1 Linear response of east Greenland's tidewater glaciers to ocean/atmosphere warming

2 T.R. Cowton^{*1}, A.J. Sole², P.W. Nienow³, D.A. Slater⁴ and P. Christoffersen⁵

- 3 *corresponding author
- ¹School of Geography and Sustainable Development, University of St Andrews, KY16 9AL, UK
- ²Department of Geography, University of Sheffield, S10 2TN, UK
- 6 ³School of Geosciences, University of Edinburgh, EH8 9XP, UK
- 7 ⁴Scripps Institute of Oceanography, UC San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA
- 8 ⁵Scott Polar Research Institute, University of Cambridge, CB2 1ER, UK

9 Abstract

10 Predicting the retreat of tidewater outlet glaciers forms a major obstacle to forecasting the rate of mass loss from the Greenland Ice Sheet. This reflects the challenges of modelling the highly dynamic, 11 12 topographically complex and data poor environment of the glacier-fjord systems that link the ice 13 sheet to the ocean. To avoid these difficulties, we investigate the extent to which tidewater glacier 14 retreat can be explained by simple variables: air temperature, meltwater runoff, ocean temperature, 15 and two simple parameterisations of 'ocean/atmosphere' forcing based on the combined influence 16 of runoff and ocean temperature. Over a 20-year period at 10 large tidewater outlet glaciers along 17 the east coast of Greenland, we find that ocean/atmosphere forcing can explain up to 76 % of the variability in terminus position at individual glaciers and 54 % of variation in terminus position across 18 19 all 10 glaciers. Our findings indicate that 1) the retreat of east Greenland's tidewater glaciers is best 20 explained as a product of both oceanic and atmospheric warming and 2) despite the complexity of 21 tidewater glacier behaviour, over multi-year time scales a significant proportion of terminus position 22 change can be explained as a simple function of this forcing. These findings thus demonstrate that 23 simple parameterisations can play an important role in predicting the response of the ice sheet to 24 future climate warming.

25 Significance statement

Mass loss from the Greenland Ice Sheet is expected to be a major contributor to 21st Century sea level rise, but projections retain substantial uncertainty due to the challenges of modelling the retreat of the tidewater outlet glaciers that drain from the ice sheet into the ocean. Despite the complexity of these glacier-fjord systems, we find that over a 20-year period much of the observed tidewater glacier retreat can be explained as a predictable response to combined atmospheric and oceanic warming, bringing us closer to incorporating these effects into the ice sheet models used to predict sea level rise.

33 Introduction

34 Loss of mass from tidewater glaciers to the ocean through iceberg calving and submarine melting is a 35 major component of the mass budget of the Greenland Ice Sheet (GrIS). The contribution of this 36 frontal ablation to ice sheet mass balance can vary dramatically on short timescales: increased 37 frontal ablation was responsible for 39 % of GrIS mass loss from 1991-2015 (van den Broeke et al., 38 2017), and accounted for as much as two thirds of GrIS mass loss during a phase of rapid retreat, 39 acceleration and thinning of outlet glaciers between 2000-05 (Rignot and Kanagaratnam, 2006). 40 Understanding the controls on frontal ablation is thus crucial if its contribution to the mass budget of the GrIS is to be predicted by models (e.g. Nick et al., 2013). 41 42 Frontal ablation and tidewater glacier retreat are closely interlinked – if ice loss at the terminus is 43 more rapid than the delivery of ice from up-glacier, the terminus will retreat. A leading hypothesis

44 attributes the recent rapid retreat of many of Greenland's tidewater glaciers to an increase in 45 submarine melting, and consequently calving, in response to oceanic warming (e.g. Straneo and 46 Heimbach, 2013). Alternatively, retreat may have been driven by increasing surface melt, with 47 meltwater runoff draining through glaciers and entering fjords at depth to form buoyant plumes which enhance submarine melting at glacier termini (e.g. Jenkins, 2011, Fried et al., 2015). It has also 48 49 been suggested that increased surface melt and runoff may accelerate calving through hydrofracturing of near-terminus crevasses (e.g. Nick et al., 2013), or by increasing basal water 50 51 pressure and hence basal motion (e.g. Sugiyama et al., 2011). A third hypothesis links retreat to 52 increased calving rates following a reduction in terminus buttressing by ice mélange and land-fast 53 sea ice (e.g. Christoffersen et al., 2012, Moon et al., 2015). In most cases however, it has not proven 54 possible to attribute observed variability in terminus position to a particular cause, especially when multiple glaciers are considered (e.g. McFadden et al., 2011, Bevan et al., 2012, Carr et al., 2013, 55 56 Moon et al., 2015, Murray et al., 2015).

57 The lack of a clear relationship between observed tidewater glacier retreat and changing 58 environmental conditions presents a significant issue for modelling studies which seek to predict 59 mass loss from the GrIS under a warming climate (e.g. Goelzer et al., 2013, Fürst et al., 2015). One 60 challenge in establishing a causal relationship between environmental forcings and tidewater glacier retreat is that at the scale of individual glaciers these relationships often appear highly nonlinear, 61 62 with feedbacks triggered as the terminus retreats across uneven bed topography obscuring the 63 forcing driving the initial retreat (e.g. Vieli et al., 2002). This difficulty is compounded by a poor 64 understanding of the oceanic forcing of these glaciers, due both to the scarcity of observations and 65 the complexities of calving and submarine melt processes at glacier termini (Straneo et al., 2013). A

further issue is that accurately representing these processes in ice sheet and ocean models would
require model resolution and a knowledge of boundary conditions that lies beyond current
capabilities (Benn et al., 2017).

69 In this paper, we seek to address these challenges to improve our understanding of the retreat of 70 Greenland's tidewater glaciers on timescales relevant to predictions of mass loss over coming 71 decades. We focus our study on 10 tidewater glaciers along Greenland's east coast of varying size 72 and spanning > 10° of latitude (Table S1; Figure 1). Over a 20-year period (1993-2012) we compare 73 the observed pattern and rate of retreat with variability in five environmental forcings, assessing the 74 ability of these forcings to explain variability in the terminus position (P) of the study glaciers, both 75 individually and collectively. These forcings comprise near-terminus air temperature (T_A), glacier 76 meltwater runoff (Q), ocean temperature (T_O) and two parameterisations of combined 77 'ocean/atmosphere' forcing (M_1 and M_2). These ocean/atmosphere forcing parameterisations reflect 78 the theory that frontal ablation will depend not only on ocean temperature but also runoff due to its 79 role in stimulating buoyant upwelling adjacent to the terminus (e.g. Jenkins, 2011, Chauché et al., 80 2014) and driving the renewal of warm water in the fjord (e.g. Cowton et al., 2016, Carroll et al., 81 2017), thereby increasing the transfer of heat between the ocean and ice. To represent this 82 combined ocean/atmosphere forcing we define $M_1 = Q(T_0 - T_f)$ and $M_2 = Q^{1/3}(T_0 - T_f)$. In these 83 parameterisations, ocean temperature is expressed relative to the *in situ* freezing point at the 84 calving front, approximated as T_f = -2.13 °C based on a depth of 300 m and salinity of 34.5 psu (e.g. 85 Straneo et al., 2012). M_1 is thus a simple product of runoff and the oceanic heat available for melting, while the addition of the exponent to the formulation for M_2 is based on the expectation 86 87 that submarine melt rate will scale linearly with temperature and with runoff raised to the power of 88 1/3 (Jenkins, 2011).

