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Abstract

The Xiaoliugou W-Mo ore field lies within the mid-Paleozoic North Qilian Orogen, NW China, and hosts a W resource of 48.8 Mt @ 0.4% and 412.6 Mt of Mo @ 0.075%. It contains five deposits, including Xiaoliugou, Qiqing, Guishan, Qibao and Shiji. The main mineralization styles at Xiaoliugou are skarn and veins in which the mineral sequence is scheelite > molybdenite > chalcopyrite and occurs in the endo- and exo-contact zones of granite intrusions. The scheelite-dominated orebodies are overprinted by molybdenite-dominated quartz veins. Two molybdenite samples yielded Silurian Re-Os model ages of 427.4 ± 6.0 Ma and 428.2 ± 6.0 Ma. Three muscovite samples coexisting with molybdenite yielded Middle Devonian Ar-Ar ages of 392.0 ± 2.7 Ma, 391.1 ± 2.7 Ma and 391.4 ± 2.8 Ma. The Re-Os and Ar-Ar ages indicate that the W-Mo mineralization and alteration occurred at ca. 428–391 Ma, which corresponds with regional continental collision within the Qilian Orogen. δ³⁴S for the sulfides molybdenite and pyrite are 7.70–11.67 ‰ and 4.98–13.17 ‰, respectively. The ²⁰⁶Pb/²⁰⁴Pb, ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁴Pb and ²⁰⁸Pb/²⁰⁴Pb of the sulfides are 17.98–21.73, 15.34–18.81, and 37.18–38.63, respectively. The granites yield similar corrected (²⁰⁶Pb/²⁰⁴Pb)ᵣ, (²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁴Pb)ᵣ and (²⁰⁸Pb/²⁰⁴Pb)ᵣ, ranging 16.14–19.35, 15.44–15.63, and 37.41–38.31, respectively. Calculated δ¹⁸O of the fluid inclusions in quartz range from -3.38–2.34 ‰, whereas the δD of the hydrothermal fluids ranges from -94 to -47 ‰. The S-, Pb-, O- and D-isotopic data imply that the metals originated from the granite intrusion with a minor component sourced from the host sediments, and that the ore-forming fluids were dominated by magmatic-hydrothermal fluids mixed with minor meteoric water.
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1. Introduction

China’s W and Mo reserves account for ca. 60% and 40% respectively, of the world’s total resource (USGS, 2015). The majority of the W deposits are clustered in the Nanling region in South China (Fig. 1A; Peng et al., 2006; Mao et al., 2013; Sheng et al., 2015), whereas the largest Mo ore field occurs within the Qinling and Dabie orogens in eastern-central China (Fig. 1A; Chen and Li, 2009; Chen et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014; Li et al., 2015; Mi et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2015; Deng et al., in press). Recent exploration and research has shown that the North Qilian Orogen is a well-endowed W-Mo metallogenic province, with numerous large to small scale ore deposits, such as the Xiaoliugou W-Mo ore field that hosts the Xiaoliugou, Qiqing, Guishan, Qibao and Shiji deposits (Fig. 1B). The majority of these deposits were formed by magmatic-hydrothermal fluids associated with mid-Paleozoic granite intrusions, which are thought to have been emplaced in subduction- or continental collision settings (Mao et al., 1999; Yang et al., 2002; Xiao et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2003; Zhou et al., 2004; Li, 2006; Jia et al., 2007; Zhang and Lin, 2010; Gao et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2014). However, detailed investigation in the mineral assemblages is limited, and additional geochronological and geochemical research is needed to elucidate the mineralization processes and possible tectonic settings.

Among the deposits of the North Qilian Orogen, the Xiaoliugou W-Mo ore field is the largest, containing 48.76 Mt of W @ 0.4% and 412.63 Mt of Mo @ 0.075%, as well as exploitable Cu reserves (Fig. 2; 4th Geological Team of the Gansu Nonferrous Metals
Bureau, 2000). Published studies indicate that the mineralization occurs along the endo- and exo-contact zones of the porphyritic granite intrusions and within the related quartz veins (An and Zhou, 2002; Bai et al., 2005; Liu and Chen, 2005; Tang et al., 2006; Qiao, 2008).

In this study, we present a comprehensive analysis of mineral assemblages, molybdenite Re-Os and muscovite Ar-Ar geochronology and S-Pb-D-O isotope analyses within the Xiaoliugou W-Mo ore field. This data constrains the age of mineralization and alteration, the tectonic setting, and the source of metals and hydrothermal fluids enabling the development of a metallogenic model for the Xiaoliugou W-Mo ore field.

2. Regional Geology

The Xiaoliugou W-Mo ore field is located near the town of Qiqing (Sunan County) in Gansu, and is placed in the western part of the North Qilian Orogen (Feng and Wu, 1992; Zuo and Wu, 1997; Xià, 1998; Zuo et al., 2002; Du et al., 2004; Li, 2006; Xu et al., 2008). The North Qilian area is dominated by Precambrian and Paleozoic rocks (Fig. 1B; Li, 2006). The Lower Proterozoic Beidahe Group, Middle-Upper Proterozoic Jingtieshan Formation and the Upper Proterozoic Zhulongguan Formation are the main units hosting mineralization, and are unconformably overlain by a Paleozoic succession (Fig. 1B). The Precambrian rocks consist of schist, marble, biotite-amphibolite schist, and conglomerate intercalated with minor volcanic rocks. The Paleozoic lithostratigraphic sequences comprise Cambrian flysch, Middle Cambrian to Early Ordovician volcanic rocks intercalated with carbonate rocks, and upper Paleozoic sedimentary rocks (Li, 2006). Regional WNW-trending faults disrupt the rock units (Fig. 1B).

