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Abstract:
One approach for the synthesis of isoindolinones, a privileged bioactive heterocyclic core structure, involves a condensation reaction of $o$-phthaldialdehydes with a suitable nitrogencontaining nucleophile. This fascinating reaction is revisited here in the context of the use of $o$-phthaldialdehydes that contain additional substituents in the aromatic ring leading to a detailed analysis of the regioselectivity of the reaction. Eleven monosubstituted ophthaldialdehydes were synthesised and reacted with alanine. The regioselectivity observed across the eleven substrates led to the design of a disubstituted substrate that reacted with very high control. A gram-scale reaction followed by esterification gave one major regioisomer in high yield. In addition, the regioselectivity observed on reaction of two novel monodeuterated substrates led to an increased mechanistic understanding.

[^0]
## 1. Introduction

The isoindolinones make up an important class of bioactive molecules that includes the known drugs Pazinaclone (1), ${ }^{\text {la }}$ Indoprofen (2) ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}}$ and Chlorthalidone (3) ${ }^{\text {1c }}$ (Figure 1a).
<<single column figure>>


Figure 1: a) Structure of known bioactive isoindolinone containing drugs; ${ }^{1}$ b) Overview of some of the different routes used to prepare the isoindolinone core $4{ }^{2-6 a}$

Common methods of obtaining isoindolinones that are unsubstituted in the aromatic ring, for example compound 4 (Figure 1b), include selective reduction of $5,{ }^{2}$ reductive aminationcyclisation of $\mathbf{6}^{3}$ or $7^{4}$ with a primary amine $\left(\mathrm{RNH}_{2}\right)$ and, of interest here, the condensation reaction of $o$-phthaldialdehyde $(\mathbf{8})$ with a primary amine $\left(\mathrm{RNH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{5,6 \mathrm{a}}$

To date, the majority of studies performed on this condensation reaction have focused on evaluating the scope of the amine nucleophile that can be tolerated in the reaction ${ }^{5 \mathrm{ab}, 5 \mathrm{~b}, 5 \mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}$ and/or proposing potential reaction mechanisms. ${ }^{5 a, 5 b, 6}$ In contrast, examples of the use of this
condensation reaction with monosubstituted $o$-phthaldialdehydes are rare (SI1 part I). One report describes a regioselectivity of 1:1 for the products $\mathbf{1 1 : 1 2}$ resulting from the condensation of 9 with 10 (Scheme 1a). ${ }^{7}$ However, the observed regioselectivity was measured only after filtration or purification by column chromatography. Isolated yields for the formation of a single isomer, $\mathbf{1 4}$ in most cases, resulting from the condensation of $\mathbf{1 3}$ with various amines have also been reported (Scheme 1b). ${ }^{8}$ Other studies have provided only isolated yield(s) after purification (for one or for each isomer), incomplete regioisomeric ratio (rr) data within a series or have claimed to form a single regioisomer (no yield provided) without discussing the other possible isomer (SI1 part I). ${ }^{7-9}$

The work reported here revisits this issue by presenting a detailed study of the regiochemical outcome of the condensation of alanine (16) with 3-monosubstituted $o$-phthaldialdehydes 17 (to give $\mathbf{1 8}$ and 19, Scheme 1c) and with 4-monosubstituted $o$-phthaldialdehydes $\mathbf{2 0}$ (to give 21 and 22, Scheme 1d). Based on the initial results, the design of a highly regioselective substrate was achieved consistent with an improved understanding of the reaction. Further mechanistic insights were provided by the use of novel mono-deuterated substrates.
<<Single column scheme>>
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a) 3-substituted series

b) 4-substituted series




| R | Procedure | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{OMe}$ | $(1)$ | $19 \%$ | - |
|  | $(1)$ | $25 \%$ | - |
| $\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ | $(2)$ | $24 \%$ | - |
|  | $(1)$ | $20 \%$ | - |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOMe}$ | $(2)$ | $20 \%$ | - |
|  | $(1)$ | - | $22 \%$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}$ | $(2)$ | $17 \%$ | - |
|  | $(1)$ | $13 \%$ | - |

This Work
c) 3-substituted series

d) 4-substituted series


Scheme 1: a) and b) eported in the literature. ${ }^{7-8}$ Apparently no regioselectivity was observed for the condensation of $\mathbf{9}$ with $\mathbf{1 0}$ (ratio of $\mathbf{1 1 : 1 2}$ of $1: 1$ obtained after filtration (for $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Cl}$ )
or after purification of the crude reaction mixture by column chromatography (for $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{SMe}$ ). ${ }^{7}$ Condensation of $\mathbf{1 3}$ with various amines $\left(\mathrm{RNH}_{2}\right)$ followed by purification by column chromatography mainly led to isomer $\mathbf{1 4}$ (no comment was made regarding the apparent change of regioselectivity to give isomer $\mathbf{1 5}$ as the major isomer in one case). ${ }^{8} \mathbf{c}$ ) and d) A summary of the study reported in this work to explore in more detail the influence of a substituent at the 3 - or 4-position of the starting $o$-phthaldialdehyde on the observed regioselectivity.

## 2. Results and Discussions

### 2.1. Synthesis of Monosubstituted o-Phthaldialdehydes

Five 3 -substituted $o$-phthaldialdehydes 17a-e were synthesised using 2-5 step routes involving either a Swern oxidation of the corresponding diol $\mathbf{2 3}$ or an acetal deprotection of the corresponding monoacetal $\mathbf{2 4}$ or diacetal $\mathbf{2 5}$ (Scheme 2 and SI1 part II. 1 for more details). It should be noted that the synthesis of pure samples of $\mathbf{1 7 a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{e}$ was particularly challenging (in line with literature reports ${ }^{10}$ ) with significant decomposition occurring during purification attempts and on storage. In several cases freshly prepared crude samples of the dialdehydes were used (Table 1, footnote c). Additionally, six 4-monosubstituted o-phthaldialdehydes 20a-f were synthesised using 2-3 step routes either involving a Swern oxidation of the corresponding diol $\mathbf{2 6}$ or an acetal deprotection of the corresponding monoacetal 24 (Scheme 2 and SI1 part II. 2 for more details).
<<single column scheme>>

26, 4-R
b)


5-examples:
17a: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OMe}$; 17b: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$
17c: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CF}_{3} ; 17 \mathrm{~d}: \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Cl}$
17e: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{F}$


20a: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OMe}$; 20b: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{F}$
20c: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Br}$; 20d: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$
20e: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CF}_{3} ; 20 \mathrm{f}: \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}$

Scheme 2: a) General synthesis scheme describing the approaches used to prepare monosubstituted $o$-phthaldialdehydes $\mathbf{1 7}$ or $\mathbf{2 0} . \mathbf{1 7}$ and $\mathbf{2 0}$ were synthesised by Swern oxidation of $\mathbf{2 3}$ or 26, or by acetal deprotection of $\mathbf{2 4}$ or $\mathbf{2 5}$; b) Structures of the monosubstituted $o$ phthaldialdehyde substrates $\mathbf{1 7}$ and $\mathbf{2 0}$ synthesised in this study.

### 2.2 Regioselectivity of the Condensation Reaction of Mono-substituted o-Phthaldialdehydes

The mono-substituted $o$-phthaldialdehydes 17a-e and 20a-f were refluxed for 4 h with alanine (16, 1.2 equivalents) in anhydrous acetonitrile before the reaction was concentrated in vacuo. The crude reaction mixtures (except when specified, Table 1) were then analysed using a quantitative ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR experiment. A baseline correction was applied using MestReNova-9 software and integrations were calculated relative to one proton on deconvoluted peaks (see Figure 2 for an example of the analysis applied to the formation of 18a/19a and SI1 part III. 1 for the rest of the NMR analysis; also see the experimental section below for a detailed explanation of the analytical protocol used).

In two of the condensation reactions the structure of the major regioisomer was identified by comparison with the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of a pure sample of one of the regioisomers (for 18a/19a, 21a/22a, for the synthesis of authentic isomers see SI1 part III.2). In the rest of the
cases, advanced NMR techniques (HSQC, HMBC, COSY) applied to the crude reaction mixture were used to assign the structure of the major regioisomer. Considering the analysis of the regioisomeric mixture of $\mathbf{1 8 b} \mathbf{/ 1 9 b}$ as an example (Figure 3), the proximity of a carbonyl was observed to shift the signal corresponding to the aromatic H 7 proton in $\mathbf{1 8 b}$ and the methyl H1' protons in 19b downfield (Figures 3a and 3b). Identification of H7 in 18b was further validated by its correlation with C 1 in the HMBC analysis of the regioisomeric mixture (Figure 3a). In contrast, H 4 in 19b showed a correlation with C 3 in this HMBC analysis (Figure 3c). Using the correlations observed in the COSY spectrum (Figure 3d), the signals corresponding to H 5 and H 6 for $\mathbf{1 8 b}$ and $\mathbf{1 9 b}$ were finally assigned. The value of the integrals in the 1 D quantitative ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra enabled the identification of $\mathbf{1 8 b}$ as major isomer.

Having assigned the signals corresponding to the two regioisomeric products in each case, and using as many peaks as possible, an average percentage of the major isomer with its $95 \%$ confidence interval was then calculated for each reaction (SI1 part III.3). The reaction and its analysis were also carried out in duplicate for each substrate demonstrating high reproducibility (SI1 part III.3).
<< double column width >>


Figure 2: Quantitative ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the product mixture containing 18a and 19a formed on reaction of $\mathbf{1 7 a}$ with alanine (16) (Table 1 entry 1). From left to right the spectra represent the aromatic, the methoxy and the alanine methyl protons. Overlap between the other signals corresponding to 18a and 19a prevented the use of the other proton signals in the calculations. The integrals and assignments considered for the calculation of the average percentage of the major regioisomer with its $95 \%$ confidence interval (and therefore the regioisomeric ratio, see Table 1) are shown here. The top spectra represent the first experiment and the spectra at the bottom represent the repeat reaction.
<< double column width >>
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Figure 3: NMR data used to identify the structure of the major isomer in the mixture of $\mathbf{1 8 b}$ and 19b regioisomers. H 7 in $\mathbf{1 8 b}$ was identified by its downfield shift, due to the neighbouring carbonyl group, and its correlation to C 1 in the HMBC spectrum mixture (spectrum A). H1' from 19b was identified by its downfield shift in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum due to the neighbouring carbonyl group (spectrum B). H4 from 19b was identified by its correlation to C3 in the HMBC spectrum of the regioisomeric mixture (spectrum C). Starting with H 4 from 19b, the correlations observed in the COSY spectrum (spectrum D) identified H5 (arrow 1) then H6 (arrow 2). Similarly, the correlations observed in the COSY spectrum for H 7 from 18b led to the identification H 5 and H 6 (arrow 3).
<<single column>>
Table 1: Condensation reaction of monosubstituted $o$-phthaldialdehydes $\mathbf{1 7}$ or $\mathbf{2 0}$.


| Substrate | Products ; regioisomeric ratio (rr) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Yield ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-substituted series |  |  |
|  |  | 78\% |
|  <br> $17 b^{\text {c }}$ |  | $49 \%{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
|  |  | $11 \%{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
|  |  | $33 \%{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
|  |  | 60\% |
| 4-substituted series |  |  |
|  |  | 90\% |
|  |  | 93\% |
|  |  | 90\% |
|  |  | 73\% |
|  |  | 55\% ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |


${ }^{a}$ based on analysis of crude reaction before purification (SI1 part III.3). ${ }^{\text {b }}$ isolated yield of the mixture of the two inseparable regioisomers. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ crude dialdehyde was used. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ extrapolated yield over two steps. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ a significant amount of impurities in the crude reaction mixture led to an inaccurate determination of rr (57:43 - 60:40, SI1 part III.1). The rr is reported after purification by chromatography with collection of as much product as possible. ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ the batch of dialdehyde $\mathbf{1 7} \mathbf{c}$ was divided into two portions to carry out the duplicate reactions. The resulting products were combined to give a yield over two steps.

