally, the magnetic substructuring of the coronal loops near loop-tops should remain the same or similar for all coronal loops (flaring and non-flaring). However, the possibility of probing the fine-scale magnetic structure of coronal loops during the post-flare phase with Hα via high-resolution imaging can present itself.

In this paper, we report on the distribution of threaded substructures within a coronal loop from loop-top toward footpoint via direct imaging of coronal loop cross-sections through a coordinated SST and SDO analysis, which comprises three data sets.

2. OBSERVATIONS

CRISP, installed at the SST, is an imaging spectropolarimeter that includes a dual Fabry–Pérot interferometer, as described by Scharmer (2006). The resulting FOV is about 55” × 55”, CRISP allows for fast wavelength tuning (∼50 ms) within a spectral range and is ideally suited for spectroscopic imaging of the chromosphere. For Hα 656.3 nm, the transmission FWHM of CRISP is 6.6 μm and the prefiber is 0.49 μm. The image quality of the time series data is greatly benefited from the correction of atmospheric distortions by the SST adaptive optics system (Scharmer et al. 2003b) in combination with the image restoration technique Multi-Object Multi-Frame Blind Deconvolution (MOMFBD; van Noort et al. 2005). Although the observations suffered from seeing effects, every image is close to the theoretical diffraction limit for the SST. We refer to van Noort & Rouppe van der Voort (2008) and Sekse et al. (2013) for more details on the MOMFBD processing strategies applied to the CRISP data. We followed the standard procedures in the reduction pipeline for CRISP data (de la Cruz Rodríguez et al. 2014), which includes the post-MOMFBD correction for differential stretching suggested by Henriques (2012).

We explore the fully processed data sets with Vissers & Rouppe van der Voort (CRISPEX2012), a versatile code for analysis of multi-dimensional data cubes. We have compiled, with these reduction methods, three data sets from excellent periods of seeing that contain active region coronal loops within the CRISP FOV.

Data set A. A mosaic observing sequence (presented in Figures 1 and 2) was set to repeat once, with a line scan in Hα at four wavelength positions (as presented in Figure 2), for the 280°C × 180°C FOV containing active region (AR) 11515 on 2012 July 2, centered at [−225°, −275°] in solar x/y. The pointing per position was preset to 5.5 s, including 1.5–2 s for the telescope to change pointing. The total duration of the observation was 600 s, so there were 108 pointing sequences in this interval with a repeat of 54 positions resulting in a cadence of 300 s between 08:10 and 08:20 UT. The co-alignment between CRISP and AIA for the mosaic observation is presented in Figure 1.

Data set B. A time series with six wavelength-point spectral scans in Hα with an effective cadence of 19 s (after frame selection on the MOMFBD restored data) pointed at [−349°, −329°] in solar x/y on 2012 July 1, centering on AR 11515 between 15:08 and 16:31 UT.

Data set C. A time series with 43 wavelength-point spectral scans in Hα with an effective cadence of 10.8 s pointed at [−818°, 179°] in solar x/y on 2011 September 24, centering on AR 11302 between 10:17 and 11:02 UT.

To achieve sub-AIA pixel accuracy in the co-alignment of Hα with CRISP and SDO/AIA, we cross-correlate photospheric bright points as observed in both instruments. Photospheric
bright points exist as discrete, bright, and relatively long-lived features that exist in both quiet-Sun, active regions, and, to a lesser extent, coronal holes. They are well distributed over the solar disk and can be clearly identified in the upper photospheric AIA 170.0 nm channel (log T = 3.7). Our spectral line scan of Hα includes nearby continuum positions in both the blue wing (−0.1032 nm) and red wing (+0.1032 nm) for all our data sets. In the case of data set A, each grid in the mosaic sequence at the near-continuum spectral position was independently aligned to the corresponding SDO/AIA 170.0 nm (derotated FOV to compensate for solar rotation) in space and time. This accurate method for achieving a sub-AIA pixel accuracy in the co-alignment between the space-based SDO/AIA and ground-based SST/CRISP images is displayed in Figure 1 (right). The same method was also applied in regard to the co-alignment of data sets B and C.

3. RESULTS

Data set A, composed of the mosaic coronal loop observation, contains primarily warm active region loops. We examine the coronal loop multi-thermal substructures of active region loops in the following section. After that, we will focus on the substructures within loops in data sets B and C, which both contain hot, post-flare coronal loops. In that section, we will investigate the substructure of hot post-flare coronal loops that have experienced strong chromospheric evaporation.

