

1 **Title:** The Protein Co-expression Problem in Biotechnology and Biomedicine: Virus 2A and  
2 2A-like Sequences Provide a Solution.

3  
4 **Authors:** Garry A. Luke & Martin D. Ryan

5  
6 **Address:** Biomedical Sciences Research Complex,  
7 North Haugh, St Andrews KY16 9ST  
8 Fife, Scotland, U.K.

9  
10 **e-mail** martin.ryan@st-andrews.ac.uk  
11 gal@st-andrews.ac.uk

12  
13  
14 **Tel:** 01334 463403

15  
16 **Summary.** Synthetic biology enables us to create genes virtually at will. Ensuring that multiple genes are  
17 efficiently co-expressed within the same cell – to assemble multimeric complexes, to transfer biochemical  
18 pathways, to transfer ‘traits’, is more problematic. Viruses such as picornaviruses accomplish exactly this  
19 task: they generate multiple, different, proteins from a single open reading frame. The study of how foot-  
20 and-mouth disease virus (FMDV) controls its protein biogenesis lead to the discovery of a short  
21 oligopeptide sequence, ‘2A’, that is able to mediate a co-translational ‘cleavage’ between proteins. 2A  
22 and ‘2A-like’ sequences (from other viruses and cellular sequences) can be used to concatenate multiple  
23 gene sequences into a single gene, ensuring their co-expression within the same cell. These sequences  
24 are now being used in the treatment of cancer, in the production of pluripotent stem cells, to create  
25 transgenic plants and animals amongst a host of other biotechnological and biomedical applications.

26  
27  
28 **Keywords.** 2A oligopeptide, co-expression, gene therapy, induced pluripotent stem cells, transgenic  
29 plants, transgenic animals.

30  
31  
32 **Protein Co-Expression: What’s The Problem?** The advances in polymerase chain reaction (PCR)  
33 technology alongside those in synthetic biology have transformed our capabilities in the design and  
34 creation of new gene structural / regulatory sequences. This in itself, however, is not enough. In the early  
35 days of human gene therapy or the production of transgenic animals or plants, only single transgenes  
36 could be used successfully - a prime example being the first human gene therapy: four year old Ashanti  
37 DeSilva, where a lesion in a single gene (adenosine deaminase - causing severe combined immune  
38 deficiency) was treated with a functional copy of the gene. However, for gene therapy of certain human  
39 genetic disorders, the production of high-value therapeutic proteins, or, the introduction of ‘traits’ into  
40 animals or plants *via* transgenesis, multiple different genes must be co-expressed *within the same cell*.

41 Co-expression of multiple proteins in bacterial cells can be solved in a relatively straightforward  
42 manner. The initiation of translation in bacteria occurs at short ribosome binding (Shine-Dalgarno)  
43 sequences proximal to the initiating AUG codon. Such sequences are internal to the messenger RNA  
44 (mRNA): this method of initiation is fundamentally different in eukaryotes where translation initiation  
45 factors must bind to a cap structure at the 5’ end of an mRNA. In eukaryotes, once the stop codon has

1 been reached at the end of the open reading frame (ORF), translation terminates and must normally re-  
2 initiate *via* a 5' mRNA cap structure. In bacteria, genes requiring co-ordinated co-expression can simply be  
3 concatenated using short intergenic regions encoding ribosome binding sites – an operon. Here, multiple  
4 genes (cistrons) are transcribed into a polycistronic mRNA where each gene is translated independently  
5 by internal initiation of translation at the multiple (intergenic) ribosome binding sites. Due to the  
6 completely different method of initiation of translation, this strategy of co-expression cannot be used in  
7 eukaryotes.

8         Whilst transfection of cells with a mixture of different plasmid DNAs (each encoding a different  
9 transgene) may produce effective co-expression taken across the *population* of cells, it will only produce a  
10 small proportion of *individual* cells co-expressing all of the products – albeit with a range of comparative  
11 levels of expression of each transgene. Naturally, the transcription of these individual, dispersed, genes  
12 must be controlled in a co-ordinated manner. Long-term stable expression requires integration of the  
13 different plasmid DNAs into the genome: without sophisticated 'targeting' strategies, the integration of  
14 individual transgenes occurs at sites dispersed – at random - throughout the genome. This leads to  
15 genetic instability in the longer-term, and the consequential loss of the desired phenotype due to the loss  
16 of expression of one, or more, of the transgenes.

17         Why is the ability to co-express multiple proteins within the same cell so important? An excellent  
18 example is the production of antibodies: both the heavy and light chains need to co-expressed within the  
19 same cell so that the different chains can be assembled into a functional complex as they are exported  
20 from the cell. Expressing the heavy chain in one cell and the light chain in another cell would not produce  
21 a functional product. This principle applies across the board: production of therapeutic proteins (protein  
22 complexes), gene therapy and, for example, the introduction of biochemical pathways into transgenic  
23 organisms (*e.g.* 'Golden Rice').

24         In attempts to improve the efficiency of co-ordinated co-expression, various strategies have been  
25 employed: placing multiple genes on the same plasmid DNA, using multiple divergent or tandem  
26 promoters – but none have proved to be a significant advance. One solution to this problem is to  
27 concatenate sequences encoding proteins *via* linkers (which comprise host-cell proteinase cleavage sites)  
28 into a single ORF. This strategy has the disadvantage of problems which may arise from tissue/species  
29 specificity of proteinase expression, and, that 'processing' of the fusion protein is post-, and not co-,  
30 translational. The latter aspect precludes strategies involving the co-expression of cellular proteins which  
31 are either secreted from the cell, located within the lumen/membranes of cytoplasmic vesicular  
32 structures (excluding mitochondria), or, are plasma membrane proteins – some 39% of all mammalian  
33 proteins. Viruses, such as FMDV, encode proteinase domains within their polyproteins which 'process'  
34 precursors into mature products. A common problem here is that although these virus-encoded  
35 proteinases are specific for the virus polyprotein, they are cytotoxic in that they have evolved to also  
36 cleave certain key host-cell proteins to manipulate host-cell metabolism or modify macromolecular  
37 structures to promote virus replication – not a desirable property for a co-expression system. Certain  
38 virus-encoded proteins have been used to great effect in cleaving expressed, purified, fusion proteins *in*  
39 *vitro* (the plant virus Tobacco Etch Virus proteinase, for example), but the cytotoxic 'off-target' cleavage  
40 of host-cell proteins *in vivo* remains a problem. Re-iterative rounds of transgenesis combined with  
41 selection has been used successfully in, for example, the production of plant transgenes - but this  
42 strategy simply cannot be used in many biomedical applications where the window to treat the disease in  
43 question may be limited.

44 **Protein Co-Expression: What's The Solution?** Fortunately for biotechnologists, it turns out that the co-  
45 expression problem has already been the subject of highly intensive experimentation – indeed, billions

1 upon billions of experiments: very elegant solutions to the co-expression problem have been developed -  
 2 by viruses. The genome structure of picornaviruses, such as FMDV, have the same overall architecture as  
 3 cellular mRNAs: a 5' non-coding region (5'NCR), a single ORF (in picornaviruses encoding a 'polyprotein'),  
 4 a 3' non-coding region and a poly-A tail (Figure 1). Picornaviruses co-express capsid proteins, proteins  
 5 involved in altering host-cell macromolecular structures and metabolism, plus proteins to replicate the  
 6 virus RNA genome – all from a single ORF. In most cases this is accomplished by the virus encoding its own  
 7 proteinases (domains of the polyprotein) which serve to cleave the polyprotein substrate at specific sites  
 8 to produce the individual 'processing' products. Parenthetically, these proteinases also serve to degrade  
 9 specific host-cell proteins to promote virus replication (reviewed in [1]). However, relatively recently an  
 10 alternative method of controlling protein biogenesis was discovered – ribosome 'skipping' – which has  
 11 lead to a revolution in protein co-expression technology.