In both the 3 - and 4 -substituted series, the regioselectivity of the condensation reaction was dependent on the substituent employed, as expected. In the 3 -substituted series, the presence of either an electron-donating or an electron-withdrawing substituent favoured the formation of regioisomer 18 although a decrease in the electron-donating strength led to a clear decrease in the regioselectivity. In the 4 -substituted series it was observed that as the electron-donating properties of the substituent decreased $\left(\mathrm{OMe}>\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Br} / \mathrm{Me}>\mathrm{CF}_{3}>\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$, the regioselectivity decreased and then switched from 21 being the major isomer to its regioisomer $\mathbf{2 2}$ being the dominant product. In both series, the methoxy substituent gave the highest regioselectivity leading to the proposal that a dimethoxysubstituted o-phthaldialdehyde 27 (Scheme 3) should react with very high regioselectivity.

### 2.3 Dimethoxysubstituted o-Phthaldialdehyde

As the monomethoxysubstituted substrates 17 a and 20a led to the major regioisomers 18a and 21a respectively, it was proposed that a 3,5-dimethoxysubstituted dialdehyde $\mathbf{2 7}$ would
react to give $\mathbf{2 8}$ with an increased regioselectivity compared to 17a and 20a (Scheme 3). A 6step route was developed to obtain 27 (SI1 part IV.1) and pleasingly, its subsequent reaction with alanine (16) gave $\mathbf{2 8}$ as the major regioisomer in a $\mathbf{8 8}: \mathbf{1 2}$ ratio of 28:29 (Scheme 3 and SI1 part IV.2).
<<single column>>


Scheme 3: Rationale behind the design of substrate 27, a 3,5-dimethoxysubstituted ophthaldialdehyde expected to favour the regioisomer 28 with a high regioselectivity. Reagents and conditions: a) alanine (16), anhyd. MeCN, reflux, $4 \mathrm{~h}, 93 \%$ yield of $\mathbf{2 8 : 2 9}$ with rr 88:12 (from 0.03 g of 27), $90 \%$ yield of $\mathbf{2 8}$ and $\mathbf{2 9}$ ( 1.2 g , from 0.97 g of 27); (b) alanine (16), anhyd. MeCN, rt, 29 h , quant. yield of 28:29 with rr 97:3 (from 0.03 g of 27); (c) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$, anhyd. $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 84 \%(1.06 \mathrm{~g})$ for $\mathbf{3 0}, 16 \%(0.20 \mathrm{~g})$ for 31.

On scaling up the condensation reaction of 27 ( 0.97 g instead of 30 mg initially used), the quantitative ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture was not carried out in this case due to the low solubility of the isoindolinone products requiring a large amount of deuterated solvent for their complete dissolution (Scheme 3). Purification by column chromatography
led to the pure and inseparable regioisomers 28 and 29 in good yield ( $90 \%, 1.2 \mathrm{~g}$ ). Derivatisation using thionyl chloride and methanol gave the now separable regioisomeric esters isolated in 1.06 g for ester $\mathbf{3 0}$ ( $84 \%$ yield) and 0.2 gram for ester $\mathbf{3 1}$ ( $16 \%$ yield) respectively. The overall esterification yield was quantitative and thus the initial ratio of $\mathbf{2 8}$ and 29 for the larger scale was calculated as $84: 16$ which was comparable to the small scale result (88:12 of 28:29). Inspired by this result, the condensation reaction was attempted on a small scale ( 30 mg of 27) at room temperature (as opposed to reflux) and led to an excellent regioselectivity of $\mathbf{9 7}: \mathbf{3}$ of $\mathbf{2 8 : 2 9}$ (Scheme 3 and SI1 part IV.3).

### 2.4 Mechanism Discussion

A continuing discussion on the likely mechanism of this condensation reaction has occurred in the literature over the last decade. ${ }^{5-6}$ The early stages of the proposed mechanisms can be divided into two categories involving either an isoindolinediol intermediate ${ }^{5}$ (such as $\mathbf{3 2}$ from 20a) or imine intermediates ${ }^{6}$ (such as 33 and 34 from 20a) (Scheme 4).
<<single column>>


Scheme 4: Considering the two most frequently proposed mechanisms in the literature ${ }^{5-6}$ : (i) if the reaction proceeds via $\mathbf{3 2}$, the electron-donating properties of the methoxy-substituent should favour the displacement of the C1-hydroxyl in 32, leading to the major isomer 21a (as observed) or (ii) if the reaction proceeds via 33 and $\mathbf{3 4}$, the electron-donating properties of the methoxy-substituent should decrease the reactivity of the C1-formyl in 20a, favouring 34 and leading to 22a as the major product (not observed).

Whilst drawing clear mechanistic conclusion from the 3-monosubstituted $o$-phthaldialdehyde series proved challenging (see SIl part V.1. for a more detailed discussion), the results obtained with the 4 -substituted series proved insightful (Table 1). Considering 20a as an example (Scheme 4), the electron-donating properties of the methoxy-substituent should favour the displacement of the C1-hydroxyl group in the proposed isoindolinediol intermediate 32 leading to the predicted formation of regioisomer 21a as the major product (as observed, see Table 1). In contrast, the electron-donating properties of the methoxysubstituent would be expected to decrease the reactivity of the C1-formyl group ${ }^{11}$ in 20a
favouring the formation of the proposed imine intermediate $\mathbf{3 4}$ over imine $\mathbf{3 3}$ and therefore predicting that 22a should be the major product (not observed). In this study, 21a was obtained as the major regioisomer providing evidence to support the initial conversion of 20a to the isoindolinediol intermediate 32. The same reasoning could explain the switch for the formation of the regioisomer $\mathbf{2 2} \mathbf{e}$ as the major product when the strongly electronwithdrawing nitro-substituent was used (substrate 20e, Table 1 and SI1 part V. 2 for a more detailed discussion). This conclusion is also supported by Pan et al. and Jones et al.'s studies reporting the ESI-MS ${ }^{2}$ and the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy detection of an isoindolinediol intermediate in a related transformation. ${ }^{5 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{bb}}$

Assuming an isoindolinediol intermediate is initially formed in this reaction, two routes have been proposed in the literature to form the final isoindolinones. ${ }^{5 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{c}, 5 \mathrm{e}, 5 \mathrm{f}}$ One of these involving a [1,3]-hydride shift was ruled out based on stereoelectronic arguments (see reference 5(f) for additional comment). Detailed consideration of the other possible mechanism and inspired by the reaction of the mono-substituted $o$-phthaldialdehyde 17 a , it was proposed that the use of a mono-deuterated mono-methoxysubstituted substrate such as $\mathbf{3 5}$ (Scheme 5) could potentially provide additional mechanistic information. If the proposed reaction pathway ${ }^{5 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{~d}}$ was followed, the isoindolinediol intermediate $\mathbf{3 6}$ formed from $\mathbf{3 5}$ could undergo either a loss of HDO leading to $\mathbf{3 7}$, and subsequently to $\mathbf{1 8 a}$, or a loss of water leading to $\mathbf{3 8}$, and subsequently to the deuterated 19a' (Scheme 5). In this case, only one of the two predicted products is deuterated. Analogous reasoning can be applied to the reaction of monodeuterated 39 (Scheme 6) and would predict the formation of one mono-deuterated isomer 18a' ${ }^{\prime}$ along with the non-deuterated $19 \mathbf{a}$.


Scheme 5: The predicted products formed from mono-deuterated 35 considering the likely isoindolinediol intermediate 36. Loss of HDO or water from $\mathbf{3 6}$ would lead to $\mathbf{3 7}$ or $\mathbf{3 8}$ and then to $\mathbf{1 8 a}$ and 19a' respectively via tautomerisation. An alternative mechanism proposed in the literature ${ }^{5 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{c}, 5 \mathrm{e}, 5 \mathrm{f}}$ involving a a $[1,3]$-deuteride or a [1,3]-hydride shift was ruled out based on stereoelectronic considerations in response to a comment during the review of this paper (see reference 5(f)).

Mono-deuterated 35 and 39 were successfully synthesised with the key deuterium incorporation step being achieved using sodium borodeuteride reduction of lactones $\mathbf{4 0}$ and 41 (Figure 4a, SI1 part II.1.1. and part VI.2.) ${ }^{12}$ followed by Swern oxidation ${ }^{13}$ of 42 and 43 respectively. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{3 5}$ and $\mathbf{3 9}$ confirmed the presence of a monodeuterated aldehyde (Figure 4b). However, a signal consistent with potential traces of non-deuterated 17a was observed in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 39 (see SI2 for spectrum). Analysis of the condensation of $\mathbf{3 5}$ and 39 with alanine (16) at reflux was carried out by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy (Figure 4c). The spectra associated with both purified reaction mixtures differed from that of pure 18a and 19a in the signals that corresponded to the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ carbon only (red circle, Figure 4 c ). The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR analysis of the reaction of $\mathbf{3 5}$ (spectrum ii) showed a major
isomer with a singlet corresponding to the $\underline{\mathrm{C}}_{2}$ signal of $\mathbf{1 8} \mathbf{a}$ (c.f. spectrum i and ii). The observed triplet (blue bars, spectrum ii) suggested that the minor isomer was monodeuterated and thus corresponded to $\mathbf{1 9 a}$. Similarly, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR analysis of the reaction of $\mathbf{3 9}$ (spectrum iii) showed the presence of the undeuterated minor isomer 19a (singlet, c.f. spectrum iv with iii) and the monodeuterated major isomer 18a' (triplet, blue bars, spectrum iii) with a small additional signal (labelled * in spectrum iii) assigned to the formation of trace amounts of undeuterated 18a (c.f. spectrum iii with i). Therefore, in both reactions, only one monodeuterated product was formed consistent with the reaction occurring as shown in Scheme 5. Further evidence to support this comes from work previously published by Pan et $a l .{ }^{5 a}$ The presence of trace amounts of undeuterated 18a when using substrate $\mathbf{3 9}$ could potentially be explained by the initial contamination of substrate 39 with traces of undeuterated substrate $\mathbf{1 7 a}$ or by the reversibility of the final tautomerisation step. Interestingly, the regioisomeric ratios obtained with substrates $\mathbf{3 5}$ and $\mathbf{3 9}$ were slightly affected by the presence of a deuterium atom suggesting a kinetic isotope effect (SI1 part VI.3.).

