

# A Reactivity Profile of a Peri-substitution Stabilized Phosphanilidene-Phosphorane; Synthetic, Structural and Computational Study

Brian A. Surgenor, Brian A. Chalmers, Kasun S. Athukorala Arachchige, Alexandra M. Z. Slawin, J. Derek Woollins, Michael Bühl and Petr Kilian\*

School of Chemistry, EaStChem, University of St Andrews, Fife, KY16 9ST

\*pk7@st-andrews.ac.uk. Fax: +44 1334 463808. Phone: +44 1334 467304.


## Abstract

The reactions of a *peri*-substitution stabilized phosphanilidene-phosphorane **1** with [AuCl(tht)] or [PtCl<sub>2</sub>(cod)] afford binuclear complexes [((**1**)(AuCl)<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] **2** and [((**1**)(PtCl<sub>2</sub>))<sub>2</sub>] **3**, in which four electrons of the ligand are used in bonding to two metal atoms in the bridging arrangement. Reactions of **1** with [Mo(CO)<sub>4</sub>(nbd)] or (RhCl<sub>2</sub>Cp\*)<sub>2</sub> afford mononuclear complexes [(**1**)<sub>2</sub>Mo(CO)<sub>4</sub>] **4** and [(**1**)RhCl<sub>2</sub>Cp\*] **5**, in which two electrons of the ligand are used to form terminal complexes. Formation of these complexes disrupts the negative hyperconjugation at the P-P bond to various extent, which is mirrored by variations in their P-P bond distances (2.179(4) - 2.246(4) Å). The P-P bond is ruptured upon formation of the Pd diphosphene complex **6**, which is likely to proceed through a phosphinidene intermediate. In air **1** is fully oxidized to phosphonic acid **7**. Reactions of **1** with chalcogens under mild conditions generally afford mixtures of products, from which the trithionated **8**, dithionated **9**, diselenated **10** and monotellurated species **11** were isolated. The bonding in the chalcogeno derivatives is discussed using DFT (B3LYP) and natural bond orbital analysis, which indicates a contribution from a dative bonding in **8-10**. The buttressing effect of the *peri*-

backbone is shown to be an essential factor in the formation of the single push-double pull bis(borane) **13**. This is demonstrated experimentally through a synthesis parallel to that used to make **13**, but lacking the backbone, which leads to different products. The P-P bond distances in the reported products, as well as additional species, are correlated with Wiberg Bond Indices, showing very good agreement for a variety of bonding modes including the negative hyperconjugation.

## Introduction

Phosphinidenes (general formula RP) are 6 electron species that are considered phosphorus analogues of carbenes. They remain ephemeral with no condensed phase example having been isolated other than in cryogenic matrices.<sup>1</sup> Phosphinidenes are ambiphilic, i.e. they can form complexes with either a Lewis acid (LA), a Lewis base (LB), or both. Many complexes with LAs are known, the most prominent of these being the terminal phosphinidene complexes **A**.<sup>1,2</sup> Examples of “electronically inverse” LB coordinated species include phosphanylidene-phosphoranes **B**, where a phosphine moiety is coordinated to the phosphinidene unit. Several canonical structures are possible for the latter species, these include the dative **B**, ylide **B'** and ylene **B''** forms.



**Figure 1** Terminal phosphinidene complexes **A**, canonical structures of phosphanylidene-phosphoranes **B**, isolable sterically protected phosphanylidenes (**C**, Mes\* = 2,4,6-*t*Bu<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>, Dmp = 2,6-Mes<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>) and cyclic electronically and sterically protected phosphanylidene (**D**).


Phosphanylidene-phosphoranes **B** are known as phospho-Wittig reagents. Whilst the chemistry of Wittig reagents ( $R_3P=CR_2$ ) has been a prominent field of research for many decades, the chemistry of the phosphanylidene-phosphoranes is much less developed, despite being first mentioned in the literature as early as the 1960s.<sup>3</sup> This is due to the limited synthetic accessibility of phosphanylidene-phosphoranes which has resulted in only a handful of isolable (i.e. ambient temperature stable) species being known.

Nevertheless, phosphanylidene-phosphoranes have received increased attention in the last 15 years, mainly as a result of the activity of Protasiewicz's and Bertrand's groups. Protasiewicz reported two isolable derivatives (**C**), in which the desirable thermal stability was achieved through steric protection of the phosphanylidene atom with very bulky organic groups.<sup>4</sup> Bertrand's group developed a room temperature stable (cyclic) 1,2-diphosphate **D** that achieves stability through a combination of the cyclic structure, electronic stabilization and steric protection.<sup>5</sup> Various facets of the phosphanylidene-phosphorane chemistry and bonding have been reviewed by Protasiewicz.<sup>6</sup>

In a recent communication<sup>7</sup> we reported the synthesis and selected reactivity of a new bottleable phosphanylidene-phosphorane **1**. Our DFT calculations indicate the stability of **1** stems from the buttressing effect of the *peri*-substituted backbone, which locks the phosphine and phosphinidene groups in close proximity. In contrast to all other known phosphanylidene-phosphoranes, the phosphanylidene atom in **1** is rather "naked", i.e. it is *not* being shielded by any flanking groups. This is making it highly accessible for approach by Lewis acids. We have now exploited this property of **1** in an extensive reactivity study involving a range of electrophiles, which includes transition metal centers and chalcogens. Here we report our synthetic, spectroscopic, structural and computational data with particular emphasis on the supporting role the backbone plays in the bonding.

# Results and Discussion

## Metal Complexes of Phosphanlydene-phosphorane 1



**Scheme 1** Transition metal complexes of ligand **1**.

Phosphanlydene-phosphoranes contain a Lewis basic two co-ordinate phosphorus atom, through which they can act as (overall electroneutral) ligands to coordinate one ( $\eta^1$ -) or


two ( $\mu^2$ -) Lewis acidic centers, which include transition metals. However, due to the limited number of synthetically accessible phosphanylidene-phosphoranes, only a limited number of complexes has been obtained via direct coordination of the free ligand.

In particular, very few binuclear complexes of the type  $R'_3P \rightarrow P(R)(\rightarrow LA)_2$  ( $LA =$  general Lewis acidic center) have been well characterized.<sup>8</sup> These include bis(gold) and bis(silver) push-pull complexes formed by the direct reaction of a “free” phosphanylidene-phosphorane with the appropriate metal center.<sup>8a,b</sup> We have recently expanded this series of binuclear species with the bis(borane) **13** (see Scheme 3),<sup>7</sup> which represents the first structurally characterized main group adduct of this type.

Taking into account the exceptional stability of the bis(borane) push-pull system **13**, we expected a related bis(aurated) complex **2** to be thermally stable. Indeed, the reaction of **1** with  $AuCl(tht)$  ( $tht =$  tetrahydrothiophene) gave the desired complex **2** as a white powder in quantitative yield. The complex is thermally stable at ambient temperature, although it is rather light sensitive as indicated by color change from colorless to gray when exposed to daylight. The  $^{31}P$  NMR spectrum of **2** consists of two doublets (AX spin system,  $\delta_P -44.5$  ppm,  $^1J_{PP} 152.0$  Hz) with the phosphanylidene atom displaying a remarkably large high-frequency shift upon double coordination to Lewis acidic gold centers (*c.f.*  $\delta_P -157.7$  ppm in the free ligand **1**).

X-ray diffraction revealed that two molecules of **2** associate to form a dimeric assembly in the solid state via  $Au \cdots Au$  contacts (3.065 Å, Figure 2). This results in the formation of a twist boat shaped  $P_2Au_4$  heterocycle, with the dimer possessing crystallographic  $C_2$  symmetry. The phosphorus atom P1 attains approximate tetrahedral geometry, with the gold atoms adopting a near ideal T-shaped geometry. Coordination to two Lewis acidic gold centers weakens the P-P bond in the ligand to the extent that the P-P bond length in **2** (2.199(7) Å) is consistent with a single P-P bond, i.e. it is notably elongated *vs.*


that in **1** (2.148(5) and 2.147(6) Å in the two molecules in the asymmetric unit). This is in agreement with the calculated Wiberg bond indices (WBI, 0.89 in **2**, 1.13 in **1** at the B3LYP/SDD/6-31+G\* level).<sup>9</sup> The P-Au bond lengths in **2** (2.248(5) and 2.263(5) Å) are comparable to those in the model anionic bridging phosphido complex PPN[(AuCl)<sub>2</sub>(μ-PCy<sub>2</sub>)] (2.2481(13) and 2.2440(13) Å, Cy = cyclohexyl, PPN = (PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>N<sup>+</sup>).<sup>10</sup>



**Figure 2** The molecular structure of **2**, two molecules forming a dimeric assembly are shown. Hydrogen atoms and solvated dichloromethane (3 molecules) are omitted for clarity. *i*Pr groups are drawn as wireframe for clarity. Selected bond lengths and angles: P1-P9 2.199(7), P1-Au1 2.248(5), P1-Au2 2.263(5) Å, Au1-P1-Au2 118.44(18), P1-Au1-Cl1 174.52(15)°.