12 The N-terminal protein of the FMDV polyprotein is a proteinase ( $L^{pro}$ ), which cleaves at its own C-  
 13 terminus.  $L^{pro}$  also cleaves the cellular translation initiation factor eIF4G – 'shutting off' host-cell cap-  
 14 dependent mRNA translation. The FMDV genome is, however, translated from an internal ribosome entry  
 15 sequence (IRES; Figure 1, Panel A) in a cap-independent mechanism. In this manner the virus sequesters  
 16 the resources of the cell for its own replication. Indeed, picornavirus IRESes provided an early method of  
 17 creating a bicistronic mRNA such that 2 proteins could be co-expressed from a single mRNA (Figure 1,  
 18 Panel B; discussed in [1]). The first ORF is translated in a cap-dependent manner, the second ORF in a cap-  
 19 independent manner - driven by the IRES. The draw-back of this system is that the second ORF is  
 20 translated only to some 10% of the first ORF: this 'polarity' effect being exacerbated when one uses  
 21 multiple IRESes to express more than 2 genes.

22 A co-translational 'cleavage' of the FMDV polyprotein occurs at the C-terminus of 2A (only 18aa  
 23 long; Figure 1, Panel A). Analyses of recombinant FMDV polyproteins indicated the FMDV 2A oligopeptide  
 24 appeared to mediate this cleavage without the involvement of other FMDV proteins. This hypothesis was  
 25 subsequently confirmed by inserting the FMDV 2A sequence (together with the N-terminal proline of  
 26 protein 2B – collectively referred-to as '2A') into artificial polyprotein systems. These systems comprised  
 27 two 'reporter' proteins flanking FMDV 2A: a single ORF was created by removing the stop codon of the  
 28 protein upstream of 2A (Figure 1, Panel C). Analyses of these types of construct in cell-free translation  
 29 systems and transfected cells showed;

- 30 • the FMDV 2A oligopeptide sequence mediated a highly efficient 'cleavage' (>90%)
- 31 • 2A 'cleaved' at it's own C-terminus – just like in the FMDV polyprotein
- 32 • 2A-mediated 'cleavage' was co-, and not post-, translational

33 Importantly, these artificial polyprotein systems, designed for analyses of the mechanism of the 2A-  
 34 mediated 'cleavage', provided the first demonstration that 2A could be used to co-express multiple  
 35 proteins [2-4].

36 **The 2A Co-Expression System.** Using this approach of artificial self-processing polyprotein systems in  
 37 plant, yeast, insect and mammalian cells, the indication was that 2A could work in all eukaryotic  
 38 expression systems and (unlike IRESes) worked highly efficiently in all cell types. '2A-like' sequences were  
 39 identified from other viruses and cellular genomes and a number proved to match, or exceed, the  
 40 'cleavage' efficiency of the FMDV 2A sequence [5,6]. Our analyses of the mechanism showed that 2A was  
 41 neither a substrate for a host-cell proteinase, nor a proteolytic element itself, but mediated a ribosomal  
 42 'skipping' event in which the synthesis of a specific peptide bond was 'skipped': translation terminated at  
 43 the C-terminus of 2A, but could re-initiate at the N-terminal proline of the downstream protein. The

1 'cleavage' products were, in fact, generated as discrete translation products. Our model of 2A-mediated,  
2 co-translational, 'cleavage' proposes the nascent 2A oligopeptide interacts with the ribosome exit tunnel.  
3 This interaction leads to a modification and restriction of the conformational space of the peptidyl-tRNA  
4 within the peptidyl-transferase centre of the ribosome - 'jamming' the process of polypeptide elongation.  
5 This jam is overcome by release (termination) factors eRF1 and eRF3 releasing the nascent protein, then  
6 the ribosome may re-commence elongation of the downstream protein: the individual products do not  
7 arise from a substrate undergoing proteolysis, but are actually synthesised as discrete translation  
8 products (reviewed in [2]).

9 This mechanism imparts no 'polarity' on the system – more than 2 proteins can be concatenated  
10 without affecting the 'cleavage' at other 2A linker sequences. The 2A system does however, have  
11 drawbacks: (i) the system does not work in prokaryotic cells, (ii) the 2A (or 2A-like) oligopeptide sequence  
12 remains as a C-terminal extension (some 18-25aa) of the upstream protein and (iii) the downstream  
13 protein must have an N-terminal proline residue. Although an N-terminal proline confers a long half-life  
14 upon a protein, it does preclude many N-terminal post-translational modifications that may be essential  
15 for activity. If this is the case, such a protein should be placed first in the chain of concatenated  
16 sequences.

17 For the reasons outlined above, the 2A system is not ideal - but it is the best, and has proven to  
18 be an extremely useful tool in biotechnology and biomedicine. For the first time multiple proteins could  
19 be co-expressed – in equal stoichiometry – from a single promoter. Our translational model of 2A-  
20 mediated 'cleavage' predicted that 2A modified the translational apparatus – 2A worked within the  
21 ribosome. To test this model we incorporated co-translational signal sequences immediately downstream  
22 of 2A. If the model was correct, these signal sequences would be recognised as nascent N-terminal  
23 features by signal recognition particle and target the second protein to the exocytic pathway [7]. This  
24 indeed proved to be the case, adding more support for our model - but also imparting a new dimension to  
25 the utility of the system: one could not only co-express multiple proteins, but potentially target individual  
26 components to different sub-cellular sites. It should be noted, however, that the 2A-mediated cleavage  
27 may be inhibited in the case of some proteins targeted to the exocytic pathway [8].

28 Although individual cells express these self-processing polyproteins at different levels, the key  
29 point is that within a cell, each component of the polyprotein is expressed at the same levels. This is  
30 shown in figure 2 (panel A), showing images of cells transfected with a plasmid encoding green  
31 fluorescent protein, linked *via* *Thosea asigna* virus 2A (TaV2A), to cherry fluorescent protein (cherryFP).  
32 The [GFP-TaV2A-CherryFP] ORF is translated into [GFP-TaV2A] and CherryFP – no uncleaved [GFP-TaV2A-  
33 CherryFP] is detected (data not shown). Fluorescence image analyses (Figure 2, panel A) show that these  
34 proteins are expressed to the same level within any given cell.

35 2A was first characterised in FMDV, although it was apparent that other picornaviruses encoded  
36 'ribosome skipping' 2As, and that this method of controlling protein biogenesis was also used by a wide  
37 range of other RNA viruses: indeed, some of these viruses encode multiple 2A-like sequences [5]. A  
38 number of these virus '2A-like' sequences have been used in biomedicine and biotechnology (Table 1),  
39 but a wider range of other, highly efficient, 2As could be used (Table 2). Some researchers seeking to use  
40 2A have expressed concern with regards the public acceptance of products comprising sequences derived  
41 from viruses, but we have identified other efficient 2A-like sequences from cellular genes which could be  
42 used to replace virus sequences [6, unpublished observations] (Table3).

43 **Biotechnological Applications.** Since the early observations on the properties of the 2A oligopeptide  
44 sequence were published in virology journals, many early uses involved the creation of recombinant virus  
45 genomes (*e.g.* influenza virus, poliovirus, plant potex- and comoviruses). For cellular systems, early

1 applications were relatively simple: (i) to link the sequences encoding the two different components of a  
2 heterodimer (e.g. high-value therapeutic proteins such as interleukin 12, monoclonal antibodies), (ii) to  
3 link two different reporter proteins to provide proof-of-principle for co-expression in various target cell-  
4 types/organisms, or, (iii) to monitor the expression of a (trans)gene by linking it to a marker protein(s)  
5 (e.g. GFP, luciferase). Using the latter approach, the site of expression of a therapeutic transgene within  
6 an organism could be studied by histology or whole-body imaging.

7 Once the utility of the system became more apparent, more ambitious constructs were  
8 assembled – notably the co-expression of all of the components of an active T-cell receptor complex (6  
9 different proteins – see below). Such a dramatic demonstration of the utility of 2A helped raise the  
10 ‘profile’ and the potential of the system. Over time data accumulated from a wider range of proteins and  
11 eukaryotic cell-types showing that, indeed, the 2A co-expression system worked in all eukaryotic cell-  
12 types tested: yeast, fungal, plant, insect and mammalian. An impression of the utility of the this co-  
13 expression system can be gained by viewing the range and huge number of publications citing the use of  
14 2A (<http://www.st-andrews.ac.uk/ryanlab/page10.htm>).