89 Results

90 Time series of variability in $T_{A_{P}}Q$, T_{O} and P for each of the study glaciers are plotted in Figure 2 (see 91 also Methods). These time series, along with the two ocean/atmosphere forcings M_1 and M_2 , are 92 displayed as normalised values for each glacier in Figure 3. Glaciers are grouped into 'northern' and 93 'southern' subsets based on their location with respect to a steep latitudinal gradient in ocean 94 temperature at ~69° N, which reflects the influence of the Irminger Current (Seale et al., 2011; 95 Figure 1). Features specific to the individual glaciers (in particular, fjord and subglacial topography) 96 may modify their response to environmental forcings (e.g. Carr et al., 2013), and so the normalised 97 values are also averaged for the five southern and five northern glaciers to show the regional trends, 98 thereby emphasising the climatic signal (Figure 3f,I).

99 We begin by examining the relationship between terminus position and the environmental forcings 100 at the scale of individual glaciers. At the southern glaciers, there is a marked increase in the values of 101 the forcings and retreat of the glaciers between 2000 and 2005, with periods of relative stability 102 either side (Figures 2 and 3a-f). There are strong correlations between P and the forcings ($R^2 = 0.24$ -103 0.76, depending on the glacier and forcing; Figure 4, Table S2) for both the individual glaciers and 104 regional trends. Because the time series involved are non-stationary, there is however an increased 105 risk of spurious correlations resulting from similar long-term trends in the forcing and response 106 variables existing over the study period (Granger and Newbold, 1974). We therefore run an Engle-107 Granger test for cointegration (Engle and Granger, 1987), which facilitates statistical comparison 108 between two (or more) non-stationary time series showing stochastic trends (Methods). We find 109 that P is significantly cointegrated (p < 0.05) with Q and M_1 at all of the southern study glaciers, with T_A and T_O at Mogens 3 (M3), AP Bernstorffs Glacier (AB) and Helheim Glacier (HG), and with M₂ at AB 110 111 and HG (Figure 4, Table S2). Cointegration indicates a temporally-constant functional relationship, 112 meaning that these results support the existence of causal relationships between P and the 113 environmental forcings. However, because the forcings demonstrate similar temporal variability to 114 each other, determining which (if any) is the key control on terminus position from this analysis 115 alone remains difficult.

116 The results are qualitatively similar at the northern glaciers, which show a brief retreat during a 117 phase of higher $T_{A_{i}}$ Q and T_{O} (and thus also M_{1} and M_{2}) between ~1994-1995, then a slight readvance, before embarking on a more sustained retreat in keeping with the increase in the forcings 118 119 after ~2001 (Figure 3g-I). The statistical significance of these trends is however weaker at the 120 northern glaciers (Figure 4 and Table S2), with significant cointegration of P with all forcings at 121 Daugaard-Jensen Glacier (DJ) and with M_1 and M_2 at Waltershausen Glacier (WG). This may be due 122 in part to the smaller absolute variability in the time series at the northern glaciers, increasing the 123 magnitude of short-term noise relative to the long-term trends (Figures 2 and 3). Nevertheless, clear 124 similarities appear between the variability in the forcings in P when the normalised data from the 125 northern glaciers are combined to show the regional trends (Figure 3I). Correlation of the individual forcings and P for the combined northern glaciers data sets give R^2 values of 0.51-0.63 (significant at 126 127 p < 0.01, Figure 4, Table S2); however, only M_1 is significantly cointegrated with P at p < 0.05.

This analysis indicates that, despite the complexities introduced by bed topography and ice dynamics, over timescales of a few years or more many individual glaciers display a largely linear response to environmental forcings. This is particularly apparent at the southern glaciers, where both the increase in forcings and glacier retreat have been more pronounced (Figures 2 and 3). However, because at this level *P* demonstrates strong correlations with multiple forcings, it remains unclear whether this retreat has been driven primarily by warming of the atmosphere, ocean, or
both. To gain further insight, we therefore examine variation in glacier retreat across all 10 study
glaciers.

136 Any environmental control on P should also be able to explain variation in retreat rate between 137 glaciers. In particular, the absolute magnitude of retreat is consistently lower at the northern 138 compared to the southern glaciers (with the standard deviation in P at the northern glaciers just 17 139 % of that exhibited at the southern glaciers), a trend which remains true for an expanded sample of 140 32 of east Greenland's tidewater glaciers (Seale et al., 2011). When the absolute variability at all 141 glaciers is considered together, there is a significant (p < 0.01) correlation of P with Q (Figure 5a; $R^2 =$ 0.40), T_O (Figure 5b; $R^2 = 0.36$) and T_A (Figure 5a; $R^2 = 0.21$). However, while T_A , Q and T_O are all 142 143 typically higher at the southern than the northern glaciers, the latitudinal difference in the 144 magnitude of the variability is less marked compared to that in P: the standard deviation in Q, T_0 and 145 T_A at the northern glaciers is 60, 74 and 93 % respectively of the standard deviation at the southern 146 glaciers. The implication is that for a given change in these forcings, the southern glaciers have 147 responded more sensitively than the northern glaciers. Combining Q and T to create M_1 and M_2 148 increases the latitudinal gradient in the forcings to give better agreement with that observed in P, 149 with the standard deviation in both M_1 and M_2 at the northern glaciers 36 % of that exhibited at the 150 southern glaciers. Combined with a good correlation at the glacier level (Figure 4), this helps to 151 strengthen the correlation of P with M_1 (Figure 5c; $R^2 = 0.54$), and to a lesser extent the slightly more 152 complex ocean/atmosphere forcing parameter M_2 (Figure 5d; R^2 = 0.45).

153 We additionally test the ability of the environmental forcings to explain only the inter-glacier 154 variability in long-term retreat rate, a property of arguably greater importance than the year-to-year 155 variability from the perspective of predicting future ice sheet mass loss. To examine this, we 156 compare the overall retreat of each glacier (defined as the difference between the mean values from 157 1993-1995 and 2010-2012) against the equivalent change in the five forcings. Again M_1 and M_2 158 provide the strongest correlation, giving R^2 values of 0.54 and 0.57 (p < 0.01) respectively, compared 159 to 0.41 (p < 0.01) for T_0 (Figure 5e-h; Table S3). There is no significant correlation between the 160 magnitude of the overall change in P and T_A and Q at p < 0.05, with the northern glaciers again 161 showing a much smaller retreat for a given increase in the atmospheric forcing.

