

**Comparison of different treatments for isoniazid resistant tuberculosis:  
an individual patient data meta-analysis**

**Authors**

Fregonese Federica<sup>1</sup>; Ahuja Shama D.<sup>2</sup>; Akkerman Onno W<sup>3</sup>; Arakaki-Sanchez Denise<sup>4</sup>; Ayakaka Irene<sup>5</sup>; Baghaei Parvaneh<sup>6</sup>; Bang Didi<sup>7</sup>; Banurekha Velayutham V<sup>8</sup>; Bastos Mayara<sup>9</sup>; Benedetti Andrea<sup>1</sup>; Bonnet Maryline<sup>10,11</sup>; Cattamanchi Adithya<sup>12</sup>; Cegielski Peter<sup>13</sup>; Chien Jung-Yien<sup>14</sup>; Cox Helen<sup>15</sup>; Dedicoat Martin<sup>16</sup>; Erkens Connie<sup>17</sup>; Escalante Patricio<sup>18</sup>; Falzon Dennis<sup>19</sup>; Galliez Rafael<sup>20</sup>; Garcia-Prats Anthony J.<sup>21</sup>; Gegia Medea<sup>19</sup>; Gillespie Stephen H.<sup>23</sup>; Glynn Judith R.<sup>24</sup>; Goldberg Stefan<sup>45</sup>; Griffith David<sup>25</sup>; Jacobson Karen R.<sup>22</sup>; Johnston James<sup>26,27</sup>; Jones-Lopez Edward C.<sup>22</sup>; Khan Awal<sup>45</sup>; Koh Won-Jung<sup>28</sup>; Kritski Afranio<sup>29</sup>; Lan Zhi Yi<sup>1</sup>; Lee Jae Ho<sup>30</sup>; Li Pei Zhi<sup>1</sup>; Maciel Ethel L.<sup>31</sup>; Merle Corinne S.C.<sup>24,32</sup>; Munang Melinda<sup>16</sup>; Narendran Gopalan<sup>33</sup>; Nunn Andrew<sup>34</sup>; Ohkado Akihiro A.<sup>35</sup>; Park Jong Sun<sup>30</sup>; Phillips Patrick PJ<sup>36</sup>; Ponnuraja Chinnaiyan<sup>37</sup>; Reves Randall<sup>38</sup>; Romanowski Kamila<sup>27</sup>; Seung Kwonjune.<sup>39</sup>; Schaaf H. Simon<sup>21</sup>; Skrahina Alena<sup>40</sup>; van Soolingen Dick<sup>41</sup>; Tabarsi Payam<sup>6</sup>; Trajman Anete<sup>1,9</sup>; Trieu Lisa<sup>2</sup>; Viiklepp Piret<sup>42</sup>; Nguyen Viet Nhung<sup>43</sup>; Wang Jann-Yuan<sup>14</sup>; Yoshiyama Takashi<sup>44</sup>; Menzies Dick<sup>1</sup>.

**Affiliations:**

1. McGill University Health Center Research Institute, Montreal, Canada
2. Bureau of Tuberculosis Control, New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, Queens, NY, USA.
3. University of Groningen, University Medical Centre Groningen, Department of Pulmonary Diseases and Tuberculosis, Groningen, The Netherlands
4. National Tuberculosis Control Program, Brasilia, Brazil
5. Mulago Hospital Tuberculosis Clinic, Kampala, Uganda
6. Clinical Tuberculosis and Epidemiology Research Center NRITLD, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
7. Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark
8. Department of Clinical Research, National Institute for Research in TB, Indian Council of Medical Research, India.
9. Social Medicine Institute, Epidemiology Department, University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
10. Epicentre MSF, Paris, France
11. Institut de Recherche pour le Développement UM233, INSERM U1175, Université de Montpellier, Montpellier, France
12. Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine and Curry International Tuberculosis Center, University of California San Francisco
13. Division of Global HIV and TB, Center for Global Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia.
14. Department of Internal Medicine, National Taiwan University Hospital, National Taiwan University College of Medicine, Taipei, Taiwan
15. Division of Medical Microbiology and Institute of Infectious Diseases and Molecular Medicine University of Cape Town, Cape town, South Africa
16. Heart of England Foundation Trust, Birmingham, UK

- 1 17. KNCV Tuberculosis Foundation Team, The Netherlands
- 2 18. Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Medicine, and
- 3 Mayo Clinic Center for Tuberculosis, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, USA
- 4 19. World Health Organization, Global Tuberculosis Program, Geneva, Switzerland
- 5 20. State Institute of Infectology São Sebastião, Center for Research and Tuberculosis
- 6 of the Faculty of Medicine of the Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de
- 7 Janeiro, Brazil
- 8 21. Desmond Tutu TB Centre, Department of Paediatrics and Child Health, Faculty of
- 9 Medicine and Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa
- 10 22. Section of Infectious Diseases, Boston University School of Medicine,
- 11 Massachusetts, USA
- 12 23. School of Medicine, University of St Andrews North Haugh, St Andrews, UK
- 13 24. Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, London School of Hygiene &
- 14 Tropical Medicine, London UK
- 15 25. The University of Texas Health Science Center, Tyler, Texas
- 16 26. Department of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British
- 17 Columbia, Canada
- 18 27. British Columbia Centre for Disease Control, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada
- 19 28. Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul,
- 20 Korea
- 21 29. Academic Tuberculosis Program, School of Medicine, Federal University of Rio de
- 22 Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- 23 30. Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Internal
- 24 Medicine, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seoul, Korea
- 25 31. Laboratory of Epidemiology, Federal University of Espirito Santo, Brazil
- 26 32. Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases, World Health
- 27 Organization, Geneva, Switzerland
- 28 33. Department of Clinical Research, The National Institute for Research in
- 29 Tuberculosis, Chennai, India
- 30 34. Medical Research Council UK Clinical Trials Unit, University College London,
- 31 London, UK
- 32 35. Department of Epidemiology and Clinical Research, the Research Institute of
- 33 Tuberculosis, Japan Anti-Tuberculosis Association, Tokyo, Japan; Graduate School
- 34 of Biomedical Sciences, Nagasaki University, Nagasaki, Japan
- 35 36. Division of Pulmonary & Critical Medicine, University of California, San Francisco
- 36 37. The national Institute for research in Tuberculosis, Chetpet, India
- 37 38. Denver Public Health Department, Denver, Colorado, USA
- 38 39. Partners In Health, Boston, Massachusetts, USA
- 39 40. Republican Scientific and Practical Centre of Pulmonology and Tuberculosis,
- 40 Ministry of Health, Minsk, Republic of Belarus
- 41 41. National Tuberculosis Reference Laboratory, National Institute for Public Health
- 42 and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, The Netherlands
- 43 42. Estonian Tuberculosis Registry, National Institute for Health Development, Tallinn,
- 44 Estonia
- 45 43. National Lung Hospital, Ba Dinh, Hanoi, Vietnam; Hanoi Medical University,
- 46 Hanoi, Vietnam
- 47 44. Fukujuji hospital and Research Institute of Tuberculosis, Tokyo, Japan.

IPD-MA of Treatment of isoniazid Resistant Tuberculosis

1       45. Division of Tuberculosis Elimination, National Center for HIV, Viral Hepatitis,  
2             STD, and TB Prevention, Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, USA.  
3  
4

5       **Address correspondence to:**

6       Dr Dick Menzies

7       Respiratory Epidemiology & Clinical Research Unit (RECRU)

8       Montreal Chest Institute, McGill University

9       Room 3D.58,

10       5252 de Maisonneuve.