15  
16 **Transgenic Organisms.** Breeders classically ‘stack’ genes by a program of re-iterative crossing between  
17 parents each with a desired trait, then identifying offspring expressing both traits. In some cases,  
18 however, the generation time of the target species places a major constraint on this process rendering it  
19 impractical. Gene stacking by genetic engineering is a term used in the plant sciences, but here we will  
20 use the term, in the sense of a general procedure, for the production of both plant and animal genetically-  
21 modified organisms (GMOs). In many publications researchers have chosen to provide a proof-of-principle  
22 by co-expression of multiple fluorescent proteins, since these are encoded by relatively small genes, the  
23 translation products are readily detected by microscopy and the efficiency of co-expression  
24 demonstrated.

25 **(i) Transgenic Plants.** The early analyses of the mechanism of 2A-mediated ‘cleavage’ showed 2A was  
26 equally active in animal- (rabbit reticulocyte lysates) and plant-based (wheat-germ extracts) cell-free  
27 translation systems. It was also shown that (i) 2A could be used to co-express proteins in transgenic  
28 tobacco cells and (ii) 2A could be used to manipulate plant virus genomes. Indeed, research was  
29 conducted to use (non-GMO) plants as production platforms - programmed with recombinant plant  
30 viruses encoding 2A to co-express high-value proteins, either as free proteins, or, on the surface of plant  
31 virus particles. Since then, 2A has been used to create a wide range of transgenic plants; drought-resistant  
32 crops, crops with improved nutritional values, the production of a new generation of golden rice, to  
33 engineer crops to produce ‘nutraceuticals’, to engineer plant metabolic pathways and, more recently, to  
34 stack the genes for glyphosate-resistance with BT-toxins (the World’s two most common transgenes) in  
35 the form of a self-processing polypeptide [9-17].

36 **(ii) Transgenic Animals.** 2A has been used successfully in the production of a wide range of transgenic  
37 animals; mice [18-27], fish [28, 29], rats [30], pigs [31, 32], birds [33], amphibians [34], insects [35] and  
38 sheep [36]. Again, many publications describing the generation of GMOs to provide a proof-of-principle  
39 (using reporter genes), to create transgenic animals as research tools, for developmental studies, for  
40 histological studies, as models of human diseases, to produce animal models of human disease, develop  
41 therapies etc. – but, to date, there are no reports of the use of 2A in the introduction of traits designed to  
42 increase nutritional values, enhance productivity/disease resistance or to add value to the animal product  
43 – the technology remains largely as a research tool.  
44

1 **Biomedical Applications.** Politics, particularly in Europe, has substantially delayed the use of GMOs in  
2 agriculture. In the field of biomedicine, however, the story could not be more different. Here, 2A has  
3 been used not only as an effective research tool to monitor the expression of therapeutic transgenes by  
4 linking them, *via* 2A, to marker proteins [37], but also as an integral part of effective therapies in the  
5 clinic. Literally hundreds of papers have been published citing the use of 2A in a broad range of  
6 biomedical applications. It is beyond the scope of this review to do justice to all of the work, but the work  
7 outlined in the sections below describes some of the truly astonishing recent advances in molecular  
8 medicine: astonishing not only in the scientific vision that underpins these advances, but also the rapidity  
9 in which these developments have reached patients.

10  
11 **(i) 'Transferable' Immune Responses (TIRs).** Herd (or community) immunity is present when the  
12 vaccination of a portion of a population is sufficient to provide an effective measure of protection for  
13 susceptible individuals: chains of infection are likely to be broken and the basic reproduction number ( $R_0$ )  
14 of the infectious agent falls below 1. Over the past few years, however, we have witnessed the  
15 development of technologies which will bring about another form of immunity arising not from the  
16 immune repertoire of the individual in question, but from the repertoire of the 'herd': 'transferable  
17 immune responses'. Here, a susceptible individual could benefit from the immune response of another:  
18 not indirectly, by breaking a chain of transmission, but in a direct manner by the transfer of genetic  
19 information – conferring an immune trait from another individual in the population.

20 **(a) Adoptive Cell Transfer (Engineered T-cells).** In the 1980s it was shown that cancers regressed  
21 following treatment with autologous tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes. Tumour-associated antigens may  
22 be recognised by T-cell receptors (TCRs), composed of alpha and beta chains. Once reactive TCRs were  
23 identified and purified, it was possible to clone the genes encoding these chains, chains that were critical  
24 in the T-cells recognising and killing the cancerous cells. This led to a new form of treating cancer:  
25 adoptive cell transfer (ACT). In essence, peripheral blood lymphocytes (PBLs) are isolated from the  
26 patient, transduced with genes encoding the desired TCR which targets the cancer cells (*ex vivo* gene  
27 therapy), and the (autologous) genetically-modified cells introduced back into the patient. The cytotoxic  
28 T-cells attack and destroy the cancer cells. Initially, genes encoding the alpha and beta chains were  
29 introduced as individual genes, or, linked by an IRES to improve co-expression. Again, the use of 2A to co-  
30 express these chains proved to be a substantial advance in their co-expression and assembly into a  
31 functional complex [18].

32 This strategy showed that the T-cell repertoire of an individual could be modified or expanded by  
33 harnessing – *via* gene transfer – the result of a successful immune response mounted by another  
34 individual. Indeed, this form of ACT using 2A to co-express TCR alpha and beta chains has been used to  
35 treat a range of cancers: metastatic melanoma, synovial cell sarcomas, colorectal cancer and renal cell  
36 carcinomas, but the list is expanding rapidly [38-45]. Naturally there are problems associated with this  
37 type of therapy, such as (i) targeting of normal tissues (autoreactivity) expressing the cognate antigen and  
38 the formation of 'chimeric' TCRs in which an exogenous (gene transferred) receptor chain associates with  
39 an endogenous chain, (ii) loss of potency during T-cell manipulation before transduction, and (iii) the  
40 relatively shorter life of peripheral blood T-cells: problems which will be overcome. ACT represents a very  
41 exciting development in the field of cancer therapy.

42 **(b) Engineered B-cells.** 'Passive' immunity against pathogens, or toxins, may be achieved simply  
43 by the transfer of antibodies (animal or human in origin) to the patient. Like TCRs, the production of  
44 functional antibodies requires co-expression (heavy and light chains) within the same cell. The use of 2A  
45 to express the two different antibody chains was carefully optimised by Cell Genesys Inc. [46, 47]. The

1 heavy chain (plus its signal sequence) was linked to the light chain (plus its signal sequence) *via* 2A within  
2 a recombinant adeno-associated virus vector (rAAV). Therapeutic levels of antibodies were secreted from  
3 rAAV transduced mouse cells. Incorporation of a furin proteinase cleavage site between the heavy chain  
4 and 2A resulted in the C-terminal extension of 2A being ‘trimmed’ away by the cellular furin – a  
5 proteinase located mainly in the Golgi apparatus.

6 This approach of heavy/light chain co-expression was transformed into a new therapeutic  
7 strategy by the production of recombinant B-cells. However, were mature B-cells to be transduced with  
8 such a [heavy chain-2A-light chain] type of construct, the same problem of mixing of the endogenous and  
9 exogenous antibody chains would be encountered, as outlined above for the T-cell receptor alpha and  
10 beta chains. The solution was to transduce (naive) human hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells (HSPCs),  
11 then to drive differentiation (*in vitro*) of the transduced HSPCs into mature B-cells: the most potent  
12 antibody-producing cells [48, 49]. This approach was adopted to produce anti-HIV antibodies, but the  
13 principle of an immune response, transferable from one individual to another, was demonstrated.  
14 Subsequently this approach was extended to T-cells by the transduction of HSPCs with disease-specific  
15 TCRs, leading to the generation of long-lasting and functional cytotoxic T-cells, solving the chain-mixing  
16 and T-cell longevity problems [50].