## 3. Conclusions

Although unstable and challenging to obtain, eleven monosubstituted o-phthaldialdehydes were successfully synthesised. The result of their condensation reaction with alanine (16) led to the design of a disubstituted analogue 27 that reacted with $\mathbf{1 6}$ in a highly regioselective manner (rr of 88:12 on 30 mg scale). A gram-scale reaction using this substrate 27 followed by esterification led to the isolation of pure isoindolinone regioisomers in high yield and selectivity (rr of 84:16). Performing this condensation at room temperature provided an excellent regioisomeric ratio of $97: 3$.
<< single column>>
a)



Figure 4: a) Synthesis of monodeuterated substrates $\mathbf{3 5}$ and 39. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{NaBD}_{4}, \mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}, N, N$-dimethylaniline, anhyd. THF, reflux, $20 \mathrm{~h}, 62 \%$ for $\mathbf{4 2}, 49 \%$ for $\mathbf{4 3}$; (b) $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}$, anhyd. DCM , anhyd. DMSO, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, rt, $15-17 \mathrm{~h}, 67 \%$ for $\mathbf{3 5}, 86 \%$ for $\mathbf{3 9}$; b) Aldehyde region of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of 17a, 35 and 39. Only one aldehyde signal is present in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{3 5}$ and $\mathbf{3 9}$ (red lines) compared to the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 17a supporting the formation of monodeuterated substrates; $\mathbf{C}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ region of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of: i) 18a; ii) the purified mixture of isoindolinones obtained from the condensation of 35 with alanine (16); iii) the purified mixture of isoindolinones obtained from the condensation of $\mathbf{3 9}$ with alanine (16); iv) 19a. The condensation reaction of $\mathbf{3 5}$ led to a major isomer with a singlet corresponding to 18a and a minor isomer with a triplet (blue bars, spectrum ii) corresponding to monodeuterated 19a'. Similarly, the condensation reaction of 39 led to a minor isomer with a singlet corresponding to 19a and a major isomer with a triplet
(blue bars, spectrum iii) corresponding to monodeuterated 18a'. $*{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signal consistent with traces of undeuterated 18a.

In addition, the structure of the major regioisomers of the condensation reactions of 4monosubstituted substrates supported the view that this reaction most likely occurs through an isoindolinediol intermediate ( 44 from $\mathbf{8}$,Scheme 6 ). Two routes have been proposed in the literature to form the final isoindolinone product from such an intermediate. ${ }^{5,5 c, 5 d}$ The synthesis and condensation reaction of two additional monodeuterated substrates supported a mechanism that most likely occurs via loss of water from 44 and a subsequent tautomerisation of $\mathbf{4 5}$ to give the more thermodynamically stable $\mathbf{4}$ (Scheme 6).
<< single column>>


Scheme 6: Proposed condensation reaction mechanism based on this study. Addition of amine $\mathrm{RNH}_{2}$ to o-phthaldialdehyde (8) leads to isoindolinediol intermediate 44. A water loss followed by tautomerisation of $\mathbf{4 5}$ would then provide the desired product 4 .

## 4. Experimental section

General methods: All solvents and chemicals were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, Alfa Aeser, Acros Organics, Fluorochem, Apollo Scientific or Fisher Scientific and used without further purification. All air or moisture sensitive reactions were carried out in flame or oven-
dried glassware under a positive pressure of nitrogen. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) analysis was performed using glass plates coated with silica gel (with fluorescent indicator $\mathrm{UV}_{254}$ ). Developed plates were air dried and analysed under a UV lamp (254/365 nm). Flash chromatography was performed using silica gel (40-62 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, Fluorochem). Melting points were measured using Electrothermal 9100 capillary melting point apparatus. Values are quoted to the nearest $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and are uncorrected. Fourier Transform infra-red spectra (FT IR) were acquired on a Shimadzu IR Affinity-1 Fourier transform IR spectrophotometer using thin films, a Pike MIRacle ATR accessory and Shimadzu IR solution v1.50 for analysis. Absorption maxima ( $v_{\max }$ ) are reported in wavenumbers $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$. Broad signals were assigned with as br. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were acquired at room temperature on a Brüker Advance $500\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}, 500 \mathrm{MHz} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$, a Brüker Advance $400\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$; $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ or a Brüker Advance $300\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}, 300 \mathrm{MHz} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ instruments. Deuterium solvents were used as lock for all NMR spectra and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR shifts were internally referenced to the solvent. Chemical shifts are expressed as $\delta$ in units of $\mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded using the PENDANT sequence mode. Data processing was carried out using MestReNova 9.0 NMR program (Brüker UK Ltd). Signals for protons and carbons were assigned, as far as possible, by using the following two-dimensional NMR spectroscopy techniques: $\left[{ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right] \operatorname{COSY}$ (COrrelation SpectroscopY), $\left[{ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right]$ HSQC (Heteronuclear Single Quantum Coherence) and [ $\left.{ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right]$ HMBC (Heteronuclear Multiple Bond Connectivity). For ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, the multiplicity is indicated by the following abbreviations: $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, $\mathrm{br} .=$ broad. The $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ proton NMR signals corresponding to the isoindolinone ring appeared as a singlet, a multiplet or as two apparent doublets with a roofing effect which are referred to as doublets hereafter. All ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals were singlets (except for compounds containing F) and correspond to one carbon unless otherwise stated. Mass spectrometric analysis (electrospray
mode, ES, or atmospheric solids analysis probe mode, ASAP) was carried out on a high performance orthogonal acceleration reflecting TOF (Time Of Flight) mass spectrometer operating in positive and negative mode, coupled to a Waters 2975 HPLC.

## General procedures

## General procedure A: Swern oxidation

To a solution of $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}$ ( 2.6 equiv.) in anhydrous DCM ( 1.4 mL per mmol of diol) was added dropwise a solution of anhydrous DMSO (5.2 equiv.) in anhydrous DCM ( 2.2 mL per mmol of diol) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and under a nitrogen atmosphere. After 10 mins. stirring at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a solution of diol (1.0 equiv.) in anhydrous DCM ( 2.2 mL per mmol of diol) was added at this temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h under a nitrogen atmosphere before $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( 1.4 mL per mmol of diol) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was warmed to rt and stirred for $2-18 \mathrm{~h}$ under a nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction was then quenched by addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organics were extracted with DCM, combined, washed with water, washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo to afford the desired $o$-phthaldialdehyde.

## General procedure B: Condensation reaction

Alanine (16, 1.2 equiv.) was added to a solution of unsymmetrical $o$-phthaldialdehyde (1.0 equiv.) in anhydrous MeCN ( 3.8 mL per mmol of $o$-phthaldialdehyde). The reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 4 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. The solution was then cooled to rt before being concentrated in vacuo to afford the crude mixture of regioisomers.

Quantitative ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis (SI1 part III.1) was carried out on this crude reaction mixture to obtain the average percentage of the major regioisomer with its $95 \%$ confidence interval (SI1 part III.3) and subsequently the regioisomeric ratio (rr, Table 1). The NMR spectrum was processed using MestReNova 9.0 as follows: (i) the baseline was corrected using the Whittaker smoother; (ii) the peaks were selected by manual threshold following a Global

Spectral Deconvolution (GSD) with a refinement level of 4 (20 fitting cycles). When the peaks were too overlapped to allow an accurate deconvolution, they were not used in the subsequent steps; (iii) the integral for each peak was calculated using the peaks method and applying an automatic integration; (iv) when the integrals of two distinguishable signals were overlapping, manual removal of one signal allowed the integral of the remaining signal to be obtained. For each signal, the contribution was calculated relative to one proton $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ integrals were divided by $2, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ integrals were divided by 3 and the integration of an aromatic signal corresponding to two protons belonging to the same regioisomer was divided by 2 ). Using as many signals as possible, an average regioisomeric ratio with its standard deviation was then calculated using Excel Microsoft Office software. The desired 95\% confidence interval was then calculated using the following formula: $=$ CONFIDENCE.NORM $(0,05$;standard deviation; number of ratio calculated). The crude product was then purified by column chromatography $(0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM then $0-10 \%$ MeOH in DCM with $1 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$ ) to afford the pure regioisomeric mixture and to enable calculation of the yield.

## General procedure C: Reduction of lactone using $\mathrm{NaBD}_{\mathbf{4}}$

To a solution of lactone (1 equiv.) in anhydrous THF ( 1 mL per mmol of lactone) under a nitrogen atmosphere were added cautiously $\mathrm{NaBD}_{4}$ (2 equiv.), $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ (1 equiv.) and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ dimethylaniline (1 equiv.). The reaction was refluxed for 20 h under a nitrogen atmosphere before being cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and quenched cautiously with an aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ (10\%). The grey solid formed was filtered off and washed with DCM. The filtrate was extracted with DCM. The organics were combined, washed with water, washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo to afford the crude product. Purification by
column chromatography ( $0-100 \%$ EtOAc in petroleum ether) afforded the desired deuterated diol.

## Compounds synthesised

3-Methoxyphthalaldehyde (17a) ${ }^{13}$
17a was synthesised according to general procedure $\mathbf{A}$ using (3-methoxy-1,2phenylene)dimethanol (23a, 1.0 equiv., $500 \mathrm{mg}, 2.97 \mathrm{mmol}),(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}$ ( 2.6 equiv., 0.66 mL , 7.73 mmol ), DMSO ( 5.2 equiv., $1.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Additional DMSO ( 1 mL ) was required to help 23a solubilisation. The reaction was stirred at rt for 17 h . Pure 17a was obtained after recrystallisation from EtOAc as a yellow gum ( $249 \mathrm{mg}, 1.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 51 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 10.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 1$ ''), $10.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 1$ '), $7.63(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4), 3.97\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$. Spectral data in accordance with those reported in the literature. ${ }^{13}$

2-(4-Methoxy-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)propanoic acid (18a) with 2-(7-methoxy-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)propanoic acid (19a)

A mixture of 18a and 19a was synthesised according to general procedure $\mathbf{B}$ using 4methoxyphthalaldehyde ( $\mathbf{1 7 a}, 1.0$ equiv., $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and alanine ( $\mathbf{1 6}, 1.2$ equiv., 19 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). A pure regioisomeric mixture of 18a and 19a was obtained as a light yellow solid ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 78 \%$ ). Each regioisomer was also synthesised in pure form via a different method (SI1 part III.2) and their characterisation is reported here.

18a: Mp: 205-208 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\mathrm{v}_{\max } \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2943 (O-H stretch), 1721 (C=O stretch), 1628 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretch), 1605 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretch), 1497 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretch), 1275 (C-O stretch), 1061, 806; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$

NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.48(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 7), 7.19$ (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5), 4.99(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3), 4.47$ (d, $J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3), 3.93\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 1.62\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{c}} 174.7(\mathrm{COOH}), 171.0(\mathrm{C} 1), 156.2(\mathrm{C} 4), 134.5(\mathrm{C} 7 \mathrm{a}), 131.4(\mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{a}), 131.1$
(C6), $116.2(\mathrm{C} 7), 114.6(\mathrm{C} 5), 56.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 51.0(\mathrm{CH}), 46.3(\mathrm{C} 3), 15.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$ $m / z$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 236.0917$; found: 236.0918. See SI1 part IX for experimental procedure and SI2 for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra.