11       Montreal, Qc, Canada, H4A 3S5

12       Tel: 514-934-1934 – ext 32128  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

accepted manuscript

## 1 Abstract

### 3 Background:

4 Isoniazid-resistant, rifampin-susceptible tuberculosis (INH-R TB) is the most common  
5 form of drug resistance, and is associated with significant rates of failure, relapse, and  
6 acquired rifampin resistance if treated with first-line anti-TB drugs.

7 The aim of the study was to compare success, mortality and acquired rifampin resistance  
8 with: 1) different durations of rifampin, ethambutol and pyrazinamide (REZ); 2)  
9 fluoroquinolone plus 6 months or more of REZ; 3) streptomycin plus a core regimen of  
10 REZ, in INH-R pulmonary TB.

### 12 Methods:

13 Individual patient data was obtained from authors of studies included in a published  
14 systematic review on pulmonary INH-R TB, additional studies identified in an updated  
15 search up to February 2016, personal communications from the same authors, and from  
16 authors responding to an invitation at a WHO European regional Resistant TB surveillance  
17 meeting. Studies with regimens and outcomes known for INH-R TB individual patients  
18 were eligible; regardless of the number of patients if randomized trials (RCT); or at least 20  
19 subjects if a cohort study. Bias was assessed based on eight items. Authors supplied de-  
20 identified clinical, treatment and outcome information. The individual patient data meta-  
21 analysis was performed with propensity score matched logistic regression to estimate  
22 adjusted odds ratios and risk differences of treatment success, death during treatment and  
23 acquired rifampin resistance.

### 24 Findings:

25 Individual patient data was requested from authors of 57 cohort studies and 17 randomized  
26 trials with 8089 patients with INH-R TB. We received 33 data sets with 6424 patients (27  
27 cohorts and 6 RCT), of which 3923 patients in 23 studies (21 cohorts and 2 RCT) received  
28 regimens related to the study objectives. When compared to a daily regimen of 6 months of  
29 rifampin, pyrazinamide and ethambutol, with or without isoniazid (6(H)REZ), extending  
30 the duration to 8-9 months had similar outcomes, hence  $\geq 6(H)REZ$  was used for  
31 subsequent comparisons. Addition of a fluoroquinolone to  $\geq 6(H)REZ$  was associated with  
32 significantly greater treatment success (aOR: 2.8, 95% CI: 1.1, 7.3), and non-significantly  
33 lower mortality (aOR: 0.7, 95% CI: 0.4, 1.1) and acquired rifampin resistance (aOR: 0.1,  
34 95% CI: 0.0, 1.2). When compared to  $\geq 6(H)REZ$ , the standardized retreatment regimen (2  
35 months streptomycin, 3 months pyrazinamide and 8 months isoniazid, rifampin plus  
36 ethambutol) was associated with significantly worse treatment success (aOR: 0.4 95% CI:  
37 0.2, 0.7).

### 38 Interpretation:

39 In patients with INH-R TB, compared to treatment with at least 6 months of daily REZ,  
40 addition of a fluoroquinolone was associated with better treatment success, while addition  
41 of streptomycin was associated with less treatment success. Although this study utilised a

IPD-MA of Treatment of isoniazid Resistant Tuberculosis

1 large number of patients with isoniazid-mono or poly resistance, with known individual  
2 characteristics, the quality of the evidence is very low, given the observational nature of  
3 most of the data, the diverse settings and the imprecision of estimates. These results  
4 support the conduct of randomized trials to identify the optimal regimen for this important  
5 and common form of drug-resistant TB.

6

7 **Funding:**

8 World Health Organization and Canadian Institutes of Health Research.

9

10

accepted manuscript

## 1 **Introduction**

2

3 One of several major challenges impeding global tuberculosis (TB) control is the steady  
4 increase in the prevalence and severity of drug resistance.<sup>1</sup> The World Health Organization  
5 (WHO) has estimated that 17% of isolates from patients newly diagnosed with TB have  
6 some form of drug resistance.<sup>2</sup> Globally, the most common form of drug-resistant TB is  
7 isoniazid-resistant, rifampin-susceptible TB (INH-R TB) – estimated to account for 8% of  
8 all new cases.<sup>3</sup> In most low and middle-income countries, access to drug susceptibility  
9 testing (DST) is very limited, so both new and previously treated patients receive  
10 standardized regimens with first-line TB drugs. The expanded access to Xpert® MTB/Rif,  
11 means that INH-R TB will continue to be missed as this test does not identify the mutations  
12 (in KatG and INHa)<sup>4</sup> associated with INH-R TB. A recent systematic review estimated that  
13 treatment of patients with unrecognized INH-R TB with the standard regimen  
14 recommended for new cases<sup>5</sup> would result in combined failure and relapse rates of 12-13%  
15 and 8% rate of acquired rifampin resistance.<sup>6</sup>

16

17 Despite the frequent occurrence, and major impact on outcomes, there has been remarkably  
18 little research on therapy for INH-R TB. The last randomized trial specifically of INH-R  
19 TB was published more than 20 years ago; in that trial the best regimen, of three tested, had  
20 a combined failure and relapse rate exceeding 11%.<sup>7</sup> The previously recommended  
21 “retreatment” regimen, designed to manage INH-R TB, was never tested in a randomized  
22 trial.<sup>8</sup> Hence, the optimal regimen composition, particularly use of fluoroquinolones, and  
23 duration of treatment remains controversial.<sup>3,5,9-11</sup>

24

25 We conducted an individual patient data (IPD) meta-analysis of the treatment of patients  
26 with INH-R TB, to address three main questions: 1. Optimal duration of daily regimen of  
27 rifampin, ethambutol and pyrazinamide (REZ); 2. Benefit of adding a fluoroquinolone (FQ)  
28 to 6 months or more of REZ (sub-question of the benefit of adding a FQ to a regimen with  
29 6 months or more of RE but only 1-3 months Z); 3. Benefit of adding streptomycin (SM) to  
30 a core regimen of 6 or more months of RE but only 1-3 months Z (essentially the regimen  
31 formerly recommended by WHO for retreatment). The benefit of including isoniazid in  
32 each of these regimens was also addressed. We assessed treatment success (cure or  
33 completion), death (from any cause) during treatment, failure or recurrence of disease after  
34 success, and acquired rifampin resistance.

35

## 36 **Methods:**

37 The study protocol is available from the authors upon request.

### 38 **Data sources**

39 All studies on INH-R TB, included in a systematic review completed in May 2016 and  
40 published in 2017<sup>6</sup> were considered eligible. We re-reviewed the 49 excluded studies, and

1 identified 20 which had been excluded because regimens were individualized, multiple  
2 regimens had been used without stratifying results by regimen, some extra-pulmonary TB  
3 cases were included, or outcomes for INH-R were mixed with other resistance patterns. We  
4 considered these studies might have suitable individual data, so wrote to these authors as  
5 well. Studies included in a review on INH-R TB in children<sup>12</sup> were also eligible. We  
6 restricted this IPD to studies published after 1990 because it was unlikely that any study  
7 would have used fluoroquinolones – one of our main objectives. We identified seven  
8 additional studies published after May 2015 through an updated search up to February 10th  
9 2016, using the same search terms and databases as the original review.<sup>6</sup> Five of the  
10 contacted authors provided additional unpublished datasets; three have since been  
11 published.<sup>13-15</sup> Three regional or national surveillance datasets were provided by those  
12 responding to an invitation to all participants at a WHO European regional Resistant TB  
13 surveillance meeting.<sup>16-18</sup>

14  
15 Specific criteria for participation in this IPD were: the study authors agreed to share their  
16 data, regimens and outcomes were known for individual patients, and at least 20 subjects  
17 were treated for INH-R TB if a cohort study. Randomized trials that included patients with  
18 INH-R TB were eligible regardless of the number of patients. Authors that agreed to share  
19 data signed formal data-sharing agreements. We excluded patients who did not receive any  
20 of the regimens specified by the study objectives.