3.1. Active Region Loops

In Figure 2, we present the reduced and reconstructed mosaic images for the Hα line scan of AR 11502 from the 2012 July 2. The line scan positions include −0.1032 nm (a) and −0.0774 nm (c) in the blue wing, relative to the line core (b) and one position in the red at +0.1032 nm (d). The green box in Figure 2(a) indicates the location of a high speed chromospheric upflow with a strong Doppler shift with an equivalent velocity of ~47 km s⁻¹. Interestingly, the trajectory of this high-speed upflow, in the Hα green box, coincides with the footpoint of a large-scale loop in apparent downflow in the Hα yellow box. The imposing loop-like structure, which extends above the sunspot group, is clearly observed in the red wing of Hα, as revealed in the yellow box in Figure 2(d). This structure is co-spatial with EUV coronal loops, as observed in SDO/AIA, and its loop-top fine-scale structure is the focus of our investigation.

In Figure 3, we present a zoom into the yellow box (2) from Figure 2(d) to reveal a multi-thermal substructure within the coronal loop system. The mosaic sequence was repeated with a five-minute time lag (before: top row and after: bottom row). When we compare between these time frames in the Hα far red wing, we can immediately reveal the evolution of the flow from the loop-top arching along the loop-leg. This evolution of the plasma is also evident in the AIA EUV lines at the loop-top in the same time interval, which confirms our expectation that the Hα signature must originate within the coronal loop structure and at the loop-top. The loop length, as observed in Hα, is ~63 Mm from lower loop-leg to the central part of the loop-apex. Along the loop-leg, near the footpoint, a high-velocity chromospheric upflow (green box from Figure 2(a)) can be identified as a hot explosive event, which remains in emission in all AIA channels through the duration of the observation. This explosive event continues even after the excessive cooling of the loop-top and onset of the return flow to account for the high concentration of
Figure 2. CRISP Hα line scan images for the reconstructed 54 grid mosaic of July 2, for the time interval 08:15:05–08:20:00 UT. The line scan includes two far wing positions: (a) in the far blue-wing and (d) in the far red-wing. Panel (c) samples the fast spicular structures in the near blue-wing of Hα, and panel (b) samples the upper chromospheric plasma in the Hα core, revealing a complex network of chromospheric loops and dynamic fibrils. The line scan positions, relative to the solar atlas Hα profile, are presented in the subfigure of panel (a), and the specific wavelengths of the scan are detailed in the panel titles. The green and yellow boxes mark features of interest for our investigation.

In Figures 4 and 5, panel (A), we present the zoomed-in regions from Figure 3, blue dashed box (loop-leg) and white dashed box (loop-top), respectively. Here, we reveal in great detail that both the loop-tops and loop-legs consist of bundles of fine-scale strand substructures, which can remain connected along the length of the loop. The fine strands, identifiable within the pink boxed regions in Figure 4, panel (A), and white dashed in Figure 5, panel (A), appear to be parallel with each other and exist contained within the AIA-defined loop boundary (see the blue dashed line in Figure 5, panel (A)). In Figure 4, panel (B), the data cross-cuts (extracted from the diagonal dashed slit of Figure 4, panel (A)) demonstrate the substructured nature of the loop in Hα within, and are not necessarily confined to, the double-peak profile representing 17.1 nm normalized intensity.

The 17.1 nm loop system boundary (marked by the vertical red lines in Figure 4, panel (B)) has a maximum cross-sectional width of 3–4 Mm and also appears structured down to the resolution limit of the AIA instrument. The AIA temperature response function for the 17.1 nm passband has its maximum around 0.9 MK. We find that the FWHM of each of the AIA 17.1 nm loop peaks from the cross-cut is 870 km. In measuring the cross-sectional widths, we computed the FWHM of the data cross-cut as being the width of the bisector corresponding to half of the difference between the minimum (in the case of Hα) or maximum (in the case of 17.1 nm) intensity level and the background pixel intensity level. In Figure 4, panel (B), at the center of this AIA loop double peak, we can identify a very finely structured bunch of strands in Hα. The Hα data cross-cuts contain multiple, parallel strands with a variety of cross-sectional widths (individual strands are marked with the green solid lines). The broadest strand in this set has a FWHM of 6 SST-pixels, which corresponds to 260 km. This relatively broad strand extends with a consistently uniform cross-section to a length of 4860 km. The leftmost strand is narrower again, corresponding to 4 SST-pixels, which is 170 km. Other strands appear to exist within a range of spatial scales that can be as large as 520 km and as low as 130 km. On average, we can detect a maximum of eight strands within this cross-section of the loop.