162 Discussion

163 Our findings demonstrate that the timing and magnitude of tidewater glacier retreat along

164 Greenland's east coast can be effectively explained as a combined linear response to atmospheric

and oceanic conditions. Whilst variation in runoff alone can explain a large proportion of glacier

166 retreat at individual glaciers (Figure 4), the sensitivity of this relationship is much stronger in 167 southeast Greenland where ocean waters are warmer (and continued to warm more rapidly over 168 the study period) compared to northeast Greenland (Figure 5a-b,f-g). It may thus be that contact 169 with warm ocean waters preconditions the southern glaciers to greater sensitivity to changes in 170 atmospheric temperature and hence runoff – if the ocean temperature is close to the *in situ* melting 171 point, this will limit the potential for submarine melting, irrespective of the vigour of runoff-driven 172 circulation. Whilst previous studies have hypothesised that regional differences in glacier stability in 173 east Greenland may be linked to the strong latitudinal ocean temperature gradient (Seale et al., 174 2011, Walsh et al., 2012) and that a combined warming of ocean and atmosphere may provide the key trigger for rapid glacier retreat (Bevan et al., 2012, Christoffersen et al., 2012), we are able to 175 176 demonstrate quantitatively that the combined influence of ocean and atmospheric temperature 177 provides the strongest predictor of both spatial and temporal variation in glacier terminus position 178 (Figure 5). In this way, our results agree with recent observations from the Antarctic Peninsula which 179 show that, while there has been a strong atmospheric warming trend in this region, the magnitude 180 of glacier retreat is much greater in areas where glaciers are in contact with warm Circumpolar Deep Water (Cook et al., 2016). While the existence of a correlation cannot alone provide conclusive 181 182 evidence of a causal link, our results thus join a growing body of evidence indicating a role for both 183 oceanic and atmospheric warming in driving the retreat of marine-terminating outlet glaciers.

Our results suggest that variability in terminus position across the 10 study glaciers can beparameterised as

186

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = a \frac{dM_1}{dt},$$

(1)

187

where *t* is time and *a* = -0.014±0.002 or -0.018±0.006 km / ($m^3 s^{-1} °C$) depending on whether the 188 189 parameterisation is fitted to maximise agreement with the year-to-year variability (Figure 5d) or 190 overall retreat (Figure 5i) respectively (Figure S3). This simple formulation effectively captures both 191 the temporal variability in the rate of change of glacier front position and the widely differing 192 magnitude of response at the different outlet glaciers (Figure 6). Across 10 glaciers, equation (1) can 193 explain 54 % of year-to-year variability in terminus position (Figure 5d) and 54 % of variation in the 194 overall retreat rate (Figure 5i). As such, while the prediction of individual tidewater glacier behaviour 195 on timescales of a few years or less may require detailed glacier-specific knowledge of bedrock 196 topography (e.g. Howat et al., 2008, Carr et al., 2013) and high-resolution modelling of ice dynamics 197 (e.g. Todd et al., 2018), our results show that on longer timescales variation in the glaciers' terminus 198 positions can be captured with much simpler parameterisations. These parameterisations translate

the complex interaction of ice sheets with the atmosphere and ocean into simple yet statistically
strong relationships that provide a new pathway for the inclusion of tidewater glacier retreat in the
large-scale ice sheet models needed to predict global sea level rise (e.g. Fürst et al., 2015,
Aschwanden et al., 2016).

203 This quasi-linear behaviour likely reflects the complex topography and thus relatively frequent 204 occurrence of pinning points (such as lateral constrictions and submarine sills) within Greenlandic 205 glacier-fjord systems. This means that, unlike regions of West Antarctica where bed topography may 206 precondition the ice sheet to centennial scale unstable retreat (e.g. Joughin et al., 2014), change at 207 many of Greenland's tidewater glaciers may occur as series of rapid short-lived retreats which 208 collectively do not deviate far from the linear response to climate warming. Capturing the exact 209 timing and magnitude of these steps is difficult and may not be necessary if the aim is to predict ice 210 sheet mass loss on timescales of decades or longer. A good example of this can be seen when 211 comparing KG and Helheim Glacier (HG): as the forcings increased between 2000 and 2005, HG 212 retreated steadily whilst KG remained comparatively stable before undergoing a rapid ~ 5 km retreat 213 between topographic pinning points in 2004-5 (Figures 3d-e and S1). If viewed over the period 2000 214 to 2005, the retreat of KG appears sudden while the retreat of HG appears prolonged; however, 215 when considered over the full 20-year time series, both glaciers exhibited a broadly similar retreat 216 between 2000-2005 with periods of comparative stability before and after.

217 This topographic influence accounts for some of the largest outliers in the relationship between P 218 and M_1 (Figure 5d), with a ~3-4 km discrepancy between the observed and parameterised modelled 219 terminus position briefly existing at KG due to the delayed response of this glacier to 220 ocean/atmosphere warming during 2000-2005 (Figures 3e and 6a). At KG, this discrepancy is short-221 lived, but this observation illustrates how equation (1) is likely to be least effective at glaciers at 222 which current behaviour is particularly strongly influenced by topography: for example, looking to 223 west Greenland, Jakobshavn Isbræ may have been undergoing an unstable retreat into deeper water 224 since the loss of its floating tongue in the late 1990s (Joughin et al., 2008), whilst the stability of 225 Store Glacier to the north is attributed to the presence of an exceptionally prominent topographic 226 pinning point (Todd et al., 2018). While such glaciers will ultimately adjust to a new climatically 227 stable position, their terminus position may differ more strongly from the linear trend in the short 228 term. Nevertheless, our findings suggest that simple formulations such as equation (1) can play an 229 important role in parameterising the response to climate warming of many tidewater glaciers, 230 including major outlets such as KG, HG and DJ.

231 The efficacy of this approach is likely to be dependent on the timeframe in question. The influence 232 of topographic pinning points will be magnified on short timescales (~5 years or less), with this effect 233 reduced when retreat rates are averaged over longer timescales. Furthermore, the slow response 234 time of glaciers will modulate climatic signals by filtering out higher frequency variation – for 235 example, this may explain the muted response of the southern glaciers to the short-lived 236 cooling/warming over 2009-10 (Figure 2-3). At much longer timescales, glaciers will become less 237 sensitive to the ocean as they retreat into shallower water and onto dry land, while evolving ice 238 sheet mass balance and geometry will also likely impact upon the relationship between forcings and 239 terminus position. We therefore suggest that the relationship described in equation (1) is most 240 appropriate when considering processes on timescales of ~5-100 years, with uncertainty increasing 241 either side of this window.