21  
22 De-identified patient level information was obtained from an on-line data-sharing platform  
23 (Platform for Aggregations of Clinical TB Studies initiative<sup>19</sup>) for two studies, and directly  
24 from the authors for the remainder. This included: demographic data, clinical  
25 characteristics (comorbidities including HIV, site and extent of TB disease, results of chest  
26 radiography, and smear microscopy), and pre-treatment DST. Treatment information  
27 included drugs given, duration, end of treatment outcomes, and adverse events.

28 Individualized regimens were tailored to individual patients' characteristics, and DST  
29 results. Center-level information included: diagnostic laboratory methods, usual treatment  
30 doses and supervision, and treatment outcome definitions. Relapse was defined as any  
31 recurrence of disease within two years after successful treatment. In studies which  
32 distinguished re-infection from relapse using molecular methods, re-infections were  
33 excluded.<sup>20</sup>

34  
35 Variables from each dataset were mapped to a common set of variables for all patients, and  
36 to verify, the clinical characteristics of each study population were compared with  
37 description of these characteristics in the published papers.

### 38 39 **Quality assessment**

40 As the studies in the IPD were mainly observational, we assessed bias and quality using  
41 eight items. Two were critical (sampling method and outcome definition) and six were

1 important criteria (participation rate, attrition rate and completeness of information for age,  
2 HIV status, cavity at chest-x ray and smear).

3 Studies of high quality met both critical criteria and at least four of the six important  
4 criteria (see supplement table S1E – for quality criteria and assessments). Studies of  
5 moderate quality met one of the two critical parameters and at least four of the important  
6 criteria, or two critical parameters and at least three of the important criteria. Remaining  
7 studies were considered of low quality. We assessed overall quality of the evidence from  
8 this IPD following GRADE criteria.<sup>22</sup>

9  
10 **Data analysis:**

11 Isoniazid resistant TB (INH-R TB) was defined as TB due to isolates with phenotypic  
12 resistance to isoniazid, and susceptibility to rifampin, with or without additional resistance  
13 to pyrazinamide, ethambutol or streptomycin.

14  
15 We analyzed three outcomes: (i) treatment success (cure or treatment completion<sup>20</sup>)  
16 compared to treatment failure or relapse combined; (ii) acquired rifampin resistance among  
17 patients with failure or relapse; and, (iii) death from any cause during TB treatment –  
18 compared to success or failure/relapse. All analyses excluded patients who failed to  
19 complete treatment because of patient decision, or their outcomes were unknown (lost  
20 contact with patient, transfer out or other). The outcome of adverse events from anti-TB  
21 drugs could not be analyzed, as this was either not reported, or reported with very different  
22 definitions.

23  
24 For individualized regimens, the actual duration was estimated from dates when drugs were  
25 started and stopped. For standardized regimens, or randomized trials, if actual treatment  
26 duration was not available, the planned duration was used. For the outcome of death, which  
27 could occur at any time during treatment, duration could not be analyzed, since the duration  
28 of therapy was determined by the outcome. However, the analysis of mortality was  
29 restricted to the same data sets used for the analysis of treatment success – ie studies in  
30 which the regimens used and durations of regimens corresponded to the study questions.

31  
32 We used propensity score matching<sup>23</sup> (Caliper method with difference of 0.02 allowed, 1:1  
33 matching with replacement) based on age, gender, HIV co-infection, AFB smear, past  
34 history of TB treatment and resistance to other first line drugs, if the drug was used.

35 We used a random effects (random intercept and random slope for matched pairs) model  
36 (using Proc GLIMMIX in SAS) to estimate adjusted odds ratios (aOR) and 95% confidence  
37 intervals (CI) of the three outcomes. Risk differences were calculated with fixed effects  
38 generalized linear models with identity link, adjusted for the propensity score. To test for  
39 heterogeneity of effect across studies, we used a generalized linear mixed model with an  
40 simulation-based approach specifically for individual patients data meta-analysis, to  
41 calculate the I<sup>2</sup> statistic.<sup>24</sup>



1 For all outcomes and all questions, we performed the following sensitivity analyses: (i)  
2 restricting to the sub-group of patients who had not received isoniazid; (ii) restricting to the  
3 sub-group with cavitation on chest radiography; (iii) stratified by country income level  
4 (high, or low-middle); and, for the fluoroquinolone questions: (iv) restricting to patients  
5 who received levofloxacin or moxifloxacin. All analysis was performed using SAS, version  
6 9.4 (SAS Institute, Carey, N.C.).

### 8 **Ethical considerations:**

9 This project was approved by an ethics committee of the MUHC Research Institute (14274-  
10 BMB) and by local ethical review boards when necessary.

### 12 **Role of the funding sources:**

13 Funding was received from the World Health Organization, as part of support from  
14 USAID. Funding was also received from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research  
15 (Foundation grant 143350). The funding sources had no role in the preparation of the  
16 manuscript, nor decision to publish.

## 18 **Results**

### 20 **Study selection and description:**

21 As seen in figure 1, 74 studies (57 observational studies and 17 RCT) were identified as  
22 potentially eligible, with an expected population of 8089 patients with INH-R TB. We  
23 received 33 datasets (27 from observational studies and 6 from RCT) with adequate  
24 treatment and outcome information for 5502 patients with pulmonary INH-R TB. In 10  
25 datasets, with 762 patients, no patients received any of the regimens of interest,<sup>13,25-33</sup> in the  
26 remaining 23 datasets, 3923 patients<sup>14-18,34-52</sup> received one of the regimens of the study  
27 questions, and 817 patients received other regimens. The characteristics of the patients  
28 from the 23 centers are summarized in table S1a. 15 studies contributed data for the  
29 question of duration of (H)REZ,<sup>14,16-18,34-36,38,40,42-45,47,50</sup> 15 studies for the question of  
30 addition of a fluoroquinolone to (H)REZ,<sup>14,17,18,34-36,38,40,42-45,47,50,52</sup> 15 studies to the related  
31 question of a FQ plus only 1-3 months of pyrazinamide,<sup>14,17,18,34-36,38,40,42-45,47,50,52</sup> and all  
32 23 studies for the question of addition of streptomycin.<sup>14-18,34-52</sup> The characteristics of the  
33 populations compared in each of the main analyses are summarized in appendix tables [S3-](#)  
34 [S6](#) (see also below). The regimens received by the 817 excluded patients from these centres  
35 are listed in table S1b. This included 139 patients who received high-dose isoniazid  
36 (450mg per day or more) – who could not be analyzed as they received several  
37 accompanying regimens. The characteristics of the 762 patients in the 10 studies where all  
38 patients were excluded are summarized in table S2a, and their treatment regimens in table  
39 S2b.

1 To define isoniazid resistance, a critical concentration of 0·1 or 0·2 mcg/ml was used by 21  
2 centers, and 0·25mcg/ml, or either 0·2 or 1·0 mcg/ml in single studies. The outcome  
3 definitions and drug dosages given were in accordance with WHO guidelines (tables S1c  
4 and S1d). Daily regimens were used in all but one study.<sup>50</sup> Therapy was directly supervised  
5 throughout treatment for 2018 patients in 14 centres. Actual duration of therapy was known  
6 in 16 studies (2422 participants, of whom duration was not known in 15), and planned  
7 duration in the remaining 7 studies (1513 persons). Overall, 345 of all 3923 patients (9%)  
8 were lost, or transferred out without known outcome, or stopped therapy for patient  
9 decision. In 19 of 23 studies recurrence/relapse was measured, during follow-up that  
10 exceeded one year in about two-thirds of patients; only two of these centers<sup>34,39</sup> used  
11 molecular methods to identify reinfection. Quality assessments are summarized in appendix  
12 table S1E; based on the criteria selected, the quality was judged low in one study, moderate  
13 in four, and high in the remainder.