Similarly, in Figure 5, panel (B), Hα data cross-cuts are plotted in sequence depicting the strand parallel channels (see the connecting green and pink solid lines demarcating the channel of the strand) that run along the length of the loop within Regions 1–3. Regions 2 and 3 are contained within,
Figure 3. Large-scale, high-velocity downflow in the Hα far wing image (+0.1032 nm) is presented in the first panel of the top row, and its evolution after five minutes is presented in the first panel of the bottom row. The co-spatial and co-temporal warm coronal loop is visible in the SDO/AIA He ii 30.4 nm (second column), Fe ix 17.1 nm (third column), and Fe xiv 21.1 nm (fourth column) images as shown. The blue dashed box (top row) represents the FOV of Figure 4, for a closer inspection of the coronal loop-leg substructures. The white dashed box in the bottom row represents the coronal loop-top and the FOV of Figure 5. The black dashed box reveals an adjacent closed hot-loop system, with co-spatial dark flows (in Hα) that extend along the loop-legs toward the footpoints. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

3.2. Post-flare Loops

Figures 6 and 7 display the overlays of the hot post-flare loop system of data set B, which consists of CRISP observations centered on AR 11515 (same active region as data set A, observed one day later) on 2012 July 1 and hosts a C8.2 class flare during the observation period. In both Figures 6 and 7, panel (A), the post-flare loops are presented 33 minutes after the flare peaks at \(\sim 15:41\) UT in the GOES X-ray channel. The loop boundaries are contoured in 21.1 nm (red) and 17.1 nm (yellow) channels and overlaid on the Hα red wing images. In Figure 6, panel (A), the Hα spectral line position is +0.0516 nm, whereas in Figure 7, it is further into red wing (at +0.1032 nm) where we detect the faster moving components within the post-flare loop. It is immediately obvious that in panel (A) for both Figures 6 and 7, we detect a clear spatial correlation between the cooler fine-scale structures in Hα and the hotter EUV signal. The condensing coronal rain (known to form during the post-flare cooling phase) is shown to be depleting from the loop-top (located near Region 4) and travels toward the loop footpoint. Using the spectral scans in Hα, we can sample the fine-scale structure within the cross-section of the multi-thermal loop system and with very high accuracy since the EUV loops are very intense, and therefore very well defined, in the images. The cross-cut data for Regions 4 and 5, from
Fine-scale, multi-stranded, and multi-thermal substructures are detected within the coronal loop-leg and are presented here for the white dashed box region of Figure 3. The Hα line position of +0.1032 nm image (grayscale) is shown in panel (A) together with the near-simultaneous and co-spatial AIA 17.1 nm image. The coronal loop in 17.1 nm is contoured (solid red line) and overlaid in both images to compare with the Hα multi-threaded component of the loop. A white dashed diagonal slit and two pink boxed regions are extracted, and their normalized intensity profiles are plotted in panel (B) for comparison of both spectral lines. The data cross-cuts for Hα (solid curve) are overlaid with 17.1 nm (dotted curve). The blue solid lines presented the FWHM of the double-peaked 17.1 nm profile, and the green lines demarcate the locations of fine-scale strands that exist within the loop system.

Figures 6 and 7, panel (B), display similar fine-scale structuring very much confined within the post-flare loops. Again, we detect double-peak structures in many of the AIA channels (notably in the 21.1 nm red curves) and multiple strands in the Hα line position, indicating the presence of narrower threads well below the instrumental resolution of AIA. The green boxed regions in Figures 6 and 7, panel (B), highlight the sections of the cross-cuts where we have an overlap between AIA loops and structure in Hα, which again implies a coincidence in the location of the formation of the lines to within the coronal loop itself. The cross-sectional width measurements of all the fine-scale strands, within the full length of the loop system from loop-top to footpoint, will be accumulated together with data set A for statistical comparison of the variation in the range of scales present within the loop systems.