242 The dependency of retreat rate on both runoff and ocean temperature points to a key role for 243 calving front processes in driving the retreat of Greenland's tidewater glaciers. The obvious link lies 244 in submarine melting: theory and modelling suggest that submarine melt rate is dependent on both 245 ocean temperature and runoff, with the latter driving buoyant plumes that increase the turbulent 246 transfer of oceanic heat to glacier calving fronts (e.g. Jenkins, 2011, Xu et al., 2013). The role of 247 submarine melting as a control on terminus position appears straightforward where glaciers are 248 relatively slow flowing and warm ocean waters are capable of inducing submarine melt rates on par 249 with ice velocity; in such circumstances undercutting by submarine melting may be the primary 250 source of frontal ablation (Bartholomaus et al., 2013, Luckman et al., 2015), such that changes in 251 terminus position are determined by the difference between ice velocity and submarine melt rate 252 (Slater et al., 2017). The applicability of this mechanism at faster flowing glaciers is less clear 253 however, as predictions of ice front-averaged submarine melt rates fall far below terminus velocities 254 (Todd and Christoffersen, 2014, Rignot et al., 2016). Indeed, observations indicate a mechanistic 255 difference between the small scale calving in submarine melt dominated systems (Luckman et al., 256 2015) and the massive buoyant calving of icebergs from Greenland's largest and fastest flowing 257 glaciers (James et al., 2014). Nevertheless, our findings indicate that terminus position at these large 258 and fast flowing glaciers also responds rapidly and predictably to variability in ocean/atmosphere 259 forcing.

We also note that the lack of improvement in the correlation between P and M_2 (= $Q^{1/3}(T_0-T_f)$)

261 compared to M_1 (= $Q(T_0-T_f)$) is at odds with the dependency expected if retreat rate was a direct

function of submarine melt rate (Jenkins, 2011). It may be that this theoretical relationship (which is

yet to be validated by field data) does not reflect the reality of the relationship between T_{O} , Q and

submarine melting - for example, Slater *et al* (2016) report that the correct value for the exponent

265 may be as high as ¾ under certain circumstances. Alternatively, the apparently simple relationship 266 between P and M_1 may be the integrated result of not only submarine melting but also additional 267 factors including ice mélange / sea ice coverage (e.g. Christoffersen et al., 2012, Moon et al., 2015) 268 and hydrologically forced acceleration of ice motion (e.g. Sugiyama et al., 2011). The stronger 269 correlations between P and Q rather than T_A (Figures 4 and 5) indicate that catchment-wide melt, 270 and hence runoff, is of greater importance than local air temperatures at the terminus as a control 271 on retreat rate. While this suggests that processes driven by local surface melting (e.g. through 272 hydrofracture-driven calving) are of secondary importance, we cannot discount the possibility that 273 our results reflect a more complex mix of processes related to basal hydrology, glacier dynamics, submarine melting and calving. Thus whilst our findings indicate that a combined ocean/atmosphere 274 275 forcing is a key control on the stability of even large, fast flowing tidewater glaciers, further research 276 is needed to identify and constrain the processes that link this forcing with frontal ablation and 277 glacier retreat.

278 Over a 20-year period, we have observed a significant correlation between variability in glacier 279 terminus position and a simple parameterisation that combines oceanic and atmospheric forcings at 280 10 tidewater glaciers along Greenland's east coast. Our results demonstrate that while increased 281 melting and runoff in response to atmospheric warming can explain much of the temporal variability 282 in glacier terminus position, the temperature of the adjacent ocean waters is also a strong 283 determinant of the absolute magnitude of retreat. We find that even at very large and fast flowing 284 glaciers like Kangerdlugssuaq Glacier and Helheim Glacier, where the nonlinear response to climate 285 forcing has previously been emphasised, over timescales of a few years or longer, this forcing 286 dominates over site-specific effects relating to the complexities of local topography. While 287 topography remains an important factor in modulating the response of tidewater glaciers to climate, 288 our findings nevertheless suggest that simple parameterisations linking terminus retreat to runoff 289 and ocean temperature, suitable for inclusion in large-scale ice sheet models, have an important role 290 to play in modelling the response of the Greenland ice sheet to atmospheric and oceanic warming.

291

292 Methods

293 Study glaciers

Details of the 10 study glaciers are given in Table S1. These glaciers represent a subset of the 32
glaciers documented by Seale *et al* (2011), chosen to span a range of conditions along the east coast
of Greenland. Within each region, the largest outlet glaciers (with respect to ice velocity and

- 297 terminus width; Table S1) were selected. In the far northeast of Greenland, the major outlet glaciers
- drain into substantial floating ice tongues (e.g. Wilson et al., 2017). Charting the retreat of these
- 299 glaciers (where changes in grounding line position rather than calving front position are likely of
- 300 primary importance) is not possible with the methods employed here, and so no glaciers were
- 301 selected in this region.

302 Air temperature

Mean summer air temperature (Figure 2a-b), *T_A*, is based on the May-September mean of monthly
 temperatures from ERA-Interim global atmospheric reanalysis (Dee et al., 2011). For each glacier,

- temperatures are extracted from the reanalysis cell in which the terminus lies. To account for
- 306 differing mean topography between cells, these values are adjusted to give sea level temperature
- 307 assuming an atmospheric lapse rate of 0.0065 °C / m.

308 Runoff

- Annual mean catchment runoff, *Q*, for each of the 10 glaciers (Figures 1 and 2c-d) is obtained from a
- 310 1 km surface melting, retention and runoff model forced with ERA-Interim and 20CR reanalyses
- 311 (Wilton et al., 2017). Runoff due to basal melting is expected to be limited and is therefore not
- 312 considered. Meltwater is routed through glacial catchments using the hydraulic potential gradient
- 313 (Shreve, 1972) based on the ice surface and bed topography (Bamber et al., 2013). *Q* is predicted to
- be greatest at KG due to its large catchment area and more melt-favourable hypsometry relative to
- HG and DJ, which have comparable catchment areas (Figures 1 and 2c-d).

316 *Ocean temperature*

- 317 We seek to compare changes in glacier terminus position to a measure of ocean water temperature,
- 318 *T*₀, in the fjords adjacent to the glaciers. Because there are few *in situ* hydrographic measurements
- from fjords, and the fjords are not well resolved in ocean circulation models, we define $T_0 = T_R + c_r$
- 320 where T_R is ocean temperature based on reanalysis values for the continental shelf and c is a
- 321 correction to account for temperature differences between the shelf and fjords.
- 322 T_R is obtained from the GLORYS2V3 1/4° ocean reanalysis product (Ferry et al., 2012). A decision
- must be made as to where to sample these data for each glacier. Because cross-shelf troughs are
- 324 poorly mapped and not well resolved in the reanalysis, cells close to fjord mouths tend to be
- unrealistically shallow (e.g. Fenty et al., 2016) and so the warmer, deeper waters (crucial to the fjord
- heat budget) are not captured. Conversely, if the nearest cell of depth equal to that of the grounding
- 327 line is chosen, this can be hundreds of kilometres away from the fjord mouth on the shelf break, and
- it is not clear that a pathway of such depth will exist between that cell and the glacier. As a

329 compromise, we opt for the nearest cell of depth > 400 m, which is deep enough to sample the 330 warmer Atlantic waters (AW) existing at depths greater than ~ 200 m whilst in many cases being 331 located on the shelf rather than beyond the shelf break (Figure 1). For simplicity and consistency 332 between glaciers, we take T_R as the annual mean temperature between 200-400 m (Figure 2e-f). This 333 falls within the likely depth range of up-fjord currents (e.g. Cowton et al., 2016), and allows key 334 inter-annual trends in AW temperature to be captured whilst reducing noise due to large seasonal 335 variations in shelf surface water temperatures which likely have limited influence on the glaciers 336 (Straneo and Heimbach, 2013).