A dinuclear platinum complex **3** was obtained from the reaction of **1** with PtCl<sub>2</sub>(cod) (cod = 1,5-cyclooctadiene) in good yield (92%). Insolubility of the complex in common organic solvents prevented measurement of solution NMR spectra and also hindered its purification, although we have been able to obtain crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction work (Figure 3). The molecule of **3** in the crystal possesses a crystallographic inversion center located at the midpoint of the P<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub> ring. The platinum atoms in **3** are *cis*-coordinated with two μ<sup>2</sup>-phosphanlydene ligands oriented *anti* with respect to each other, forming a planar four-membered P<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub> ring. The P-Pt bond lengths in **3** (2.239(4) and 2.238(3) Å) are very similar to those observed in a related zwitterionic phosphido complex with the P(*cyclo-*

CH<sub>2</sub>N(Bn)CHN(Bn)CH<sub>2</sub>) ligand (2.2235(10) – 2.2316(11) Å, Bn = benzyl).<sup>11</sup> Despite a slight elongation when compared with **2**, the P-P bond length (2.246(4) Å, WBI 0.84) in **3** is consistent with a normal single P-P bond.



**Figure 3** The molecular structure of **3**. Hydrogen atoms and a solvated molecule of dichloromethane are omitted for clarity. iPr groups are drawn as wireframe for clarity. Selected bond lengths and angles: P1-P9 2.246(4), P1-Pt1 2.239(4), P1-Pt1<sup>i</sup> 2.238(3) Å, Pt1-P1-Pt1<sup>i</sup> 103.53(14)°.


Mononuclear phosphanylidene-phosphorane complexes of the general formula R'<sub>3</sub>P→P(R)→(LA) are more common than the dinuclear systems. In particular, they have been exploited as “phospha-Wittig reagents” by Mathey,<sup>12</sup> and the area was well reviewed.<sup>6b</sup>

The reaction of Mo(CO)<sub>4</sub>(nbd) (nbd = norbornadiene) with **1** afforded the mononuclear complex **4** as a red solid in 64% yield. The <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of **4** displays an AA'XX' spin system with signals centered at δ<sub>P</sub> -104.4 (PMo) and 75.3 ppm (PiPr<sub>2</sub>). The large magnitude of <sup>1</sup>J<sub>PP</sub> = 430 Hz is notable and is indicative of a strong *peri* P-P interaction (*c.f.* <sup>1</sup>J<sub>PP</sub> 152 Hz in **2** and 201.2 Hz in **13**).

Single crystal X-ray diffraction shows the molecule of **4** possesses a (crystallographic) two fold axis passing through the Mo atom. Two molecules of **1** act as terminal ligands towards the Mo center (in *cis*-fashion). The phosphanylidene donor atoms

attain a pyramidal geometry ( $\Sigma P(2)$  angles =  $322.4^\circ$ ), ruling out any efficient ligand to metal  $\pi$ -donation (observed in *planar* phosphido complexes).<sup>13</sup>

Shortening of the P-P bond in the uncoordinated **1** to less than the normal P-P single bond distance has been ascribed to negative hyperconjugation, i.e. the transfer of electron density from the electron rich phosphanylidene atom into symmetry-adapted combinations of the P-C  $\sigma^*$  orbitals at the phosphorane center.<sup>6a,14</sup> Interestingly, the P-P distance in the Mo complex **4** (2.179(4) Å, WBI 1.00) is rather similar (elongated by only 1.5%) to that in the uncoordinated **1** (see Table 1). This indicates that some degree of negative hyperconjugation may be preserved in **4** despite one of its lone pairs being sequestered via bonding to the Mo center. A similar observation was made in zwitterionic triphosphenium transition metal complexes, where coordination of a single metal fragment to the central two co-ordinate phosphorus atom resulted in only modest elongation of the P-P bonds, which thus retained some of their partial double character.<sup>15</sup> It is worthwhile mentioning that also transition metal  $\eta^1$ -complexes of diphosphenes, which possess “conventional”  $p\pi-p\pi$  (double) bonds, show minimal elongation upon coordination of the lone pair of the phosphorus atom to the metal.<sup>16</sup>




**Figure 4** The molecular structure of **4**. Hydrogen atoms and a solvated molecule of chloroform (1/2 per **4**) are omitted for clarity. iPr groups are drawn as wireframe for clarity. Selected bond lengths and angles: P1-P9 2.179(4), P1-Mo1 2.626(4) Å, P1-Mo1-P1' 87.46(11)°.



The red-brown complex **5** was obtained in good yield (84%) from the clean reaction of  $[\text{RhCl}_2\text{Cp}^*]_2$  with **1**. The  $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR spectrum of **5** consists of two doublets of doublets (AM part of AMX spin system, A and M =  $^{31}\text{P}$ , X =  $^{103}\text{Rh}$ ), centered at  $\delta_{\text{P}}$  11.8 (PRh) and 70.9 ppm (PiPr<sub>2</sub>). The observed magnitude of the  $^1J_{\text{PP}}$  is large (453 Hz) and consistent with the expected terminal coordination of **1**. As expected, the  $^{103}\text{Rh}$  splitting of the former signal ( $^1J_{\text{PRh}} = 102$  Hz) is much larger than that of the latter one ( $^2J_{\text{PRh}} = 3.5$  Hz). Notable is the dissimilarity of the chemical shifts of the phosphanylidene atoms in **4** and **5** ( $\Delta\delta_{\text{P}}$  116.2 ppm). The  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectra are fully consistent with terminal coordination of a single phosphanylidene-phosphorane ligand to a Rh centre.

Despite our repeated efforts using a variety of solvents and crystallization techniques, we have only been able to grow low quality crystals of **5**. Hence, our structural data are rather poor; however, they are adequate to demonstrate connectivity and provide additional support for the assignment of the structure from the NMR data. The structural data indicate a pyramidal geometry around the phosphorus donor atom and our calculations show that the P-Rh bond in the fully optimized structure has a WBI of 0.93 (see Table 1).



**Figure 5** The molecular structure of **5**. Hydrogen atoms and a solvent molecule of thf are omitted for clarity. Structural data are of limited accuracy due to the low quality of the crystal.

The structural and spectroscopic data indicate that in all of the above complexes (**2**, **3**, **4** and **5**) the phosphanylidene-phosphorane ligand displays phosphido-like coordination (either bridging or a pyramidal terminal mode). This is in agreement with the notion that the ylide mesomeric structure (**B'** in Figure 1) best describes the bonding in **1**; in this form the phosphorus atom P1 possesses two lone pairs and carries a formal negative charge. It appears that the zwitterionic nature of the free ligand **1** (i.e. its overall neutral rather than negative charge as in phosphido ligands) has little bearing on its binding to metal centres, for which both P1's lone pairs can be used. An interesting facet of the bonding in these complexes is their formal push-pull character. In the case of **2**, **3** (and **13**) the bonding can be seen as a push-double pull structure  $LB \rightarrow PR(\rightarrow LA)_2$ , whereas in **4** and **5** the bonding  $LB \rightarrow PR \rightarrow LA$  formally involves push-pull donor-acceptor interactions as well as an additional lone pair on the central atom.

The reaction of **1** with  $[Pd(PPh_3)_4]$  yielded  $Pd^0$  complex **6**, whose synthetic and structural details were reported in our recent communication.<sup>7</sup> In **6**, the phosphanylidene-phosphorane ligand displays a coordination behavior distinct to that seen in the terminal and bridging phosphido-like complexes mentioned above. Instead, the phosphine donor-phosphinidene acceptor aspect of bonding (see **B** in Figure 1) is highlighted. At least formally, the sequestration of the phosphine donor's lone pair by the Pd metal center results in the formation of a "free phosphinidene" moiety, which readily undergoes dimerization to form a diphosphene. The formed diphosphene group is  $\eta^2$ -bonded to the palladium center to give the 16-electron complex **6**.


Notably, the formation of **6** is distinct from another metal induced P-P bond breaking reaction of phosphanylidene-phosphorane reported in the literature, namely the phosphinidene-group transfer to low-valent early-transition metals, which yields terminal phosphinidene complexes.<sup>17</sup>

**Table 1** Selected NMR and structural parameters of the *peri*-substituted phosphanylidene-phosphorane complexes.

	$^1J_{PP}$ (across the <i>peri</i> -region)	P-P <i>peri</i> -distance [Å] <sup>a</sup>	WBI [calculated P-P <i>peri</i> -distance in Å] <sup>b</sup>
<b>1</b>	479.6	2.148(5), 2.147(6)	1.13 [2.165]
<b>13</b>	201.2 <sup>c</sup>	2.208(11) <sup>c</sup>	0.94 [2.260]
<b>2</b>	152.0	2.199(7)	0.88 [2.263] <sup>d</sup>
<b>3</b>	-	2.246(4)	0.84 [2.289]
<b>4</b>	430.0	2.179(4)	1.00 [2.205]
<b>5</b>	452.4	2.198(10)	0.93 [2.257]
<b>6</b>	32 <sup>e</sup>	3.24, 3.19	0.08 [3.248] <sup>f</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Experimental P-P distance (from X-ray diffraction); <sup>b</sup> B3LYP/SDD/6-31+G\* level, for details see computational experimental section; <sup>c</sup> From ref 7; <sup>d</sup> Very similar values are obtained for the monomeric structure, i.e. **1**(2AuCl), or when the Au...Au distances are fixed to the X-ray values; <sup>e</sup>  $^2J_{PP}$ ; <sup>f</sup> The side coordinated P=P bond in the Pd complex has a WBI of 1.30.