Data set C consists of CRISP observations centered on a region that hosted a GOES X1.9 class flare (post-impulsive phase close to the northeast solar limb) on 2011 September 24. In Figures 8 and 9, panel (A), we present images of the Hα red-wing (Figure 8) and blue-wing (Figure 9) grayscale images, which are almost coincident in observation time at 10:17 UT (within the same line scan with one line scan taking 4.2 s). These images are again overlaid with contours from AIA 17.1 nm (yellow), 21.1 nm (red), and also 19.3 nm (dark blue), for the post-flare loop system 56 minutes after the flare GOES X-ray peak. During this phase, we again expect to see evidence of coronal rain formation, with a characteristic signature in Hα. In data set C, catastrophic cooling is indeed present across the entire post-flare loop and the opposing flows as the rapidly cooled coronal rain depletes from the loop-top under the action of gravity is exquisitely revealed. We can clearly detect opposing, dark Doppler flows running along both legs of the loop system, as absorption in Hα (as defined by AIA contours), in the red-wing for the left-side leg (Figure 8) and in the blue-wing for the right-side leg (Figure 9). The Doppler signature in the loop reveals the geometrical nature of the loop itself. In the line core images, we can detect the structure of the loop-top where there is a net zero Doppler shift, which is in agreement with the location of the observed loop-top in the EUV channels and confirmation that the hot and cold plasma must be co-located within the same loop structure.

As with the large-scale loop of data set A, in the Hα line core images of data set C, many of these finely structured strands extend from footpoint to footpoint (crossing the loop-top). As with data set B, in Figures 8 and 9, panel (B), we present sets of
Figure 6. Co-temporal and co-spatial Hα near red-wing images (grayscale), together with overlaid contours (17.1 nm: yellow and 21.1 nm: red), are presented in panel (A). The observations consist of a snapshot of a post C8.2 class flare system from 2012 July 1 (data set B). Panel (B) presents the normalized intensity cross-cuts of the post-flare loop-top (solid green line Region 4 in panel (A)) for the associated Hα signal (black curve) along with the respective curves of the 17.1 nm (yellow) and 21.1 nm (red) channels, as is contoured in panel (A). The shaded green boxes represent examples of associated fine-scale structures in Hα and the EUV lines from which we extract measurable strand cross-sections for our statistical sample. The blue horizontal lines represent the well-defined and measurable cross-sections of the EUV loops in contrast with the fine-scale structuring in Hα.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 7. Co-temporal and co-spatial Hα far red-wing images (grayscale), together with overlaid contours (17.1 nm: yellow and 21.1 nm: red), are presented in panel (A). The observations consist of a snapshot of a post C8.2 class flare system from 2012 July 1 (data set B). Panel (B) presents the normalized intensity cross-cuts of the post-flare loop-leg (solid green line Region 5 in panel (A)) for the associated Hα signal (black curve) along with the respective curves of the 17.1 nm (yellow) and 21.1 nm (red) channels, as is contoured in panel (A). The additional markers in these figures are previously described in Figure 6 for this data set.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

A statistical comparison of the strands, using all of the examples from each of the data sets examined, is considered next. In summary, these coronal loop substructure samples comprise both loop-top and loop-leg substructures from both CRISP and AIA images, where we have a detectable and confident correspondence between Hα features in CRISP and associated EUV loops in AIA. A total of 62 coronal loop substructures where measured from all three data sets. A resulting histogram of the number density of all the strands/loop cross-sections versus their cross-sectional widths (km units), is presented in Figure 10. Here, we can show that the distribution of substructures within coronal loops appears to increase exponentially toward finer scales, and the highest number density (10 instances representing almost a sixth of all substructures measured) appears to peak within the range of the CRISP resolution. In fact, the distribution would imply that we have not yet reached a peak in the dominant spatial fine-scale structure of coronal loops, and furthermore, we are not yet within the observable range of the finest scales of structure.
4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Since the launch of Hi-C in 2012, there has been substantive research into the fine-scale structure of coronal loops. Most efforts to address this issue are centered on multi-instrumental approaches/analysis, comparing statistical relationships of intensity variations between measured loop cross-sections in co-incident Hi-C and AIA coronal loops. Ultimately, the conclusions from such studies, as with this study, are always going to be limited by the resolution of the instruments used, and any conclusions on the existence of fine-scale structure will continue to be speculative until the necessary improvements in instrumentation resolving power are met. In this study, we take this investigation further by exploiting the resolving power potential of the ground-based CRISP instrument together with SDO/AIA coronal loop detections to reveal the fine-scale structure.