14 Results of testing for heterogeneity (i.e. estimated I squared, using a generalized linear  
15 mixed model adjusted for the same confounding factors used in the propensity score  
16 matching) are presented for each analysis in tables 1-4. In general, for analyses in which I  
17 squared was estimable, the heterogeneity was low (<50%).

18 The analyzed population included only 37 children, 119 patients with diabetes mellitus, and  
19 249 with HIV infection with or without antiretroviral treatment; these small numbers  
20 precluded separate analyses, for any study questions, within these sub-groups.

### 21 22 **Question 1: Duration of (H)REZ**

23  
24 As seen in table S3, patients receiving 6 months (H)REZ were older, more likely treated in  
25 high income countries and less likely to be acid fast bacilli (AFB) smear-positive than  
26 patients receiving more than 6 months (H)REZ.

27  
28 As seen in table 1, odds of success were non-significantly higher with the six month  
29 regimen (aOR 2·4; 95%CI 1·0; 5·5), and acquired resistance was non-significantly lower  
30 (aOR 0·2; 95%CI 0·0; 1·7). When patients taking isoniazid (at usual doses) for at least one  
31 month were excluded (table 1) outcomes were similar. Hence, we combined all individuals  
32 who received 6 or more months of REZ, with or without isoniazid (usual doses) as the  
33 comparator group for all analyses.

### 34 35 **Question 2: Use of a Fluoroquinolone.**

36  
37 In total, 251 patients received a FQ for at least one month and at least 6 months of REZ, of  
38 whom 165 received a later generation FQ. Compared to those who received  $\geq 6$ (H)REZ,  
39 clinical characteristics were very similar, except that 98% of those who received a FQ were  
40 treated in high income countries (table S4).

41

1 The 251 who received a FQ had significantly higher odds of treatment success than those  
2 who did not, and non-significantly lower odds of acquired resistance to rifampin and of  
3 mortality (table 2). Estimates of effect were similar, and non-significant, when restricting  
4 the analysis to the subgroup of patients who did not receive isoniazid, or patients who  
5 received only later generation FQ.

6  
7 Only 118 patients received a FQ together with 6 or more months of rifampin and  
8 ethambutol, and 1-3 months of pyrazinamide, of whom 105 received a later generation FQ.  
9 As seen in table S5, they were substantially older, and less likely to have cavitation or AFB  
10 positive smears than the comparison group. In these patients, use of a FQ was associated  
11 with non-significantly higher success, with similar results when restricting the analysis to  
12 use of a later generation FQ (table 3). Due to the small number of patients who received  
13 this regimen, the estimates of effect were very imprecise.

### 14 15 **Question 3: Use of Streptomycin.**

16  
17 The 325 individuals who received the standardized retreatment regimen were more likely  
18 to have cavitary disease, poly-drug resistance, or previous TB treatment (reflecting the  
19 usual indication for this regimen), compared to the 1350 who received  $\geq 6(H)REZ$  (table  
20 S6).

21  
22 As seen in table 4, the streptomycin-containing regimens were associated with significantly  
23 lower odds of success when all patients were considered, and non-significantly lower  
24 success when the analysis was restricted to patients who did not receive isoniazid. On the  
25 other hand, mortality was virtually identical in patients who did, or did not receive  
26 streptomycin, in analyses with, and without, patients receiving isoniazid. There were  
27 insufficient numbers to analyse acquired rifampin resistance.

### 28 29 **Sensitivity analyses:**

30 There were very few studies from low-middle income countries for the question of REZ  
31 duration, fluoroquinolones were predominantly used in centres in high income countries,  
32 while Streptomycin was used almost exclusively in low-middle income countries – limiting  
33 these stratified analyses. As seen in appendix table S7, in analyses restricted to studies in  
34 high income countries, six months of REZ was associated with very similar outcomes as  
35 the longer duration of REZ, and addition of a FQ was associated with non-significantly  
36 better success. When analyses were restricted to low income countries (appendix table S8),  
37 streptomycin containing regimens had non-significantly lower success and higher  
38 mortality.

39  
40 When analyses were restricted to patients with cavitation on chest radiography (appendix  
41 table S9) there was no evidence that addition of FQ or SM was more or less beneficial than

1 in the primary analyses. Finally, the duration of FQ did not appear to be a determinant of  
2 success (appendix table S10).

3  
4 **Overall quality of evidence:**

5 Even though most studies were considered high quality, we considered that the risk of bias  
6 was high, given that all but two were observational, and most provided individualized  
7 treatment. Because relatively small numbers of patients received the regimens of interest,  
8 estimates of effect were generally imprecise with wide confidence intervals. There were  
9 also concerns over directness – for the findings of FQ to low-middle income settings, and  
10 for the SM analyses to high income settings. Hence, overall the evidence from this IPD  
11 should be considered of very low quality.

12  
13 **Discussion**

14 We assembled a large set of individual data of patients with INH-R TB, mostly from  
15 observational studies. This study fills an important knowledge gap on the relative efficacy  
16 of different regimens to treat INH-R-TB. Compared to a ‘core’ regimen containing REZ,  
17 addition of a FQ was associated with significantly higher odds of success, while a treatment  
18 regimen with SM added in the first months of treatment and shorter Z (the ‘retreatment  
19 regimen’) was associated with worse results.

20  
21 This study had a number of important strengths. Individual data for a large number of  
22 patients with isoniazid-mono or poly resistance was assembled. Treatment outcomes were  
23 defined according to published recommendations.<sup>20</sup> Data was contributed from 23 centers  
24 in 18 countries from a wide range of resource levels, enhancing generalizability of results.  
25 Having individual patient data meant we could adjust for measured confounding patient  
26 characteristics such as age, prior treatment, HIV, sputum smear and additional resistance.

27  
28 Nevertheless, the study had also important limitations. Despite extensive efforts to  
29 assemble the largest possible number of patients treated for INH-R TB, the numbers of  
30 patients who received certain regimens of interest, such as the FQ with only 1 to 3 months  
31 pyrazinamide, were very small, providing limited power, or, as was the case with high dose  
32 INH, simply too few to perform any analyses. Lab methods were not standardized across  
33 centres, and while most centres used the same critical concentration, other differences in  
34 lab methods may have contributed to between centre differences in outcomes, resulting in  
35 reduced precision. All studies used phenotypic methods to perform DST – which may  
36 underestimate rifampin resistance, and could have affected results.<sup>53</sup> Relapse may have  
37 been over-estimated, as this was distinguished from re-infection using molecular methods  
38 in only two of the 19 studies that reported recurrence. We did not include those lost to  
39 follow-up (during treatment) in any analysis due to their uncertain outcomes; fortunately,  
40 this accounted for less than 9% of all patients in the 23 studies (table S1a).

1 All but two<sup>50,51</sup> of the 23 studies included in the analyses were observational. Ten used  
2 individualized regimens, which may lead to confounding by indication as sicker patients  
3 may have been more, or less likely to receive certain drugs or durations. The most  
4 important limitation is that the regimens used, particularly use of SM or FQ, may have been  
5 confounded with differences in patient or centre characteristics – such as country income  
6 level. Despite adjusting for individual-level characteristics, residual confounding may have  
7 occurred due to unmeasured differences in patient characteristics such as nutritional status.  
8 As well treatment given at different centres may have been confounded with differences  
9 between centres, such as resources available for patient support. To account for this, we  
10 performed sensitivity analyses restricted to studies from high-income or low-middle  
11 income countries only. For most of these analyses, estimates of effect were similar, but less  
12 precise, due to fewer studies and patients included (tables S7 and S8).