## Reactions of Phosphanylidene-phosphorane **1** with Chalcogens




**Scheme 2** Chalcogenides derived from **1**.

Due to the very low valence state of the phosphanylidene atoms, phosphanylidene-phosphoranes have been recognized as valuable precursors for phosphorus compounds in a variety of oxidation and coordination states. Bertrand's rigid 1,2-diphosphete served as a precursor to a range of species, where the coordination number of the phosphanylidene atom was increased from 2 to 3, 4, 5 and 6. Interestingly, in all these species the P-P bond (i.e. the cyclic structure) was preserved, as long as the crowding in the ethylenic part of the ring was present.<sup>18</sup>

In contrast to Bertrand's 1,2-diphosphete system, our 5,6-acenaphthene framework displays more flexibility. Thus, in addition to a range of (cyclic) P-P bonded species **9-11**, a

P-S-P bridged compound **8** as well as acyclic phosphonic acid **7** were obtained from the reactions of phosphanylidene-phosphorane **1** with chalcogens and air, respectively.


Exposure of a solution of **1** in MeCN to air led to discoloration of the initially red solution, and later slow formation of colorless crystals of the phosphonic acid **7**. The thus collected material was of analytical purity; in addition to microanalysis the acid was fully characterized by X-ray diffraction (Figure 6), HRMS and IR. Due to its insolubility in common solvents, we could not obtain any solution NMR spectra of **7**. Titration of **7** with KOH afforded a water soluble dipotassium salt **7a**, which was subsequently fully characterized by multinuclear NMR, Raman and IR.



**Figure 6** The molecular structure of **7**, two molecules bound by hydrogen bonds (dashed lines) are shown. Carbon-bound hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths and angles: P1-O1 1.501(2), P9-O2 1.493(2), P9-O3 1.524(2), P9-O4 1.548(2), P1...P9 3.58 Å, O3-H3...O1 161(1), O4-H4...O2<sup>i</sup> 117(1)°.

The room temperature reaction of **1** with powdered sulfur in toluene gave a mixture of products as shown by <sup>31</sup>P NMR. Varying the stoichiometry, solvent and reaction temperature led to the formation of identical products in essentially the same ratio. The mixture was separated using column chromatography, which gave two analytically pure products **8** and **9**


in 56 and 22 % yield, respectively. Both **8** and **9** were fully characterized, and their crystal structures were determined (Figure 7). After purification, **8** was not soluble in any common organic solvent, which prevented direct measurement of its solution NMR spectra. The solid state  $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$  MAS NMR spectrum of **8** (162.0 MHz) displayed two singlets at  $\delta_{\text{P}}$  69.8 and 59.4. Comparison to solid state NMR data and the observed integral intensities allowed assignment of the peaks in the solution NMR spectrum of the crude mixture after the reaction of **1** with sulfur, signals at  $\delta_{\text{P}}$  73.8 (d,  $-\text{PS}_3$ ) and 55.5 (d,  $-\text{PS}(\text{iPr})_2$ ),  $^2J_{\text{PP}} = 9.4$  Hz were identified as belonging to **8**.



**Figure 7** The molecular structures of **8** (left) and **9** (right). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths and angles (values in square brackets are for second molecule within the asymmetric unit, only one molecule is shown in each figure), **8**: P1-S3 2.172(3) [2.180(3)], P9-S3 2.025(3) [2.083(3)], P1-S1 1.943(3) [1.987(3)], P1-S2 1.984(3) [1.924(3)] Å, P1-S3-P9 101.51(11) [99.60(11)]°; **9**: P1-P9 2.2753(14) [2.2910(15)], P1-S1 1.9619(15) [1.9621(14)], P1-S2 1.9601(15) [1.9606(15)] Å.


Mild conditions (ambient temperature) were sufficient to drive the reaction of **1** with powdered grey selenium to completion. Analytically pure diselenated species **10** was isolated

in very good yield (86%) after purification by column chromatography and was fully characterized, including single crystal X-ray diffraction (Figure 8).



**Figure 8** The molecular structure of **10**. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths and angles (values in square brackets are for second molecule within the asymmetric unit, only one is shown in figure): P1-P9 2.342(6) [2.303(6)], P1-Se1 2.116(4) [2.132(5)], P1-Se2 2.136(4) [2.129(5)] Å.

To complete the series of chalcogenides, the reaction of **1** with powdered tellurium was performed in boiling toluene. A complex mixture was obtained as indicated by  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR. A minute amount of red platelet crystals of **11** was obtained via recrystallization from toluene. Their identity was confirmed by single crystal diffraction, however the small quantity of material available, combined with thermal decomposition (observed as darkening of the solutions of **11** via elimination of elemental tellurium), prevented any further characterization.



**Figure 9** The molecular structure of **11**. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths and angles: P1-P9 2.225(3), P1-Te1 2.4256(17) Å, P9-P1-Te1 107.56(9)°.

#### *Discussion of Bonding in 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11*

The crystal structure of **7** (Figure 6) indicates all oxygen atoms are involved in hydrogen bonding. Thus a pair of intermolecular O4-H4 $\cdots$ O2' and O4'-H4' $\cdots$ O2 bonds leads to formation of dimeric assemblies; the remaining interaction (O3-H3 $\cdots$ O1) is intramolecular.

The most prominent feature in the crystal structure of **8** (Figure 7) is the dissimilarity of the bridging P-S bond lengths (S3-P9 2.025(3), S3-P1 2.172(3) Å). This may indicate the partial dative character of bonding (see resonance structure **8'** in Figure 10), akin to the situation observed in the related structure Nap[PCl<sub>2</sub>(=O)][PCl<sub>4</sub>] (Nap = naphthalene-1,8-diyl),<sup>19</sup> or may simply mirror the differing electronic and steric attributes of the two phosphorus environments. Our WBI and natural charge calculations support the dative interpretation of bonding, they indicate the WBIs in the fully optimized structure of **8** are 0.53 (S3-P1) and 1.13 (S3-P9), whilst calculated natural charges are -0.33 (S3), 0.91 (P1), 1.41 (P9) and -0.53 on each of the terminal S atoms.

Despite the observed difference in strength of S3-P1 bond (weaker) vs. S3-P9 (stronger) bond, the localized MO structure obtained from a natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis<sup>20</sup> contains two  $\sigma$ -bonding MOs with high occupancy (>1.94) for these two bonds.




Only single P-S bonds are found in this analysis, together with two lone pairs on S3 and three on each of S1 and S2 atoms, which would seem to support the zwitterionic resonance structure **8** (Figure 10). However, in a second-order perturbation theory analysis of donor-acceptor interactions,<sup>20</sup> significant delocalization of one of the lone pairs on S3 into a P9-C antibonding orbitals is apparent, in line with the partial double-bond character inferred for the S3-P9 bond from its length and WBI. As typical for delocalized and dative bonds, the true situation is a hybrid between resonance structures shown in Figure 10 (top).

The two limiting mesomeric structures shown at the middle of Figure 10 are of particular relevance to the description of the bonding in **9** and **10**. These are a covalent zwitterionic structure, and a Lewis acid-base complex of the phosphine donor group (-PPr<sub>2</sub>) with a coordinatively unsaturated metaphosphonate functionality (-P(=S)<sub>2</sub>). Correspondingly, the tellurium derivative **11** has two mesomeric structures as shown in Figure 10 (bottom). Whilst the P-P distances in **9** (2.2753(14) and 2.2910(15) Å) and **10** (2.342(6) and 2.303(6) Å) indicate a weakened P-P bond<sup>21</sup>, potentially indicating contributions from the dative bonding (see structures **9'** and **10'** in Figure 10); the tellurium derivative **11** has a P-P bond length (2.225(3) Å) that is in the middle of the normal P-P single bond range as would be expected for the zwitterionic structure **11** (see Figure 10). This is further supported by the computed P-P bond WBIs, which have values of 0.62-0.63 for **9** and **10** (indicating significantly weakened single bonds) and 0.84 for **11** (close to a full single bond). In contrast, NBO analysis indicates all minima are characterized by P-P and P-E  $\sigma$ -bonds with three lone pairs on each chalcogen, suggesting a predominance of the zwitterionic over the dative structures.

It should be noted that several intramolecular donor-stabilized metaphosphonates (LB $\rightarrow$ P(=S)<sub>2</sub>R) as well as metaphosphinites (LB $\rightarrow$ P(=S)R) were reported by Yoshifuji (LB = dimethylamino group)<sup>22</sup> and by us (LB = diisopropylphosphino group).<sup>23</sup> Just like for **9-11**,

the donor stabilization is rather efficient in these literature species as some of the derivatives were inert enough to be purified by column chromatography.


An interesting observation from the reactions with chalcogens is that they proceed under relatively mild conditions, indicating that **1** is rather reactive in comparison to (for example) tertiary phosphines or cyclic oligophosphines.<sup>24</sup> In line with decreasing oxidizing power of elements on going down the Group 16, lower oxidation state phosphorus centers become more prevalent at the bottom of the group.



**Figure 10** Resonance structures of the chalcogenides.

### General Bonding Considerations

The P-P bonding is remarkably variable within the reported new compounds, with computed WBIs in the range of 0.62 - 1.30. These WBIs correlate well with optimized P-P distances as shown in Figure 11. For completeness we have extended the correlation toward hypothetical Ph-P=P-Ph as well as to non-bonded P...P interactions, for details see Table S1 in Supporting Information. The resulting extended correlation confirms WBI structural parameter as a useful tool to quantify the combination of  $\sigma$ ,  $\pi$  as well as negative hyperconjugation bonding.