337 To obtain values for the correction term c, we test these time series of T_{R} against available in situ observations from moorings and CTD surveys in the vicinity of T1 (Holfort et al., 2008, Murray et al., 338 339 2010), HG (Straneo et al., 2016), KG (Azetsu-Scott and Syvitski, 1999, Dowdeswell, 2004, Straneo et 340 al., 2012, Inall et al., 2014) and, in the absence of data from the northern study glaciers, 341 Nioghalvfjerdsbræ (NG) in the far north east of Greenland (Wilson and Straneo, 2015) (Figures 1 and 342 S2). Fitting of T_R to the observations indicates that the reanalysis data overestimate in situ temperatures in these locations by approximately 1.5 °C (T1), 2.9 °C (HG), 3.1 °C (KG) and 0.3 °C 343 344 (NG). While this may in part reflect errors in the reanalysis product (which is poorly constrained by 345 observations on the shelf), significant cooling of AW is expected as it crosses the continental shelf 346 from the core of the warm currents at the shelf break to the fjords (Straneo et al., 2012). To better 347 represent the temperature of subsurface waters entering the fjords, we use these observations to 348 adjust the values of T_R derived from the reanalysis data to give T_O . For the cluster of glaciers in 349 southeast Greenland (M3, T1 and AB) we set c = 1.5 °C, while at HG and KG we set c = 2.9 °C and 3.1 350 °C respectively. For the glaciers in northeast Greenland (BG, VG, DJ, WG, HK), influenced by the same 351 cooler recirculated AW as NG (Straneo et al., 2012), we set c = 0.3 °C. These offsets are then used to 352 calculate the values of T_o used throughout the paper. While this adjustment is necessarily 353 approximate given the scarcity of in situ observations, its application enables better representation 354 of the temporal and spatial variability in the temperature of ocean water entering Greenland's 355 fjords.

356 Terminus position

For the period 2000-2009, width-averaged changes in glacier terminus position *P* (expressed as distance from an arbitrary up-glacier location) are taken from Seale *et al* (2011) and based on the automated classification of all available MODIS imagery. We extend this time series by manual termini delineation (using the linear box method (Lea et al., 2014)) in Landsat scenes (e.g. Figure S1) at approximately monthly intervals over the period 2009-2015, and where available over the period 1990-1999. No Landsat scenes are available during the years 1991, 1993 and 1995. At KG, HG and DJ
we supplement these data with terminus positions delimited using Envisat imagery by Bevan *et al*(2012).

Because the glaciers typically undergo an annual cycle of advance and retreat, error will be
introduced into the mean annual position for glaciers and years where there are significant gaps in
the available coverage. We therefore adjust glacier lengths according to

(2)

$$P = P_{mean} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\mu_a r\right),$$

369

370 where P is the adjusted mean annual terminus position, as based on P_{mean} , which is the mean of the 371 available data for each year. r is the typical annual range in terminus positions for each glacier, based on the period 2010-2013 when continuous Landsat availability gives accurate near year-round 372 373 coverage (Table S4). Each data point is given a weighting μ based on the month within which it falls, 374 ranging linearly from 1 (October, when the termini are typically most retreated), to -1 (April, when 375 the termini are typically most advanced). The mean weighting for each year, μ_a , thus provides an 376 indication of the extent by which the available data points likely over or under estimate the true 377 mean annual terminus position. For example, the only two data points for 1995 at DJ fall in August 378 and September (when the glacier length will be close to its annual minimum). This gives $\mu_a = 0.5$, and 379 P is thus increased by $0.25 \times r (= 0.3 \text{ km})$ with respect to P_{mean} to better approximate the true annual 380 average terminus position. The difference between P_{mean} and P is shown in Figure 3 (being too small 381 to plot in Figure 2g-h) and is in most cases negligible.

382 Statistics

383 Statistical comparison of $T_{A_1} Q$, T_0 , M_1 and M_2 with P is undertaken at the level of mean annual values. In Figure 5 (and Table S3) we consider data grouped from across the study glaciers, while in 384 385 Figures 3 and 4 (and Table S2) we relate individual glacier-specific time series of anomalies in T_{A} , Q, 386 T_0 , M_1 and M_2 to those in P. Because these individual time series are in general non-stationary, 387 classical linear regression may indicate a statistically significant correlation between variables in 388 instances where in fact no relationship exists (Granger and Newbold, 1974). To reduce the risk of 389 incorrectly interpreting such spurious relationships, we test for cointegration of the time series 390 (Engle and Granger, 1987), a technique that has proven valuable in examining the relationships 391 between non-stationary climate variables (e.g. Kaufmann and Stern, 2002, Mills, 2009, Beenstock et 392 al., 2012). Cointegration occurs when a relationship between two or more non-stationary time series 393 produces residuals that are themselves stationary, indicating a functional relationship that remains

- 394 constant in time. A more thorough description of this approach, and its application in climate
- 395 science, is provided by Kaufman and Stern (2002). We perform an Engle-Granger test for
- 396 cointegration on each of the combinations of forcing and response time series using the *egcitest*
- 397 function in Matlab R2016a (www.mathworks.com). Where linear regression indicates a significant
- 398 correlation but cointegration is not established (at p < 0.05), we recognise the increased risk that this
- 399 correlation may be spurious. All R^2 values given throughout the paper are significant at p < 0.05, with
- 400 the specific *p* value given in each case, and all statistical values provided in Tables S2-3.