13  
14 Additional limitations were the small number of children, HIV-infected patients, and  
15 patients with diabetes– limiting generalizability to these important groups of patients. Less  
16 than half the studies reported acquired rifampin resistance during treatment; the resulting  
17 smaller numbers limit our inferences for this outcome. The impact of treatment duration on  
18 mortality could not be assessed as duration of therapy was truncated by death. A final  
19 limitation was that adverse drug reactions could not be analyzed, as planned, because these  
20 were not reported, or reported using widely varying definitions, methods of investigation,  
21 and management. Non-standardized reporting of adverse events in the treatment of drug-  
22 resistant TB has been noted in other reviews of drug-resistant TB treatment.<sup>54,55</sup>

23  
24 The study has several important implications for treatment of INH-R TB or of patients in  
25 whom INH cannot be used. First, these findings emphasize the importance of detecting this  
26 form of drug resistance. Secondly, the regimen of 6 months REZ provides good results in  
27 patients with INH-R TB; more than 6 months of this regimen was not associated with  
28 improved outcomes, except in participants with cavitation, in whom there was a non-  
29 significant trend to better outcomes with the longer duration. This study provides evidence  
30 of benefit from adding a FQ to a core regimen that includes REZ, although the optimal  
31 duration and specific type of FQ have not been clarified. Given that pyrazinamide is the  
32 most toxic of the current first-line drugs,<sup>56</sup> the major advantage of adding a FQ would be if  
33 pyrazinamide could be reduced to the initial two months. Because of the small number of  
34 patients who received this regimen the imprecision of results precludes firm conclusions,  
35 but the promising results motivate further evaluation. An additional implication of this  
36 study is that the standardized retreatment regimen<sup>8</sup> appears to be of limited benefit in  
37 patients with confirmed INH-R TB. A final treatment implication is that isoniazid at  
38 normal doses is of minimal benefit in patients with INH-R TB, even when the low critical  
39 concentration of 0.1-0.25 mcg/ml was used to define resistance with DST. Response to  
40 treatment may vary according to genotypic forms of isoniazid-resistance;<sup>57</sup> hence complete  
41 genotypic information would be informative in future studies.

42

1 We conclude that, for the treatment of INH-R TB, addition of a FQ to a core regimen of 6  
2 months of daily REZ provides optimal outcomes, although we could not define the best  
3 FQ, nor the optimal duration of FQ nor pyrazinamide. Addition of isoniazid, and  
4 prolongation of daily REZ beyond 6 months appear to provide no benefits. Addition of  
5 streptomycin, and in particular the streptomycin-containing previously recommended  
6 retreatment regimen, was associated with significantly worse treatment success. These  
7 results, based on observational data, must be considered very low-quality evidence, and so  
8 are insufficient to support strong treatment recommendations. However, they do strongly  
9 support the conduct of randomized trials to identify the optimal regimen for this important  
10 and common form of drug-resistant TB.

### 14 **Acknowledgements**

15 Funding was received from the World Health Organization, as part of support from  
16 USAID. Funding was also received from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research  
17 (Foundation grant 143350). The funding sources had no role in the preparation of the  
18 manuscript, nor decision to publish.

19 Part of the data used in the preparation of this article were obtained from the Platform for  
20 Aggregations of Clinical TB Studies (C-Path). As such, C-Path and the investigators within  
21 the organizations that contributed data to the platform assisted with the design and  
22 implementation of the data platform and provided data, but did not participate in the  
23 analysis of the data or the writing of this report (apart from the listed authors). We thank  
24 Mei Xin Ly, Alison Elliott, Frank Cobelens, Henrieke Schimmel, and Anneke Hesselning  
25 for assistance

### 27 **Contributions by authors:**

28 Study design and protocol: DM, FF, DF

29 Contributed data to the IPD: All authors (except DM, PL, AB, FF, ZL, DF)

30 Data analysis: FF, DM, PL, AB, ZL

31 Wrote initial draft of manuscript: DM, FF

32 Provided critical input and revisions to draft manuscripts, and approved final manuscript:

33 All authors

### 35 **Conflict of interest statement:**

36 None of the authors have any conflict of interest with the material in this manuscript.

38 **CDC disclaimer** for PC, AK and SG: The findings and conclusions in this report are those  
39 of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for  
40 Disease Control and Prevention.

### 44 **Panel: Research in Context**

#### 46 **Evidence before this study:**

1 Drug resistant tuberculosis is one of the major challenges impeding global tuberculosis  
2 (TB) control. Isoniazid-resistant, rifampin-susceptible TB (INH-R TB) is the most common  
3 form of drug-resistant TB. In settings where drug susceptibility testing is not accessible or  
4 there is access only to Xpert<sup>®</sup> MTB/Rif, INH-R TB will be missed, and treated with  
5 standard regimens. Despite the frequent occurrence of INH-R TB and its major impact on  
6 outcomes, there has been remarkably little research on therapy for INH-R TB. Hence, the  
7 optimal regimen for INH-R TB, including use of fluoroquinolones and duration of  
8 treatment remains controversial.

9 This IPD meta-analysis was built upon a recent systematic review and aggregate data meta-  
10 analysis (Gegia et al., Lancet Infect Dis. 2017; 17(2):223-34), in which four electronic  
11 databases (Cochrane database of systematic reviews and randomized trials, PubMed,  
12 Embase and HealthStar) were searched with the terms “Tuberculosis” AND “treatment”  
13 OR “therapy” AND “INH” OR “isoniazid resistance” up to March 2015. This review  
14 found that treatment of patients with unrecognized INH-R TB with the standard regimen  
15 recommended for newly diagnosed patients would result in combined failure and relapse  
16 rates of 12%, and 8% would acquire rifampin resistance. All studies included in this  
17 review were considered eligible for the IPD meta-analysis. We added previously excluded  
18 studies that might have been suitable for individual data analysis, plus studies included in a  
19 review on INH-R TB in children, and seven additional studies published after May 2015  
20 identified from an updated search finalized on February 10th 2016, using the same search  
21 terms and databases as the original review. In addition, five of the contacted authors  
22 provided other unpublished datasets (three now published) and three regional or national  
23 surveillance datasets were provided by authors responding to an invitation to all  
24 participants at a WHO European regional Resistant TB surveillance meeting.

#### 26 **Added value of this study:**

27 Subject-level data were compiled from 33 studies and a total of 3923 patients from 23 of  
28 these studies (21 cohorts and 2 randomized clinical trials), received one of the regimens of  
29 interest. Bias was assessed by using an eight items scale: sampling method and outcome  
30 definition were critical and six were important criteria (participation rate, attrition rate and  
31 completeness of information for age, HIV status, cavity at chest-x ray and smear). Based on  
32 these criteria, the quality was judged low in one study, moderate in four, and high in the  
33 remainder.

34 Compared to 6 months of rifampin, ethambutol and pyrazinamide (REZ), longer duration  
35 of REZ did not result in significantly improved treatment success or less acquired drug  
36 resistance. Addition of a fluoroquinolone to a core regimen of at least 6 months of REZ,  
37 was associated with improved success, and less acquired drug resistance, but no difference  
38 in mortality. Adding an FQ to a regimen with 2-3 months Z, and 6 or more months of R&E,  
39 resulted in somewhat, but not significantly, better odds of success. The retreatment regimen  
40 (SM added to 6 months of RE and 1-3 months of Z) was associated with significantly  
41 worse success, compared to at least 6 months REZ.