**Figure 11** Plot of computed WBIs vs. the P-P bonded and nonbonded distances (B3LYP level); datapoints represent species listed in Table 1 and Table S1 (see SI). The dashed line shows an exponential fit to all points.

## Further Synthetic Studies Related to Phosphanylidene-phosphorane **1**

### *Alternative reduction of **12** to **1***

Only a few stable phosphanylidene-phosphoranes have been reported in the literature, and the majority of the synthetic pathways leading to these species appear to be highly specific and not of general applicability. The exception to this is the reduction of


dichlorophosphines with a “synergistically acting” zinc and  $\text{PMe}_3$  reducing reagent. The procedure was originally introduced by Mathey for metal-assisted phospho-Wittig chemistry,<sup>25</sup> then it was further developed by Protasiewicz who used it to synthesize the bulky bottleable phosphanylidene-phosphoranes  $\text{DmpP}=\text{PMe}_3$  and  $\text{Mes}^*\text{P}=\text{PMe}_3$ .<sup>6b</sup> The scope of this reaction was broadened to incorporate other trialkylphosphines ( $\text{PEt}_3$  and  $\text{PBu}_3$ ), although further expansion towards species with less bulky groups at phosphanylidene atom was not successful. The reaction scope was appropriately described as “a limited window of synthetic viability towards the isolation of free phosphanylidene-phosphoranes”,<sup>6b</sup> notwithstanding it appeared of interest to challenge this notion with respect to our *peri*-substituted system.

It should be noted, that the high yielding and convenient synthesis of the phosphanylidene-phosphorane **1** from the phosphine-phosphine complex **12** was reported by us recently (see Scheme 3, top reaction).<sup>7</sup> This synthesis, utilizing the bis(borane) **13** as an intermediate, represents a fundamentally new addition to the (very limited) collection of synthetic routes towards phosphanylidene-phosphoranes.


Nevertheless, to examine whether **1** is accessible by the alternative (Protasiewicz’s) pathway we reacted **12** with zinc powder. The molecule of **12** possesses (at least formally) both of the required phosphine components - the dichlorophosphino group ( $\text{ArPCl}_2$ ) and the (highly basic) tertiary phosphino group ( $\text{ArPiPr}_2$ ) (see dative resonance form **12'** in Scheme 3). These are conveniently pre-arranged in close proximity by means of the rigid backbone, giving rise to a phosphonium-phosphoranide zwitterionic form (**12** in Scheme 3). Therefore, addition of powdered zinc to **12** can be considered as an intramolecular variation of the Protasiewicz’s protocol.

However, the reaction of **12** with zinc gave inconclusive results. Despite repeating the reaction in a variety of solvents and at different temperatures, formation of **1** was not

observed in the  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectra of the mixtures after the reaction. On the other hand, a small amount of the ionic species **14** was obtained via recrystallization of the crude mixtures from MeCN. The identity of **14** was confirmed by single crystal X-ray diffraction (Figure 12). Formation of the  $\text{ZnCl}_4^{2-}$  anion and the 1,4-diphosphonium dication (seen in **14**) indicates that the reduction of the dichlorophosphino group takes place. However, zinc is not a strong enough reductant to reduce **12** as far as to **1** (**1** is formally a product of the two-electron reduction of **14**), although this interpretation is tentative as **14** is likely to be only a minor component of the product mixture, while the other products remain unknown. An X-ray structure of a compound related to **14** (with the same dication but two chloride counterions) was reported by us earlier, and shows similar metric parameters.<sup>26</sup>



**Scheme 3** Reduction of **12** with  $\text{BH}_3 \cdot \text{SMe}_2$  and with zinc powder.



**Figure 12** The molecular structure of **14**. Hydrogen atoms and solvated acetonitrile (2 molecules) are omitted for clarity. *i*Pr groups are drawn as wireframe for clarity. Selected bond lengths and angles: P1-P2 2.243(6), P3-P4 2.240(6), P2-P3 2.287(6)Å, P1-P2-P3-P4 157.89(17)°.


#### *Demonstration of a Novel Reactivity Brought about by the Forced Proximity Effect*

The reaction of **12** with  $\text{BH}_3\cdot\text{SMe}_2$  gives the bis(borane) **13** in quantitative yield (Scheme 3). While a few *monoborane* adducts of phosphanylidene-phosporanes are known, formation of the *bis*(borane) adduct is much less common, and the air stable nature of **13** is unique.<sup>6a,7</sup>

We hypothesized that the forced proximity of the two phosphorus functionalities, brought about by placing them at the *peri*-positions in acenaphthene, plays a key role in stabilization of this push-double pull motif. However, while computational methods gave some insight into this,<sup>7</sup> no direct experimental proof has been available so far.

In a search for such evidence, we have performed a reaction analogous to that used to make **13**, using closely electronically and sterically related components that however were not coupled together by the acenaphthene backbone (Scheme 4). To an equimolar mixture of

the two “independent halves” (PhPCl<sub>2</sub> and PhPiPr<sub>2</sub>), an excess of Me<sub>2</sub>S·BH<sub>3</sub> was added rapidly (to parallel the conditions used in the preparation of **13**,<sup>7</sup> the reaction is depicted in Scheme 3). The <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of the mixture after the reaction showed that the monoborane adducts PhPiPr<sub>2</sub>·BH<sub>3</sub> and PhPH<sub>2</sub>·BH<sub>3</sub> were the sole phosphorus containing products of the reaction. No push-pull product **15** (see Scheme 4) was detected by <sup>31</sup>P NMR in the mixture after the reaction. The result of this experiment strongly supports the notion that the unique reactivity leading to the push-pull bis(borane) **13** is indeed a result of the *peri*-preorganization effect. In a more general sense, this experiment provides additional evidence that *peri*-substitution supports unique reactivity and structure in a variety of environments.



**Scheme 4** Attempted preparation of the “uncoupled” bis(borane) **15**.

## Conclusion

The phosphanylidene-phosphorane **1** has been shown to act as a robust two ( $\eta^1$ ) or four ( $\mu^2$ ) electron phosphido-like donor towards transition metal centers via its low-valent phosphorus donor atom. The P-P bond (of variable strength) is preserved in these complexes. Additionally, the phosphinidene-like reactivity has been observed in the formation of **6**. Here the phosphinidene-phosphorane ligand reorganizes into the diphosphene dimeric assembly, which provides 6 electrons overall for bonding with the palladium center.

**1** provides a rigid framework for a variety of chalcogen species, the bonding analysis supported by availability of a range of crystal structures and using computational methods

(WBI, NBO) indicate contributions from both zwitterionic and dative bonding in compounds **8**, **9** and **10**, but less so in **11**.

The P-P bond lengths vary remarkably within the two groups of reported compounds, in some cases negative P-P hyperconjugation is present leading to shorter than single bond distances. The P-P interaction mirrors the amount of electron density sequestered by the Lewis acidic metal centers or by chalcogen atoms. A correlation of computationally obtained WBIs vs. bond length (extended towards “genuine”  $p\pi$ - $p\pi$  bonds and sub-van der Waals interactions) is given, showing a very good fit.

Reaction of the dichloride **12** using Protasiewicz’s standard procedure (with Zn powder) did not give any phosphanylidene-phosphorane **1**. On the other hand, **1** is readily accessible in very good yields via reduction with borane dimethylsulfide adduct (a route developed by us). This indicates that the nature of the reducing reagent is crucial, and optimization of this aspect may lead to synthesis of new derivatives.<sup>27</sup>

The different outcome of the reduction of the closely structurally and electronically similar species lacking the *peri*-backbone confirms that the proximity effects synonymous with *peri*-interaction do indeed modify the reactivity, and are a crucial aspect in the formation of the bis(borane) **13**.


## Experimental

**General Procedures.** All reactions and manipulations were performed with exclusion of air and moisture under an atmosphere of N<sub>2</sub> or Ar using standard Schlenk and glove box techniques unless otherwise stated. Solvents were dried on an MBraun solvent purification system and stored over molecular sieves prior to use. **1**<sup>7</sup>, **12**<sup>26</sup> and PtCl<sub>2</sub>(cod)<sup>28</sup> were prepared according to literature procedures, all other chemicals were obtained from commercial



sources and were used as obtained. NMR measurements were performed at 25 °C; the spectra are referenced to 85% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> in <sup>31</sup>P, TMS in <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C and Me<sub>2</sub>Se in <sup>77</sup>Se NMR. Where possible, new compounds were fully characterized by <sup>31</sup>P, <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H}, <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR, including measurement of <sup>1</sup>H{<sup>31</sup>P}, H-H DQF COSY, H-P HMQC, H-C HSQC and H-C HMBC experiments. The NMR numbering scheme is shown in Figure 13. Thermal ellipsoids in all ORTEP figures are set at 40% probability.

CCDC 961463-961471 and 993005 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via [www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data\\_request/cif](http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif), or by emailing [data\\_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk](mailto:data_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk), or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12, Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033.