17  
18 **(ii) Pluripotent Stem Cells.** One major area of regenerative medicine is the use of stem cells to regenerate  
19 damaged tissues. The main sources of autologous stem cells in adults are the bone marrow, adipose  
20 tissue and blood. The problems associated with isolation of stem cells from such tissues could be  
21 overcome by *producing* stem cells from differentiated tissue, rather than their direct isolation from the  
22 body. Such a technology would also circumvent the ethical issues surrounding the isolation and use of  
23 embryonic stem cells. Combinations of genes known to be particularly important in embryonic stem cells  
24 were transduced into (differentiated) mouse fibroblasts. In this manner, four genes were identified (Oct-  
25 3/4, SOX2, c-Myc, and Klf4) which, when co-expressed in the same cell, lead to the production of induced  
26 pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs): the differentiation into a fibroblast had been reversed. Initially, these  
27 individual genes were co-expressed using multiple lentivirus vectors, but the laboratory of Shinya  
28 Yamanaka at Kyoto University chose to link these genes, *via* 2As, to create a single, self-processing,  
29 polyprotein [51]. This strategy ensured the co-expression of the multiple proteins within the same cell -  
30 vital for iPSC production. The use of 2A for co-expression has been adopted by many laboratories to  
31 produce iPSCs [52-74]. The technologies developed to produce iPSCs has advanced with astonishing  
32 rapidity – a reflection of the huge potential in the field of regenerative medicine. Patient-specific iPSCs  
33 can now be produced *ex vivo* for the administration of cells to treat disease, but one can conceive of  
34 transduction of cells *in vivo* – a gene therapy approach to tissue regeneration.

35  
36 **Future Perspective.** Basic research into how FMDV generates multiple proteins from a single open reading  
37 frame led to the discovery of how the 2A oligopeptide mediates a co-translational ‘cleavage’: a discovery  
38 that has facilitated a bewildering array of biotechnological and biomedical applications.

39 In some ways, however, the true potential of this system remains to be exploited: to date only a  
40 few publications cite the use of 2A in engineering or the transfer of biochemical pathways. Here one  
41 thinks of ‘dual use’ crops (e.g. eat one part, ferment another for biofuels), improving the nutritional  
42 properties of crops, improving abiotic and abiotic/biotic stress resistance (drought/salinity/pests/viruses),  
43 creation of crops able to fix nitrogen, and the genetic modification of algae/fungi/yeasts for biofuel  
44 production. In animal biotechnology one naturally thinks of disease resistance (multivalent vaccines, anti-  
45 microbial peptides, modification of the innate immune system etc.), the production of high-value or

1 therapeutic proteins (*e.g.* the ill-fated PPL Therapeutics) and productivity (*e.g.* AquAdvantage salmon). In  
 2 the arena of human health, 2A conferring the ability to perform more complex transgenesis has opened  
 3 the door to new strategies of immuno-therapy: not only of cancer, but potentially of much wider  
 4 significance: methamphetamine abuse for example [75], but also monoclonal antibodies directed against  
 5 other small molecules such as the tobacco-specific nitrosamine 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-  
 6 butanone [76].

7 **A Sensor of Translational Stress?** A further potential future use of 2A arises from our model of the  
 8 mechanism of 2A-mediated cleavage. The model invokes a key step in the re-initiation of the translation  
 9 of sequences downstream of 2A, mediated by eukaryotic elongation factor 2 (eEF2) [1-4, 77]. The activity  
 10 of eEF2 is regulated by eEF2 kinase. The activity of eEF2 kinase is also regulated by phosphorylation, but in  
 11 this case by a series of signalling pathways related to different forms of cellular stress (hypoxia,  
 12 temperature, amino-acid starvation, alcohol etc.), such that when cells become stressed both the  
 13 initiation and the elongation phases of translation are down-regulated.

14 The hypothesis we are currently testing is that as cells become stressed (such as FMDV infection),  
 15 eEF2 becomes increasingly phosphorylated, leading to a progressive reduction in the rate of polypeptide  
 16 elongation. Re-initiation of translation of the downstream sequences would be very sensitive to such a  
 17 reduction: termination at the 2A site would be progressively *increased* and re-initiation progressively  
 18 *decreased*. The net effect being an increase in the molar ratio of upstream : downstream products as a  
 19 response to increasing cellular stress. In the case of FMDV infection, this would result in the synthesis of a  
 20 higher ratio of capsid proteins : replication proteins as the infectious cycle progresses. At the latter stages  
 21 of infection, what remains of the cell's resources (amino-acyl tRNAs) would be progressively targeted to  
 22 the synthesis of capsid, and not replication, proteins – increasing the yield of virus particles. If this indeed  
 23 proves to be the case, 2A could be used not just to co-express proteins – but to be used as a 'sensor' of  
 24 translational stress. Since 2A works in all eukaryotic systems tested to date, such a sensor could be used  
 25 for both plant and animal biotechnologies.

26 **Dual Protein Targeting.** Recently the complete genome sequence of the purple sea urchin  
 27 (*Strongylocentrotus purpuratus*) was published [78]. Our bioinformatic analyses of the genome showed  
 28 2A-like sequences were detected in two major types of gene: non-LTR retrotransposons and  
 29 'CATERPILLER' proteins of the innate immune system. We were perplexed, however, in that these latter  
 30 genes 2A-like sequences occurred at the N-terminus of the ORF. What could be the purpose of a self-  
 31 cleaving sequence at the N-terminus? We have recently shown that these 2A-like sequences are active in  
 32 mediating 'cleavage' (to various degrees) – but that they may also function as signal sequences, targeting  
 33 the (downstream, 'uncleaved') protein to the exocytic pathway. In the case of one 2A-like sequence  
 34 (STR6-2A; Table 3), the wild-type sequence shows high 'cleavage' activity: if the signal sequence 'cleaves'  
 35 itself from the downstream protein then the protein localises to the cytoplasm. The 2A-like signal  
 36 sequence 'cleaves' itself away from the downstream protein *within the ribosome* such that the protein  
 37 emerges from the ribosome without a signal at its N-terminus. If the 2A-like signal sequence does not  
 38 cleave, however, the signal remains attached, is recognised by signal recognition particle, and the entire  
 39 protein is targeted to the exocytic pathway. To demonstrate this effect, we fused such a 2A-like signal  
 40 sequence to CherryFP. The wild-type (signal) sequence (STR6-2A<sup>wt</sup>; Table 3) cleaved itself from CherryFP  
 41 (data not shown), such that CherryFP – lacking any signal sequence - was localised to the cytoplasm  
 42 (Figure 2, Panel B). A site-directed mutant form (STR6-2A<sup>mut</sup>; Table 3) is 'cleavage' inactive (data not  
 43 shown): in this case the 2A-like signal sequence remains attached to the downstream protein and targets  
 44 the entire protein to the exocytic pathway (Figure 2, Panel B). This represents, therefore, a novel form of  
 45 dual protein targeting.



1           We are currently both characterising natural sequence variants and developing mutants to  
2 provide a range of sequences which could be used to determine the proportion of the expressed protein  
3 which partitions between localisation in the cytoplasm and secretion from the cell. A single (trans)gene  
4 translation product could, therefore, be localised in both the cytoplasm *and* be secreted from the cell.  
5 This entirely new property of this class of 2A-like sequences could be of utility in biotechnological and  
6 biomedical applications.

7

8 The biology associated with this oligopeptide sequence has proven to be fascinating and the diverse uses  
9 to which to 2A has been put is amazing: to paraphrase - *'never in the field of biotechnology was so much*  
10 *owed by so many to so few (amino acids)'*.