#### 43 **Implications of all the available evidence:**

44 Findings of this study emphasize the importance of detecting INH resistance and support  
45 the use of FQ in addition to a core regimen of 6 months of REZ. The addition of isoniazid,  
46 and prolongation of REZ beyond 6 months appear to provide no benefits for this condition.  
47 Our results support a move away from use of the streptomycin-containing previously  
48 recommended retreatment regimen. Because of the observational nature of the data, these

1 results are graded very low quality evidence; hence these results are insufficient to support  
2 strong treatment recommendations. But they do support the conduct of randomized trials to  
3 define the optimal treatment of this common and overlooked condition – particularly to  
4 assess the optimal type and duration of FQ and optimal duration of pyrazinamide.  
5  
6

## 7 References

- 8  
9 1 WHO. Drug-resistant TB: global situation. 2018. <http://www.who.int/tb/areas-of-work/drug-resistant-tb/global-situation/en/> (accessed January 20 2018).  
10  
11 2 WHO, IUATLD. Anti-tuberculosis drug resistance in the world (Report no. 4),  
12 2008.  
13 3 WHO. Global tuberculosis report 2017. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2017.  
14 4 WHO. Molecular Line Probe Assays for rapid screening of patients at risk of multi-  
15 drug resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB). Geneva, Switzerland: World Health  
16 Organization.  
17 5 WHO. Guidelines for the programmatic management of drug-resistant tuberculosis,  
18 2011 update. *World Health Organization* 2011; **WHO/HTM/TB/2011.6**.  
19 6 Gegia M, Winters N, Benedetti A, van Soolingen D, Menzies D. Treatment of  
20 isoniazid-resistant tuberculosis with first-line drugs: a systematic review and meta-  
21 analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2017; **17**: 223-34.  
22 7 Centre TR, Research ICoM. A controlled clinical trial of oral short-course regimens  
23 in the treatment of sputum-positive pulmonary tuberculosis. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis*  
24 1997; **1**: 509-17.  
25 8 Menzies D, Benedetti A, Paydar A, et al. Standardized treatment of active  
26 Tuberculosis in patients with previous pretreatment and/or with mono-resistance to  
27 Isoniazid: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLOS Med* 2009; **6**: e1000150.  
28 9 American Thoracic Society, Infectious Diseases Society of America, Centres for  
29 Disease Control. Treatment of Tuberculosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2003; **167**:  
30 603-62.  
31 10 Menzies D, (editor). Canadian Tuberculosis Standards. Ottawa: Canadian Lung  
32 Association, Public Health Agency of Canada; 2014.  
33 11 WHO. WHO treatment guidelines for drug-resistant tuberculosis, 2016 update.  
34 October 2016 revision. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2016.  
35 12 Yuen C, Tolman A, Cohen T, Parr J, Keshavjee S, Becerra M. Isoniazid-resistant  
36 Tuberculosis in Children: A Systematic Review. *The Pediatric Infectious Disease*  
37 *Journal* 2013; **32**: e217-e26.  
38 13 Garcia-Prats AJ, du Plessis L, Draper HR, et al. Outcome of culture-confirmed  
39 isoniazid-resistant rifampicin-susceptible tuberculosis in children. *Int J Tuberc*  
40 *Lung Dis* 2016; **20**: 1469-76.  
41 14 Romanowski K, Chiang LY, Roth DZ, et al. Treatment outcomes for isoniazid-  
42 resistant tuberculosis under program conditions in British Columbia, Canada. *BMC*  
43 *Infect Dis* 2017; **17**: 604.  
44 15 Trajman A, Lisboa Bastos M, Dockhorn Costa F, Barbosa Codenotti S, Pelissari D,  
45 Menzies D. Factors associated with treatment outcomes in Brazilian isoniazid-  
46 mono-resistant tuberculosis cohort. 47th World Conference on Lung Health of the  
47 International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Diseases (The Union).  
48 Liverpool, UK.; 2016.



- 1 16 Viiklepp P. Unpublished data (Estonia).  
 2 17 Skrahina A. Unpublished data (Belarus).  
 3 18 The Netherlands National TB Surveillance. Unpublished data.  
 4 19 TB-PACTS, <https://c-path.org/programs/tb-pacts/> a.  
 5 20 WHO. Definitions and reporting framework for tuberculosis – 2013 revision.  
 6 Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2013.  
 7 21 Sterne JA, Hernán MA, Reeves BC, et al. ROBINS-I: a tool for assessing risk of  
 8 bias in non-randomised studies of interventions. *BMJ* 2016; **355**.  
 9 22 Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, et al. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating  
 10 quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ* 2008; **336**: 924-6.  
 11 23 Austin PC. An Introduction to Propensity Score Methods for Reducing the Effects  
 12 of Confounding in Observational Studies. *Multivariate Behav Res* 2011; **46**: 399-  
 13 424.  
 14 24 Chen B, Benedetti A. Quantifying Heterogeneity In Individual Participant Data  
 15 Meta-Analysis With Binary Outcome. . *Systematic Reviews* In press 2017.  
 16 25 Banu Rekha VV, Rajaram K, Kripasankar AS, et al. Efficacy of the 6-month thrice-  
 17 weekly regimen in the treatment of new sputum smear-positive pulmonary  
 18 tuberculosis under clinical trial conditions. *Natl Med J India* 2012; **25**: 196-200.  
 19 26 Bonnet M, Pardini M, Meacci F, et al. Treatment of tuberculosis in a region with  
 20 high drug resistance: outcomes, drug resistance amplification and re-infection. *Plos*  
 21 *ONE* 2011; **6**: e23081.  
 22 27 Cegielski P, Griffith D (Personal communication), Unpublished data (Texas, USA).  
 23 28 Escalante PG, Edward A; Griffith, David E; Musser, James M; Awe, Robert J.  
 24 Treatment of isoniazid-resistant tuberculosis in southeastern Texas. *CHEST Journal*  
 25 2001; **119**: 1730-6.  
 26 29 Gillespie SH, Crook A, McHugh T, et al. Four-Month Moxifloxacin-Based  
 27 Regimens for Drug-Sensitive Tuberculosis. *N Eng J Med* 2014; **371**: 1577-87.  
 28 30 Guerra-Assuncao JA, Houben RM, Crampin AC, et al. Recurrence due to relapse or  
 29 reinfection with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: a whole-genome sequencing  
 30 approach in a large, population-based cohort with a high HIV infection prevalence  
 31 and active follow-up. *J Infect Dis* 2015; **211**: 1154-63.  
 32 31 Merle C, Fielding K, Sow OB, et al. A Four-Month Gatifloxacin-Containing  
 33 Regimen for Treating Tuberculosis. *N Engl J Med* 2014; **371**: 1588-98.  
 34 32 Swaminathan S, Padmapriyadarsini C, Venkatesan P, et al. Efficacy and safety of  
 35 once-daily nevirapine- or efavirenz-based antiretroviral therapy in HIV-associated  
 36 tuberculosis: a randomized clinical trial. *Clin Infect Dis* 2011; **53**: 716-24.  
 37 33 Tabarsi P, Baghaei P, Hemmati N, et al. Comparison of the effectiveness of 2  
 38 treatment regimens in patients with isoniazid-resistant tuberculosis. 2009.  
 39 34 Bang D, Andersen PH, Andersen ÅB, Thomsen VØ. Isoniazid-resistant tuberculosis  
 40 in Denmark: mutations, transmission and treatment outcome. *Journal of Infection*  
 41 2010; **60**: 452-7.  
 42 35 Cattamanchi A, Dantes RB, Metcalfe JZ, et al. Clinical characteristics and treatment  
 43 outcomes of patients with isoniazid-monoresistant tuberculosis. *Clinical Infectious*  
 44 *Diseases* 2009; **48**: 179-85.  
 45 36 Chien JY, Chen YT, Wu SG, Lee JJ, Wang JY, Yu CJ. Treatment outcome of  
 46 patients with isoniazid mono-resistant tuberculosis. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2015; **21**:  
 47 59-68.

