Orbital angular momentum transfer to microparticles in vacuum

Michael Mazilu,^{1,*} Yoshihiko Arita,^{1,2,*} Tom Vettenburg,¹ Juan M. Auñón,¹ Ewan M. Wright,^{3,†} and Kishan Dholakia^{1,2,3,†}

¹*SUPA, School of Physics & Astronomy, University of St Andrews, North Haugh, St Andrews KY16 9SS, United Kingdom.*

²*MCRC, Molecular Chirality Research Centre, Graduate School of Advanced Integration Science, Chiba University, 1-33 Yayoi, Inage, Chiba, 263-0022, Japan.*

³*College of Optical Sciences, The University of Arizona, 1630 East University Boulevard, Tucson, Arizona 85721-0094, USA.*

We demonstrate the transfer of orbital angular momentum to an optically levitated microparticle in vacuum. The microparticle is placed within a Laguerre-Gaussian beam and orbits the annular beam profile with increasing angular velocity as the air drag coefficient is reduced. We explore the particle dynamics as a function of the topological charge of the levitating beam. Our results reveal that there is a fundamental limit to the OAM that may be transferred to a trapped particle, dependent upon the beam parameters and inertial forces present.

The transfer of optical angular momentum to atoms, molecules and mesoscopic particles enables new fundamental insights as well as applications. Spin angular momentum is associated with the polarisation state of light whereas orbital angular momentum (OAM) is associated with an inclined wavefront resulting in an azimuthal component to the Poynting vector of the field. In the domain of manipulating mesoscopic particles, both types of angular momentum have been successfully transferred to microparticles in liquid and in air leading to innovative studies of microrheology [1–6] and a deeper insight into optical angular momentum transfer in both the paraxial and non-paraxial regimes [7–9]. A recent emergent area is the area of optomechanics in vacuum enabled by optically trapped microparticles [10–14]. This opens the path to new studies of mesoscopic particles at the classical-quantum interface. Recently, we demonstrated transfer of spin angular momentum to trapped birefringent microparticles in vacuum, reaching rotation rates in the MHz regime [15, 16]. OAM transfer in vacuum to a trapped particle promises novel and hitherto unrecognised perspectives for mesoscopic quantum studies. We draw analogies with the wealth of new science that has emerged from quantum gases and their interaction with light fields possessing OAM [17].

In this paper, we demonstrate the transfer of OAM to silica microparticles in vacuum. We explore particle motion around the annular profile of a Laguerre-Gaussian beam, where the beam’s annular diameter is larger than the particle diameter. The trapping is two dimensional and relies on an interplay between the optical gradient and scattering forces, with contributions from inertial forces and gravity. Our experimental observations are supported by rigorous numerical analysis in the non-paraxial regime. Importantly, we see beam parameter regions where the particle leaves the trap indicating a fundamental limit to the magnitude of OAM transfer that

can take place in such a system. Our work is the first experimental indication that a particle trapped in an optical vortex shows complex trapping force constants [18] and that stable periodic orbital motion requires the presence of ambient damping.

A Laguerre-Gaussian (LG) mode possesses an OAM of ℓh per photon [19], with ℓ the topological charge of the mode. For $\ell \neq 0$, and radial mode index $p = 0$, the phase singularity on the beam axis results in a zero axial intensity yielding an annular beam profile. OAM is transferred to a trapped object due to light scattering by the trapping field. We first investigated the beam properties of the LG modes of a linearly polarised light beam. Fig. 1 shows the beam radius that scales linearly with the topological charge in the range of $4 \leq \ell \leq 11$ [4, 20]. As the total power transmitted to vacuum is maintained constant up to $\ell \leq 20$ (limited by the back aperture of the MO), the mean ring power of the beam annulus decreases linearly with ℓ by assuming that the beam waist remains constant for different ℓ . Inset shows the annular beam profile of $\ell = 10$ where the intensity variation on the annulus is about 30% for two standard deviations (2σ).

FIG. 1. Experimentally determined beam properties showing the annular intensity radius and mean ring power as a function of the topological charge.

* MM and YA contributed equally to this work.

† Correspondence should be addressed to kd1@st-andrews.ac.uk.

The optomechanics of a particle interacting with an

LG mode is determined by the interplay between a number of parameters: The total torque transferred to the particle depends on the beam topological charge via the angular momentum per photon $\ell\hbar$, the mean ring power P of the LG beam, the annular radius of the orbit r , as well as on the optical scattering properties of the particle. The Stokes drag coefficient Γ of the residual gas molecules plays an important role in vacuum since the inertial force increases with rotation rate while the radial trapping force remains constant. This effect changes the particle trajectories in both the lateral and axial trapping directions. Fig. 2b shows the trajectory of a silica sphere for the LG beam with $\ell = 10$ (see supplementary videos and Fig. 2a for a calculated beam profile), at a pressure of 16.39 kPa, obtained from the COM motion tracking using each individual frame (3,000 data points in total for 1 s). The dashed circle shows the location of the LG ($\ell = 10$) beam peak. The discrepancy between the particle trajectory and the LG beam peak position is caused by the increased inertial force counteracting the radial trapping force.