19a: Mp: $218-220{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathbf{I R} \mathrm{v}_{\max } \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2934 (O-H stretch), 1728 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretch), 1636 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretch), 1612 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretch), 1489 ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bend), 1456 ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bend), 1279 ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ stretch), 1204 (C-O stretch), 1180 (C-O stretch), 1084, 773; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.55(\mathrm{t}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6), 4.96(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3), 4.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 1.59\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{c}} 174.9(\mathrm{COOH})$, 170.0 (C1), 158.8 (C7), 146.3 (C3a), 135.0 (C5), 120.1 (C7a), 116.2 (C4), 111.2 (C6), 56.0 $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 50.7(\mathrm{CH}), 48.0(\mathrm{C} 3), 15.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 236.0917$; found: 236.0917. See SI1 part IX for experimental procedure and SI2 for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra.

2-(4-Methyl-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)propanoic acid (18b) with 2-(7-methyl-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)propanoic acid (19b) via 3-methylphtalaldehyde (17b)

17b was synthesised according to general procedure $\mathbf{A}$ using (3-methyl-1,2phenylene)dimethanol (23b, 1.0 equiv., $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}$ ( 2.6 equiv., 0.15 mL , 1.77 mmol ) and DMSO ( 5.2 equiv., $0.24 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was stirred at rt for 2 h. Crude 17b was obtained contaminated with a small amount of impurities ( $73 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49$ $\mathrm{mmol}, \mathbf{7 5 \%}$ assuming pure 17b) Characterisation was performed on this slightly impure mixture with the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals reported for $\mathbf{1 7 b}$ only.

IR $v_{\text {Max }} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (Thin Film) 2922 (C-H stretch), 1690 (C=O stretch), 1481 (C-H bend), 1358, $1260,1086,1067,1038 ; 907,866,775 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 10.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 1$ '’), $10.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 1$ '), 7.72 (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6), 7.57(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5), 7.49$ (d, $J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4), 2.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 193.7$ (C1'’), 192.8 (C1'),
140.0 (C3), 137.3 ( C 1 ), 136.7 (C4), 135.9 (C2), $132.4(\mathrm{C} 5), 129.3(\mathrm{C} 6), 19.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 149.0597$; found: 149.0595. See SI2 for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra.

A portion of this impure mixture ( 1.0 equiv., $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ assuming pure 17b) was treated according to general procedure $\mathbf{B}$ using alanine (16, 1.2 equiv., $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). A pure regioisomeric mixture of $\mathbf{1 8 b}$ and $\mathbf{1 9 b}$ was obtained as a light yellow solid ( $29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13$ mmol, $49 \%$ extrapolated yield over 2 steps). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals integration are given assuming a mixture of $\mathbf{1 8 b} \mathbf{: 1 9 b}$ with a ratio of $1: 1$ for clarity.

IR $v_{\text {Max }} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (Thin Film) 2916 (O-H stretch), 2361 (C-H stretch), 1734 (C=O stretch), 1636 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretch), 1609 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretch), 1456 (O-H bend), 1211 (C-O stretch), 1175 (C-O stretch); ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 7 \mathrm{in} \mathbf{1 8 b}), 7.45(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5$ in 19b), $7.42-7.38$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5$ and H 6 in 18b), 7.36 (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4$ in 19b), 7.22 (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6$ in 19b), 5.02 (q, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ in $\mathbf{1 8 b}), 4.98(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ in 19b), $4.58-4.45$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3$ in 18b, H 3 in 19b), 2.65 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 1$ ' in 19b), 2.39 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ' in 18b), $1.64\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ in $\left.\mathbf{1 8 b}\right), 1.60\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ in 19b); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.175 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 175.0(\mathrm{COOH}$ in 19b), $174.8(\mathrm{COOH}$ in $\mathbf{1 8 b})$, 171.6 ( C 1 in 19b), 171.4 ( C 1 in 18b), 144.2 ( C 3 a in 19b), $142.5(\mathrm{C} 4$ in 18b), 138.4 ( C 7 in 19b), 134.4 (C3a or C 7 a in 18b), 133.9 (C5 in 18b), 132.7 (2C, C5 in 19b, C3a or C7a in 18b), 130.9 ( C 6 in 19b), 130.1 ( C 7 a in 19b), 129.4 ( C 6 in 18b), 121.73 ( C 4 in 19b or C 7 in 18b), 121.67 ( C 4 in 19b or C 7 in 18b), $51.0(\mathrm{CH}$ in 18b), $50.7(\mathrm{CH}$ in 19b), $47.8(\mathrm{C} 3$ in 19b), $47.7\left(\mathrm{C} 3\right.$ in 18b), $17.52\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}\right.$ in 18b or 19b), $17.45\left(\mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right.$ ' in 18b or 19b), $16.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ in 18b), $15.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ in 19b); HRMS (ES) $m / z$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ [M-H]: 218.0823; found: 218.0823. See SI2 for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra.

## 2-(1-Oxo-4-(trifluoromethyl)isoindolin-2-yl)propanoic acid (18c) with 2-(1-oxo-7-(trifluoromethyl)isoindolin-2-yl)propanoic acid (19c) via 3(trifluoromethyl)phthalaldehyde (17c)

To a solution of 2-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)-6-(trifluoromethyl)benzaldehyde (24c, 1 equiv., 100 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added an aqueous solution of $\mathrm{HCl}(2 \mathrm{M}, 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction was stirred at rt for 15 h . Water was added and the organics were extracted with DCM, combined, washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. 17c was obtained impure ( 24 mg ). The considerable amount of impurities prevented any characterisation. Instead, this impure mixture ( 1.0 equiv., $24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ assuming pure $\mathbf{1 7 c}$ ) was directly treated according to general procedure $\mathbf{B}$ using alanine (16, 1.2 equiv., 13 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). A pure regioisomeric mixture of 18c and $\mathbf{1 9 c}$ was obtained as a light brown oil ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 11 \%$ yield over 2 steps). The small amount of product available did not allow the identification of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals corresponding to $\underline{\mathrm{C}} 4, \underline{\mathrm{CF}_{3}}$ in $\mathbf{1 8 c}$ and $\underline{\mathrm{C}} 7$, $\underline{C F}_{3}$ in 19c and allowed the visibility of grease impurities in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra. Additionally, the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals corresponding to COOH in 18 c and in 19 c were extrapolated from the HMBC spectrum (SI1 part VII). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signal integration are given assuming a mixture of $\mathbf{1 8 c} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{1 9} \mathbf{c}$ with a ratio of $1: 1$ for clarity.

IR $v_{\text {Max }} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (Thin Film) 2922 (O-H stretch), 2359 (C-H stretch), 1684 (br. C=O stretch), 1558 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretch), 1456 ( $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bend), 1325 (C-F stretch), 1117 (C-F stretch); ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 7$ in $\mathbf{1 8 c}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5$ in $\mathbf{1 8 c})$, $7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4$ in 19 c$), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6$ in 19 c$), 7.78-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, H5 in 19c, H 6 in 18c), $5.03-4.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ in 18c, CH in 19c), $4.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, H3 in 18c), $4.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3$ in $\mathbf{1 8 c}), 4.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3$ in 19c), 4.62 $(\mathrm{d}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3$ in 19 c$), 1.64\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ in $\left.\mathbf{1 8 c}\right), 1.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ in 19c); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (175 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 174.0(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{COOH}$ in $\mathbf{1 8 c}, \mathrm{COOH}$ in $\mathbf{1 9 c}$,
extrapolated from the HMBC spectrum, SI1 part VII), 169.2 ( C 1 in 18c), 167.4 ( C 1 in 19c), 146.4 (C3a in 19c), 140.7 (C3a in 18c), 135.1 (C7a in 18c), 132.9 (C5 in 19c), 130.3 (C6 in 18c), 129.9-129.8 (m, C 5 in 18c), $128.5(\mathrm{C} 4$ in 19c), $128.3(\mathrm{C} 7$ in 18c), $126.8(\mathrm{C} 7 \mathrm{a}$ in 19c), 126.6-126.5 (m, C6 in 19c), $51.7(\mathrm{CH}$ in 18c or 19c), $51.5(\mathrm{CH}$ in $\mathbf{1 8 c}$ or 19c), $48.2(\mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{in}$ 19c), $47.9\left(\mathrm{C} 3\right.$ in 18c), $16.03\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ in $\mathbf{1 8 c}$ or $\left.\mathbf{1 9 c}\right), 16.00\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ in $\mathbf{1 8 c}$ or $\left.19 \mathbf{c}\right)$; HRMS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{3}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]$ : 272.0540; found:272.0540. See SI2 for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra.

2-(4-Chloro-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)propanoic acid (18d) with 2-(7-chloro-1-oxoisoindolin-

## 2-yl)propanoic acid (19d) via 3-chlorophtalaldehyde (17d)

17d was synthesised according to general procedure $\mathbf{A}$ using (3-chloro-1,2phenylene)dimethanol (23d, 1.0 equiv., $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol}),(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}(2.6$ equiv., 0.13 mL , 1.51 mmol ) and DMSO ( 5.2 equiv., $0.21 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was stirred at rt for 2 h. Crude 17d was obtained with small impurities ( $51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 52 \%$ assuming pure 17d) Characterisation was performed on this slightly impure mixture with the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals reported for $\mathbf{1 7 d}$ only.

IR $v_{\text {Max }} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (Thin Film) 2924 (C-H stretch), 1695 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretch), 1591 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretch), 1460 (C-H bend), 1354, 1292, 1175, 1144, 1078, 910, 862, 773 ; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ $10.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 1$ '’), $10.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 1$ '), $7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H} 4), 7.64(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 191.3\left(\mathrm{C} 1\right.$ ' or $\left.\mathrm{C} 1{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\right), 191.2$ ( C 1 ' or C 1 '’), 139.0 (C1), 137.5 (C3), 135.1 (C4), 134.3 (C5), 133.8 (C2), 127.7 (C6); HRMS (ES $\left.{ }^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{35} \mathrm{Cl}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 169.0051$; found: 169.0046. See SI2 for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra.

A portion of this impure mixture ( 1.0 equiv., $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ assuming pure $\mathbf{1 7 d}$ ) was treated according to general procedure $\mathbf{B}$ using alanine ( $\mathbf{1 6}, 1.2$ equiv., $19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). A pure regioisomeric mixture of $\mathbf{1 8 d}$ and $\mathbf{1 9 d}$ was obtained as a yellow gum ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11$
mmol, $33 \%$ extrapolated yield over 2 steps). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals integration are given assuming a mixture of $\mathbf{1 8 d} \mathbf{d} \mathbf{1 9 d}$ with a ratio of $1: 1$ for clarity.