- 1 37 Cox H, Kebede Y, Allamuratova S, et al. Tuberculosis recurrence and mortality  
 2 after successful treatment: impact of drug resistance. *PLOS Med* 2006; **3**: e384.
- 3 38 Gegia M, Cohen T, Kalandadze I, Vashakidze L, Furin J. Outcomes among  
 4 tuberculosis patients with isoniazid resistance in Georgia, 2007–2009. *The*  
 5 *international journal of tuberculosis and lung disease: the official journal of the*  
 6 *International Union against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease* 2012; **16**: 812.
- 7 39 Huyen MN, Cobelens FG, Buu TN, et al. Epidemiology of isoniazid resistance  
 8 mutations and their effect on tuberculosis treatment outcomes. *Antimicrob Agents*  
 9 *Chemother* 2013; **57**: 3620-7.
- 10 40 Jacobson KR, Theron D, Victor TC, Streicher EM, Warren RM, Murray MB.  
 11 Treatment outcomes of isoniazid-resistant tuberculosis patients, Western Cape  
 12 Province, South Africa. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2011; **53**: 369-72.
- 13 41 Jones-Lopez EC, Ayakaka I, Levin J, et al. Effectiveness of the standard WHO  
 14 recommended retreatment regimen (category II) for tuberculosis in Kampala,  
 15 Uganda: a prospective cohort study. *PLOS Med* 2011; **8**: e1000427.
- 16 42 Kim YH, Suh GY, Chung MP, et al. Treatment of isoniazid-resistant pulmonary  
 17 tuberculosis. *BMC Infectious Diseases* 2008; **8**: 6.
- 18 43 Lee H, Jeong BH, Park HY, et al. Treatment Outcomes with Fluoroquinolone-  
 19 Containing Regimens for Isoniazid-Resistant Pulmonary Tuberculosis. *Antimicrob*  
 20 *Agents Chemother* 2015; **60**: 471-7.
- 21 44 Munang ML, Kariuki M, Dedicoat M. Isoniazid-resistant tuberculosis in  
 22 Birmingham, United Kingdom, 1999-2010. *QJM* 2015; **108**: 19-25.
- 23 45 New York Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. New York City TB  
 24 Surveillance - Unpublished data.
- 25 46 Ohkado A, Aguiman L, Adlawan S, et al. Tuberculosis drug resistance and  
 26 treatment outcomes under DOTS settings in large cities in the Philippines. *The*  
 27 *International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease* 2006; **10**: 283-9.
- 28 47 Park JS, Lee JY, Lee YJ, et al. Serum Levels of Antituberculosis Drugs and Their  
 29 Effect on Tuberculosis Treatment Outcome. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2015;  
 30 **60**: 92-8.
- 31 48 Quy H, Cobelens F, Lan N, Buu T, Lambregts C, Borgdorff M. Treatment  
 32 outcomes by drug resistance and HIV status among tuberculosis patients in Ho Chi  
 33 Minh City, Vietnam. *The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease*  
 34 2006; **10**: 45-51.
- 35 49 Quy H, Lan N, Borgdorff M, et al. Drug resistance among failure and relapse cases  
 36 of tuberculosis: is the standard re-treatment regimen adequate? *The International*  
 37 *Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease* 2003; **7**: 631-6.
- 38 50 Reyes R, Heilig C, Tapy J, et al. Intermittent tuberculosis treatment for patients  
 39 with isoniazid intolerance or drug resistance. *The International Journal of*  
 40 *Tuberculosis and Lung Disease* 2014; **18**: 571-80.
- 41 51 Swaminathan S, Narendran G, Venkatesan P, et al. Efficacy of a 6-month versus 9-  
 42 month intermittent treatment regimen in HIV-infected patients with tuberculosis: a  
 43 randomized clinical trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010; **181**: 743-51.
- 44 52 Yoshiyama T, Shrestha B, Maharjan B. Risk of relapse and failure after retreatment  
 45 with the Category II regimen in Nepal. *The International Journal of Tuberculosis*  
 46 *and Lung Disease* 2010; **14**: 1418-23.

- 1 53 Andres S, Hillemann D, Rüsç-Gerdes S, Richter E. Occurrence of rpoB Mutations  
2 in Isoniazid-Resistant but Rifampin-Susceptible Mycobacterium tuberculosis  
3 Isolates from Germany. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 2014; **58**: 590-2.  
4 54 Bastos ML, Lan Z, Menzies D. An updated systematic review and meta-analysis for  
5 treatment of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. *Eur Respir J* 2017; **49**.  
6 55 Winters N, Butler-Laporte G, Menzies D. Efficacy and safety of World Health  
7 Organization group 5 drugs for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis treatment.  
8 *European Respiratory Journal* 2015; **46**: 1461-70.  
9 56 Yee D, Valiquette C, Pelletier M, Parisien I, Rocher I, Menzies D. Incidence of  
10 Serious Side Effects from First-line Antituberculosis Drugs among Patients Treated  
11 for Active Tuberculosis. *Am J Crit Care Med* 2003; **167**.  
12 57 Escalante P, McKean-Cowdin R, Ramaswamy SV, et al. Can mycobacterial katG  
13 genetic changes in isoniazid-resistant tuberculosis influence human disease  
14 features? *The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease* 2013; **17**:  
15 644-51.  
16  
17  
18  
19

accepted manuscript

1 Tables

2  
3 **Table 1: Comparison of 6(H)REZ vs >6(H)REZ: Treatment success and acquired rifampin resistance.**

Outcome	Regimens:	N datasets included	N events/ N on treatment	I squared <sup>d</sup>	N pairs used <sup>a</sup>	from Propensity Score matched Analysis <sup>b</sup>	
						aOR (95% CI)	Risk Difference (per 1,000 treated with 95%CI)
<b>Analyses in all patients</b> (with or without isoniazid)							
Success	<b>6(H)REZ</b>	15	254/262	NC <sup>e</sup>	262	2.4 (1.0; 5.5)	40 more per 1,000 (from 0 difference to 80 more) (reference)
	>6(H)REZ		999/1088			1 (reference)	
Acquired rifampin resistance	<b>6(H)REZ</b>	10	1/168 <sup>c</sup>	NC <sup>e</sup>	168	0.2 (0.0; 1.7)	10 fewer per 1,000 (from 60 fewer to 40 more) (reference)
	>6(H)REZ		43/992 <sup>c</sup>			1 (reference)	
<b>Patients who received isoniazid excluded</b>							
Success	<b>6REZ</b>	13	136/142	36%	140	2.5 (0.9; 7.5)	50 more per 1,000 (from 10 fewer to 100 more) (reference)
	>6REZ		701/785			1 (reference)	
Acquired rifampin resistance	<b>6REZ</b>	8	0/84	NC <sup>e</sup>	84	not estimable	not estimable (reference)
	>6REZ		43/729			1 (reference)	

5  
6 **Notes:**  
7 a) Number of pairs used in propensity score matched analysis. For example, 262 persons who received **6(H)REZ** and an equal number who received the comparator were analyzed for the  
8 outcome of success;  
9 b) Estimates based on pairs matched for age, sex, HIV status, past TB treatment, sputum AFB smear (positive vs negative) and resistance to other drugs besides isoniazid, if used. Percentage of  
10 patients missing information for these variables: past TB treatment: 8%; AFB smear: 2%; HIV 8%, polyresistance, age and sex: 0%. HIV status was missing, but assumed to be negative  
11 in 3 studies (n =720 patients) in settings where the prevalence of HIV co-infection rate in patients with active TB was <5% based on WHO surveillance data.  
12 c) N treated is less than in success analysis because patients with fail/relapse but no acquired drug resistance or with non-rifampin acquired resistances were excluded from this analysis.  
13 d) I squared estimated for the adjusted odds ratios using a generalized linear mixed model with an simulation-based approach specifically for individual patients data meta-analysis.<sup>24</sup>  
14 e) NC: the I squared could not be calculated because the Tau squared (on which the I squared is based) was not estimated in SAS.  
15 **Abbreviations:** aOR: adjusted odds ratio; CI Confidence interval E: ethambutol; (H)= isoniazid used in some, but not all regimens SM: streptomycin; R: rifampin; Z: pyrazinamide.