**Figure 13** General NMR numbering scheme

**Gold complex 2.** To a stirred suspension of AuCl·(tbt) (0.21 g, 0.66 mmol) in toluene (10 mL) at -10 °C, a deep red solution of **1** (100 mg, 0.33 mmol) in toluene (10 mL) was added dropwise with stirring, which resulted in a yellow suspension. After stirring for 30 min the solid was collected by filtration using sinter and was dried in vacuo (in the dark) giving **2** as a white powder (250 mg, 0.163 mmol, 99%). Crystals of **2** suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The solid initially dissolves in chlorinated solvents but instantly

crystallises out, which prohibited obtaining  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR data. **2** decomposes rather rapidly turning purple, then grey, when exposed to light. Anal.: Found C, 27.99; H, 3.02;  $\text{C}_{36}\text{H}_{44}\text{P}_4\text{Au}_4\text{Cl}_4$  requires, C, 28.25; H, 2.90.;  $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (121.5 MHz, mixture after the reaction in toluene):  $\delta_{\text{P}}$  -44.5 (d,  $\text{PAu}_2$ ), 56.8 (d,  $\text{P}(i\text{Pr})_2$ ),  $^1J_{\text{PP}} = 152.0$  Hz.

**Platinum complex 3.** To a stirred suspension of  $\text{PtCl}_2(\text{cod})$  (187 mg, 0.5 mmol) in thf (10 mL) a solution of **1** (150 mg, 0.5 mmol) in thf (15 mL) was added slowly. The mixture was stirred overnight producing a pale yellow solution. The solvent was then evaporated in vacuo to  $\frac{1}{4}$  of its initial volume and pentane (5 mL) was added producing an off-white precipitate. The precipitate was collected by filtration and dried in vacuo to give **3** as an off white powder (520 mg, 0.46 mmol, 92%). The compound is insoluble in common organic solvents, however a small amount of crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction was obtained by cooling of the warm supernatant from DCM extracts of **3**.

**Molybdenum complex 4.** To a stirred solution of  $\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_4(\text{nbd})$  (151 mg, 0.5 mmol) in toluene (10 mL) at  $-10$  °C a solution of **1** (300 mg, 1.0 mmol) in toluene (10 mL) was added slowly, and the mixture was warmed to RT and stirred overnight. The solution was concentrated to  $\frac{1}{4}$  of its initial volume *in vacuo*, which led to the precipitation of a brown solid. This was collected by filtration, washed with diethyl ether (2 x 5 mL) and dried *in vacuo* to give **4** as a deep red powder (255 mg, 0.32 mmol, 64%). Anal.: Found C, 51.02; H, 4.95  $\text{C}_{40}\text{H}_{44}\text{O}_4\text{P}_4\text{Mo}\cdot 2\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  requires C, 51.55; H, 4.94;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (300.1 MHz,  $\text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2$ ):  $\delta$  1.17–1.43 (m,  $8\times\text{CH}_3$ ), 2.70–3.07 (m, 4H,  $4\times\text{CH}$  at *iPr*), 3.13–3.63 (m,  $4\times\text{CH}_2$ ), 7.20–7.31 (m, 2H,  $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.1$ , H7), 7.43 (br d, 2H,  $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 6.8$  Hz, H3), 7.74 (t,  $^3J_{\text{HP}} = ^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.4$  Hz, 2H, H2), 8.07 (m,  $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.1$  Hz, 2H, H8);  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (75.5 MHz,  $\text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2$ )  $\delta$  18.4 (d,  $^2J_{\text{CP}} = 7.3$  Hz,  $8\times\text{CH}_3$ ), 26.6 (dd,  $^1J_{\text{CP}} = 34.7$ ,  $^2J_{\text{CP}} = 6.1$  Hz,  $4\times\text{CH}$  at *iPr*), 32.2 (s,  $\text{CH}_2$ , C12), 33.5

(s, CH<sub>2</sub>, C11) , 120.0–121.0 (m, C1), 121.5 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>CP</sub> = 9.2 Hz, C3), 123.2–124.0 (m, C7), 128.8–130.8 (m, C8), 132.1 (s, C2), 139.4 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>CP</sub> = 11.7 Hz, C5), 140.4 (d, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>CP</sub> = 23.2 Hz, C10), 142.0 (s, C6) , 148.6 (dd, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>CP</sub> = 36.6, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>CP</sub> = 8.6 Hz, C9), 154.0 (s, C4) , 187.3 (d, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>CP</sub> = 15.1 Hz, CO), 203.6 (d, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>CP</sub> = 13.3 Hz, CO), 214.9 (s, CO), 221.6–223.2 (m, CO); <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (121.5 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): AA'XX' spin system: δ -104.4 (P(Mo), P<sup>A</sup>), 75.3 (P(*i*Pr<sub>2</sub>), P<sup>X</sup>), <sup>1</sup>J(P<sub>A</sub>P<sub>X</sub>) = 430 Hz, <sup>2</sup>J(P<sub>A</sub>P<sub>A'</sub>) = 220 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J(P<sub>A</sub>P<sub>X'</sub>) = <sup>3</sup>J(P<sub>A'</sub>P<sub>X</sub>) = -48 Hz, <sup>4</sup>J(P<sub>X</sub>P<sub>X')</sub> = 3 Hz; Raman (glass capillary, cm<sup>-1</sup>): ν 3068m (νAr–H), 2933m, 2887w (νC–H), 1989m, 1871m, 1826m (νC≡O), 1610s, 1445m, 1416vs, 1388m, 1345w, 843m, 722m, 462vs, 428s. Crystals for X-ray work were grown from chloroform.

**Rhodium complex 5.** To a stirred solution of (RhCp\*Cl<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (0.20 g, 0.327 mmol) in toluene (20 mL) a solution of **1** (0.196 g, 0.653 mmol) in toluene (10 mL) was added dropwise at RT. The mixture instantly turned deep brown and was allowed to stir overnight. The resulting suspension was filtered and the solid obtained was dried *in vacuo* to give **5** as a deep red brown solid (334 mg, 0.548 mmol, 84%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300.1 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 1.11 (dd, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HP</sub> = 19.2 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 6.9 Hz, 6H, 2×CH<sub>3</sub> at *i*Pr), 1.23 (dd, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HP</sub> = 16.5 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 7.1 Hz, 6H, 2×CH<sub>3</sub> at *i*Pr), 1.65 (s, 15H, 5× Cp\* CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.16–3.52 (m, 6H, 2×CH at *i*Pr and 2×CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.23 (br d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 8.2 Hz 1H, H7), 7.33 (br d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 7.1 Hz, H3), 7.37–7.51 (m, 1H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 7.1 Hz, H8), 7.61 (t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HP</sub> = <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 7.2 Hz, 1H, H2); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) δ 9.8 (s, 5× Cp\* CH<sub>3</sub>), 17.7 (s, 2×CH<sub>3</sub>), 18.2 (s, 2×CH<sub>3</sub>), 25.0 (s, CH at *i*Pr), 25.2–25.7 (m, CH at *i*Pr), 30.8 (s, C12), 31.9 (s, C11), 94.4 (s, Cp\* C), 119.6 (d, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>CP</sub> = 8.8 Hz, C1), 122.1 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>CP</sub> = 8.9 Hz, C3), 122.3 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>CP</sub> = 4.0 Hz, C7), 129.9 (dd, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>CP</sub> = 20.8 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>CP</sub> = 10.7 Hz, C8), 130.9 (s, C2), 137.6–138.3 (m, C5), 139.1–140.3 (m, C10), 142.4 (s, C6), 152.2 (s, C4); <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (121.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 11.8 (dd, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>PRh</sub> = 101.5 Hz, PRh), 70.9 (dd, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>PRh</sub> = 3.5 Hz, *i*Pr<sub>2</sub>P), <sup>1</sup>J<sub>PP</sub> = 452.4 Hz.

**Phosphonic acid 7.** A solution of **1** (0.15 g, 0.50 mmol) in acetonitrile (10 mL) was left open to air for 7 days. Colourless crystals of **7** formed on the walls, which were collected by decantation, washed with acetonitrile and dried in vacuo (first crop yielded 40 mg (22% yield) of analytically pure material). M.p. 240-242°C with decomp.; Elemental Analysis: Found C, 59.10; H, 6.68. C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>4</sub>P<sub>2</sub> requires C 59.05; H, 6.60; HRMS (ES<sup>-</sup>): m/z 731.2224 ((2M-H)<sup>-</sup>, C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>47</sub>P<sub>4</sub>O<sub>8</sub> requires 731.2221, 15%), 365.1070 ((M-H)<sup>-</sup>, C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>23</sub>P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> requires 365.1072, 100%), 321.0447 ((M-*i*Pr-2H)<sup>-</sup>, C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>15</sub>P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> requires 321.0446, 20%); IR  $\nu_{\max}$  (KBr disc, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3425s ( $\nu_{\text{O-H}}$ ), 2971m ( $\nu_{\text{C-H}}$ ), 2936w, 1600m, 1443m ( $\delta_{\text{P-C}}$ ), 1293s ( $\nu_{\text{P=O}}$ ), 1174s ( $\nu_{\text{P=O}}$ ), 1126s, 1080m ( $\nu_{\text{P-C}}$ ), 989s ( $\nu_{\text{P-OH}}$ ), 932s, 702s, 586s.