402 Acknowledgements

403 The authors would like to thank Adrian Luckman and Suzanne Bevan for providing glacier terminus

- 404 position data, Edward Hanna, David Wilton and Philippe Huybrechts for providing surface melting
 405 and runoff data, Fiamma Straneo, Mark Inall and Stephen Dye for providing hydrographic data and
- 406 the GLORYS project for providing ocean reanalysis data (GLORYS is jointly conducted by MERCATOR
- 407 OCEAN, CORIOLIS and CNRS/INSU). This work was funded by NERC grants NE/K015249/1 and
- 408 NE/K014609/1 to PN and AS respectively and a NERC studentship to DS.
- 409 Figures



412

Figure 1. Map showing the location of the ten study glaciers (Table S1) in east Greenland: M3 = Mogens 413 3; T1 = Tingmjarmiut 1; AB = AP Bernstorffs Glacier; HG = Helheim Glacier; KG = Kangerdlugssuaq 414 415 Glacier; BG = Borggraven; VG = Vestfjord Glacier; DJ = Daugaard-Jensen Glacier; WG = Waltershausen 416 Glacier; HK = Heinkel Glacier. The location of Nioghalvfjerdsbræ (NG), which is referenced but does 417 not constitute one of the study glaciers, is marked with a star. Hydrological catchments are shaded, and the divide between the northern and southern study glaciers at ~69° N is marked with the dashed 418 419 line. The sample locations for ocean reanalysis temperature for the glaciers are shown as coloured 420 circles. Also shown are the approximate locations of warm ocean currents (Straneo et al., 2012), with 421 IC = Irminger Current and NIIC = North Iceland Irminger Current, and cross shelf troughs that may allow 422 warm subsurface waters to access the study glaciers (black arrows; Jakobsson et al., 2012). The 423 background image shows a satellite mosaic of Greenland with shaded sea floor bathymetry (Google 424 Earth; Data: SIO, NOAA, U.S. Navy, NGA, GEBCO; Image: Landsat / Copernicus, IBCAO, U.S. Geological 425 Survey).

426

427



430 Figure 2. Annual average values of (a-b) air temperature (*T_A*), (c-d) runoff (*Q*), (e-f) depth-averaged

subsurface ocean temperature (*T_o*) and (g-h) glacier terminus position (*P*), relative to an arbitrary upglacier location, for the 10 study glaciers (Methods; Table S1). The left and right columns show glaciers

433 south and north of ~69N respectively, and colours are as for Figure 1.



Figure 3. Time series of normalised anomalies in air temperature (\tilde{T}_A , orange) runoff (\tilde{Q} , blue), ocean temperature (\tilde{T}_O , red), \tilde{M}_1 (purple) and \tilde{M}_2 (green) and terminus position (\tilde{P} , black circles) for each glacier. Anomalies are expressed relative to the 20-year mean, and all values are normalised with respect to the observed range at that glacier. For ease of comparison, \tilde{P} is shown inverted (i.e. positive change means retreat) and is in some cases discontinuous due to lack of observations. Vertical grey bars indicate the adjustment of *P* relative to P_{mean} (Methods).

443



Figure 4. R^2 values for the relationship of terminus position (*P*) with air temperature (T_A) runoff (*Q*), ocean temperature (T_O) and M_1 and M_2 at each glacier and for the averaged regional southern ('All S') and northern ('All N') trends (Figure 3). Large markers show time series that are significantly

448 cointegrated at p < 0.05. Solid dots show instances which are correlated at p < 0.05, but are not 449 cointegrated at this confidence level. No marker is shown where the time series are not significantly 450 correlated or cointegrated. The dashed line separates the southern (left) and northern (right) glacier 451 subsets. Statistical values are given in Table S2.

452

453





455 Figure 5. a-d. Relationship between anomalies in terminus position (P') and (a) air temperature (T_A') 456 (b) runoff (Q'), (c) ocean temperature (T_0') , and ocean/atmosphere forcing (d) M_1' and (e) M_2' . 457 Anomalies are shown relative to the 20-year mean at each glacier. f-j. Relationship between overall 458 change in terminus position (ΔP) and (f) air temperature (ΔT_A), (g) runoff (ΔQ), (h) ocean 459 temperature (ΔT), and ocean/atmosphere forcing (i) ΔM_1 and (j) ΔM_2 . In each case, the overall 460 change is calculated by subtracting the mean 1993-1995 value from the mean 2010-2012 value. On 461 all plots, blue and red markers denote data from the southern and northern glaciers subsets 462 respectively, and black lines show the best fit to all data. R^2 values (all significant at p < 0.05) are shown on all plots except (f) and (g), which are not significant at this level. Statistical values are given 463 464 in Table S3. 465



466

Figure 6. Change in terminus position *P* at the (a) southern glaciers and (b) northern glaciers, as
observed (solid lines) and parameterised based on equation (1) (dashed lines). *P* is shown relative to
an arbitrary up-glacier location, as in Figure 2e-f.

471 References

- Aschwanden, A., Fahnestock, M. A. and Truffer, M. (2016) 'Complex Greenland outlet glacier flow
 captured', *Nature communications*, 7, pp. 10524.
- 474 Azetsu-Scott, K. and Syvitski, J. P. M. (1999) 'Influence of melting icebergs on distribution,
 475 characteristics and transport of marine particles in an East Greenland fjord', *Journal of*476 *Geophysical Research-Oceans*, 104(C3), pp. 5321-5328.
- Bamber, J. L., Griggs, J. A., Hurkmans, R. T. W. L., Dowdeswell, J. A., Gogineni, S. P., Howat, I.,
 Mouginot, J., Paden, J., Palmer, S., Rignot, E. and Steinhage, D. (2013) 'A new bed elevation
 dataset for Greenland', *Cryosphere*, 7(2), pp. 499-510.
- Bartholomaus, T. C., Larsen, C. F. and O'Neel, S. (2013) 'Does calving matter? Evidence for significant
 submarine melt', *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 380, pp. 21-30.
- Beenstock, M., Reingewertz, Y. and Paldor, N. (2012) 'Polynomial cointegration tests of
 anthropogenic impact on global warming', *Earth System Dynamics*, 3(2), pp. 173-188.
- Benn, D. I., Cowton, T., Todd, J. and Luckman, A. (2017) 'Glacier calving in Greenland', *Current Climate Change Reports*, pp. 1-9.
- Bevan, S. L., Luckman, A. J. and Murray, T. (2012) 'Glacier dynamics over the last quarter of a century
 at Helheim, Kangerdlugssuaq and 14 other major Greenland outlet glaciers', *Cryosphere*,
 6(5), pp. 923-937.
- Carr, J. R., Vieli, A. and Stokes, C. (2013) 'Influence of sea ice decline, atmospheric warming, and
 glacier width on marine-terminating outlet glacier behavior in northwest Greenland at
 seasonal to interannual timescales', *Journal of Geophysical Research-Earth Surface*, 118(3),
 pp. 1210-1226.
- 493 Carroll, D., Sutherland, D. A., Shroyer, E. L., Nash, J. D., Catania, G. A. and Stearns, L. A. (2017)
 494 'Subglacial discharge-driven renewal of tidewater glacier fjords', *Journal of Geophysical*495 *Research: Oceans*.
- Chauché, N., Hubbard, A., Gascard, J. C., Box, J. E., Bates, R., Koppes, M., Sole, A., Christoffersen, P.
 and Patton, H. (2014) 'Ice-ocean interaction and calving front morphology at two west
 Greenland tidewater outlet glaciers', *Cryosphere*, 8(4), pp. 1457-1468.
- 499 Christoffersen, P., O'Leary, M., Van Angelen, J. H. and van den Broeke, M. (2012) 'Partitioning effects
 500 from ocean and atmosphere on the calving stability of Kangerdlugssuaq Glacier, East
 501 Greenland', Annals of Glaciology, 53(60), pp. 249-256.
- Cook, A., Holland, P., Meredith, M., Murray, T., Luckman, A. and Vaughan, D. (2016) 'Ocean forcing
 of glacier retreat in the western Antarctic Peninsula', *Science*, 353(6296), pp. 283-286.
- Cowton, T., Sole, A., Nienow, P., Slater, D., Wilton, D. and Hanna, E. (2016) 'Controls on the transport
 of oceanic heat to Kangerdlugssuaq Glacier, east Greenland', *Journal of Glaciology*.
- Dee, D. P., Uppala, S., Simmons, A., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U., Balmaseda, M.,
 Balsamo, G. and Bauer, d. P. (2011) 'The ERA-Interim reanalysis: Configuration and
 performance of the data assimilation system', *Quarterly Journal of the royal meteorological society*, 137(656), pp. 553-597.
- 510Dowdeswell, J. (2004) Cruise report JR106b. RSS James Clark Ross. NERC Autosub Under Ice511thematic programme. Kangerdlugssuaq Fjord and shelf, east Greenland.
- Engle, R. F. and Granger, C. W. J. (1987) 'Cointegration and error correction representation,
 estimation and testing', *Econometrica*, 55(2), pp. 251-276.
- Fenty, I., Willis, J. K., Khazendar, A., Dinardo, S., Forsberg, R., Fukumori, I., Holland, D., Jakobsson, M.,
 Moller, D. and Morison, J. (2016) 'Oceans Melting Greenland: Early Results from NASA's
 Ocean-Ice Mission in Greenland', *Oceanography*.
- Ferry, N., Parent, L., Garric, G., Drevillon, M., Desportes, C., Bricaud, C. and Hernandez, F. (2012)
 Scientific Validation Report (ScVR) for Reprocessed Analysis and Reanalysis. MyOcean project report, MYO-WP04-ScCV-rea-MERCATOR-V1.0.
- Fried, M. J., Catania, G. A., Bartholomaus, T. C., Duncan, D., Davis, M., Stearns, L. A., Nash, J.,
 Shroyer, E. and Sutherland, D. (2015) 'Distributed subglacial discharge drives significant