IR $v_{\text {Max }} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (Thin Film) 2922 (O-H stretch), 2359 (C-H stretch), 1653 (br. C=O stretch), 1558 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretch), 1456 (O-H bend), 1206 (C-O stretch), 1173 (C-O stretch); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 7$ in $\mathbf{1 8 d}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5$ in $\mathbf{1 8 d})$, 7.59-7.51 (m, 3H, H4 in 19d, H5 in 19d, H6 in 18d), $7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6$ in 19d), 5.03-4.94 (m, 2H, CH in 18d, CH in $\mathbf{1 9 d}$ ), $4.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3$ in $\mathbf{1 8 d}), 4.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3$ in $\mathbf{1 9 d}), 4.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3$ in $\mathbf{1 8 d}), 4.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3$ in 19d), $1.65\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ in $\left.\mathbf{1 8 d}\right), 1.62\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ in $\left.\mathbf{1 9 d}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $175 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 175.4$ (2C, COOH in $\mathbf{1 8 d}$, COOH in 19d), $169.8(\mathrm{C} 1$ in $\mathbf{1 8 d})$, 168.4 (C1 in 19d), 146.5 (C3a in 19d), 141.6 (C3a in 18d), 135.4 (C7a in 18d), 134.0 (C5 in 19d), $133.0(\mathrm{C} 5$ in 18d), 132.0 ( C 7 in 19d), 131.2 ( C 6 in 18d), 130.6 ( C 6 in 19d), 130.4 (C4 in 18d), 129.1 ( C 7 a in 19d), 123.1 ( C 4 in 19d), 123.0 ( C 7 in 18d), 51.6 ( CH in 18d), 51.4 $\left(\mathrm{CH}\right.$ in 19d), $47.71\left(\mathrm{C} 3\right.$ in 18d), $47.68(\mathrm{C} 3$ in $\mathbf{1 9 d}), 16.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ in $\left.\mathbf{1 8 d}\right), 15.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ in 19d); HRMS (ES ${ }^{-} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{35} \mathrm{Cl}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}: 238.0276$; found: 238.0277. See SI2 for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra.

## 3-Fluorophthalaldehyde (17e)

To a solution of 2,2'-(3-fluoro-1,2-phenylene)bis(1,3-dioxolane) (25e, 1 equiv., $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.42$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added an aqueous solution of $\mathrm{HCl}(2 \mathrm{M}, 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction was stirred at rt for 3 h . Water was added and the organics were extracted with DCM, combined, washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. Pure 17e was obtained as a light orange gum ( $31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 49 \%$ ).

IR $v_{\text {Max }} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (Thin Film) 2928 (C-H stretch), 1694 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretch), 1603 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretch), 1479 (C-H bend), 1248 (C-F stretch), 1094, 917, 783; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 10.56$ (s, 1H, H1' '), 10.49 (s, 1H, H1'), 7.75-7.69 (m, 2H, H5, H6), 7.45-7.41 (m, 1H, H4); ${ }^{13}$ C NMR
( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 191.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 1 ’), 188.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\right), 164.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $260.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 3), 138.3$ (C1), 135.8 (d, $J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 5), 125.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 6), 124.3$ (d, $J=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 2)$, $121.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 4)$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ASAP}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]:$ 153.0352; found: 153.0365 . See SI2 for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra.

2-(4-Fluoro-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)propanoic acid (18e) with 2-(7-fluoro-1-oxoisoindolin-

## 2-yl)propanoic acid (19e)

A mixture of 18e and 19e was synthesised according to general procedure $\mathbf{B}$ using 3fluorophthalaldehyde ( $\mathbf{1 7 e}, 1.0$ equiv., $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and alanine ( $\mathbf{1 6}, 1.2$ equiv., 11 mg , 0.12 mmol ). A pure regioisomeric mixture of $\mathbf{1 8 e}$ and $\mathbf{1 9 e}$ was obtained as a yellow solid (13 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%)$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals integration are given assuming a mixture of $18 \mathrm{e}: 19 \mathrm{e}$ with a ratio of $1: 1$ for clarity.

IR $v_{\text {Max }} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (Thin Film) 2918 (O-H stretch), 2359 (C-H stretch), 1749 (C=O stretch), 1653 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretch), 1558 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretch), 1489 (C-H bend), 1456 (O-H bend), 1396, 1173 (C-F stretch); ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.64-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 7$ in $\mathbf{1 8 e}, \mathrm{H} 5$ in 19e), 7.55 (td, $J=8.0,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6$ in $\mathbf{1 8 e}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4$ in $\mathbf{1 9 e}), 7.36(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, H5 in 18e), 7.16 (t, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6$ in 19e), $5.00-4.94$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ in $19 \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{CH}$ in 18e), $4.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3$ in 18e), $4.65-4.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3$ in 19e, H3 in 18e), $4.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3$ in 19e), $1.63\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ in $\left.\mathbf{1 8 e}\right), 1.61\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ in 19e); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $175 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 175.1(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{COOH}$ in 18e, COOH in 19e), $169.8(\mathrm{C} 1$ in 18e), 167.8 ( C 1 in 19e), 160.1 ( $\mathrm{d}, J=257.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 7$ in 19e), $159.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=249.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 4$ in 18e), $146.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{a}$ in 19e), $136.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 7 \mathrm{a}$ in 18e), $135.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C} 5$ in 19e), $131.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 6$ in 18e), $129.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{a}$ in 18e), 120.54 (d, $J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 4$ in 19e or C7 in 18e), $120.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 4$ in 19e or C 7 in 18e), 120.3 (d, $J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 7 \mathrm{a}$ in 19e) $119.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=19.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 5$ in 18e), $116.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=19.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 6$ in 19e), $51.5\left(\mathrm{CH}\right.$ in 18e), $51.1\left(\mathrm{CH}\right.$ in 19e), $48.4\left(\mathrm{C} 3\right.$ in 19e), $45.4\left(\mathrm{C} 3\right.$ in 18e), $15.95\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ in

18e or 19e), $15.92\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ in 18e or 19e); HRMS (ES) $m / z$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~F}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$: 222.0572; found: 222.0572. See SI2 for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra.

## 4-Methoxyphthalaldehyde (20a) ${ }^{14}$

2-(1,3-Dioxolan-2-yl)-4-methoxybenzaldehyde (24a, 1 equiv., $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 9.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred in an aqueous solution of $\mathrm{HCl}(3 \mathrm{M}, 330 \mathrm{~mL})$ at rt for 16 h . The organics were extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, combined, washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo to afford pure 20a as an orange solid ( $1.35 \mathrm{~g}, 8.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 86 \%$ ).

Mp: 78-81 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ lit. $\left.75-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right){ }^{14} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 10.66$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}$ ), 10.33 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}$ ), 7.93 (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3), 7.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5$, $3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5), 3.95\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$. Spectral data in accordance with those reported in the literature. ${ }^{14}$

## 2-(6-Methoxy-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)propanoic acid (21a) with 2-(5-methoxy-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)propanoic acid (22a)

A mixture of 21a and 22a was synthesised according to general procedure $\mathbf{B}$ using 4methoxyphthalaldehyde ( $\mathbf{2 0 a}, 1.0$ equiv., $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and alanine ( $\mathbf{1 6}, 1.2$ equiv., 19 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). A pure regioisomeric mixture of 21a and 22a was obtained as a light orange solid ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%$ ). Each regioisomer was synthesised in pure form via a different method (SI1 part III.2.) and their characterisation is reported here.

21a: Mp: 194-196 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\mathrm{v}_{\max } \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 3370 (O-H stretch), 1730 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretch), 1636 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretch), 1493 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretch), 1456 (C-H bend), 1447 (C-H bend), 1196 (C-O stretch), 1022, 770; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 7$ ), 7.19 (dd, $J=8.5,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5), 5.00(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3), 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3), 3.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 1.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{c}} 174.8(\mathrm{COOH}), 171.0(\mathrm{C} 1), 161.6(\mathrm{C} 6), 135.8(\mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{a})$, 134.3 (C7a), 125.2 (C4), $121.0(\mathrm{C} 5), 107.4(\mathrm{C} 7), 56.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 51.2(\mathrm{CH}), 48.1(\mathrm{C} 3), 15.9$
$\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 236.0917$; found: 236.0921. See SI1 part IX for experimental procedure and SI2 for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra.

22a: Mp: $191-193{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\mathrm{v}_{\max } \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 3229 (O-H stretch), 1717 (C=O stretch), 1628 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretch), 1611 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretch), 1558 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretch), 1506 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretch), 1447 (C-H bend), 1435 (C-H bend), 1298 (C-N stretch), 1206 (C-O stretch), 1086, 1026, 845, 775; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 7), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4), 7.04$ (dd, $J=8.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6), 4.98(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3)$, $4.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3), 3.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 1.60\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{c}} 174.8(\mathrm{COOH}), 171.0(\mathrm{C} 1), 164.9(\mathrm{C} 5), 146.2(\mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{a}), 125.6$ (C7), $125.4(\mathrm{C} 7 \mathrm{a}), 116.3(\mathrm{C} 6), 108.8(\mathrm{C} 4), 56.2\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 50.9(\mathrm{CH}), 48.4(\mathrm{C} 3), 15.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ES $\left.{ }^{+}\right) m / z$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 236.0917$; found: 236.0921. See SI1 part IX for experimental procedure and SI2 for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra.

4-Fluorophthalaldehyde (20b) ${ }^{14}$
20b was synthesised according to general procedure $\mathbf{A}$ using (4-fluoro-1,2phenylene)dimethanol (26b, 1.0 equiv., $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}$ ( 2.6 equiv., 0.3 mL , 3.33 mmol ), DMSO ( 5.2 equiv., $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was stirred at rt for 17 h . Pure 20b was obtained as a yellow gum ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 77 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 10.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}), 10.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}), 8.02$ (dd, $J=8.5,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6$ ), 7.67 (dd, $J=8.5,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3$ ), 7.45 (ddd, $J=8.5,7.5,2.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5)$. Spectral data in accordance with those reported in the literature. ${ }^{14}$

2-(6-Fluoro-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)propanoic acid (21b) with 2-(5-fluoro-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)propanoic acid (22b)

A mixture of 21b and 22b was synthesised according to general procedure $\mathbf{B}$ using 4fluorophthalaldehyde (20b, 1.0 equiv., $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and alanine ( $\mathbf{1 6}, 1.2$ equiv., 15 mg , 0.17 mmol ). A pure regioisomeric mixture of $\mathbf{2 1 b}$ and $\mathbf{2 2 b}$ was obtained as an orange oil (30
$\mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 93 \%)$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals integration are given assuming a mixture of $\mathbf{2 1 b} \mathbf{2} \mathbf{2 2 b}$ with a ratio of $1: 1$ for clarity.