FIG. 2. Beam profile of the LG beam and trajectories of a silica particle. (a) Calculated profile of the LG beam ($\ell = 10$) cross-section at the centre of the annulus (nominal focus at $z = 15 \mu\text{m}$ from the glass substrate at $z = 0$). (b) Particle trajectories at a pressure of 16.39 kPa along with a fitted circle (red solid line) and the beam circumference for the $\ell = 10$ beam (red dashed line) at focus. (c) Radial position distribution for a particle around the fitted circle in (b).

The motion and trajectory of microparticles is highly dependent on the damping coefficient Γ . Fig. 3 shows the variation of the orbital radius and the orbital velocity as a function of Γ . We observe that as Γ decreases with lower pressure, the orbital velocity, $\bar{v}$ increases (Fig. 3b), which in turn increases the inertial force resulting in a wider orbital circumference than the beam annulus (Fig. 3a). Combining the measured orbital velocity and orbital radius it is possible to calculate the inertial force, F_i , acting

on the particle. The gradient of the inertial force with respect to the orbital radius, $\Delta F_i / \Delta r$ yields a radial trap stiffness κ_r , which in this case is 110.6 fN/ μm , value that is comparable to the values obtained from the equipartition theorem (Fig. 2c).

FIG. 3. Dynamics of a silica particle with the LG beam of $\ell = 10$ depending on the damping coefficient Γ . (a) Orbital radius. (b) Orbital velocity. Error bars indicate 2σ .

It is of particular theoretical interest to understand the interplay between the optomechanical forces that determine the particle dynamics. To elucidate this, we performed simulations in which a silica sphere of 5 μm in diameter is levitated by spherically aberrated Laguerre-Gaussian beams with different topological charges ($0 \leq \ell \leq 15$). The total optical power of 81.6 mW (measured at the back aperture of the objective) and the damping coefficient, Γ (corresponding to a residual pressure of 16.39 kPa) are kept constant in the simulation.

The numerical model is based on a Mie scattering approach. The spherical aberration was determined using the angular spectral decomposition approach [21]. The optical force was determined directly from the optical eigenmodes of the system [22, 23] and calculated using the MATLAB EigenOptics package [24]. More precisely, we calculate the optical momentum transfer for any two-by-two superposition of vector spherical harmonics up to the fiftieth order. This delivers a force interaction matrices having 5202×5202 elements. This Hermitian matrix links the Mie coefficients directly to the momentum transfer to the Mie particle. The decomposition of the incident beam onto the vector spherical harmonic basis set delivers, via the momentum interaction matrix, the force acting on the particle. What is more, this calculation can be speed up taking into account the reduced number of eigenvectors of the matrix that have significant eigenvalues. Indeed, the smaller the particles, the smaller the

number of the of optical momentum eigenmodes. In our specific case, about 800 modes are sufficient to describe the force acting of the particle. These optical eigenmodes correspond to orthogonal fields with respect to the momentum transfer i.e. the momentum transferred by any superposition of eigenmodes is equal to the sum of the momenta transferred by each eigenmode separately. The rest of the simulation corresponds to decomposing the incident beam onto these eigenmodes.

Figs. 4a-c shows the calculated orbital trajectories of a particle for different topological charges, ℓ . As the index ℓ increases so does the orbital radius of the particle motion. This is expected as the increase in azimuthal force with ℓ leads to an increase in beam diameter and, more importantly, a faster orbital rotation rate. This in turn leads to an increase in inertial force (centrifugal in this case) and thus to an increase in the orbital radial position with respect to the radial trap (Fig. 4d). This outward movement can be counteracted by the radial trap only up to a maximal orbital rate. In our case, this maximal orbital rate is achieved for values larger than $\ell = 14$ (Fig. 4e). On the other hand, for ℓ smaller than 5 no orbital motion is observed as the diameter of the trapping beam is smaller than the diameter of the particle (Fig. 4e). This leads to a stable particle position in the centre of the beam thus cancelling the orbital azimuthal forces. It is also interesting to consider the case of a particle placed within a perfect vortex beam [25], where the beam radius is independent of ℓ . Here, we would observe increasing orbital speeds until the outward inertial force exceeds that of optical force in the system, when again the particle would leave its azimuthal trajectory.