Granite intrusions in North Qilian account for less than 10% of the total area (Fig. 1B)
and are divided on the basis of age relationships into pre-, syn- and post- mid-Paleozoic tectono-thermal activity, which in China has traditional been referred to as Caledonian (e.g., Li et al., 2005). Zircon U–Pb dating results show that the syn-tectonic granites were emplaced between ca. 460 and 420 Ma (Mao et al., 2000; Wu et al., 2006; Jia et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2008; Zhao et al., 2014). Wu et al. (2006) proposed that the granitic rocks older than ca. 440 Ma were formed in a subduction-related setting, whereas those younger than ca. 440 Ma were formed in a continental collision setting.

There are several W-Mo polymetallic deposits in the northwestern region of the North Qilian Orogen, including the Xiaoliugou W-Mo ore field, as well as the Ta’ergou W-Mo, Xiliugou W-Mo, Shidonggou Ag polymetallic deposits (Gao et al., 2011). The Xiliugou W-Mo and Shidonggou Ag polymetallic deposits are hosted in Precambrian rocks and are associated with the Yeniutan granitic pluton (zircon U–Pb ages: ca. 459–452 Ma; Mao et al., 2000), while the Xiaoliugou W-Mo deposit is genetically related to the Jinfosi granitic pluton (zircon U–Pb age: ca. 440–420 Ma; Liu et al., 2009).

3. Local geology

The Xiaoliugou W-Mo ore field was first discovered in the mid-1990s by the 4th Geological Team of the Gansu Nonferrous Metals Bureau (4th Geological Team of the Gansu Nonferrous Metals Bureau, 2000). The ore field now consists of five single W-Mo-(Cu) deposits (Xiaoliugou, Qiqing, Guishan, Qibao and Shiji) (Figs. 2, 3A and 3B; Gao et al., 2011). The combined reserves of these deposits have been estimated to be 48.76 Mt at 0.4% W, 412.63 Mt at 0.075% Mo, and lesser concentrations of Cu, Be, Bi and Te (4th Geological Team of the Gansu Nonferrous Metals Bureau, 2000). Host rocks of the Xiaoliugou mine are the Precambrian Zhulongguan Group, which are composed of
marbles, phyllite, schists and siltstone subjected to greenschist–amphibolite facies metamorphism (Fig. 2).

Two sets of faults are recognized at the mine (Fig. 2). One set trends WNW and constitutes part of the regional fault system in the Qilian Orogen (Fig. 2), whereas the other set occurs as radial faults in the exo-contact zone of the granite and controls the distribution of the orebodies (Fig. 2).

Intrusions of monzogranite and granodiorite mainly lie south of the Xiaoliugou W-Mo mine area (Figs. 3C and 3D). Zhao et al. (2014) reported a U-Pb zircon age for the monzogranite of 454.0 ± 2.0 Ma and for the granodiorite of 417.7 ± 1.7 Ma. The granodiorite is classified as S-type (ilmenite series) based on the ACF diagram (Mao et al., 1999).

Orebodies of the Xiaoliugou ore field generally occur as veins with lenticular shapes (Fig. 4). The scheelite-dominated orebodies are hosted in skarn along intrusive contacts (Figs. 4, 5A and 5B). In contrast, the molybdenite-dominated orebodies occur as fault-controlled steeply plunging lodes in the host rocks or scheelite-dominated orebodies (Fig. 5C). The scheelite-dominated orebodies appear to have been overprinted by the molybdenite-bearing quartz veins (Fig. 5D).

These two ore types are classified as scheelite-skarn and molybdenite-quartz vein mineralization (Figs. 5A, 5B and 5C). The skarn-type ores contain an average W grade of 0.67% and consist primarily of garnet, epidote, diopside, scheelite and fluorite with minor molybdenite (Figs. 5A and 5B), and the scheelite occurs as an interstitial phase of the above-mentioned skarn minerals. In contrast, the quartz veins contain muscovite, molybdenite, chalcopyrite, pyrrhotite, fluorite, wolframite and minor scheelite (Figs. 5C,
Detailed petrographic observations support a paragenetic sequence of early scheelite associated with garnet-epidote skarn, followed by molybdenite-bearing quartz veins. This is subsequently overprinted by pyrrhotite and chalcopyrite (Fig. 5). The interpreted paragenesis is evidenced by scheelite grains coated with later molybdenite (Fig. 5D), molybdenite-muscovite-quartz veins overprinted by chalcopyrite mineralization (Fig. 5E), and chalcopyrite coexisting and synchronous with the pyrrhotite (Fig. 5F).