IR $v_{\text {Max }} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (Thin Film) 2924 (O-H stretch), 1732 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretch), 1647 (br. $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretch), 1487 (C-H bend), 1456 (O-H bend), 1207 (C-F stretch), 1179 (C-O stretch), $772 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (700 MHz, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.79$ (dd, $J=8.5,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 7$ in 22b), $7.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H, H4 in 21b), 7.46 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 7$ in 21b), $7.38-7.34$ (m, 2H, H4 in 22b, H5 in 21b), $7.25(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6$ in 22b), $5.01-4.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ in 21b, CH in 22b), $4.65-$ $4.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3\right.$ in 21b, H 3 in 22b), $1.612\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ in 21b), $1.607(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ in 22b); ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $175 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 174.9(\mathrm{COOH}$ in 22b), 174.8 (COOH in 21b), 169.9 ( C 1 in 21b or 22b), 169.8 ( C 1 in 21b or 22b), 166.8 (d, $J=250.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, C5 in 22b), 164.2 ( $\mathrm{d}, J=245.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, C6 in 21b), $146.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{a}$ in 22b), 139.4 (C3a in 21b), 135.1 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 7$ a in 21b), 129.3 (C7a in 22b), 126.5 ( $\mathrm{d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 7$ in 22b), 126.3 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 4$ in 21b), $120.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=23.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 5$ in 21b), $116.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $23.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 6$ in 22b), 111.6 (d, $J=24.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 4$ in 22b), 110.6 (d, $J=23.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 7$ in 21b), $51.3\left(\mathrm{CH}\right.$ in 21b), $51.2\left(\mathrm{CH}\right.$ in 22b), $48.3\left(\mathrm{C} 3\right.$ in 22b), $48.2\left(\mathrm{C} 3\right.$ in 21b), $15.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ in 21b, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ in 22b); HRMS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~F}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}: 222.0572$; found: 222.0567. See SI2 for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra.

## 4-Bromophthalaldehyde (20c) ${ }^{15}$

20c was synthesised according to general procedure $\mathbf{A}$ using (4-bromo-1,2phenylene)dimethanol (26c, 1.0 equiv., $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol}),(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}(2.6$ equiv., 0.10 mL , 1.20 mmol ), DMSO ( 5.2 equiv., $0.17 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and additional DMSO ( 0.15 mL ) was required to help 26c solubilisation. The reaction was stirred at rt for 14 h . Pure 20c was obtained as a light yellow solid ( $86 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 88 \%$ ).

Mp: 95-98 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. $97-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) ${ }^{16} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 10.51$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}$ ), 10.45 (s, 1H, CHO), $8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3), 7.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6)$. Spectral data in accordance with those reported in the literature. ${ }^{15}$

## 2-(6-Bromo-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)propanoic acid (21c) with 2-(5-bromo-1-oxoisoindolin-

## 2-yl)propanoic acid (22c)

A mixture of 21c and 22c was synthesised according to general procedure $\mathbf{B}$ using 4bromophthalaldehyde (20c, 1.0 equiv., $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.070 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and alanine ( $\mathbf{1 6}, 1.2$ equiv., 8 mg , 0.084 mmol ). A pure regioisomeric mixture of 21c and 22c was obtained as a light orange oil ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.063 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%$ ). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals integration are given assuming a mixture of 21c:22c with a ratio of $1: 1$ for clarity.

IR $v_{\text {Max }} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (Thin Film) 2920 (O-H stretch), 2359 (C-H stretch), 1732 (C=O stretch), 1653 (br. $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretch), 1456 (O-H bend), 1418 ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bend), 1204 (C-O stretch), $768 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (700 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 7$ in 21c), $7.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4$ in 22c), $7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5$ in 21c), $7.68-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6$ in 22c, H 7 in $\mathbf{2 2 c}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4$ in 21c), $4.989(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ in 21 c$), 4.985(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ in 22 c$), 4.64-4.52(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, H 3 in 21c, H 3 in 22c), $1.613\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ in 21 c$), 1.609\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ in 22c); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $175 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 174.8(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{COOH}$ in $21 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{COOH}$ in 22c), 169.9 ( C 1 in 22c), 169.4 ( C 1 in 21c), 145.8 ( C 3 a in 22c), 142.7 ( C 3 a in 21c), 136.0 ( C 5 in 21c), 135.3 ( C 7 a in 21c), 132.6 ( C 6 in 22c), 132.2 ( C 7 a in 22c), 127.8 ( C 4 in 22c), 127.6 ( C 5 in 22c), $127.2(\mathrm{C} 7$ in 21c $), 126.3(\mathrm{C} 4$ in 21c), $125.8(\mathrm{C} 7$ in 22c), $122.9(\mathrm{C} 6$ in 21c), $51.3(\mathrm{CH}$ in 21c), $51.2\left(\mathrm{CH}\right.$ in 22c), $48.4\left(\mathrm{C} 3\right.$ in 21c), $48.2\left(\mathrm{C} 3\right.$ in 22c), $16.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ in 21c, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ in 22c); HRMS (ASAP ${ }^{+}$) $m / z$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{79} \mathrm{Br}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]:$ 283.9922; found: 283.9919. See SI2 for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra.

## 4-Methylphthalaldehyde (20d) ${ }^{17}$

20d was synthesised according to general procedure $\mathbf{A}$ using (4-methyl-1,2phenylene)dimethanol (26d, 1.0 equiv., $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.31 \mathrm{mmol}),(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}(2.6$ equiv., 0.29 mL , 3.4 mmol ), DMSO ( 5.2 equiv., $0.48 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was stirred at rt for 17 h . Pure 20d was obtained as an orange gum ( $174 \mathrm{mg}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 10.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}) 10.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 2.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. Spectral data in accordance with those reported in the literature. ${ }^{17}$

2-(6-Methyl-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)propanoic acid (21d) with 2-(5-methyl-1-oxoisoindolin-

## 2-yl)propanoic acid (22d)

A mixture of 21d and 22d was synthesised according to general procedure $\mathbf{B}$ using 4methylphthalaldehyde (20d, 1.0 equiv., $26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and alanine ( $\mathbf{1 6}$, 1.2 equiv., 19 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). A pure regioisomeric mixture of $\mathbf{2 1 d}$ and $\mathbf{2 2 d}$ was obtained as a yellow solid ( $29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 73 \%$ ). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals integration are given assuming a mixture of $\mathbf{2 1 d} \mathbf{2 2 d}$ with a ratio of $1: 1$ for clarity.

IR $v_{\text {Max }} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (Thin Film) 2914 (O-H stretch), 1734 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretch), 1638 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretch), 1456 (O-H bend), 1198 (C-O stretch), 1173 (C-O stretch), $772 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ $7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 7$ in 22d), $7.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 7$ in 21d), $7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{in}$ 21d), 7.43 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5$ in 21d), 7.38 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4$ in $22 d$ ), $7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, H6 in 22d), $5.00-4.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ in 22d, CH in 21d), $4.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3$ in 21d, H3 in 22d), $4.48\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3\right.$ in 21d, H 3 in 22d), $2.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right.$ ' in 22d), 2.43 (s, 3H, H1' in 21d), 1.59 (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ in 21d, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ in 22d); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}(175 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 175.4(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{COOH}$ in 21d, COOH in 22d), 171.1 (2C, C 1 in 21d, C 1 in 22d), 144.2 (C3a or C5 in 22d), 144.1 (C3a or C5 in 22d), 141.0 (C3a in 21d), 139.4 (C6 in 21d), 134.1 ( C 5 in 21d), 133.2 ( C 7 a in 21d), 130.5 ( C 7 a in 22d), 130.1 ( C 6 in 22d), 124.7 ( C 4 in 22d), 124.3 (C7 in 21d), 124.03 (C7 in 22d or C4 in 21d), 124.02 (C7 in 22d or C4 in 21d),
51.24 ( CH in 21d), 51.17 ( CH in 22d), 48.32 ( C 3 in 22d), 48.29 ( C 3 in 21d), 21.9 ( $\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}$ in 22d), 21.3 ( $\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}$ ' in 21d), 16.1 ( $2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ in 21d, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ in 22d); HRMS ( $\mathrm{ASAP}^{+}$) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]: 220.0974$; found: 220.0973. See SI2 for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra.

| 2-(6-Trifluoromethyl-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)propanoic | acid | (21e) | with | 2-(5- |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| trifluoromethyl-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)propanoic | acid | (22e) | via | 4- | (trifluoromethyl)phtalaldehyde (20e)

20e was synthesised according to general procedure $\mathbf{A}$ using (4-(trifluoromethyl)-1,2phenylene)dimethanol (26e, 1.0 equiv., $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}(2.6$ equiv., 0.11 mL , 1.27 mmol ), DMSO ( 5.2 equiv., $0.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was stirred at rt for 15 h . Crude 20e was obtained with small amount of impurities ( $74 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 75 \%$ assuming pure 20e). Characterisation was performed on this slightly impure mixture with the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals reported for 20e only. The small intensity of the $\underline{\mathrm{C}} 4$ and $\underline{\mathrm{CF}}_{3}$ carbon signals on the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum revealed doublets instead of the expected quartets.

IR $v_{\text {Max }} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (Thin Film) 2932 (C-H stretch), 1697 (C=O stretch), 1327 (C-F stretch), 1165, 1121 (C-F stretch), 1057, 889, 831; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 10.58$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 1{ }^{\prime}$ ), 10.55 (s, 1H, H1'’), 8.23 (s, 1H, H3), 8.11 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6$ ), 8.03 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 191.3(\mathrm{C} 1$ '), 190.8 (C1'"), 138.8 (C1), 136.9 (C2), 135.5 (d, $J=33.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 4), 131.7(\mathrm{C} 6), 130.5(\mathrm{q}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 5), 128.2(\mathrm{q}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 3), 123.0(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=273.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ES') m/z calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3}[\mathrm{M}]:$ 202.0247; found: 202.0247. See SI2 for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra.

A portion of this impure mixture ( 1.0 equiv., $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ assuming pure 20e) was treated according to general procedure $\mathbf{B}$ using alanine (16, 1.2 equiv., $16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). A pure regioisomeric mixture of $\mathbf{2 1} \mathbf{e}$ and $\mathbf{2 2} \mathbf{e}$ was obtained as an orange oil $(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$, $55 \%$ extrapolated yield over 2 steps). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals integration are given assuming a mixture of $\mathbf{2 1 e} \mathbf{2} \mathbf{2 e}$ with a ratio of $1: 1$ for clarity.

IR $v_{\text {Max }} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (Thin Film) 2924 (O-H stretch), 2359 (C-H stretch), 1717 (C=O stretch), 1653 (br. C=O stretch), 1456 (O-H bend), 1325 (C-F stretch), 1161 (C-O stretch), 1117 (C-F stretch), 1057 (C-O stretch); ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.05$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 7$ in 21e), 7.96$7.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4$ in 22e, H 7 in 22e, H 5 in 21e), $7.83-7.79$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6$ in 22e, H 4 in 21e), 5.01 $(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ in 21e, CH in 22e), $4.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3$ in 21e), $4.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $18.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3$ in 22e), $4.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3$ in 21e), $4.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3$ in 22e), $1.63\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ in 21e, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ in 22e); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(175 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ $174.5(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{COOH}$ in 21e, COOH in 22e), 169.4 (2C, C 1 in 21e, C 1 in 22e), 147.6 (C3a in 21e), 144.4 (C3a in 22e), 136.6 (C7a in 22e), $134.6(\mathrm{q}, ~ J=32.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 5$ in 22e), 134.0 (C7a in 21e), 131.7 ( $\mathrm{q}, J=32.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 6$ in 21e), 129.8 ( $\mathrm{q}, ~ J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 5$ in 21e), 126.3 ( $\mathrm{q}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, C6 in 22e), $125.6\left(\mathrm{C} 4\right.$ in 21e), $125.38\left(\mathrm{q}, J=271.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right.$ in 21e or 22e), $125.37(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $272.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ in 21e or 22e), 125.1 ( C 7 in 22e), 121.8 ( $\mathrm{q}, ~ J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 4$ in 22e), 121.2 (q, $J$ $=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 7$ in 21e), $51.24(\mathrm{CH}$ in 21e or 22e), $51.20(\mathrm{CH}$ in $21 \mathbf{e}$ or $22 \mathbf{e}), 48.7(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{in}$ 21e, C 3 in 22e), 15.9 (2C, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ in 21e, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ in 22e); HRMS (ES) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{3}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]:$ : 272.0540; found: 272.0540. See SI2 for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra.