11

12

1 **References:**2  
3 **\* of interest**4 **\*\* of considerable interest**

- 5
- 6 1. Martínez-Salas E, Ryan MD. Translation and Protein Processing. In: *Picornaviruses: Molecular Biology, Evolution and Pathogenesis*. Ehrenfeld, E., Domingo, E. & Roos, R.P. eds. ASM Press, Washington DC, USA, 141- 161 (2010).
- 7
- 8
- 9 2. Brown JD, Ryan MD. Ribosome “Skipping”: “Stop-Carry On” or “StopGo” Translation. In: *Recoding: Expansion of Decoding Rules Enriches Gene Expression*. Atkins J.F. and Gesteland, R.F eds. Springer, 101-122 (2010).
- 10
- 11
- 12 3. Doronina VA, Wu C, de Felipe P, Sachs MS, Ryan MD, Brown JD. Site-specific release of nascent chains from ribosomes at a sense codon. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 28(13), 4227-4239 (2008).
- 13
- 14

15 **\* More complete elucidation of the 2A ‘cleavage’ mechanism, showing the involvement of translation release (termination) factors.**

16

17

- 18 4. Sharma P, Yan F, Doronina V, Escuin-Ordinas H, Ryan MD, Brown JD. 2A peptides provide distinct solutions to driving stop-carry on translational recoding. *Nuc. Acids Res.* 40(7), 1-9 (2011).
- 19
- 20 5. Luke GA, de Felipe P, Lukashev A, Kallioinen SE, Bruno EA, Ryan MD. The occurrence, function and evolutionary origins of ‘2A-like’ sequences in virus genomes. *J. Gen. Virol.* 89(4), 1036-1042 (2008).
- 21
- 22 6. Odon V, Luke GA, Roulston C *et al.* APE-type non-LTR retrotransposons of multicellular organisms encode virus-like 2A oligopeptide sequences, which mediate translational recoding during protein synthesis. *Mol. Biol Evol.* 30(8), 1955-1965 (2013).
- 23
- 24
- 25 7. de Felipe P, Luke GA, Hughes LE, Gani D, Halpin C, Ryan MD. *E unum pluribus*: multiple proteins from a self-processing polyprotein. *Trends in Biotechnology* 24(2), 68-75 (2006).
- 26
- 27 8. de Felipe P, Luke GA, Brown JD, Ryan MD. Inhibition of 2A-mediated 'cleavage' of certain artificial polyproteins bearing N-terminal signal sequences. *Biotechnol. J.* 5(2), 213-223 (2010).
- 28
- 29

30 **\* Identification of problems associated with 2A-mediated co-expression of some proteins targeted to the exocytic pathway.**

31

32

- 33 9. Halpin C, Cooke SE, Barakate A, El Amrani A, Ryan MD. Self-processing polyproteins - a system for co-ordinate expression of multiple proteins in transgenic plants. *Plant J.* 17(4), 453-459 (1999).
- 34
- 35 10. François IE De Bolle MF, Dwyer G *et al.* Transgenic expression in Arabidopsis of a polyprotein construct leading to production of two different antimicrobial proteins. *Plant Physiol.* 128(4), 1346-1358 (2002).
- 36
- 37
- 38 11. Kwon SJ, Hwang EW, Kwon HB. Genetic engineering of drought resistant potato plants by co-introduction of genes encoding trehalose-6-phosphate synthase and trehalose-6-phosphate phosphatase of *Zygosaccharomyces rouxii*. *Korean J. Genet.* 26(2), 199-206 (2004).
- 39
- 40 12. Randall J, Sutton D, Ghoshroy S, Bagga S, Kemp JD. Co-ordinate expression of beta- and delta-zeins in transgenic tobacco. *Plant Sci.* 167, 367-372 (2004).
- 41
- 42
- 43 13. Yasuda H, Tada Y, Hayashi Y, Jomori T, Takaiwa F. Expression of the small peptide GLP-1 in transgenic plants. *Transgenic Res.* 14(5), 677-684 (2005).
- 44

- 1 14. Obro J, Borkhardt B, Harholt J, Skjot M, Willats WGT, Ulvskov P. Simultaneous *in vivo* truncation of  
2 pectic side chains. *Transgenic Res.* 18(6), 961-969 (2009).
- 3 15. Ha SH, Liang YS, Jung H *et al.* Application of two bicistronic systems involving 2A and IRES sequences  
4 to the biosynthesis of carotenoids in rice endosperm. *Plant Biotech. J.* 8(8), pp. 928–938 (2010).
- 5 16. Petrie JR, Shrestha P, Belide S *et al.* Transgenic production of arachidonic acid in oilseeds. *Transgenic*  
6 *Res.* 21(1), 139-147 (2012).
- 7 17. Sun H, Lang Z, Zhu L, Huang D. Acquiring transgenic tobacco plants with insect resistance and  
8 glyphosate tolerance by fusion gene transformation. *Plant Cell Rep.* 31(10), 1877-1887 (2012).
- 9 18. Szymczak AL, Workman CJ, Wang Y *et al.* Correction of multi-gene deficiency *in vivo* using a single  
10 'self-cleaving' 2A peptide-based retroviral vector. *Nature Biotech.* 22(5), 589-94 (2004).
- 11
- 12 **\*\* Description of the use of 2A to generate transgenic mice expressing all of the components of a**  
13 **functional T-cell receptor complex.**
- 14
- 15 19. Alli R, Nguyen P, Geiger TL. Retrogenic modeling of experimental allergic encephalomyelitis  
16 associates T cell frequency but not TCR functional affinity with pathogenicity. *J. Immunol.* 181(1),  
17 136-145 (2008).
- 18 20. Chaparro RJ, Burton AR, Serreze DV, Vignali DA, DiLorenzo TP. Rapid identification of MHC class I-  
19 restricted antigens relevant to autoimmune diabetes using retrogenic T cells. *J. Immunol. Methods*  
20 335(1-2), 106-115 (2008).
- 21 21. O'Connell-Rodwell CE, Mackanos MA, Simanovskii D *et al.* *In vivo* analysis of heat-shock-protein-70  
22 induction following pulsed laser irradiation in a transgenic reporter mouse. *J. Biomed. Optics* 13(3),  
23 Article # 030501 (2008).
- 24 22. Trichas G, Begbie J, Srinivas S. Use of the viral 2A peptide for bicistronic expression in transgenic  
25 mice. *BMC Biology* 6:40, Article #40 (2008).
- 26 23. Bettini ML, Bettini M, Vignali DA. T-cell receptor retrogenic mice: a rapid, flexible alternative to T-cell  
27 receptor transgenic mice. *Immunology* 136(3), 265-272 (2012).
- 28 24. Fang R, Peng YQ, Zheng M, Meng QY. Muscle-specific expression of delta-12 and omega-3 fatty acid  
29 desaturases and human catalase using "self-cleaving" 2A peptides in transgenic mice. *Prog. Biochem.*  
30 *Biophys.* 39, 175-180 (2012).
- 31 25. Rawlins EL, Perl AK. The a"MAZE"ing world of lung-specific transgenic mice. *Am. J. Respir. Cell. Mol.*  
32 *Biol.* 46(3), 269-282 (2012).
- 33 26. Tittel AP, Heuser C, Ohliger C *et al.* Functionally relevant neutrophilia in CD11c diphtheria toxin  
34 receptor transgenic mice. *Nat. Methods* 9, 385-390 (2012).
- 35 27. Peter M, Bathellier B, Fontinha B, Pliota P, Haubensak W, Rumpel S. Transgenic mouse models  
36 enabling photolabeling of individual neurons *in vivo*. *PLoS One* 8:e62132 (2013).
- 37 28. Provost E, Rhee J, Leach SD. Viral 2A peptides allow expression of multiple proteins from a single ORF  
38 in transgenic zebrafish embryos. *Genesis* 45(10), 625-629 (2007).
- 39 29. Dempsey WP, Fraser SE, Pantazis P. PhOTO zebrafish: a transgenic resource for *in vivo* lineage tracing  
40 during development and regeneration. *PLoS One* 7:e32888 (2012).
- 41 30. Herold MJ, van den Brandt J, Seibler J, Reichardt HM. Inducible and reversible gene silencing by  
42 stable integration of an shRNA-encoding lentivirus in transgenic rats. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*  
43 105(47), 18507–18512 (2008).
- 44 31. Yang D, Wang CE, Zhao B *et al.* Expression of Huntington's disease protein results in apoptotic  
45 neurons in the brains of cloned transgenic pigs. *Hum Mol Genet.* 19(20), 3983-3994 (2010).
- 46 32. Deng W, Yang D, Zhao B *et al.* Use of the 2A peptide for generation of multi-transgenic pigs through a  
47 single round of nuclear transfer. *PLoS One* 6, e19986 (2011).