4. Sampling and analytical methodology

4.1. Re-Os geochronology

Two molybdenite samples from Mo-bearing quartz veins, and containing the mineral assemblage molybdenite, quartz, muscovite and minor scheelite, were collected from diamond drill cores (Figs. 4, 5C, 5D and 5E). Samples were crushed to approximately 200 mesh and the molybdenite was separated by magnetic and gravitational methods, and then handpicked using a binocular microscope. Molybdenite Re-Os dating was completed at the Re-Os Laboratory of the National Research Center of Geoanalysis, Chinese Academy of Geological Sciences (CAGS). The analytical procedures are described in detail by Du et al. (2004), and the decay constant (\(\lambda\)) for \(^{187}\text{Re}\) of \(1.666 \times 10^{-11}\) year\(^{-1}\) was used (Smoliar et al., 1996).

4.2. Ar-Ar geochronology

Three muscovite samples were separated from the molybdenite-bearing quartz veins (Fig. 5C). Hand specimen and microscopic observation indicate the muscovite samples
are petrogenetically associated with the molybdenite-quartz veins and appear to be undeformed (Fig. 5C).

The samples were ultrasonically cleaned in distilled water, then in acetone (three times each for 30 min) and then dried in preparation for irradiation. The samples and an international standard Brione muscovite (18.7 ± 0.09 Ma) (Hall et al., 1984) were wrapped in aluminum foil and stacked in quartz vials that were irradiated in the 49-2 reactor at the Beijing Atomic Energy Research Institute, with a flux of ~6.5 × 10^{12} cm^{-2} s^{-1} for 24 h, yielding J values of ~0.00646. Step-heating $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ measurements were carried out at the Ar-Ar Laboratory of the Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences (IGGCAS) in Beijing. Muscovite samples were incrementally heated from 800 to 1460 °C at a rate of 20 to 50 °C every 10 min. The isotopic measurement was made on a MM5400 mass spectrometer at IGGCAS. Detailed analytical procedures are described in Wang et al. (2006). The $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ results were calculated and plotted using the ArCALC software (Koppers, 2002) and the decay constants of Steiger and Jager (1977). The age uncertainties are reported at the 95% confidence level (2σ). The plateau ages are defined according to the prerequisites introduced by Fleck et al. (1977). At least two steps with >80% of released $^{39}\text{Ar}$ in total, whose ages are within 2σ of the mean value, were calculated by weighing with inverse variance.

4.3. $^{34}\text{S-Pb-D-O}$ isotope analyses

Four sulfides and nine granite samples were analyzed for isotopes of S and Pb in this study. The sampling locations, occurrences and mineralogical compositions of sulfides
and granites are shown in Figures 3 and 4. Powders of pyrite, chalcopyrite and pyrrhotite finer than 200 mesh were mixed with copper oxide powder, and then heated to extract SO\(_2\) gas. Sulfur isotope compositions of the extracted SO\(_2\) were determined on a Finnigan Mat-251 mass spectrometer of the Analytical Laboratory of the Beijing Research Institute of Uranium Geology, China (CNNC). The \(^{34}\text{S}/^{32}\text{S}\) ratios are expressed by the conventional \(\delta^{34}\text{S}\) value in per mil relative to a Canon Diablo Troilite (CDT) standard, with an analytical precision of \(\pm 0.2\)‰. Lead isotopes analyses were conducted by first dissolving approximately 100mg of the sulfide powders in a HF+HNO\(_3\) mixture. This was followed by drying and re-dissolution in 0.5 M HBr for Pb separation. A factor of 1% per mass unit for instrumental mass fractionation was applied to the Pb analyses using NBS 981 as a reference material. Measurements of the common Pb standard NBS 981 gave average values of \(^{208}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb} = 2.1681 \pm 0.0008, \quad ^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb} = 0.91464 \pm 0.00033, \quad ^{204}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb} = 0.059042 \pm 0.000037\), with uncertainties of < 0.1% at the 2\(\sigma\) level.

Ten representative quartz samples related to molybdenite or scheelite were selected for D-O isotopic analyses at CNNC, Beijing. The representative sample of quartz related to molybdenite is shown in Figure 5C, and the sampling location is marked in Figure 4. All the analyzed sulfide and quartz grains were separated through conventional preparation techniques including crushing, oscillation, heavy liquid and magnetic separation, followed by hand-picking under a microscope yielding concentrates with at least 99% purity. Oxygen isotope analyses were conducted on 10–30 mg of quartz finer than 200 mesh that was reacted with BrF\(_5\) at 550–700 °C and converted to O\(_2\). The purified O\(_2\) was reacted with a Pt-coated carbon rod at 700 °C to produce CO\(_2\). The \(^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}\) ratios are expressed by the conventional \(\delta^{18}\text{O}\) value in per mil relative to the Pee Dee Belemnite
(PBD) standard, with an analytical precision of ±0.2‰. Approximately 5 g of 1–5 mm quartz grains were analyzed for H isotopes. The samples were first degassed of labile volatiles and then the secondary fluid inclusions were extracted by heating under vacuum to 120 °C for 3 h. The released water was trapped, reduced to H₂ by Zn, and then analyzed with a Finnigan Mat-251 mass spectrometer. The results of the analyses are expressed as δD with reported values relative to Standard Mean Ocean Water (SMOW), with an analytical precision of ±2‰ (1σ).