2-(6-Nitro-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)propanoic acid (21f) with 2-(5-nitro-1-oxoisoindolin-2yl)propanoic acid (22f) via 4-nitrophtalaldehyde (20f)
$20 f$ was synthesised according to general procedure $\mathbf{A}$ using (4-nitro-1,2phenylene)dimethanol (26f, 1.0 equiv., $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol})$, ( COCl$)_{2}$ ( 2.6 equiv., 0.12 mL , 1.43 mmol ) and DMSO ( 5.2 equiv., $0.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was stirred at rt for 18 h . Crude 20 f was obtained impure ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol},>100 \%$ due to impurities). The considerable amount of impurities prevented any characterisation. Instead, a portion of this impure mixture ( 1.0 equiv., $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ assuming pure $\mathbf{2 0 f}$ ) was directly treated according to general procedure $\mathbf{B}$ using alanine (16, 1.2 equiv., $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). A pure regioisomeric mixture of $\mathbf{2 1 f}$ and $\mathbf{2 2 f}$ was obtained as an orange oil ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 35 \%$
extrapolated yield over 2 steps). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signal integration are given assuming a mixture of 21f:22f with a ratio of $1: 1$ for clarity.

IR $v_{\text {Max }} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (Thin Film) 2922 (O-H stretch), 2357 (C-H stretch), 1732 (C=O stretch), 1651 (br. C=O stretch), 1526 (N-O stretch), 1449 (O-H bend), 1341 (N-O stretch), 1196 (C-O stretch), $816 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 7$ in 21f), 8.51-8.49 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4$ in 22f, H 5 in 21f), $8.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6$ in 22f), $7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 7$ in 22f), $7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4$ in 21f $), 5.06-5.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ in $21 \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{CH}$ in 22f$)$, 4.79-4.68 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3$ in 21f, H 3 in $\mathbf{2 2 f}$ ), $1.65\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ in $\left.\mathbf{2 2 f}\right), 1.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ in 21f); ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(175 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 174.62(\mathrm{COOH}$ in $\mathbf{2 1 f}$ or $\mathbf{2 2 f}), 174.56$ $(\mathrm{COOH}$ in 21 f or 22f), $168.8(\mathrm{C} 1$ in 21 f or 22f), $168.7(\mathrm{C} 1$ in 21 f or $\mathbf{2 2 f}), 151.8(\mathrm{C} 5$ in $\mathbf{2 2 f})$, 149.82 (C3a or C6 in 21f), 149.78 (C3a or C6 in 21f), 144.9 (C3a in 22f), 138.4 (C7a in 22f), 134.7 ( C 7 a in 21f), 127.8 ( C 5 in 21f), 126.0 ( C 4 in 21f), 125.4 ( C 7 in 22f), 124.7 ( C 6 in 22f), 120.1 ( C 4 in 22f), 119.5 ( C 7 in 21f), 51.6 ( CH in 21f or 22f), 51.4 ( CH in 21f or 22f), 48.7 (2C, C 3 in 21f, C 3 in 22f), 15.9 ( $2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ in 21f, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ in 22f); HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ASAP}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]: 251.0668$; found: 251.0662. See SI2 for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra.

## 2-(4,6-Dimethoxy-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)propanoic acid (28) with 2-(5,7-dimethoxy-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)propanoic acid (29)

Small scale condensation reaction at reflux: A mixture of $\mathbf{2 8}$ and 29 was synthesised according to general procedure $\mathbf{B}$ using 3,5-dimethoxyphthalaldehyde (27, 1.0 equiv., 30 mg , 0.15 mmol ) and alanine ( $\mathbf{1 6}, 1.2$ equiv., $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). A pure regioisomeric mixture of 28 and 29 was obtained as a yellow gum ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 93 \%$ ).

Small scale condensation reaction at $\mathbf{r t}$ : To a solution of 3,5-dimethoxyphthalaldehyde (27, 1.0 equiv., $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{MeCN}(0.55 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added alanine ( $\mathbf{1 6}, 1.2$ equiv., $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under a nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction was stirred at rt for 29
h before being concentrated in vacuo to afford the crude mixture of regioisomers. A quantitative ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum was acquired on this crude reaction mixture and processed as explained in general procedure B. A pure regioisomeric mixture of 28 and 29 was then obtained after purification by column chromatography ( $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM then $0-10 \%$ MeOH in DCM with $1 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$ ) as a yellow gum ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$, quant.).

Gram-scale condensation reaction at reflux: A mixture of 28 and 29 was synthesised according to general procedure B using 3,5-dimethoxyphthalaldehyde (27, 1.0 equiv., 0.97 g , 5.0 mmol ) and alanine ( $\mathbf{1 6}, 1.2$ equiv., $0.53 \mathrm{~g}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). A pure regioisomeric mixture of $\mathbf{2 8}$ and 29 was obtained as a yellow gum ( $1.20 \mathrm{~g}, 4.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%$ ).

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals integration are given assuming a mixture of $\mathbf{2 8 : 2 9}$ with a ratio of $1: 1$ for clarity.

IR $v_{\text {Max }} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (Thin Film) 2922 (O-H stretch), 1732 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretch), 1601 (br. $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretch), 1504 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretch), 1456 (O-H bend), 1202 (C-O stretch), 1146 (C-O stretch), 772; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (700 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 7$ in 28), $6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5$ in 28), $6.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4$ in 29), $6.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6$ in 29$), 4.97(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, CH in 28, CH in 29), $4.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3$ in 29), $4.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3$ in 28), $4.42\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3\right.$ in 29), $4.39\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3\right.$ in 28), $3.89\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}{ }^{\prime}\right.$ ' in 28), $3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 1$ ' in 29), $3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 1$ '" in 29), $3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 1$ '" in 28), $1.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ in 28), 1.57 (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ in 29); ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $175 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ $174.7(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{COOH}$ in 28, COOH in 29), 171.0 ( C 1 in 28), 170.0 ( C 1 in 29), 166.6 ( C 5 in 29), 163.5 ( C 6 in 28), 159.8 ( C 7 in 29), 156.9 ( C 4 in 28), 148.3 ( C 3 a in 29), 135.0 ( C 7 a in 28), 124.3 ( C 3 a in 28), 113.3 ( C 7 a in 29), 103.5 ( C 5 in 28), 100.5 ( C 4 in 29), 99.1 ( C 6 in 29), 98.8 (C7 in 28), 56.3 ( $\mathrm{C} 1{ }^{\prime}$ or $\mathrm{C} 1^{\prime \prime}$ in 29), 56.23 ( $\mathrm{C} 1^{\prime}$ or $\mathrm{C} 1^{\prime \prime}$ in 28 ), 56.17 ( $\mathrm{C} 1^{\prime}$ or $\mathrm{C} 1^{\prime \prime}$ in 28), 56.0 ( C 1 ' or C 1 '' in 29), 51.2 ( CH in 28), $50.5(\mathrm{CH}$ in 29), 48.1 ( C 3 in 29), $46.0(\mathrm{C} 3$ in
28), $15.92\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ in 28), $15.88\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ in 29); HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Na}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 288.0842$; found: 288.0841. See SI2 for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra.

Methyl 2-(4,6-dimethoxy-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)propanoate (30) and methyl 2-(5,7-dimethoxy-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)propanoate (31)

To a solution of 2-(4,6-dimethoxy-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)propanoic acid (28) and 2-(5,7-dimethoxy-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)propanoic acid (29) (1 equiv., $1.20 \mathrm{~g}, 4.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{MeOH}(82 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added freshly distilled $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(8.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ dropwise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction was stirred at rt for 12 h under a nitrogen atmosphere before being concentrated in vacuo within a fume cupboard. Separation of the two isomers 30 and 31 was achieved by column chromatography ( $0-100 \%$ EtOAc in petroleum ether). Pure 30 was obtained as a yellow solid ( $1.06 \mathrm{~g}, 3.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \%$ ) and pure 31 was obtained as an oil ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 16 \%$ ).

30: Mp: $98-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $v_{\text {Max }} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (Thin Film) 2949 (C-H stretch), 1740 (C=O stretch), 1682 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretch), 1605 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretch), 1503 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretch), 1329, 1209 (C-O stretch), 1144 (C-O stretch ), 1110, 1061 (C-O stretch), 1020, $941,839,775 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( ~} 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.94$ (d, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 7$ ), 6.59 (d, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5), 5.16(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.41(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3), 4.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3), 3.853(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 1$ ' or H1'’), $3.848(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, H1' or H1''), $3.71\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 1.56\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}(125 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 172.4(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 168.9(\mathrm{C} 1), 161.9(\mathrm{C} 6), 155.3(\mathrm{C} 4), 134.3(\mathrm{C} 7 \mathrm{a}), 123.0(\mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{a}), 102.7$ (C5), $98.0(\mathrm{C} 7), 56.0\left(\mathrm{C} 1\right.$ ' or C 1 ''), $55.6\left(\mathrm{C1}\right.$ ' or C 1 '’), $52.6\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 49.4(\mathrm{CH}), 44.6(\mathrm{C} 3)$, $16.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;$ HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 302.0999$; found: 302.0997. See SI2 for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra.

31: IR $v_{\text {Max }} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (Thin Film) 2947 (C-H stretch), 1738 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretch), 1678 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretch), 1603 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretch), 1452 ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bend), 1433 (C-H bend), 1327, 1213 (C-O stretch), 1148 (C-O stretch), 1076 (C-O stretch), $835 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$,

H4), $6.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6), 5.12(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, H3), $4.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3), 3.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 1^{\prime}\right), 3.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 1\right.$ '’), $3.69\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $1.52\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $175 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 172.8(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 167.6(\mathrm{C} 1)$, 164.6 (C5), 158.7 (C7), 146.4 (C3a), 113.1 (C7a), 99.2 (C4), 98.3 (C6), 56.0 (C1’ or C1’'), $55.9\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}\right.$ or C 1 ' $), 52.4\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 48.9(\mathrm{CH}), 46.7(\mathrm{C} 3), 15.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ASAP}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ : 280.1185; found: 280.1182. See SI2 for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra.
(2-(Hydroxymethyl)-3-methoxyphenyl)methan-d2-ol (42)
42 was synthesised according to general procedure $\mathbf{C}$ using 4-methoxyisobenzofuran-1(3H)one ( $\mathbf{4 0}, 1$ equiv., $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 12.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaBD}_{4}$ (2 equiv., $1.02 \mathrm{~g}, 24.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ ( 1 equiv., $1.66 \mathrm{~g}, 12.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $N, N$-dimethylaniline ( 1 equiv., $1.55 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Pure 42 was obtained as a white solid ( $1.29 \mathrm{~g}, 7.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 62 \%$ ). The small intensity of the $\mathrm{CD}_{2}$ carbon on the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum revealed a multiplet.