- 1 33. Bower DV, Sato Y, Lansford R. Dynamic lineage analysis of embryonic morphogenesis using  
2 transgenic quail and 4D multispectral imaging. *Genesis* 49(7), 619-463 (2011).
- 3 34. Lin G, Chen Y, Slack JM. Transgenic analysis of signaling pathways required for *Xenopus* tadpole  
4 spinal cord and muscle regeneration. *Anat. Rec. (Hoboken)* 295(10), 1532-1540 (2012).
- 5 35. Diao F, White BH. A novel approach for directing transgene expression in drosophila: T2A-Gal4 in-  
6 frame fusion. *Genetics* 190(3), 1139-1144 (2012).
- 7 36. Tian Y, Li W, Wang L *et al.* Expression of 2A peptide mediated tri-fluorescent protein genes were  
8 regulated by epigenetics in transgenic sheep. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 434(3), 681-687  
9 (2013).
- 10 37. Osborn MJ, Panoskaltsis-Mortari A, McElmurry RT *et al.* A picornaviral '2A-like' sequence based  
11 tricistronic vector allowing for high level therapeutic gene expression coupled to a dual reporter  
12 system. *Mol. Ther.* 12, 569-574 (2005).
- 13 38. Chhabra, A., Yang, L., Wang, P., *et al.* CD4+ CD25- T Cells transduced to express MHC class I-restricted  
14 epitope-specific TCR synthesize Th1 cytokines and exhibit MHC class I-restricted cytolytic effector  
15 function in a human melanoma model. *J. Immunol.* 181(2), 1063-1070 (2008).
- 16 39. Johnson LA, Morgan RA, Dudley ME *et al.* Gene therapy with human and mouse T-cell receptors  
17 mediates cancer regression and targets normal tissues expressing cognate antigen. *Blood* 114(3),  
18 535-546 (2009).
- 19
- 20 **\*\* Gene therapy clinical trial using patient T-cells genetically modified to recognize antigens**  
21 **associated with metastatic melanoma.**  
22
- 23 40. Parkhurst MR, Joo J, Riley JP *et al.* Characterization of genetically modified T-cell receptors that  
24 recognize the CEA:691-699 peptide in the context of HLA-A2.1 on human colorectal cancer cells. *Clin.*  
25 *Cancer Res.* 15(1), 169-180 (2009).
- 26 41. Govers C, Sebestyén Z, Coccoris M, Willemsen RA, Debets R. T cell receptor gene therapy: strategies  
27 for optimizing transgenic TCR pairing. *Trends Mol. Med.* 16(2), 77-87 (2010).
- 28 42. Hudecek M, Schmitt TM, Baskar S *et al.* The B-cell tumor-associated antigen ROR1 can be targeted  
29 with T cells modified to express a ROR1-specific chimeric antigen receptor. *Blood* 116(22), 4532-4541  
30 (2010).
- 31 43. Leisegang M, Wilde S, Spranger S *et al.* MHC-restricted fratricide of human lymphocytes expressing  
32 survivin-specific transgenic T cell receptors. *J. Clin. Invest.* 120(11), 3869-3877 (2010).
- 33 44. Robbins PF, Morgan RA, Feldman SA *et al.* Tumor regression in patients with metastatic synovial cell  
34 sarcoma and melanoma using genetically engineered lymphocytes reactive with NY-ESO-1. *J. Clin.*  
35 *Oncol.* 29(7), 917-924 (2011).
- 36 45. Wang QJ, Hanada K, Feldman SA, Zhao Y, Inozume T, Yang JC. Development of a genetically-modified  
37 novel T-cell receptor for adoptive cell transfer against renal cell carcinoma. *J. Immunol. Methods*  
38 366(1-2), 43-51 (2011).
- 39 46. Fang J, Qian J-J, Yi S *et al.* Stable antibody expression at therapeutic levels using the 2A peptide.  
40 *Nature Biotech.* 23(5), 584-590 (2005).
- 41

42 **\* Use of 2A in the production of a monoclonal antibody in which the C-terminal extension of 2A**  
43 **was removed by furin during transport through the Golgi apparatus.**  
44

- 1 47. Fang JM, Yi SL, Simmons A *et al.* An antibody delivery system for regulated expression of therapeutic  
2 levels of monoclonal antibodies in vivo. *Mol. Therapy* 15(6), 1153-1159 (2007).
- 3 48. Luo XM, Maarschalk E, O'Connell RM, Wang P, Yang L, Baltimore D. Engineering human  
4 hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells to produce a broadly neutralizing anti-HIV antibody after in  
5 vitro maturation to human B lymphocytes. *Blood* 113(7), 1422-1431 (2009).
- 6
- 7 **\*\* Use of 2A to co-express a therapeutic antibody in hematopoietic progenitor cells and**  
8 **subsequent maturation *in vitro* into a B-cell secreting the antibody.**
- 9
- 10 49. Yu KK, Aguilar K, Tsai J *et al.* Use of mutated self-cleaving 2A peptides as a molecular rheostat to  
11 direct simultaneous formation of membrane and secreted anti-HIV immunoglobulins. *PLoS One*  
12 7(11):e50438 (2012).
- 13 50. Vatakis DN, Koya RC, Nixon CC., *et al.* Antitumor activity from antigen-specific CD8 T cells generated  
14 in vivo from genetically engineered human hematopoietic stem cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*  
15 108(51):E1408-16 (2011).
- 16 51. Okita K, Nakagawa M, Hyenjong H, Ichisaka T, Yamanaka S. Generation of mouse induced pluripotent  
17 stem cells without viral vectors. *Science* 322(5903), 949-953 (2008).
- 18
- 19 **\*\* Use of 2A to co-express multiple transcription factors in the production of induced pluripotent**  
20 **stem cells.**
- 21
- 22 52. Carey BW, Markoulaki S, Hanna J *et al.* Reprogramming of murine and human somatic cells using a  
23 single polycistronic vector. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 106(1), 157-162 (2009).
- 24 53. Chang CW, Lai YS, Pawlik KM *et al.* Polycistronic lentiviral vector for "hit and run" reprogramming of  
25 adult skin fibroblasts to induced pluripotent stem cells. *Stem Cells* 27(5), 1042-1049 (2009).
- 26 54. Gonzalez F, Monasterio MB, Tiscornia G *et al.* Generation of mouse-induced pluripotent stem cells by  
27 transient expression of a single nonviral polycistronic vector. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 106(22), 8918-  
28 8922 (2009).
- 29 55. Kuzmenkin A, Liang H, Xu G *et al.* Functional characterization of cardiomyocytes derived from murine  
30 induced pluripotent stem cells in vitro. *FASEB J.* 23(12), 4168-4180 (2009).
- 31 56. O'Malley J, Woltjen K, Kaji K. New strategies to generate induced pluripotent stem cells. *Curr. Opin.*  
32 *Biotechnol.* 20(5), 516-521 (2009).
- 33 57. Woltjen K, Michael IP, Mohseni P *et al.* PiggyBac transposition reprograms fibroblasts to induced  
34 pluripotent stem cells. *Nature* 458(7239), 766-770 (2009).
- 35 58. Yusa K, Rad R, Takeda J, Bradley A. Generation of transgene-free induced pluripotent mouse stem  
36 cells by the piggyBac transposon. *Nat Methods.* 6(5), 363-369 (2009).
- 37 59. Jincho Y, Araki R, Hoki Y *et al.* Generation of genome integration-free induced pluripotent stem cells  
38 from fibroblasts of C57BL/6 mice without c-Myc transduction. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285(34), 26384-26389  
39 (2010).
- 40 60. Li W, Wang D, Qin J *et al.* Generation of functional hepatocytes from mouse induced pluripotent  
41 stem cells. *J. Cell Physiol.* 222(3), 492-501 (2010).
- 42 61. Carey BW, Markoulaki S, Hanna J *et al.* Reprogramming of murine and human somatic cells using a  
43 single polycistronic vector. *PNAS* 106(1), 157-162 (2009).
- 44 62. Kaji K, Norrby K, Paca A, Mileikovsky M, Mohseni P, Woltjen K. Virus-free induction of pluripotency  
45 and subsequent excision of reprogramming factors. *Nature* 458(7239), 771-775 (2009).