5. Results

5.1. Age dating results

Results of the two molybdenite analyses in this study and the published data of Mao et al. (1999) from the Xiaoliugou W-Mo ore field are listed in Table 1. The data range of Mao et al. (1999) is too large (496–436 Ma), and therefore only the data analyzed in this study are summarized and discussed. The Re concentration in molybdenite measured in this study ranges from 6.2–14.87 ppm, and the 187Os concentrations range 27.92–661.91 ppm. One sample has a model age of 427.4 ± 6.0 Ma, while the other yielded a model age of 428.2 ± 6.0 Ma (Table 1). The corresponding two ages of molybdenite confirm the Xiaoliugou deposit was formed at ca. 428 Ma.

The analytical results of step-heating ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar measurements are listed in Table 2. The corresponding plateau ages and inverse isochron ages are plotted in Figure 6. The muscovite separates yielded plateau ages of 392.0 ± 2.7 Ma (MSWD = 0.03), 391.1 ± 2.7 Ma (MSWD=0.09) and 391.4 ± 2.8 Ma (MSWD=0.09), respectively, as defined by gas emissions from the 900–1300°C step-heating stages (with > 85% of ³⁹Ar gas released).
The inverse isochron age defined by total gas release of 12 stages is $392.2 \pm 1.9$ Ma (MSWD = 1.37), $391.1 \pm 1.9$ Ma (MSWD = 0.27) and $392.4 \pm 2.4$ Ma (MSWD = 1.73). The calculated initial $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{36}\text{Ar}$ values are $289.3 \pm 7.3$, $293.9 \pm 6.6$ and $278.5 \pm 66.0$, which coincide with the atmospheric value of 295.5 without Ar loss or addition (Kelley, 2002). In general, the normal and inverse isochron ages are consistent with the plateau ages, suggesting that the range of $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{36}\text{Ar}$ values is acceptable and the ages are geologically meaningful.

5.2. Sulfur isotopic compositions

Results of the S-isotope analyses for pyrite and molybdenite obtained in this study and data compiled from the literature are presented in Table 3. The $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ values for the molybdenite range 7.70–11.67‰, and pyrite range 4.98–13.17‰.

5.3. Lead isotopic compositions

The Pb isotope values for pyrite, molybdenite and chalcopyrite, granite and host rocks from this study combined with published data are presented in Table 4 and Figure 7. The sulfides from the Xiaoliugou deposit have $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$, $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ and $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ values of 17.98–21.73, 15.34–18.81 and 37.18–38.63, with average values of 18.66, 15.90 and 37.99, respectively. The granite yielded corrected ($^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb})_i$, ($^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb})_i$, and ($^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb})_i$ ratios with a range of 16.14–19.35 (mean = 17.78), 15.44–15.63(mean = 15.56), and 37.41–38.31 (mean = 37.95). In comparison, the host rocks have $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$, $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$, and $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ ranging 18.15–18.46, 15.34–15.57, and 37.18–38.50, respectively.
5.4. D-O isotopic compositions

The D-O isotope analyses of quartz and fluid inclusions in quartz from the Xiaoliugou deposit are shown in Table 5 and Figure 8. The δD values of fluid inclusions in the mineralized quartz veins range from -94 to -47‰. The δ18O values of vein quartz range from 9.5 to 16.3‰, with calculated δ18O values of the fluids that formed the quartz ranging from -3.38 to 2.34‰. The D-O isotope diagram shows that the calculated hydrothermal fluid isotopic composition plots in the region between magmatic and meteoric water (Fig. 8).

6. Discussion

6.1. Source of metals and ore-forming fluids

Concentration of Re in molybdenite can be used to trace the origin of metals and tectonic settings due to a decrease of Re from the mantle to the crust (Mao et al., 1999; Stein et al., 2001a). Molybdenite sourced from mantle materials or oceanic crust shows higher Re concentrations (n×10² ppm), whereas molybdenite originating from continental crustal rocks contain lower Re concentrations (n– n×10 ppm; Stein et al., 2001b; Deng et al., 2014a, b; Mi et al., 2014). The molybdenite from the Xiaoliugou Mo deposit shows low Re contents (6.23–14.87 ppm) (Table 1), suggesting a crustal derivation.

The well-developed greisenization in the ores (Fig. 5C) implies that the ore-forming hydrothermal system had a pH<6 (Pirojno, 2009). Additionally, fluid inclusion homogenization temperatures were calculated to be below 500 °C (Zheng, 2008). This, combined with a lack of sulfate minerals associated with the ore, suggests that the sulfur
in the hydrothermal fluids system was mainly in the form of \( \text{H}_2\text{S} \). Therefore, the sulfur of the sulfide represents the S-isotopic composition of hydrothermal fluids. The \( \delta^{34}\text{S} \) values for the ore-forming fluids range from 4.98 to 13.17\%, which is significantly larger than that of magmatic sulfur (0±1\%) (Pirajno, 2009). The range of S-isotopic compositions is closer to that reported for sedimentary rocks (Leach et al., 2005; Gregory et al., 2015), which implies that there was a sedimentary source for the sulfur, possibly with a magmatic contribution.