**Dipotassium Salt 7a.** Aqueous KOH was added dropwise to a stirred suspension of **7a** in water until slightly alkaline. Removal of volatiles yielded **7a** as white powder (yield quantitative). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500.1 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  0.61 (6H, dd, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HP</sub> = 16.7, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 7.2 Hz, 2 × CH<sub>3</sub>); 1.19 (6H, dd, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HP</sub> = 15.8, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 7.2 Hz, 2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.23 (4H, s, H11 and H12), 3.79 (2H, dh, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HP</sub> = 13.7, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 6.8 Hz, 2 × CH at *i*Pr), 7.25 (1H, d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 7.2 Hz, H7), 7.31 (1H, d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 7.3 Hz, H3), 8.00 (1H, dd, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HP</sub> = 13.1, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 7.3 Hz, H2), 8.18 (1H, dd, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HP</sub> = 14.6, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>HH</sub> = 7.2 Hz, H8); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (125.8 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta_{\text{C}}$  16.4 (d, <sup>2</sup>*J*<sub>CP</sub> = 3.9 Hz, 2 × CH<sub>3</sub>); 16.7 (d, <sup>2</sup>*J*<sub>CP</sub> = 4.2 Hz, 2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 26.8 (d, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>CP</sub> = 64.1 Hz, 2 × CH at *i*Pr), 29.2 (s, C11 or C12), 29.3 (s, C-11 or C12), 118.0 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>CP</sub> = 10.8 Hz, C3), 118.9 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>CP</sub> = 13.2 Hz, C7), 124.7 (d, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>CP</sub> = 80.9 Hz, C1), 133.0 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>CP</sub> = 9.6 Hz, C5), 134.8 (d, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>CP</sub> = 160.3 Hz, C9), 136.9 (d, <sup>2</sup>*J*<sub>CP</sub> = 7.4 Hz, C8), 138.5 (d, <sup>2</sup>*J*<sub>CP</sub> = 4.6 Hz, C2), 139.0 (t, <sup>2</sup>*J*<sub>CP</sub> = 10.5 Hz, C10), 152.1 (s, C4), 148.8 (s, C6); <sup>31</sup>P NMR: (202.5 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta_{\text{P}}$  68.2 (s, *i*Pr<sub>2</sub>P(O)), 9.7 (s, P(O)(OH)<sub>2</sub>); <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (202.5 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta_{\text{P}}$  68.3 (m, *i*Pr<sub>2</sub>P(O)), 9.7 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>PH</sub> = 14.7 Hz, P(O)(OH)<sub>2</sub>). IR  $\nu_{\max}$  (KBr disc, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3449m ( $\nu_{\text{O-H}}$ ), 2926m ( $\nu_{\text{C-H}}$ ), 2620m, 2364m, 1651vs,

1630vs, 1402vs, 1260m, 1168m, 1009s, 832s, 703s. Raman  $\nu_{\max}$  (glass capillary,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2929s ( $\nu_{\text{C-H}}$ ), 1602s, 1567vs, 1446s, 1417s, 1321vs, 1030s, 588s.

**Trisulfide 8 and disulfide 9.** The following procedure gave the highest overall yield of **8** and **9**. To a suspension of  $\text{S}_8$  (0.22 g, 6.86 mmol) in toluene (10 mL) a solution of **1** (1.0 g, 3.33 mmol) in toluene (20 mL) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred overnight. The resulting yellow solution was filtered and the volatiles were evaporated *in vacuo* to give a yellow powder, which was purified by column chromatography on silica gel. Elution with EtOAc / DCM 2:3 gave **9** (0.27g, 0.74 mmol, 22%) and **8** (0.74g, 1.87 mmol, 56%), both as yellow powders.

**Characterization data for 8:** M.p. 262 – 263 °C; Elemental Analysis: Found C, 54.35; H, 5.36.  $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{28}\text{P}_2\text{S}_3$  requires C 54.52; H, 5.59.; MS( $\text{ES}^+$ ):  $m/z$  (%) 419.1 (( $\text{M}+\text{Na}$ )<sup>+</sup>, 100), 397.0 (( $\text{M}+\text{H}$ )<sup>+</sup>, 15); MS( $\text{CI}^+$ ):  $m/z$  (%) 397.0 (( $\text{M}+\text{H}$ )<sup>+</sup>, 16), 365.1 (( $\text{M-S}$ )<sup>+</sup> $\text{H}^+$ ), 100), 333.1 (( $\text{M-2S}$ )<sup>+</sup> $\text{H}^+$ ), 14), 300.1 (( $\text{M-3S}$ )<sup>+</sup>, 14);  $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (121.5 MHz, toluene):  $\delta$  73.8 (d,  $\text{PS}_3$ ), 55.5 (d,  $\text{PSiPr}_2$ ),  $^2J_{\text{PP}} = 9.4$  Hz;  $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$  SS NMR (MAS 10 KHz, 162.0 MHz):  $\delta$  69.8 (s), 59.4 (s). After purification **8** was not soluble in organic solvents, which prevented measurement of  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectra. ); Raman (glass capillary,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ):  $\nu$  3053w ( $\nu_{\text{Ar-H}}$ ), 2977m, 2927s, 2875s ( $\nu_{\text{C-H}}$ ), 1596m, 1572m, 1438s, 1343vs, 830m, 732m, 690w, 550vs; IR  $\nu_{\max}$  (KBr disc,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2971w, 2928w, 2871w ( $\nu_{\text{C-H}}$ ), 1595m, 1453m, 845m, 732m, 690vs ( $\nu_{\text{P=S}}$ ); crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown from EtOAc/DCM eluent.

**Characterization data for 9:** M.p. 240 °C (discolouration), 251 – 252 °C (melts); Anal.: Found C, 59.17; H, 5.97.  $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{28}\text{P}_2\text{S}_2$  requires C 59.33; H, 6.09; MS ( $\text{ES}^+$ ):  $m/z$  (%) 387.15 (( $\text{M}+\text{Na}$ )<sup>+</sup>, 100); HRMS ( $\text{EI}^+$ ):  $m/z$  (%) Found 364.0630,  $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{22}\text{P}_2\text{S}_2$  requires 364.0638;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (300.1 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  1.42 (dd,  $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 17.4$ ,  $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.1$  Hz, 6H,  $2\times\text{CH}_3$ ), 1.60 (dd,

$^3J_{HP} = 17.0$  Hz,  $^3J_{HH} = 7.2$  Hz, 6H, 2×CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.40–3.16 (m, 2H, 2×CH at *iPr*), 3.64–3.41 (m, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.52 (br d,  $^3J_{HH} = 7.0$  Hz, 2H, H3 and H7), 7.83 (dd,  $^3J_{HP} = 8.2$ ,  $^3J_{HH} = 7.1$  Hz, 1H, H2), 8.14 (dd,  $^3J_{HP} = 14.0$ ,  $^3J_{HH} = 7.2$  Hz, 1H, H8);  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (68.9 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  17.4–17.5 (m, 4×CH<sub>3</sub>), 24.5 (dd,  $^1J_{CP} = 29.7$ ,  $^2J_{CP} = 2.6$  Hz, 2×CH at *iPr*), 30.8 (d,  $^5J_{CP} = 1.5$  Hz, C11), 31.4 – 31.6 (m, C12), 110.0 (dd,  $^1J_{CP} = 55.0$  Hz,  $^2J_{CP} = 10.4$  Hz, C1), 120.8 (d,  $^3J_{CP} = 9.3$  Hz, C3), 122.9 (dd,  $^3J_{CP} = 14.3$ ,  $^4J_{CP} = 2.3$  Hz, C7), 129.3 (dd,  $^2J_{CP} = 11.2$ ,  $^3J_{CP} = 5.4$  Hz, C8), 133.1– 133.7 (m, C10), 133.3 (s, C2), 140.7 (dd,  $^1J_{CP} = 83.0$  Hz,  $^2J_{CP} = 38.0$  Hz, C9), 138.2 (dd,  $^3J_{CP} = 10.1$ ,  $^3J_{CP} = 8.6$  Hz, C5), 148.5 (d,  $^4J_{CP} = 1.6$  Hz, C6), 153.4 (dd,  $^4J_{CP} = 2.6$ ,  $^4J_{CP} = 1.6$  Hz, C4);  $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (121.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  5.4 (d, P(*iPr*)<sub>2</sub>), 69.0 (d, PS<sub>2</sub>),  $^1J_{PP} = 100.1$  Hz; Raman (glass capillary, cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\nu$  3054m ( $\nu_{\text{Ar-H}}$ ), 2929vs, 2883s ( $\nu_{\text{C-H}}$ ), 1609vs, 1438vs, 1384m, 1345m, 848m, 727m, 684w, 601s ( $\nu_{\text{P=S}}$ ); IR  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  (KBr disc, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 2965s, 2926s, 2720w ( $\nu_{\text{C-H}}$ ), 1737m, 1605w, 1452m, 842m, 805m, 686m. Crystals of **9** suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown by diffusion of hexanes in the solution of **9** in DCM.