522 submarine melt at a Greenland tidewater glacier', Geophysical Research Letters, 42(21), pp. 523 1944-8007. 524 Fürst, J., Goelzer, H. and Huybrechts, P. (2015) 'Ice-dynamic projections of the Greenland ice sheet in 525 response to atmospheric and oceanic warming', The Cryosphere, 9(3), pp. 1039-1062. 526 Goelzer, H., Huybrechts, P., Fürst, J. J., Nick, F., Andersen, M. L., Edwards, T. L., Fettweis, X., Payne, A. 527 J. and Shannon, S. (2013) 'Sensitivity of Greenland ice sheet projections to model 528 formulations', Journal of Glaciology, 59(216), pp. 733-749. 529 Granger, C. W. and Newbold, P. (1974) 'Spurious regressions in econometrics', Journal of 530 *econometrics,* 2(2), pp. 111-120. 531 Holfort, J., Hansen, E., Østerhus, S., Dye, S., Jonsson, S., Meincke, J., Mortensen, J. and Meredith, M. 532 (2008) 'Freshwater fluxes east of Greenland', Arctic-Subarctic Ocean Fluxes Defining the Role 533 of the Northern Seas in Climate., 304. 534 Howat, I. M., Joughin, I., Fahnestock, M., Smith, B. E. and Scambos, T. A. (2008) 'Synchronous retreat 535 and acceleration of southeast Greenland outlet glaciers 2000-06: ice dynamics and coupling 536 to climate', Journal of Glaciology, 54(187), pp. 646-660. 537 Inall, M. E., Murray, T., Cottier, F. R., Scharrer, K., Boyd, T. J., Heywood, K. J. and Bevan, S. L. (2014) 538 'Oceanic heat delivery via Kangerdlugssuag Fjord to the south-east Greenland ice sheet', 539 Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 119(2), pp. 631-645. Available at: 540 http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/2013JC009295/abstract (Accessed 01). 541 Jakobsson, M., Mayer, L., Coakley, B., Dowdeswell, J. A., Forbes, S., Fridman, B., Hodnesdal, H., 542 Noormets, R., Pedersen, R. and Rebesco, M. (2012) 'The international bathymetric chart of 543 the Arctic Ocean (IBCAO) version 3.0', *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39(12). 544 James, T. D., Murray, T., Selmes, N., Scharrer, K. and O'Leary, M. (2014) 'Buoyant flexure and basal 545 crevassing in dynamic mass loss at Helheim Glacier', Nature Geoscience, 7(8), pp. 594-597. 546 Jenkins, A. (2011) 'Convection-Driven Melting near the Grounding Lines of Ice Shelves and Tidewater 547 Glaciers', Journal of Physical Oceanography, 41(12), pp. 2279-2294. 548 Joughin, I., Howat, I. M., Fahnestock, M., Smith, B., Krabill, W., Alley, R. B., Stern, H. and Truffer, M. 549 (2008) 'Continued evolution of Jakobshavn Isbrae following its rapid speedup', Journal of 550 Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 113(F4). 551 Joughin, I., Smith, B. E. and Medley, B. (2014) 'Marine Ice Sheet Collapse Potentially Under Way for 552 the Thwaites Glacier Basin, West Antarctica', Science, 344(6185), pp. 735-738. 553 Kaufmann, R. K. and Stern, D. I. (2002) 'Cointegration analysis of hemispheric temperature relations', 554 Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 107(D1-D2). 555 Lea, J. M., Mair, D. W. F. and Rea, B. R. (2014) 'Instruments and Methods Evaluation of existing and 556 new methods of tracking glacier terminus change', Journal of Glaciology, 60(220), pp. 323-557 332. 558 Luckman, A., Benn, D. I., Cottier, F., Bevan, S., Nilsen, F. and Inall, M. (2015) 'Calving rates at 559 tidewater glaciers vary strongly with ocean temperature', Nature Communications, 6. 560 McFadden, E. M., Howat, I. M., Joughin, I., Smith, B. and Ahn, Y. (2011) 'Changes in the dynamics of 561 marine terminating outlet glaciers in west Greenland (2000-2009)', Journal of Geophysical 562 Research-Earth Surface, 116. 563 Mills, T. C. (2009) 'How robust is the long-run relationship between temperature and radiative 564 forcing?', *Climatic change*, 94(3-4), pp. 351. 565 Moon, T., Joughin, I. and Smith, B. (2015) 'Seasonal to multiyear variability of glacier surface velocity, 566 terminus position, and sea ice/ice mélange in northwest Greenland', Journal of Geophysical 567 Research: Earth Surface, 120(5), pp. 818-833. 568 Murray, T., Scharrer, K., James, T. D., Dye, S. R., Hanna, E., Booth, A. D., Selmes, N., Luckman, A., 569 Hughes, A. L. C., Cook, S. and Huybrechts, P. (2010) 'Ocean regulation hypothesis for glacier 570 dynamics in southeast Greenland and implications for ice sheet mass changes', Journal of 571 Geophysical Research-Earth Surface, 115.