- 1 63. Shao L, Feng W, Sun, Y *et al.* Generation of iPS cells using defined factors linked via the self-cleaving  
2 2A sequences in a single open reading frame. *Cell Research* 19(3), 296-306 (2009).
- 3 64. Sommer CA, Stadtfeld M, Murphy GJ, Hochedlinger K, Kotton DN, Mostoslavsky G. Induced  
4 pluripotent stem cell generation using a single lentiviral stem cell cassette. *Stem Cells* 27(3), 543-549.
- 5 65. Woltjen K, Michael IP, Mohseni P *et al.* piggyBac transposition reprograms fibroblasts to induced  
6 pluripotent stem cells. *Nature* 458(7239), 766-770 (2009).
- 7 66. Okita K, Hong H, Takahashi K, Yamanaka S. Generation of mouse-induced pluripotent stem cells with  
8 plasmid vectors. *Nat. Protoc.* 5(3), 418-428 (2010).
- 9 67. Somers A, Jean JC, Sommer CA *et al.* Generation of transgene-free lung disease-specific human  
10 induced pluripotent stem cells using a single excisable lentiviral stem cell cassette. *Stem Cells* 28(10),  
11 1728-1740 (2010).
- 12 68. Ma J, Guo L, Fiene SJ *et al.* High purity human-induced pluripotent stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes:  
13 electrophysiological properties of action potentials and ionic currents. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ.*  
14 *Physiol.* 301(5), H2006-2017 (2011).
- 15 69. Montserrat N, Garreta E, González F *et al.* Simple generation of human induced pluripotent stem  
16 cells using poly-B-amino esters as the non-viral gene delivery system. *J. Biol. Chem.* 286(14), 12417-  
17 12428 (2011).
- 18 70. Papapetrou EP, Sadelain M. Generation of transgene-free human induced pluripotent stem cells with  
19 an excisable single polycistronic vector. *Nat. Protoc.* 6, 1251-1273 (2011).
- 20 71. Fan Y, Luo Y, Chen X, Li Q, Sun X. Generation of human  $\beta$ -thalassemia induced pluripotent stem cells  
21 from amniotic fluid cells using a single excisable lentiviral stem cell cassette. *J Reprod Dev.* 58(4), 404-  
22 409 (2012).
- 23 72. Ramos-Mejía V, Montes R, Bueno C *et al.* Residual expression of the reprogramming factors prevents  
24 differentiation of iPSC generated from human fibroblasts and cord blood CD34+ progenitors. *PLoS*  
25 *One.* 7(4):e35824 (2012).
- 26 73. Sommer AG, Rozelle SS, Sullivan S *et al.* Generation of human induced pluripotent stem cells from  
27 peripheral blood using the STEMCCA lentiviral vector. *J Vis Exp.* Oct 31;(68). doi:pri: 4327.  
28 10.3791/4327 (2012).
- 29 74. Yao Y, Nashun B, Zhou T *et al.* Generation of CD34+ cells from CCR5-disrupted human embryonic and  
30 induced pluripotent stem cells. *Hum. Gene Ther.* 23(2), 238-242 (2012).
- 31 75. Chen YH, Chen CH. The development of antibody-based immunotherapy for methamphetamine  
32 abuse: immunization, and virus-mediated gene transfer approaches. *Curr. Gene Ther.* 13(1), 39-50  
33 (2013).
- 34 76. Wanczyk H, Barker T, Rood D *et al.* Cloning and Characterization of a hybridoma secreting a 4-  
35 (methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanone (NNK)-specific monoclonal antibody and recombinant  
36 F(ab). *Toxins (Basel).* 5(3), 568-589 (2013).
- 37 77. Donnelly MLL, Luke GA, Mehrotra A *et al.* Analysis of the aphthovirus 2A/2B polyprotein 'cleavage'  
38 mechanism indicates not a proteolytic reaction, but a novel translational effect: a putative ribosomal  
39 'skip'. *J. Gen. Virol.* 82(5), 1013-1025 (2001).
- 40
- 41 \* **Description of a model of translational, rather than proteolytic, mechanism of 2A-mediated**  
42 **'cleavage'.**
- 43
- 44 78. Sodergren E, Weinstock GM, Davidson EH *et al.* The genome of the sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus*  
45 *purpuratus*. *Science* 314(5801), 941-952 (2006).

1 **Legends to Figures.**

2

3 **Figure 1. The genome structure of FMDV and picornavirus sequences used in co-expression.** The overall  
4 architecture of the picornavirus genome resembles that of a cellular mRNA. The virus RNA cap  
5 structure comprises an oligopeptide (VPg), rather than the <sup>7me</sup>G of mRNAs. The FMDV 5' non-coding  
6 region (NCR) comprises an internal ribosome entry sequence (IRES) preceding the single, long, open  
7 reading frame (ORF; boxed area). The polyprotein comprises the L proteinase (L<sup>pro</sup>), the capsid  
8 proteins domain, 2A and two domains which together comprise the replication proteins. The  
9 sequence of 2A is shown together with the site of 'cleavage' (arrow) and the N-terminal proline of  
10 protein 2B, immediately downstream of 2A. The short 3'NCR bears a poly-A tail (Panel A). The first  
11 bicistronic mRNAs utilised the cap-independent mode of translation conferred by the IRES. The first  
12 ORF is translated in the canonical manner for the 5' <sup>7me</sup>G-cap structure, whilst translation of the  
13 second ORF is cap-independent driven by the IRES – although only to some 10% of the first ORF  
14 (Panel B). Gene sequences 1 (stop codon removed) and 2 are concatenated into a single (trans)gene  
15 *via* a 2A linker. The translation products are synthesised in an equimolar ratio, although; (i) protein 1  
16 upstream of 2A bears a C-terminal extension of 2A and (ii) protein 2 bears an N-terminal proline  
17 residue (Panel C).

18

19 **Figure 2. Use of 2A in protein coexpression and dual protein targeting.** Sequences encoding GFP and  
20 CherryFP were linked *via* TaV2A into a single ORF. HeLa cells transfected with this construct produced  
21 the 'cleavage' products [GFP-TaV2A] and CherryFP. Image analyses show individual cells expresses  
22 each product to the same level, although different cells have different expression levels (A). The wild-  
23 type STR6-2A sequence and a point-mutated ('cleavage' inactive) form (STR6-2A<sup>mut</sup>) were fused to  
24 the N-terminus of CherryFP. The wild-type sequence is highly active in mediating 'cleavage' and,  
25 therefore, CherryFP emerges from the ribosome lacking any signal sequence: it is localised  
26 throughout the cytoplasm and diffuses into the nucleus. The mutant, 'cleavage' inactive, form  
27 remains fused to the N-terminus of CherryFP, is recognised by signal recognition particle (SRP) and  
28 targets CherryFP to the exocytic pathway: transiting through the ER and the characteristic  
29 perinuclear crescent shape of the Golgi apparatus (B).