Lead isotope compositions of pyrite, molybdenite, granite and host rocks are plotted in the diagram of Zartman and Doe (1981) (Fig. 7). The Pb-isotopic composition of pyrite is plotted between the upper crust and mantle fields and shows a steeply dipping distribution. This indicates the fluids that formed the pyrite were from a mixed source (Zartman and Doe, 1981). In contrast, the Pb-isotopic composition of molybdenite shows higher ^{206}\text{Pb}, U/Pb and Th/Pb, which coincide with the characteristics of high U and Th of the upper crust, indicating the fluids that formed the molybdenite originated from upper crustal sources (Zartman and Doe, 1981). Further comparison between the pyrite, molybdenite, granite and host rocks implies that the Pb composition of molybdenite is similar to the granite, and the Pb composition of pyrite is similar to the host rocks.

D-O isotopes can be used to trace the source of the mineralization fluids (e.g., Pirajno, 2009). The \( \delta^{18}\text{O} \) and \( \delta\text{D} \) values for the ore-forming fluids at Xiaoliugou are plotted in the diagram of Taylor (1974) (Fig. 8). The quartz associated with scheelite and molybdenite yielded similar \( \delta^{18}\text{O} \) and \( \delta\text{D} \) values, and plot between the magmatic and meteoric water fields, which implies that the scheelite and molybdenite originated from the same ore-forming fluid source. Considering the widespread granite distribution at Xiaoliugou
and in the North Qilian Orogen, we conclude that the ore-forming fluids at Xiaoliugou were mainly sourced from magmatic water with the involvement of minor meteoric water.

Previously studies showed that granite and host rocks in the region contain higher W and Mo concentrations than normal upper crustal rocks and granites (An and Zhou, 2002; Liu and Chen, 2005; Bai et al., 2005; Tang et al., 2006; Qiao, 2008). Combining this with our S-Pb-D-O isotope data, we conclude that the metals of Xiaoliugou W-Mo ore field were mainly derived from the granite intrusions but with a contribution of sedimentary rocks, and that the ore-forming fluids were dominated by magmatic-hydrothermal fluids mixed with minor meteoric fluids.

6.2. Ore-forming age and tectonic setting

Synthesis of the new molybdenite Re-Os and muscovite Ar-Ar ages show that the Xiaoliugou W-Mo mineralization occurred in range ca.430–390 Ma. The Ar-Ar ages are some 35–40 Ma younger than the Re-Os ages. This may have been due predominantly to systematic error, dating precision, or different closure temperatures of the two isotopic systems (Pirajno, 2009). Molybdenite has a closure temperature of ca. 500 °C (Stein et al., 2001b), whereas muscovite has a closure temperature of ca. 380 °C (Kelley, 2002). Granitic magma is estimated to take about 10 Ma to cool (Gao et al., 2011). Therefore, even a combination of systematic error, dating precision, and different closure temperatures are insufficient to account for the large age span, which may represent the time it took for the system to evolve from a skarn to vein-style mineralization.

The mineralization age of ca. 430–390 Ma is similar to the transition time from
crustal shortening to the post-orogenic extension within the North Qilian Orogen (Wu et al., 2006; Jia et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2008). Geochemical discrimination diagrams of the intrusive rocks at Xiaoliugou also support a syn- to post-collision setting (Mao et al., 2000; Zhao et al., 2014). Combining with other geological and geochemical evidence, we conclude that the Xiaoliugou W-Mo deposit was formed in the Qaidam - North China collisional setting as illustrated in Figure 9. In addition, the start of mineralization at around 430 Ma post-dates regional models of the termination of subduction in the region (Wu et al., 2006). Similar long periods of mineralization have been identified in other continental collisional settings. The Yanbianian continental Mo metallogeny in Qinling occurred from ca. 156 to 109 Ma, with ore-forming events lasting ca.47 Ma (Li et al., 2011a, b, 2012a, b, c, 2013, 2014, 2015; Yang et al, 2012, 2013a, 2013b, 2014; Deng et al., 2013a,b,c, 2014a,b, 2015; Chen et al., 2014). The Au-Cu-Pb-Zn mineralization related to Indosinian collisional orogenesis in the Central Asian Orogenic Belt occurs from ca. 260–204 Ma (Zheng et al, 2012, 2013, 2015, 2016) over a period of 56 million years.

6.3. Implications for ore genesis

The skarn- and vein-type ores at Xiaoliugou are distributed along the intrusive contacts of associated granitic bodies (Figs. 2 and 3). The scheelite-dominated exo- and endo-skarn orebodies are overprinted by the molybdenite-rich quartz muscovite veins (Fig. 4). This suggests that the W in the Xiaoliugou deposit was formed as a typical skarn deposit and may have been later overprinted by Mo-bearing hydrothermal fluids.

Mao et al. (1999) showed that the granite and sedimentary host rocks contain higher W and Mo concentrations than usual. Additionally, our new Re-Os and S-Pb-D-O
isotopic data reveal that the metals of the ores originated from the granites with an additional contribution from the host sedimentary rocks.

Two molybdenite and three muscovite samples yielded alteration and mineralization ages of ca. 428–391 Ma, during the time in which the North Qilian Orogen was in transition from syn-orogenic crustal shortening to post-orogenic extension (Wu et al., 2006).