**Diselenide 10.** To a stirred suspension of grey selenium powder (0.53 g, 0.84 mmol) in toluene (10 mL), a solution of **1** (1.0 g, 3.33 mmol) in toluene (20 mL) was added dropwise, the mixture was then sonicated for 15 min and allowed to stir overnight. The resulting yellow solution was filtered and the solvent was removed *in vacuo* to give a bright yellow powder. The crude material was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using EtOAc / DCM 2:3 as the eluent. This afforded **10** as bright yellow needle crystals (1.32 g, 2.88 mmol, 86%). M.p. 266 – 270 °C; Anal.: Found C, 47.04; H, 4.79. C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>22</sub>P<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>2</sub> requires C 47.18; H, 4.84; MS(EI<sup>+</sup>):  $m/z$  (%) 460.0 (M<sup>+</sup>, 10), 380.0 ((M-Se)<sup>+</sup>, 25), 300.1 ((M-2Se)<sup>+</sup>, 45), 257.0 (C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>8</sub>P(C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)P<sup>+</sup>, 75), 183.0 (C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>8</sub>P<sup>+</sup>, 40), 152.0 (C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>8</sub><sup>+</sup>, 100) 110.9 (SeP<sup>+</sup>, 40%); HRMS (EI<sup>+</sup>):  $m/z$  Found 459.9518, C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>22</sub>P<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>2</sub> requires 459.9527;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (300.1 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):

$\delta$  1.37 (dd,  $^3J_{HP} = 17.4$ ,  $^3J_{HH} = 7.1$  Hz, 6H, 2 $\times$ CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.61 (dd,  $^3J_{HP} = 17.1$ ,  $^3J_{HH} = 7.2$  Hz, 6H, 2 $\times$ CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.66–3.23 (m, 6H, 2 $\times$ CH<sub>2</sub> and 2 $\times$ CH at *iPr*), 7.77 – 7.31 (m, 2H, H3 and H7), 7.92 (dd,  $^3J_{HP} = 8.2$ ,  $^3J_{HH} = 7.2$  Hz, 1H, H2), 8.04 (dd,  $^3J_{HP} = 14.1$ ,  $^3J_{HH} = 7.2$  Hz, 1H, H8);  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (68.9 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  17.1 (dd,  $^2J_{CP} = 15.4$  Hz,  $^3J_{CP} = 4.3$  Hz, 4 $\times$ CH<sub>3</sub>), 25.4 (dd,  $^1J_{CP} = 30.5$  Hz,  $^2J_{CP} = 3.1$  Hz, 2 $\times$ CH at *iPr*), 30.9 (d,  $^5J_{CP} = 1.6$  Hz, C12), 31.6 (d,  $^5J_{CP} = 1.0$  Hz, C11), 109.6 (dd,  $^1J_{CP} = 54.6$ ,  $^2J_{CP} = 8.4$  Hz, C1), 121.1 (d,  $^3J_{CP} = 9.2$  Hz, C3), 122.5 (dd,  $^3J_{CP} = 14.1$ ,  $^4J_{CP} = 2.2$  Hz, C7), 129.4 (dd,  $^2J_{CP} = 12.2$ ,  $^3J_{CP} = 5.0$  Hz, C8), 133.1 (dd,  $^2J_{CP} = 13.7$ ,  $^2J_{CP} = 10.3$  Hz, C10), 134.1 (s, C2), 138.1 (t,  $^3J_{CP} = 9.0$  Hz, C5), 139.8 (dd,  $^1J_{CP} = 62.5$ ,  $^2J_{CP} = 32.5$  Hz, C9), 149.4–148.7 (m, C6), 154.0 (dd,  $^4J_{CP} = 2.7$ ,  $^5J_{CP} = 1.2$  Hz, C4);  $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (121.5 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  -0.52 (d, P(*iPr*)<sub>2</sub>), 5.9 (d with  $^{77}\text{Se}$  satellites,  $^1J_{\text{PSe}} = 693.7$  Hz, PSe<sub>2</sub>),  $^1J_{\text{PP}} = 150.0$  Hz;  $^{77}\text{Se}$  NMR (51.5 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  170.7 (br d,  $^1J_{\text{SeP}} = 693.5$  Hz); Raman (glass capillary, cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\nu$  3051m ( $\nu_{\text{Ar-H}}$ ), 2925s, 2875vs ( $\nu_{\text{C-H}}$ ), 1608s, 1437vs, 1385m, 1344m, 847m, 726m, 597s ( $\nu_{\text{P=Se}}$ ), 538m; IR  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  (KBr disc, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 2962w, 2905w ( $\nu_{\text{C-H}}$ ), 1605m, 1450m, 1381m, 1213m, 1036m, 842m, 606s ( $\nu_{\text{P=Se}}$ ), 537vs. Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown from DCM.

## Telluride 11

To a stirred suspension of tellurium powder (0.45 g, 3.46 mmol) in toluene (10 mL), a solution of **1** (1.0 g, 3.33 mmol) in toluene (20 mL) was added dropwise. The mixture was then sonicated for 30 min, heated at reflux for 30 min, and stirred overnight at RT. The resulting red solution was filtered and the solvent removed *in vacuo* to give a bright red solid. Crystallisation from toluene afforded **11** as red platelet crystals (60 mg, 4%) suitable for X-ray diffraction. The small amount of material available and rapid thermal decomposition precluded further characterization.

## X-ray experimental

Table 2 and Table 3 list details of data collections and refinements. Data for compounds **2**, **4**, **5**, **8** and **10** were collected at -148(1) °C using Rigaku SCX mini and Mo-K $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71075$  Å. Data for compounds **3**, **11** and **14** were collected at -180(1) °C by using a Rigaku MM007 high brilliance RA generator and Mercury CCD system using Mo-K $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71075$  Å. Data for compound **7** were collected at -148(1) °C using Rigaku Saturn70 diffractometer and Mo-K $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71075$  Å. Data for compound **9** was collected at -100(1) °C by using a Rigaku MM007 high brilliance RA generator and a Pilatus detector using Cu-K $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 1.54184$  Å. Intensities were corrected for Lorentz-polarization and for absorption. The structures were solved by direct methods and expanded using Fourier techniques. The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were refined using the riding model. The data were collected and processed using CrystalClear (Rigaku).<sup>29</sup> All calculations were performed using the CrystalStructure crystallographic software package<sup>30</sup> except for refinement, which was performed using SHELXL-97.<sup>31</sup>

The structures **4** and **5** while satisfactory for proof of connectivity are of low quality. In both cases repeated attempts yielded very poor crystals, often highly twinned and with high degrees of solvation. The results presented here were from the best samples measured after several attempts. Thin platelets were used for the final determinations of **5**.

**Table 2.** Crystallographic data for **2-5**.

	<b>2</b> ·3/2CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	<b>3</b> ·CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	<b>4</b> ·1/2CHCl <sub>3</sub>	<b>5</b>
Chemical formula	C <sub>19.5</sub> H <sub>25</sub> Au <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>5</sub> P <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>37</sub> H <sub>46</sub> Cl <sub>6</sub> P <sub>4</sub> Pt <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>40.5</sub> H <sub>44.5</sub> Cl <sub>1.5</sub> MoO <sub>4</sub> P <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>32</sub> H <sub>45</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> O P <sub>2</sub> Rh
Formula weight	892.56	1217.56	868.31	681.47
Color	Colorless	Colorless	Red	Colorless
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Triclinic	Monoclinic	Monoclinic



Space group	C 1 2/c 1	P -1	C 1 2/c 1	P 1 21/c 1
a/Å	19.14(3)	8.4920(19)	21.2672(2)	15.138(3)
b/Å	12.786(14)	10.601(3)	11.072(11)	13.808(3)
c/Å	22.67(3)	13.737(3)	19.143(2)	16.874(3)
$\alpha$ /deg	90.0000	85.39(3)	90.0000	90.0000
$\beta$ /deg	105.501(14)	73.918(18)	90.793(9)	99.358(19)
$\gamma$ /deg	90.0000	79.525(19)	90.0000	90.0000
V/ Å <sup>3</sup>	5346(11)	1167.9(5)	4507(5)	3479.9(11)
Z	8	1	4	4
R1	0.0662	0.0583	0.1156	0.2064
wR2	0.1769	0.1558	0.3695	0.5012
GOF	1.117	1.084	1.097	1.102

**Table 3.** Crystallographic data for **7-11** and **14**.

	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>14</b>
Chemical formula	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>24</sub> O <sub>4</sub> P <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>22</sub> P <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>22</sub> P <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>22</sub> P <sub>2</sub> Se <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>22</sub> P <sub>2</sub> Te	C <sub>40</sub> H <sub>50</sub> Cl <sub>4</sub> N <sub>2</sub> P <sub>4</sub> Zn
Formula weight	366.33	396.50	364.44	458.24	427.92	889.94
Color	Colorless	Colorless	Colorless	Colorless	Red	Colorless
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Triclinic	Triclinic	Triclinic	Triclinic	Orthorhombic
Space group	P1 21/c 1	P -1	P -1	P -1	P -1	P 21 21 21
a/Å	7.5278(11)	7.9678(13)	8.267(3)	8.3216(12)	8.043(5)	12.941(4)
b/Å	25.924(4)	14.172(3)	14.8752(10)	15.389(2)	8.499(6)	16.141(4)
c/Å	9.6195(12)	16.796(3)	16.6453(12)	16.939(2)	14.948(9)	21.948(6)
$\alpha$ /deg	90.0000	90.762(10)	65.56(5)	64.679(4)	74.381(17)	90.0000
$\beta$ /deg	108.860(8)	91.000(7)	79.92(5)	78.050(6)	76.843(16)	90.0000
$\gamma$ /deg	90.0000	92.000(8)	81.64(5)	80.604(5)	65.538(17)	90.0000
V/ Å <sup>3</sup>	1776.4(4)	1894.9(6)	1828.7(9)	1911.5(5)	887.9(10)	4584(2)
Z	4	4	4	4	2	4
R1	0.0422	0.0705	0.0732	0.0751	0.0434	0.0863
wR2	0.1171	0.1672	0.2748	0.2701	0.1059	0.2243
GOF	1.059	0.921	1.207	0.947	1.084	0.982

### Computational Details

Geometries were fully optimized at the B3LYP/6-31G+\* level<sup>32</sup> of density functional theory (DFT), together with a fine integration grid (75 radial shells with 302 angular points per

shell). For Se, the 962(d) all-electron basis according to Curtiss and Binning<sup>33</sup> was used, while for Te the Stuttgart-Dresden (SDD) effective core potential along with its double zeta valence basis set<sup>34</sup> was employed (augmented with a set of d-polarization functions with exponent 0.237).<sup>35</sup> Where available, solid state structures were used as starting points for the optimisations. For selected compounds (**1**, **2**, **3**, **5**, **9**, **13**) the minimum character was confirmed through calculation of the harmonic vibrational frequencies, which were all real. All computations were performed using the Gaussian03 suite of programs.<sup>36</sup> Optimised coordinates are deposited in the Supporting Information.