Mp: $92-94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathbf{I R} v_{\text {Max }} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (Thin Film) 3256 (O-H stretch), 1584 (C=C stretch), 1472 (O-H bend), 1261 (C-O stretch), 1186, 1094, 1042 (C-O stretch), 1007, 982, 775; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.29-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4), 4.84(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 1$ ') $), 3.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 158.0(\mathrm{C} 3)$, 141.1 (C1), 129.4 (C5), 127.8 (C2), 122.0 (C6), 111.0 (C4), 63.8-63.2 (m, C1'), 56.4 (C1'’), $55.9\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ;$ HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 193.0804$; found: 193.0803. See SI2 for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra.

## 2-(Formyl-d)-6-methoxybenzaldehyde (35)

35 was synthesised according to general procedure $\mathbf{A}$ using (2-(hydroxymethyl)-3-methoxyphenyl)methan- $d 2$-ol ( $\mathbf{4 2}, 1.0$ equiv., $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol}),(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}(2.6$ equiv., 0.26 $\mathrm{mL}, 3.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMSO ( 5.2 equiv., $0.44 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Additional DMSO ( 0.3 mL ) was
required to help 42 solubilisation. The reaction was stirred at rt for 17 h . Pure $\mathbf{3 5}$ was obtained as a yellow solid ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.79 \mathrm{mmol}, 67 \%$ ).

Mp: 78-81 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $v_{\text {Max }} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (Thin Film) 2928 (C-H stretch), 1674 (C=O stretch), 1582 (C=C stretch), 1472 (C-H bend), 1271 (C-O stretch), 1090, 1028 (C-O stretch), 795; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 10.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}), 7.66-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6)$, $7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4), 3.98\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 192.6(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=28.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CDO}), 191.4(\mathrm{CHO}), 162.0(\mathrm{C} 3), 138.6(\mathrm{t}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 1)$, $135.4(\mathrm{C} 5)$, 125.1 (C2), $120.3(\mathrm{C} 6), 116.3(\mathrm{C} 4), 56.4\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{2} \mathrm{HNa}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 188.0428$; found: 188.0429. See SI2 for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra.

2-(4-Methoxy-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)propanoic acid (18a) with 2-(7-methoxy-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl-3-d)propanoic acid (19a')

A mixture of 18a and 19a' was synthesised according to general procedure $\mathbf{B}$ using 2-(formyl- $d$ )-6-methoxybenzaldehyde ( $\mathbf{3 5}, 1.0$ equiv., $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and alanine ( $\mathbf{1 6}, 1.2$ equiv., $19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). A pure regioisomeric mixture of 18a and 19a' was obtained as a light yellow solid ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 61 \%$ ). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals integration are given assuming a mixture of 18a:19a' with a ratio of $1: 1$ for clarity.

IR $v_{\text {Max }} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (Thin Film) 2920 (O-H stretch), 2359 (C-H stretch), 1734 (C=O stretch), 1624 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretch), 1605 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretch), 1558 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretch), 1497 ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bend), 1489 ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bend), 1456 (O-H bend), 1273 (C-O stretch), 1192, 1061 (C-O stretch); ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.54(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5$ in 19a'), $7.47(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6$ in 18a), $7.36(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 7$ in 18a), $7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5$ in $\mathbf{1 8 a}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4$ in 19a'), 7.01 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6$ in 19a'), $4.98(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ in 18a, CH in 19a'), 4.54-4.45 (m, 3H, H3 in 18a, H3 in 19a'), 3.93 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ in 18a), 3.91 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ in 19a'), $1.61\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ in $\left.\mathbf{1 8 a}\right), 1.58\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ in 19a'); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $175 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 175.2(\mathrm{COOH}$ in 19a'), $175.0(\mathrm{COOH}$ in 18a), $171.0(\mathrm{C} 1$
in 18a), 170.0 ( C 1 in 19a'), 158.8 ( C 7 in 19a'), 156.2 ( C 4 in 18a), 146.3 (C3a in 19a'), 135.0 (C5 in 19a'), 134.5 (C7a in 18a), 131.4 (C3a in 18a), 131.1 (C6 in 18a), 120.1 (C7a in 19a'), $116.3\left(\mathrm{C} 4\right.$ in 19a'), $116.2\left(\mathrm{C} 7\right.$ in 18a), $114.5\left(\mathrm{C} 5\right.$ in 18a), $111.2\left(\mathrm{C} 6\right.$ in 19a'), $56.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ in 18a), $56.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ in 19a'), $51.2(\mathrm{CH}$ in 18a), $50.8(\mathrm{CH}$ in 19a'), $47.7(\mathrm{t}, J=21.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 3$ in 19a'), $46.3\left(\mathrm{C} 3\right.$ in 18a), $16.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ in 18a), $15.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ in 19a'); HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{-}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11}{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{NO}_{4}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]$ : 235.0835 ; found: 235.0829 for $19 \mathbf{a}^{\prime} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NO}_{4}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}: 234.0772$; found: 234.0773 for 18a. See SI2 for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra.

## (2-(Hydroxymethyl)-6-methoxyphenyl)methan-d2-ol (43)

43 was synthesised according to general procedure $\mathbf{C}$ using 7-methoxyisobenzofuran-1(3H)one ( $\mathbf{4 1}, 1$ equiv., $145 \mathrm{mg}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaBD}_{4}$ ( 2 equiv., $74 \mathrm{mg}, 1.77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ ( 1 equiv., $120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $N, N$-dimethylaniline ( 1 equiv., $0.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Additional THF ( 1 mL ) was required to help 41 solubilisation. Pure $\mathbf{4 3}$ was obtained as a white gum ( $73 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 49 \%$ ). The small intensity of the $\mathrm{CD}_{2}$ carbon on the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum revealed a multiplet.

IR $v_{\text {Max }} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (Thin Film) 3248 ( $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ stretch), 1584 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretch), 1470 (O-H bend), 1449 (CH bend), 1439 (C-H bend), 1260 (C-O stretch), 1086, 1038 (C-O stretch), 959, 783; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.27(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6), 6.94$ (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4$ ), $4.72\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H1}\right.$ '), $3.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)$ $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 159.3$ (C3), 142.7 (C1), 130.1 (C5), 127.8 (C2), 121.8 (C6), 111.3 (C4), 63.2 ( C 1 '), 56.1 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 55.5-54.8\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C} 1{ }^{\prime}\right) ;$ HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$: 193.0804; found: 193.0801. See SI2 for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra.

## 2-(Formyl-d)-3-methoxybenzaldehyde (39)

39 was synthesised according to general procedure $\mathbf{A}$ using (2-(hydroxymethyl)-6-methoxyphenyl)methan- $d 2$-ol (45, 1.0 equiv., $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}$ ( 2.6 equiv., 0.07 $\mathrm{mL}, 0.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMSO ( 5.2 equiv., $0.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Additional DMSO ( 0.1 mL ) was
required to help $\mathbf{4 3}$ solubilisation. The reaction was stirred at rt for 15 h . Pure $\mathbf{3 9}$ was obtained as a light yellow gum ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 86 \%$ ).

IR $v_{\text {Max }} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (Thin Film) 2924 (C-H stretch), 1697 (C=O stretch), 1657 (C=O stretch), 1607 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretch), 1584 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretch), 1487 ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bend), 1472 ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bend), 1437 ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bend), 1269 (C-O stretch), 1242 (C-O stretch), 1067, 966, 916, 868, 768; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( ~} 700 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 10.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}), 7.62(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6)$, $7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4), 3.95\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(175 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 192.9$ (CHO), 191.4-190.9 (m*, CDO), 162.0 (C3), 138.7 (C1), 135.4 (C5), 124.9 (C2), 120.2 (C6), $116.3(\mathrm{C} 4), 56.4\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$.The rapid decomposition of 41 prevented mass spectrometric analysis. *Multiplet signal consistent with potential traces of undeuterated 17a. See SI2 for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra.

2-(4-Methoxy-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl-3-d)propanoic acid (18a') with 2-(7-methoxy-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)propanoic acid (19a) and with traces of 2-(4-methoxy-1-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)propanoic acid (18a)

A mixture of 18a' and 19a with traces of 18a was synthesised according to general procedure B using 2-(formyl-d)-3-methoxybenzaldehyde (39, 1.0 equiv., $42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and alanine ( $\mathbf{1 6}, 1.2$ equiv., $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). A pure regioisomeric mixture of 18a' and 19a with traces of $\mathbf{1 8 a}$ was obtained as a brown solid ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%$ ). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals integration are given assuming a mixture 18a:18a':19a with a ratio of 1:1:1 for clarity.

IR $v_{\text {Max }} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (Thin Film) 2922 (O-H bend), 2359 (C-H stretch), 1734 (C=O stretch), 1636 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretch), 1603 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretch), 1491 ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bend), 1418 ( $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bend), 1269 (C-O stretch), 1065 (C-O stretch), 953 ; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.51(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{in}$ 19a), 7.44 (t, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6$ in 18a, H6 in 18a'), $7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 7$ in 18a, H7 in 18a'), 7.15 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5$ in 18a, H 5 in 18a'), 7.08 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4$ in 19a),
$6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6$ in 19a), $4.96-4.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ in 18a, CH in 18a', CH in 19a), 4.56-4.41 (m, 5H, H3 in 18a, H3 in 18a', H 3 in 19a), $3.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ in 18a, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ in 18a'), $3.89\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ in 19a), $1.58\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ in 18a, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ in 18a'), $1.55(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ in 19a); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $175 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 176.1(3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{COOH}$ in 18a, COOH in 18a’, COOH in 19a), 171.0 (2C, C 1 in 18a, C 1 in 18a'), 169.9 ( C 1 in 19a), 158.6 (C7 in 19a), 156.1 (2C, C4 in 18a, C4 in 18a'), 146.3 (C3a in 19a), 134.8 (C5 in 19a), 134.7 (2C, C7a in 18a, C7a in 18a'), 131.4 (2C, C3a in 18a, C3a in 18a'), 131.0 (2C, C6 in 18a, C6 in 18a'), 120.2 ( C 7 a in 19a), 116.23 ( C 4 in 19a), 116.15 (2C, C 7 in 18a, C 7 in 18a'), 114.4 (2C, C5 in 18a, C 5 in 18a'), 111.1 ( C 6 in 19a), $56.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ in 18a, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ in 18a'), 56.0 $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ in 19a), $51.8(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}$ in 18a, $1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}$ in 18a'), $51.3(\mathrm{CH}$ in 19a), $48.0(\mathrm{C} 3$ in 19a), $46.4\left(\mathrm{C} 3\right.$ in 18a), $46.1(\mathrm{t}, J=21.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 3$ in 18a' $)$, $16.2\left(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ in 18a, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ in 18a'), 16.1 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ in 19a); HRMS (ES) $m / z$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11}{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ [M-H]: 235.0835; found: 235.0834 for 18a'; $m / z$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NO}_{4}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}: 234.0772$; found: 234.0775 for 18a and 19a. See SI2 for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra.
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## Supplementary Material

Additional results, discussion, synthesis and experimental procedures are provided in SI1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra are given in SI2.
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