Based on the ore deposit geology, geochronology, and S-Pb-D-O isotope data of the Xiaoliugou W-Mo ore field, and in comparison with similar magmatic-hydrothermal deposits (i.e., Qinling and Dabie orogens, east-central China, Chen and Fu, 1992; Chen et al., 2004, 2005, 2008, 2009, 2014; Chen, 2006, 2013; Li et al., 2011a, b, 2012a, b, c, 2013, 2014, 2015; Yang et al., 2012, 2013a, 2013b, 2014; Deng et al., 2013a, b, c, 2014a, b, 2015; Liu et al., 2014, 2015; Wang et al., 2014; Mi et al., 2015), we conclude that the Xiaoliugou W-Mo ore field is a skarn W-Mo ore-forming system generated in a continental collision setting (Fig. 9).

7. Conclusions

(1) Paragenetic sequence of the Xiaoliugou W-Mo mineralization is represented by early scheelite-bearing garnet-epidote skarn formation, followed by molybdenite-bearing quartz-muscovite vein mineralization, and overprinted by pyrrhotite and chalcopyrite.

(2) Molybdenite Re-Os and muscovite Ar-Ar dating constrains W-Mo mineralization to ca. 428-391 Ma, when the region was in a continental collision setting.

(3) Re-S-D-O-Pb isotope data imply that the metals originated from the granitic intrusion, with a contribution from the sedimentary host rocks, and that the ore-forming
fluids are dominated by magmatic-hydrothermal fluids with minor mixing with meteoric fluids.
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**Figure captions**

Fig. 1. (A) Tectonic framework of China, showing the location of the North Qilian Orogen (modified after Chen et al., 2014); and distribution of main W and Mo deposits in China. (B) Tectonic framework of the North Qilian Orogen (modified after Li et al., 2006).

Fig. 2. Geological map of the Xiaoliugou mineral district, including the Xiaoliugou, Qiqing, Shiji, Qibao W-Mo-(Cu) polymetallic deposits (modified after the 4th Geological Team of the Gansu Nonferrous Metals Bureau, 2000).

Fig. 3. Photographs and photomicrographs illustrating the Xiaoliugou mine and the granite related to mineralization. (A) Locations of the Xiaoliugou and Qibao deposits and their inland plateau geomorphology with strong denudation. (B) The W-Mo-bearing quartz veins at Xiaoliugou. (C) Altered granodiorite and monzogranite in drill cores. (D) Major minerals of monzogranite, including plagioclase, muscovite and quartz. Abbreviations: Ms=muscovite, Pl=plagioclase, Qtz=quartz.
Fig. 4. Cross section of the No.2 line at the Xiaoliugou W-Mo ore field (modified after the 4th Geological Team of the Gansu Nonferrous Metals Bureau, 2000).

Fig. 5. Photographs and photomicrographs showing the geological characteristics of the Xiaoliugou deposit. (A) Skarn-types ore containing pyrite, garnet and epidote; (B) Scheelite grows under ultraviolet light; (C) Quartz vein-type ore containing molybdenite and muscovite; (D) Molybdenite in quartz veins enveloping scheelite grain, implying molybdenite formed later than scheelite under the oblique light; (E) Chalcopyrite mineralization along the cleavage planes of molybdenite, implying molybdenite formed earlier than chalcopyrite under the reflected light; (F) Chalcopyrite coexists with pyrrhotite under the reflected light. Abbreviations: Py=pyrite, Grt=garnet, Ep=epidote, Mo=molybdenite, Ms=muscovite, Ccp=chalcopyrite, Po=pyrrhotite, Qtz=quartz.

Fig. 6. Muscovite $^{39}$Ar/$^{40}$Ar stepwise laser ablation dating results (A) Plateau age of sample PD30120-KZD-B2; (B) Normal isochron age of sample PD30120-KZD-B2; (C) Plateau age of sample PD30120-KZD-B5; (E) Normal isochron age of sample PD30120-KZD-B5; (C) Plateau age of sample PDQB-KZD-B4; (E) Normal isochron age of sample PDQB-KZD-B4.

Fig. 7. Lead isotopic compositions of sulfides, granite, and ore-hosting sediments for the Xiaoliugou W-Mo ore field.
Fig. 8. $\delta^{18}$O-$\delta$D plots for ore-forming fluids at Xiaoliugou. Compositions of meteoric-, magmatic- and metamorphic water from Taylor (1974)

Fig. 9. Tectonic-magmatic evolution model of the Xiaoliugou W-Mo deposit.