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

### Supporting Information

CIF files for **2-5**, **7-11** and **14**, additional computational details including cartesian coordinates of all computed structures. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Mrs. C. E. R. Horsburgh at the University of St Andrews and the National Mass Spectrometry Service Center at the University of Swansea for measurement of mass spectra, and to J. Griffith for measurements of solid state NMR spectra. We thank the EaStChem, EPSRC and the COST actions CM0802 PhoSciNet and CM1302 SIPs for financial support. M.B. wishes to thank H. Früchtl for technical assistance.

## References

---

1 For a recent perspective see Mathey, F. *Dalton Trans.* **2007**, 1861-1868.


- 
- 2 For recent reviews see Aktas, H.; Slootweg, J. C.; Lammertsma, K. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 2102-2113; Weber, L. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2007**, 4095-4117; Lammertsma, K.; Vlaar, M. J. M. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, 1127-1138; Mathey, F.; Huy, N. H. T.; Marinetti, A. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **2001**, *84*, 2938-2955.
- 3 Burg, A. B.; Mahler, W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1961**, *83*, 2388-2389.
- 4 Shah, S.; Protasiewicz, J. D. *Chem. Commun.* **1998**, 1585 – 1586; b) Shah, S.; Yap, G. P. A.; Protasiewicz, J. D. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2000**, *608*, 12 – 20.
- 5 Armbrust, R.; Sanchez, M.; Reau, R.; Bergstrasser, U.; Regitz, M.; Bertrand, G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 10785 – 10786; Sanchez, M.; Reau, R.; Marsden, C. J.; Regitz, M.; Bertrand, G. *Chem. Eur. J.* **1999**, *5*, 274 – 279.
- 6 a) Protasiewicz, J. D. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, 4539-4549; b) Shah, S.; Protasiewicz, J. D. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2000**, *210*, 181-201.
- 7 Surgenor, B. A.; Bühl, M.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Woollins, J. D.; Kilian, P. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 10150 –10153.
- 8 a) Partyka, D. V.; Washington, M. P.; Updegraff III, J. B.; Woloszynek, R. A.; Protasiewicz, J. D. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 7489-7492; b) Dube, J. W.; Macdonald, C. L. B.; Ragogna, P. J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 13026-13030; c) Scheer, M.; Kuntz, C.; Stubenhofer, M.; Zabel, M.; Timoshkin, A. Y. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 188-192; d) Vlaar, M. J. M.; de Kanter, F. J. J.; Schakel, M.; Lutz, M.; Spek, A. L.; Lammertsma, K. J. *Organomet. Chem.* **2001**, *617-618*, 311-317; e) King, R. B.; Wu, F.-J.; Holt, E. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 2775-2782.
- 9 The Wiberg bond indices (WBI) is a measure for the covalent character of the bond and adopts values close to 1 and 2 for true single and double bonds, respectively, see Wiberg, K. B., *Tetrahedron*, **1968**, *24*, 1083-1096.
- 10 Stefanescu, D. M.; Yuen, H. F.; Glueck, D. S.; Golen, J. A.; Zakharov, L. N.; Incarvito, C. D.; Rheingold, A. L. *Inorg. Chem.* **2003**, *42*, 8891-8901.
- 11 Ekubo, A. T.; Elsegood, M. R. J.; Lake, A. J.; Smith, M. B. *Inorg. Chem.* **2010**, *49*, 3703-3705.
- 12 Le Floch, P.; Marinetti, A.; Ricard, L.; Mathey, F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 2407-2410.
- 13 Rosenberg, L. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2012**, *256*, 606-626.
- 14 For a review see Gilheany, D. G. *Chem. Rev.* **1994**, *94*, 1339-1374.
- 15 Dube, J. W.; Macdonald, C. L. B. Ellis, B. D.; Ragogna, P. J. *Inorg. Chem.* **2013**, *52*, 11438-11449.
- 16 For an example of a relevant iron complex see Cowley, A. H.; Decken, A.; Norman, N. C.; Krüger, C.; Lutz, F.; Jacobsen, H.; Ziegler, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 3389-3390; Cowley, A. H.; Kilduff, J. E.; Lasch, J. G.; Norman, N. C.; Pakulski, M.; Ando, F.; Wright T. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983**, *105*, 7751-7752.
- 17 Kilgore, U. J.; Fan, H.; Pink, M.; Urnezis, E.; Protasiewicz, J. D.; Mindiola, D. J. *Chem. Commun.* **2009**, 4521-4523.

- 
- 18 Sanchez, M.; Reau, R.; Dahan, F.; Regitz, M.; Bertrand, G. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1996**, *35*, 2228-2230; Sanchez, M.; Reau, R.; Gornitzka, H.; Dahan, F.; Regitz, M.; Bertrand, G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 9720-9728.
- 19 Kilian, P.; Slawin A. M. Z.; Woollins, J. D. *Chem. Comm.* **2003**, 1174-1175.
- 20 Reed, A. E.; Curtiss F.; Weinhold, L. A. F. *Chem. Rev.* **1988**, *88*, 899-926.
- 21 Standard single P-P bond distance is  $2.22 \pm 0.05$  Å.
- 22 For a review see Yoshifuji, M. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2000**, *611*, 210-216.
- 23 Kilian, P.; Slawin, A. M. Z. *Dalton Trans.* **2007**, 3289–3296.
- 24 Kilian, P.; Parveen, S.; Fuller, A. L.; Slawin A. M. Z., Woollins, J. D. *Dalton Trans.* **2008**, 1908–1916.
- 25 Le Floch, P.; Mathey, F. *Synlett* **1990**, 171-172.
- 26 Wawrzyniak, P.; Fuller, A. L.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Kilian, P. *Inorg. Chem.* **2009**, *48*, 2500-2506.
- 27 An interesting parallel to this is found in the reductive coupling protocol used in the preparation of diphosphenes from organodichlorophosphines, where identity of the reducing reagent is also critical and the choice is not always intuitive, see for example Twamley, B.; Sofield, C. D.; Olmstead, M. M.; Power, P. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 3357-3367.
- 28 Drew, D.; Doyle, J. R. *Inorg. Synth.* **1972**, *13*, 47-49.
- 29 CrystalClear 1.6, Rigaku Corporation. CrystalClear Software User's Guide, Molecular Structure Corporation, 2000. Flugrath, J. W. P. *Acta Cryst.* **1999**, *D55*, 1718.
- 30 CrystalStructure 4.0, Crystal Structure Analysis Package, Rigaku Corporation (2000-2010), Tokyo 196-8666, Japan.
- 31 Sheldrick, G.M. *Acta Cryst.* **2008**, *A64*, 112-122.
- 32 a) Becke, A. D. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, *98*, 5648-5642; b) Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. *Phys. Rev. B* **1988**, *37*, 785-789.
- 33 Binning, R. C.; Curtiss, L. A. *J. Comput. Chem.* **1990**, *11*, 1206.
- 34 Schwerdtfeger, P.; Dolg, M.; Schwarz, W. H. E.; Bowmaker, G. A.; Boyd, P. D. W. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1989**, *91*, 1762-1774; Bergner, A.; Dolg, M.; Kuechle, W.; Stoll H.; Preuss, H. *Mol. Phys.* **1993**, *80*, 1431-1441.
- 35 Huzinaga, S.; Anzelm, J.; Klobukowski, M.; Radzio-Andzelm, E.; Sakai, Y.; Tatewaki, H. *Gaussian Basis Sets for Molecular Calculations*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1984.
- 36 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., T. Vreven, K. N. Kudin, J. C. Burant, J. M. Millam, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, M. Cossi, G. Scalmani, N. Rega, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, M. Klene, X. Li, J. E. Knox, H. P. Hratchian, J. B. Cross, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, P. Y. Ayala, K. Morokuma, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, V. G. Zakrzewski, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, M. C. Strain, O. Farkas, D. K. Malick, A. D. Rabuck, K. Raghavachari, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, Q. Cui, A. G. Baboul, S. Clifford, J. Cioslowski, B. B. Stefanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, T. Keith, M. A. Al-Laham, C. Y. Peng, A. Nanayakkara, M. Challacombe,

---

P. M. W. Gill, B. Johnson, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, C. Gonzalez, J. A. Pople, Gaussian 03, Rev. E.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, **2004**.

## TOC Graphics



## TOC synopsis

Peri-substitution stabilized phosphanylidene-phosphorane ( $R_3P=PR'$ , phospho-Wittig reagent), possessing sterically accessible two co-ordinate phosphorus center, shows diverse coordination chemistry and attains variety of oxidation states in reactions with chalcogens.