30

## Executive summary

### **Features of the 2A co-expression system**

- Multiple coding sequences are concatenated into a single gene (single open reading frame) *via* 2A linker sequences
- Each component is translated as a discrete product
- No theoretical upper limit as to the number of genes which may be co-expressed
- Co- and post-translational protein targeting (signal) sequences may be incorporated within the polyprotein: proteins may be co-expressed *and* targeted to different sub-cellular sites
- Complex traits / components of multimeric complexes can be co-expressed from a single transgene

### **Drawbacks of the 2A co-expression system**

- 2A remains as a C-terminal extension of the upstream protein
- Protein downstream of 2A bears an N-terminal proline residue (may preclude certain post-translational modifications)

### **Advantages over IRES co-expression**

- 2A co-expression system works in all tissue-types / eukaryotic organisms
- Smaller size (~50-100bp)
- No 'polarity' effect: each translation product synthesised in equimolar quantities

### **Future perspective**

- Substantial expansion of the use of 2A-mediated co-expression in the field of transferable immune responses (TIRs): to combat cancer, inherited genetic disorders and infectious agents
- Widespread use of 2A in the production of patient-specific induced pluripotent stem cells
- Genetic modification of fungi and yeasts for biotechnology
- Genetic modification of domesticated animals to improve health, increase yields, introduce complex traits
- 'Stacking' transgenes in the genetic modification of crop species: use of 2A in the production of 'dual-use' / increased nutritive value / disease resistance / abiotic stress resistance / pesticide tolerance
- Potential novel use as a sensor of cellular stress
- Use of N-terminal signal sequence 2As for dual protein targeting of an expressed protein: localisation within the cytoplasm *and* entry into the exocytic pathway



1 **Acknowledgements.** The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of the UK Biotechnology and  
 2 Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC), the Wellcome Trust and the UK Medical Research Council  
 3 (MRC).

4

5

6

7 **Table 1. Examples of 2A / 2A-like sequences used in biomedicine and biotechnology.**

Virus	Abbreviation	2A / 2A-like sequence	References
<b><i>Picornaviridae</i></b>			
Foot-and-mouth disease virus	FMDV	-PVKQLLNFDLLKLAG <b>DVESNPG</b> P-	9,11,12,15,18,47,51,62.
Equine rhinitis A virus	ERAV	-QCTNYALLKLAG <b>DVESNPG</b> P-	18,61,62.
Porcine teschovirus-1	PTV1	-ATNFSLLKQAG <b>DVEENPG</b> P-	28,37,44,61.
<b><i>Tetraviridae</i></b>			
Thosea asigna virus	TaV	-EGRGSLLT <b>CGDVESNPG</b> P-	18,22,37,39,61,62.

8 The -DxExNPG P- motif conserved amongst 2A/2A-like sequences is shown in bold.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

1

2 **Table 2. Active 2A sequences in viruses.**

Virus	Abbreviation	2A Sequence
<i>Positive-stranded RNA viruses</i>		
<b>Picornaviruses (primarily mammals)</b>		
Theiler's murine encephalomyelitis virus	TMEV	-FREFFKAVRGYHADYYKQRLIH <b>DVEMNPG P-</b>
Encephalomyocarditis virus	EMCV	-VFGLYRIFNAHYAGYFADLLIH <b>DIETNPG P-</b>
Saffold virus	SAF-V	-FTDFFKAVRDYHASYYKQRLQH <b>DVETNPG P-</b>
Equine rhinitis B virus	ERBV-1	-EATLSTILSEGATNFSLLKLAG <b>DVELNPG P-</b>
Ljungan virus	LV	-YFNIMHSDMDFAAGKFLNQCG <b>DVETNPG P-</b>
<b>Iflaviruses (insects)</b>		
Infectious flacherie virus	IFV	-PSIGNVARTLTRAETIEDELIRAGI <b>ESNPG P-</b>
Ectropis oblique picorna-like virus	EoPV-2A <sub>1</sub>	-PSIGNVARTLTRAETIEDELIRAGI <b>ESNPG P-</b>
	EoPV-2A <sub>2</sub>	-TRGGLQRQNIIGGGQRDLTQGD <b>IESNPG P-</b>
Perina nuda picorna-like virus	PnPV-2A <sub>1</sub>	-GQRTTEQIVTAQGWVPDLTVGD <b>DVESNPG P-</b>
	PnPV-2A <sub>2</sub>	-TRGGLRRQNIIGGGQKDLTQGD <b>IESNPG P-</b>
<b>Tetraviruses (insects)</b>		
Euprosteria elaeasa virus	EeV	-RRLPESAQLPQGAGRGS�VTCG <b>DVEENPG P-</b>
Providence virus	PRV-2A <sub>1</sub>	-LEMKESNSGYVVGGRGSLLTCG <b>DVESNPG P-</b>
	PRV-2A <sub>2</sub>	-NSDDEEPEYPRGDPIEDLTDD <b>DIKKNPG P-</b>
	PRV-2A <sub>3</sub>	-TIMGNIMTLAGSGGRGSLLTAG <b>DVEKNPG P-</b>
<b>Dicistroviruses (insects)</b>		
Cricket paralysis virus	CrPV	-LVSSNDECRAFLRKRTQLLLSG <b>DVESNPG P-</b>
Acute bee paralysis virus	ABPV	-TGFLNKLYHCGSWTDILLLLSG <b>DVETNPG P-</b>
<i>Double-stranded RNA viruses</i>		
<b>Rotaviruses (mammalian)</b>		
Bovine rotavirus C	BoRV-C	-GIGNPLIVANSKFQIDRILISG <b>DIELNPG P-</b>
Human rotavirus C	HuRV-C	-GAGYPLIVANSKFQIDKILISG <b>DIELNPG P-</b>
New Adult diarrhoea virus	ADRV-N	-FFDSVWVYHLANSWVRDLTREC <b>IESNPG P-</b>
<b>Cypoviruses (insect)</b>		
Bombyx mori cypovirus 1	BmCPV-1	-RTAFDFQQDVFRSNYDLLKLCG <b>DIESNPG P-</b>
Operophtera brumata cypovirus-18	OpbuCPV-18	-IHANDYQMAVFKSNYDLLKLCG <b>DVESNPG P-</b>
<b>Totiviruses (crustaceans)</b>		
Infectious myonecrosis virus	IMNV-2A <sub>1</sub>	-WDPTYIEISDCMLPPPDLTSCG <b>DVESNPG P-</b>
	IMNV-2A <sub>2</sub>	-RDVRYIEKPEDKEEHTDILLSG <b>DVESNPG P-</b>

3 The -DxE<sub>x</sub>NPG P- motif conserved amongst 2A/2A-like sequences is shown in bold.

4

5

1

2 **Table 3. Active 2A cellular sequences.**

Cellular 2A	Name	2A sequence
<b>Non-LTR retrotransposons [6]</b>		
<b>Trypanosoma spp</b>		
<i>T. brucei</i>	<i>Ingi</i>	-RSLGTCKRAISSIIRTKMLVSGDVEENPG P-
<i>T. cruzi</i>	<i>L1Tc</i>	-QRYTYRLRAVCDAQRQKLLLSGDIENPG P-
<b>Strongylocentrotus purpuratus (purple sea urchin)</b>		
	STR-32_SP	-NSSCVLNIRSTSHLAILLLLSGQVEPNPG P-
	STR-51_SP	-SRPILYYSNTTASFQLSTLLSGDIEPNPG P-
	STR-61_SP	-GARIRYYNNSSATFQTILMTCGDVDPNPG P-
	STR-69_SP	-CRRIAYYSNSDCTFRLELLKSGDIQSNPG P-
	STR-197_SP	-KHPILYYTNGESSFQIELLSCGDINPNPG P-
<b>Crassostrea gigas (Pacific oyster)</b>		
	<i>CR1-1_CGi</i>	-SRHIVVYNFYLQFFMFLLLLCGDIEVNPG P-
<b>Lottia gigantean (Owl limpet)</b>		
	<i>CR1-1_LG</i>	-TLLNDTFSSILYCFILIIIRSGDIELNPG P-
<b>Aplysia californica (California sea slug)</b>		
	<i>ingi-1_AC</i>	-PGFFLGGQHNPAPLRLARLLILAGDVEQNPG P-
<b>CATERPILLER proteins (unpublished)</b>		
<b>S.purpuratus</b>		
	STR6-2A <sup>wt</sup>	MDGFCLLYLLLILLMRS <sup>G</sup> DVETNPG P-
	STR6-2A <sup>mut</sup>	MDGFCLLYLLLILLMRS <sup>G</sup> DVET <u>N</u> AG P-

3 STR6-2A<sup>mut</sup>: site of mutation (Pro → Ala) to create a cleavage inactive mutant - underlined

4

5

Figure 1