**Table captions**

Table 1. Molybdenite Re-Os dating results.
Table 2. Muscovite $^{39}$Ar/$^{40}$Ar stepwise laser ablation dating results.
Table 3. Sulfur isotopic compositions of pyrite and molybdenite.
Table 4. Lead isotopic data of sulfides, granite and ore-hosting sediments.
Table 5. Quartz oxygen and hydrogen isotopic data.
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Table 1 Molybdenite Re-Os dating results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Weight(g)</th>
<th>Re(10^{-6})</th>
<th>2σ</th>
<th>^{187}\text{Re} 2σ</th>
<th>^{187}\text{Os} 2σ</th>
<th>Model Age 2σ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*XL7-1</td>
<td>0.2555</td>
<td>2.266</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>1.419</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*XL7-3</td>
<td>0.2113</td>
<td>4.972</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>3.112</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*XL7-6</td>
<td>0.2001</td>
<td>8.74</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>5.471</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*XL7-7</td>
<td>0.243</td>
<td>1.677</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*XL7-10</td>
<td>0.2947</td>
<td>0.761</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XLG-ZK-7</td>
<td>0.05067</td>
<td>6.216</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>3.907</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>427.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZKD-BK-7</td>
<td>0.05403</td>
<td>14.87</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>9.345</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>428.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data source from Mao et al. (1999)
Table 2 Muscovite $^{39}$Ar/$^{40}$Ar stepwise laser ablation dating results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T(℃)</th>
<th>$^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar</th>
<th>$^{39}$Ar/$^{39}$A</th>
<th>Age(Ma)</th>
<th>2σ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PD30120-KZD-B2</td>
<td>PD30120-KZD-B5</td>
<td>PDQ8-KZD-B4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>2370.2342</td>
<td>2168.8262</td>
<td>944.70127</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.29547</td>
<td>0.46073</td>
<td>2.69043</td>
<td>2.95765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860</td>
<td>1716.8583</td>
<td>1010.8786</td>
<td>144.70127</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.18302</td>
<td>3.1398</td>
<td>83.096058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>127.2954</td>
<td>106.1398</td>
<td>39.45976</td>
<td>0.01942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>940</td>
<td>48.82182</td>
<td>45.86197</td>
<td>39.365776</td>
<td>0.00597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>970</td>
<td>40.67679</td>
<td>40.92231</td>
<td>39.47116</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>39.62311</td>
<td>39.82806</td>
<td>39.403049</td>
<td>0.00051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1020</td>
<td>39.50454</td>
<td>39.97985</td>
<td>39.617805</td>
<td>0.00085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1040</td>
<td>39.51042</td>
<td>39.90933</td>
<td>39.471071</td>
<td>0.00106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1060</td>
<td>39.62336</td>
<td>39.90933</td>
<td>39.471071</td>
<td>0.00169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1080</td>
<td>39.77506</td>
<td>39.90933</td>
<td>39.471071</td>
<td>0.00231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1110</td>
<td>39.75698</td>
<td>39.90933</td>
<td>39.471071</td>
<td>0.00231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1140</td>
<td>39.87116</td>
<td>39.90933</td>
<td>39.471071</td>
<td>0.00231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1170</td>
<td>39.90933</td>
<td>39.90933</td>
<td>39.471071</td>
<td>0.00231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>39.82806</td>
<td>39.90933</td>
<td>39.471071</td>
<td>0.00231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1240</td>
<td>39.97985</td>
<td>39.90933</td>
<td>39.471071</td>
<td>0.00231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1450</td>
<td>40.58454</td>
<td>39.90933</td>
<td>39.471071</td>
<td>0.00231</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ar/Ar stepwise laser ablation dating results
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1020</th>
<th>1040</th>
<th>1060</th>
<th>1080</th>
<th>1110</th>
<th>1140</th>
<th>1170</th>
<th>1200</th>
<th>1240</th>
<th>1450</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.00123</td>
<td>0.00143</td>
<td>0.00161</td>
<td>0.00206</td>
<td>0.00158</td>
<td>0.00194</td>
<td>0.00306</td>
<td>0.00258</td>
<td>0.00388</td>
<td>0.00645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>0.00079</td>
<td>0.00107</td>
<td>0.00116</td>
<td>0.00125</td>
<td>0.00161</td>
<td>0.00217</td>
<td>0.00259</td>
<td>0.00339</td>
<td>0.00508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>99.47</td>
<td>99.41</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>99.13</td>
<td>99.06</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>98.09</td>
<td>97.53</td>
<td>96.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13.92</td>
<td>10.41</td>
<td>7.82</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>2.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>391.3</td>
<td>392.1</td>
<td>392.7</td>
<td>392.9</td>
<td>392.4</td>
<td>392.1</td>
<td>392.2</td>
<td>392.8</td>
<td>394.3</td>
<td>393.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3 Sulfur isotopic compositions of pyrite and molybdenite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>Occurrence</th>
<th>$\delta^{34}S_{VCDT}$</th>
<th>$\delta^{34}S_{fluid}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PD3223-B-21</td>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>Sulfide-bearing quartz</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>4.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDQB-ZD-B3</td>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>Sulfide-bearing quartz</td>
<td>13.17</td>
<td>13.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XLG-ZK-7</td>
<td>Molybdenite</td>
<td>Sulfide-bearing quartz</td>
<td>11.03</td>
<td>11.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZPD-BK-7</td>
<td>Molybdenite</td>
<td>Sulfide-bearing quartz</td>
<td>11.67</td>
<td>11.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*X17-1</td>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>Sulfide-bearing quartz</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*X17-2</td>
<td>Molybdenite</td>
<td>Sulfide-bearing quartz</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*X17-3</td>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>Sulfide-bearing quartz</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*X17-6</td>
<td>Molybdenite</td>
<td>Sulfide-bearing quartz</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*X17-10</td>
<td>Molybdenite</td>
<td>Sulfide-bearing quartz</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*X18-1</td>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>Sulfide-bearing quartz</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*X1-8</td>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>Sulfide-bearing quartz</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*X17-10</td>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>Sulfide-bearing quartz</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*X17-10</td>
<td>Molybdenite</td>
<td>Sulfide-bearing quartz</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data from An and Zhou (2002)
Table 4 Lead isotopic data of sulfides, granite and ore-hosting sediments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>Th</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>(^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb})</th>
<th>(^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb})</th>
<th>(^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb})</th>
<th>(^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb})</th>
<th>(^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb})</th>
<th>(^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sulfides</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>XLG-ZK</td>
<td>Molybdenite</td>
<td>38.695</td>
<td>15.696</td>
<td>19.183</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>ZPD-BK</td>
<td>Molybdenite</td>
<td>38.117</td>
<td>15.73</td>
<td>21.73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>PD3223-B21</td>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>38.626</td>
<td>15.734</td>
<td>18.548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>D-B3</td>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>37.957</td>
<td>15.577</td>
<td>18.166</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>*AT-1</td>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>37.687</td>
<td>15.542</td>
<td>18.099</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>*AT-2</td>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>37.662</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>17.984</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>*AT-3</td>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>15.481</td>
<td>18.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>*AT-4</td>
<td>Chalcopyrite</td>
<td>38.592</td>
<td>18.809</td>
<td>18.382</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>**JQZ-1</td>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>37.175</td>
<td>15.34</td>
<td>18.406</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>**JQZ-2</td>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>38.501</td>
<td>15.597</td>
<td>18.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>37.991</td>
<td>15.901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Host rocks</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>*AT-5</td>
<td>Volcanic</td>
<td>37.175</td>
<td>15.34</td>
<td>18.152</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>*AT-6</td>
<td>Volcanic</td>
<td>38.501</td>
<td>15.566</td>
<td>18.455</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>37.838</td>
<td>15.453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intrusion</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>XLG-ZK</td>
<td>Granite</td>
<td>42.</td>
<td>11.</td>
<td>17.</td>
<td>38.424</td>
<td>15.723</td>
<td>20.266</td>
<td>38.051</td>
<td>15.624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>XLG-ZK</td>
<td>Granite</td>
<td>46.</td>
<td>12.</td>
<td>23.</td>
<td>38.239</td>
<td>15.673</td>
<td>20.798</td>
<td>37.856</td>
<td>15.550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>XLG-ZK</td>
<td>Granite</td>
<td>41.</td>
<td>11.</td>
<td>23.</td>
<td>38.391</td>
<td>15.734</td>
<td>20.602</td>
<td>37.992</td>
<td>15.596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>XLG-ZK</td>
<td>Granite</td>
<td>34.</td>
<td>12.</td>
<td>16.</td>
<td>38.834</td>
<td>15.699</td>
<td>19.982</td>
<td>38.306</td>
<td>15.586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>XLG-ZK</td>
<td>Granite</td>
<td>29.</td>
<td>13.</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>38.787</td>
<td>15.693</td>
<td>19.345</td>
<td>38.140</td>
<td>15.628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>XLG-ZK</td>
<td>Granite</td>
<td>28.</td>
<td>16.</td>
<td>18.</td>
<td>38.890</td>
<td>15.647</td>
<td>19.078</td>
<td>38.113</td>
<td>15.491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>XLG-ZK</td>
<td>Granite</td>
<td>26.</td>
<td>16.</td>
<td>18.</td>
<td>38.657</td>
<td>15.670</td>
<td>19.806</td>
<td>37.797</td>
<td>15.519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>38.603</td>
<td>15.720</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}\), \(^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}\), \(^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}\) is the measured isotopic value at present, \(^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}\)\(_{\text{i}}\), \(^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}\)\(_{\text{i}}\), \(^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}\)\(_{\text{i}}\) is the corrected isotopic value based on the zircon crystallization age of 420 Ma.