Our analysis of three data sets, which consist of large-scale coronal loops in various conditions (ranging from warm active region loops to hot post-flare loops), have been accurately co-aligned with very high-resolution imaging in Hα. Interestingly, there is little difference in the distribution of strand/structure spatial scales that would lead one to be able to distinguish between data sets–C, each of which depict loop systems undergoing large variations in impulsive heating. This aspect may be hinting that the magnetic substructure of coronal loop cross-sections may not be so sensitive to variations in loop footpoint heating or, alternatively, the magnetic field is effectively and systematically reacting to changes in the thermal properties of the internal loop environment in order to manage the heat transport and maintain stability. The formation of the coronal rain is a demonstration of the loop system reaching a new thermal equilibrium, as observed in the cool Hα line, which acts as a tracer of the magnetic environment. This association of this rapid cooling condensation process and its temporary association with the EUV coronal loops has been exploited in this study in order to examine the fine-scale structure of the loops at the loop-apex. In Figure 3, we clearly demonstrate the loop-top depletion due to catastrophic cooling of plasma, which falls back to the lower solar atmosphere along the loop-leg. The longest
coronal loop substructures detected to date. This represents one of the longest and continuous fine-scale 26,100 km, extending from loop-top to close to the footpoint. The resolution limit in CRISP at 130 km) was on the order of continuous detectable strand (which largely features close to the resolution limit in CRISP at 130 km) was on the order of 26,100 km, extending from loop-top to close to the footpoint. This represents one of the longest and continuous fine-scale coronal loop substructures detected to date.

Antolin et al. (2010) observed coronal rain near coronal loop-tops with Hinode (SOT; Tsuneta et al. 2008) and measured cross-sectional widths on the order of 500 km. We detect similarly scaled coronal rain strands in coronal loop-tops with CRISP and also threads with finer scales, implying the existence of a range of finely scaled structures in the outer solar atmosphere. The draining of the dense plasma as it falls back toward the footpoint from the loop-top is most clear in data set C, represented in Figures 8 and 9. In the images, we demonstrate a clear association of the rain flowing within both legs of a post-flare coronal loop from its apparent source near the loop-top. There appears to be a distribution of scales within the coronal loop-top with respect to cross-sectional widths of strands. Likewise, there is a distribution in the strand lengths, all of which appear to follow the trajectory of the loop-top coronal field (as inferred from co-incident AIA loop trajectories), with some appearing to be very much extended toward the loop footpoint. This shows that the fine-scale structure is widespread along the full length of the loop and that the coronal rain clumps can form within bunches of strands. We can conclude that the vast majority of fine-scale strand structures within coronal loop cross-sections exist well below the resolution of SDO/AIA (69.3% of the potential strands, as returned by CRISP, are unresolved with AIA), and almost 50% of fine-scale strands could potentially remain unresolved with imaging at an instrumental resolution comparable to Hi-C. In summary, after considering eight cross-cuts (representing one loop-top and two loop-legs for data sets B and C and one loop-top and one loop-leg for data set A) we find an average ratio of 5:2 for CRISP strand number density to AIA strand number density per loop system.

Finally, we conclude that there is a cutoff in the peak of the distribution (from Figure 10) at the instrumental resolution of CRISP. We and others have assumed that the distribution of strand sizes should be a Gaussian or at least symmetric about some peak. From our histogram, we demonstrate that either we have not yet reached that peak and the actual fine-scale resolution is much below 100 km, or the spatial-scale distribution is in fact skewed away from being symmetric about some peak. This result clearly states that even with the most powerful ground-based instrumentation available, we have not yet observed a true peak in the strand cross-sectional width measurements at the lowest limit within coronal loops. Fang et al. (2013) demonstrated with numerical simulations of coronal rain formation that when compared with observational statistics, a higher percentage of coronal rain clumps are expected in smaller scales. Here, we can confidently state that the peak (in other words the minimum) in cross-sectional width distribution of the finest structures within coronal loops is most likely to exist beneath the 100 km mark. Henceforth, we look forward with great anticipation to the arrival of more powerful ground-based telescope facilities (such as the 4 m Daniel K. Inouye Solar Telescope (Berger & ATST Science Team 2013) in order to fully probe even finer scales within coronal loop cross-sections.
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