We further remark that the axial position of the particle changes with topological charge. This is linked to the variation of the “scattering force” acting on the particle and imparted by levitating beam. This upwards force counterbalances the gravitational force and can be seen, in a first approximation, to depend on the mean ring power (Fig. 1). We can think of the particle orbiting on the middle of an “optical funnel”. As the power density of the beam scales with ℓ , the particle would find a different axial equilibrium position, which is determined by the balance between the radiation pressure of the beam and the gravitational force on the particle.

In conclusion, we have described the first experimental demonstration of mesoscopic particle rotation in vacuum within a light field possessing OAM. Criteria for stable particle rotational motion have been established theoretically and experimentally, demonstrating good agreement between experiment and numerical simulations. Our work shows that there is a limit to the possible OAM transfer to a trapped, orbiting particle. This effect was predicted theoretically [18] and can be understood considering the direct link between the magnitude of the azimuthal index and the beam radius [20].

I. METHODS

Optical system. Our vacuum system uses a modification of the holographic optical trapping system used in our previous setup [6, 15]. A spatial light modulator (SLM, Hamamatsu LCOS X10468-03) is placed in a plane conjugate to the back aperture of the microscope objective (MO, Nikon, E-Plan 100 $\times$, NA=1.25) to allow for the generation of Laguerre-Gaussian beams with various topological charges and three-dimensional positioning of the Laguerre-Gaussian mode in the trap volume. The active surface area (16 mm by 12 mm) of the SLM is overfilled with a collimated continuous wave laser beam (IPG, YLM-5-LP, wavelength of 1070 nm), and the first diffraction order of a circularly-apertured blazed grating is used for modulation of the phase-front. An aperture at a plane conjugate with the sample is used to prevent unmodulated light from entering the vacuum chamber. Any aberration, including that caused by the divergence of the transmitted beam is compensated for by the SLM with the help of an auxiliary camera at a plane conjugate to the sample.

Particle levitation. Dry silica microspheres of 5 μm in diameter (Thermo Scientific) are preloaded onto a circular glass coverslip (Harvard Apparatus, 150 μm in thickness) that is used as the vacuum chamber window for the trapping beam through the MO. A piezo electric transducer (APC International) affixed to the chamber is operated at 140 kHz to detach microspheres from the lower glass window to load particles into the optical trap. Single silica spheres are trapped with a linearly polarised light field at an optical power of 81.6 mW (measured at the back aperture of the MO). Once a single sphere is trapped at atmospheric pressure, the chamber pressure is gradually reduced to ~ 10 kPa.

Stroboscopic imaging. To investigate the optomechanics of a trapped particle in vacuum, we employed a fast CMOS camera (Mikrotron GmbH, EoSens: 3.0 kfps) synchronised with pulses from a nanosecond laser (Elforlight, SPOT: wavelength of 532 nm, pulse width of $\lesssim 1$ ns, pulse repetition rate of 3.0 kHz), which acts as a stroboscope at a sub millisecond time scale [15].

Data analysis. To establish the average orbital trajectory, we fit a circle with a radius r (red solid line in Fig. 2b) to the particle’s COM motion. The particle’s positional change Δd between two successive frames ($\Delta t = 0.33$ ms) provides the orbital velocity $\Delta v = \Delta d / \Delta t$. Averaging this instantaneous velocity over multiple frames defines the average azimuthal velocity $\bar{v}$. The orbital rotation rate, Ω is then obtained as $\Omega = 2\pi r / \bar{v}$ and the inertial force, F_i , can be determined as $F_i = m\bar{v}^2 / r$, where m is the mass of the silica sphere ($m = 1.19 \times 10^{-13}$ kg). The Stokes drag force, F_d , can also be calculated as $F_d = \Gamma \bar{v} = 6\pi\mu(P)r\bar{v}$, where $\mu(P)$ is the residual gas

FIG. 4. Comparison between numerical simulations and experimental observations. (a-c) Numerical simulations of particle trajectories for different topological charges, ℓ . Each panel shows top-, aerial- and side-views, respectively. (d-e) Measured versus calculated orbital radius and velocity as a function of topological charge ℓ .

viscosity depending on the pressure. Here the local viscosity $\mu(P)$ in the vicinity of the microparticle is experimentally determined by taking the ratio of the rotation rates of a spinning vaterite microparticle between pressures of P and P_0 where P_0 is the reference pressure at 10^5 Pa. In this case we can define the local viscosity as $\mu(P) = \mu_0 \Omega(P_0) / \Omega(P)$ where $\mu_0(P_0)$ denotes the gas viscosity of air [15, 26]. By averaging the above quantities over a time interval (e.g. 100 ms), we determine a set of ten measurements, which is used to evaluate the standard deviation error for each measured quantity.

II. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Supplementary videos (rendered at 25 fps from 3000 fps) show a trapped silica particle ($5 \mu\text{m}$ in diameter) orbiting either clockwise or anti-clockwise for the LG modes with $\ell = \pm 10$ at a pressure of 16.39 kPa. The OAM transferred from the optical vortex drives the levitated silica sphere once around the circumference with an orbital rate faster than 50 Hz for a total optical power, $P = 81.6 \text{ mW}$.

III. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council for funding (EP/J01771/X and EP/M000869/1) and Prof. Takashige Omatsu at Chiba University for useful discussions. KD acknowledges support of a Royal Society Leverhulme Trust Senior Fellowship.

-
- [1] O. Brzobohaty, M. Siler, J. Jezek, P. Jakl, and P. Zemanek, *Opt. Lett.* **38**, 4601 (2013).
 - [2] M. E. J. Friese, T. A. Nieminen, N. R. Heckenberg, and H. Rubinsztein-Dunlop, *Nature* **395**, 621 (1998).
 - [3] V. Garcés-Chavez, D. McGloin, M. J. Padgett, W. Dultz, H. Schmitzer, and K. Dholakia, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 093602 (2003).
 - [4] J. E. Curtis and D. G. Grier, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 133901 (2003).
 - [5] K. Ladavac and D. G. Grier, *Opt. Express* **12**, 1144 (2004).
 - [6] Y. Arita, A. W. McKinley, M. Mazilu, H. Rubinsztein-Dunlop, and K. Dholakia, *Anal. Chem.* **83**, 8855 (2011).
 - [7] J. Courtial, D. A. Robertson, K. Dholakia, L. Allen, and M. J. Padgett, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 4828 (1998).
 - [8] A. T. O’Neil, I. MacVicar, L. Allen, and M. J. Padgett, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 53601 (2002).
 - [9] Y. Roichman, B. Sun, Y. Roichman, J. Amato-Grill, and D. G. Grier, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 013602 (2008).

- [10] T. C. Li, S. Kheifets, and M. G. Raizen, *Nat. Phys.* **7**, 527 (2011).
- [11] J. Gieseler, B. Deutsch, R. Quidant, and L. Novotny, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 103603 (2012).
- [12] J. Millen, P. Z. G. Fonseca, T. Mavrogordatos, T. S. Monteiro, and P. F. Barker, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 123602 (2015).
- [13] L. P. Neukirch, E. von Haartman, J. M. Rosenholm, and A. N. Vamivakas, *Nat. Photonics* **9**, 653657 (2015).
- [14] N. Kiesel, F. Blaser, U. Delic, D. Grass, R. Kaltenbaek, and M. Aspelmeyer, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **110**, 14180 (2013).
- [15] Y. Arita, M. Mazilu, and K. Dholakia, *Nat. Commun.* **4**, 2374 (2013).
- [16] Y. Arita, M. Mazilu, T. Vettenburg, E. M. Wright, and K. Dholakia, *Opt. Lett.* **40**, 4751 (2015).
- [17] S. Thanvanthri, K. T. Kapale, and J. P. Dowling, *Phys. Rev. A* **77**, 053825 (2008).
- [18] J. Ng, Z. F. Lin, and C. T. Chan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 103601 (2010).
- [19] L. Allen, M. W. Beijersbergen, R. J. C. Spreeuw, and J. P. Woerdman, *Phys. Rev. A* **45**, 8185 (1992).
- [20] M. J. Padgett, F. M. Miatto, M. P. J. Lavery, A. Zeilinger, and R. W. Boyd, *New J. Phys.* **17**, 023011 (2015).
- [21] A. A. Ranha Neves, A. Fontes, C. L. Cesar, A. Camposeo, R. Cingolani, and D. Pisignano, *Phys. Rev. E* **76**, 061917 (2007).
- [22] J. Baumgartl, S. Kosmeier, M. Mazilu, E. T. F. Rogers, N. I. Zheludev, and K. Dholakia, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **98**, 181109 (2011).
- [23] A. C. De Luca, S. Kosmeier, K. Dholakia, and M. Mazilu, *Phys. Rev. A* **84**, 021803(R) (2011).
- [24] M. Mazilu, *EigenOptics* [Online]; University of St Andrews, St Andrews, UK, 2015. DOI:10.17630/f039c29b-12fe-4b4b-9b0b-907c72634ad8 (accessed July 2, 2015).
- [25] M. Z. Chen, M. Mazilu, Y. Arita, E. M. Wright, and K. Dholakia, *Opt. Lett.* **38**, 4919 (2013).
- [26] G. W. C. Kaye and T. H. Laby, *Tables of physical and chemical constants*, 16th ed. (Longman, London, 1995).