*Data source from An and Zhou (2002)

**Data source from Mao et al. (1999)
Table 5 Quartz oxygen and hydrogen isotopic data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>$\delta D_{\text{SMOW}}$ (%)</th>
<th>$\delta^{18}O_{\text{SMOW}}$ (%)</th>
<th>$\delta^{18}O_{\text{H}_{2}\text{O}}$ (%)</th>
<th>$T_h$ (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XLG-I-1</td>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>-86</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XLG-I-3</td>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>-79</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XLG-ZK-2</td>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>-73</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>-3.38</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XLG-ZK-5</td>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>-94</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>-2.42</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XLG-ZK-8</td>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>-71</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XLG-ZK-13</td>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>-89</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>-2.26</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XLG-ZK-14</td>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>-81</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD3223-B21</td>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>-63</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDQB-ZD-B-3</td>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>-47</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XLG-ZK-7</td>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>-53</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>-1.26</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Highlights

1) A mineral sequence of scheelite > molybdenite > chalcopyrite was identified.
2) Re-Os and Ar-Ar dating constrained the mineralization to 428 – 391 Ma.
3) S-Pb-D-O geochemistry suggests metals and fluids sourced from granite and host rocks.
4) Xiaoliugou is a skarn system formed in a mid-Palozoic continental collision setting.