- Murray, T., Scharrer, K., Selmes, N., Booth, A. D., James, T. D., Bevan, S. L., Bradley, J., Cook, S., Llana,
 L. C., Drocourt, Y., Dyke, L., Goldsack, A., Hughes, A. L., Luckman, A. J. and McGovern, J.
 (2015) 'Extensive retreat of Greenland tidewater glaciers, 2000-2010', *Arctic Antarctic and Alpine Research*, 47(3), pp. 427-447.
- 576 Nick, F. M., Vieli, A., Andersen, M. L., Joughin, I., Payne, A., Edwards, T. L., Pattyn, F. and van de Wal,
 577 R. S. W. (2013) 'Future sea-level rise from Greenland's main outlet glaciers in a warming
 578 climate', *Nature*, 497(7448), pp. 235-238.
- 579 Rignot, E. and Kanagaratnam, P. (2006) 'Changes in the velocity structure of the Greenland ice
 580 sheet', *Science*, 311(5763), pp. 986-990.
- Rignot, E., Xu, Y., Menemenlis, D., Mouginot, J., Scheuchl, B., Li, X., Morlighem, M., Seroussi, H., van
 den Broeke, M., Fenty, I., Cai, C., An, L. and de Fleurian, B. (2016) 'Modeling of oceaninduced ice melt rates of five west Greenland glaciers over the past two decades', *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43(12), pp. 6374-6382.
- Seale, A., Christoffersen, P., Mugford, R. I. and O'Leary, M. (2011) 'Ocean forcing of the Greenland
 Ice Sheet: Calving fronts and patterns of retreat identified by automatic satellite monitoring
 of eastern outlet glaciers', *Journal of Geophysical Research-Earth Surface*, 116.
- 588 Shreve, R. L. (1972) 'Movement of water in glaciers', *Journal of Glaciology*, 11, pp. 205-214.
- Slater, D., Goldberg, D., Nienow, P. and Cowton, T. (2016) 'Scalings for submarine melting at
 tidewater glaciers from buoyant plume theory', *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, in press.
- Slater, D. A., Nienow, P. W., Goldberg, D. N., Cowton, T. R. and Sole, A. J. (2017) 'A model for
 tidewater glacier undercutting by submarine melting', *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(5),
 pp. 2360-2368.
- Straneo, F., Hamilton, G. S., Stearns, L. A. and Sutherland, D. A. (2016) 'Connecting the Greenland Ice
 Sheet and the Ocean: A case study of Helheim Glacier and Sermilik Fjord', *Oceanography*,
 29(4), pp. 34-45.
- 597 Straneo, F. and Heimbach, P. (2013) 'North Atlantic warming and the retreat of Greenland's outlet 598 glaciers', *Nature*, 504(7478), pp. 36-43.
- Straneo, F., Heimbach, P., Sergienko, O., Hamilton, G., Catania, G., Griffies, S., Hallberg, R., Jenkins,
 A., Joughin, I., Motyka, R., Pfeffer, W. T., Price, S. F., Rignot, E., Scambos, T., Truffer, M. and
 Vieli, A. (2013) 'Challenges to Understanding the Dynamic Response of Greenland's Marine
 Terminating Glaciers to Oceanic and Atmospheric Forcing', *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 94(8), pp. 1131-1144.
- Straneo, F., Sutherland, D. A., Holland, D., Gladish, C., Hamilton, G. S., Johnson, H. L., Rignot, E., Xu,
 Y. and Koppes, M. (2012) 'Characteristics of ocean waters reaching Greenland's glaciers', *Annals of Glaciology*, 53(60), pp. 202-210.
- Sugiyama, S., Skvarca, P., Naito, N., Enomoto, H., Tsutaki, S., Tone, K., Marinsek, S. and Aniya, M.
 (2011) 'Ice speed of a calving glacier modulated by small fluctuations in basal water
 pressure', *Nature Geoscience*, 4(9), pp. 597-600.
- Todd, J. and Christoffersen, P. (2014) 'Are seasonal calving dynamics forced by buttressing from ice
 melange or undercutting by melting? Outcomes from full-Stokes simulations of Store
 Glacier, West Greenland', *Cryosphere*, 8(6), pp. 2353-2365.
- Todd, J., Christoffersen, P., Zwinger, T., Råback, P., Chauché, N., Benn, D., Luckman, A., Ryan, J.,
 Toberg, N. and Slater, D. (2018) 'A Full-Stokes 3-D Calving Model Applied to a Large
 Greenlandic Glacier', *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*.
- Todd, J., Christoffersen, P., Zwinger, T., Råback, P., Chauché, N., Benn, D. I., Luckman, A., Ryan, J.,
 Toberg, N., Slater, D. and Hubbard, A. (in press) 'A Full-Stokes 3D Calving Model applied to a
 large Greenlandic Glacier', *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*.
- van den Broeke, M., Box, J., Fettweis, X., Hanna, E., Noël, B., Tedesco, M., van As, D., van de Berg, W.
 J. and van Kampenhout, L. (2017) 'Greenland Ice Sheet Surface Mass Loss: Recent
- 621 Developments in Observation and Modeling', *Current Climate Change Reports*, pp. 1-12.

- Vieli, A., Jania, J. and Kolondra, L. (2002) 'The retreat of a tidewater glacier: observations and model
 calculations on Hansbreen, Spitsbergen', *Journal of Glaciology*, 48(163), pp. 592-600.
- Walsh, K., Howat, I., Ahn, Y. and Enderlin, E. (2012) 'Changes in the marine-terminating glaciers of central east Greenland, 2000–2010', *The Cryosphere*, 6(1), pp. 211-220.
- Wilson, N., Straneo, F. and Heimbach, P. (2017) 'Satellite-derived submarine melt rates and mass
 balance (2011–2015) for Greenland's largest remaining ice tongues', *The Cryosphere*, 11(6),
 pp. 2773-2782.
- Wilson, N. J. and Straneo, F. (2015) 'Water exchange between the continental shelf and the cavity
 beneath Nioghalvfjerdsbrae (79 North Glacier)', *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42(18), pp.
 7648-7654.
- Wilton, D. J., Jowett, A. M. Y., Hanna, E., Bigg, G. R., Van Den Broeke, M. R., Fettweis, X. and
 Huybrechts, P. (2017) 'High resolution (1 km) positive degree-day modelling of Greenland ice
 sheet surface mass balance, 1870–2012 using reanalysis data', *Journal of Glaciology*,
 63(237), pp. 176-193.
- Ku, Y., Rignot, E., Fenty, I., Menemenlis, D. and Flexas, M. M. (2013) 'Subaqueous melting of Store
 Glacier, west Greenland from three-dimensional, high-resolution numerical modeling and
 ocean observations', *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40(17), pp. 4648-4653.
- 639