1 **Table 2. Association of use of fluoroquinolones with treatment success, mortality and acquired rifampin resistance.**

Outcome	Regimens: FQ Comparator	N datasets included	N events/ N on treatment	I squared <sup>e</sup>	N pairs used <sup>c</sup>	from Propensity Score matched Analysis <sup>d</sup>	
						aOR (95% CI)	Risk Difference (per 1,000 treated with 95%CI)
<b>Analyses in all patients</b> (with or without isoniazid)							
Mortality (all durations)	<b>(H)REZ FQ</b> (H)REZ	15	25/524 97/2174	12%	522	0.7 (0.4; 1.1) 1.0 (reference)	20 fewer per 1,000 (from 50 fewer to 0 difference) (reference)
Success	<b>≥6(H)REZ FQ</b> ≥6(H)REZ	15	245/251 1253/1350	<b>36%</b>	248	<b>2.8 (1.1 to 7.3)</b> 1.0 (reference)	<b>50 more per 1,000</b> <b>(from 0 difference to 90 more)</b> (reference)
Success (restricted to later generation FQ- Moxi/Levo/Gati)	<b>≥6(H)REZ FQ</b> ≥6(H)REZ	15	161/165 <sup>a</sup> 1253/1350	44%	164	2.9 (0.9 to 9.3) 1.0 (reference)	60 more per 1,000 (from 20 fewer to 140 more) (reference)
Acquired rifampin resistance	<b>≥6(H)REZ FQ</b> ≥6(H)REZ	10	1/221 <sup>b</sup> 44/1160 <sup>b</sup>	2% <sup>f</sup>	220	0.1 (0.0 to 1.2) 1.0 (reference)	30 fewer per 1,000 (from 60 fewer to 0 difference) (reference)
<b>Patients who received isoniazid excluded</b>							
Mortality	<b>REZ FQ</b> REZ	14	8/219 41/1054	0	205	0.4 (0.2 to 1.1) 1.0 (reference)	20 fewer per 1,000 (from 60 fewer to 20 more) (reference)
Success	<b>≥6REZ FQ</b> ≥6REZ	14	131/135 837/927	33%	127	<b>5.4 (1.8 to 16.6)</b> 1.0 (reference)	130 more per 1,000 (from 40 fewer to 230 more) (reference)
Acquired rifampin resistance	<b>≥6REZ FQ</b> ≥6REZ	9	1/111 43/813	NC <sup>e</sup>	107	<b>0.1 (0.0 to 1.0)</b> 1.0 (reference)	70 fewer (140 fewer to 0 difference) (reference)

2  
3 **Notes:**  
4 **a)** Of the 165 treated, 67 received isoniazid for one month or more and 98 did not receive any Isoniazid; **b)** Number treated is less than in success analysis because patients with  
5 fail/relapse but no acquired drug resistance or with non-rifampin acquired resistances were excluded from this analysis. **c)** Number of pairs used in propensity score matched analysis.  
6 For example 248 persons who received **(H)REZFQ** and an equal number who received the comparator were analyzed for the outcome of success; **d)** Estimates based on pairs matched  
7 for age, sex, HIV status, past TB treatment, sputum AFB smear(positive vs negative) and resistance to other drugs besides ISONIAZID, if used. Percentage of patents missing  
8 information for these variables: past TB treatment: 8%; AFB smear: 8%; HIV 8%, polyresistance, age and sex: 0%. HIV was missing, but assumed to be negative in 3 studies (n=1164)

## IPD-MA of Treatment of isoniazid Resistant Tuberculosis

1 patients) in settings where the prevalence of HIV coinfection rate in patients with active TB was <5%, based on WHO surveillance data. **e)** I squared estimated for the adjusted odds  
2 ratios using a generalized linear mixed model with an simulation-based approach specifically for individual patients data meta-analysis<sup>24</sup> NC the I squared could not be calculated  
3 because the Tau squared (on which the I squared is based) was not estimated in SAS. **f)** this is an unadjusted I squared value, adjusted could not be calculated  
4

5 **Abbreviations:** **aOR:** adjusted odds ratio; **CI** Confidence interval **E:** ethambutol; **(H)=** isoniazid used in some, but not all regimens **SM:** streptomycin; **R:** rifampin; **Z:** pyrazinamide;  
6 **FQ:** Fluoroquinolone.

accepted manuscript

**Table 3. Association of use of Fluoroquinolone with 1-3 months Pyrazinamide - with treatment success, and acquired rifampin resistance** (i.e. Six months or more of RE plus 1-3 months of Z plus fluoroquinolone compared to 6 months or more of REZ - with or without isoniazid. Analyses not performed in patients who did not receive isoniazid because too few patients).

Outcome	Regimen	N datasets included	N events/N on treatment	I squared <sup>f</sup>	N pairs used in matching <sup>c</sup>	aOR (95% CI) from Propensity Score matched Analysis <sup>d</sup>	Risk Difference (per 1,000 treated with 95%CI)
Success (all FQ)	≥(H)6RE 1-3Z FQ	15	117/118 <sup>a</sup>	NC <sup>f</sup>	108	5.2 (0.6 to 46.7)	40 more per 1,000 (from 20 fewer to 90 more)
	≥6(H)REZ		1253/1350 <sup>b</sup>			1.0 (reference)	(reference)
Success - Restricted to later generation FQ (Moxi/Levo/Gati)	≥6(H)RE 1-3Z FQ	15	104/105	NC <sup>f</sup>	97	5.2 (0.6 to 47.2)	50 more per 1,000 (from 30 less to 120 more)
	≥6R(H)EZ		1253/1350			1.0 (reference)	(reference)
Acquired RIF resistance	≥6(H)RE 1-3Z FQ	10	0/113 <sup>e</sup>	NC <sup>f</sup>	--	not estimable	not estimable
	≥6(H)REZ		44/1160 <sup>e</sup>			1.0 (reference)	(reference)

**Notes:**

a) Of the 118 treated, 82 received isoniazid for one month or more and 36 did not receive isoniazid;

b) Of the 1350 treated, 423 had isoniazid for one month or more and 927 did not;

c) Number of pairs used in propensity score matched analysis. For example, 108 persons who received ≥(H)6RE(1-3)ZFQ and an equal number who received the comparator were analyzed for the outcome of success;

d) Estimates based on pairs matched for age, sex, HIV status, past TB treatment, sputum AFB smear (positive vs negative) and resistance to other drugs besides ISONIAZID, if used.

Percentage of patents missing information for these variables: past TB treatment: 8%; AFB smear: 3%; HIV 10%, polyresistance, age and sex: 0%. HIV was missing, but assumed to be negative in 3 studies (n=738 patients) in settings where the prevalence of HIV coinfection rate in active TB patients was <5% based on WHO surveillance data.

e) Number treated is less than in previous table because patients with fail/relapse but who did not acquired drug resistance or who acquired non-rifampin resistances were excluded from this analysis.

f) I squared estimated for the adjusted odds ratios using a generalized linear mixed model with an simulation-based approach specifically for individual patients data meta-analysis<sup>24</sup>.

NC:the I squared could not be calculated because the Tau squared (on which the I squared is based) was not estimated in SAS.

**Abbreviations:** aOR: adjusted odds ratio; CI Confidence interval E: ethambutol; (H)= isoniazid used in some, but not all regimens SM: streptomycin; R: rifampin; Z: pyrazinamide; FQ: Fluoroquinolone; .

**Table 4. Association of use of streptomycin with treatment success, mortality and acquired rifampin resistance.** (Note: analysis of acquired rifampin resistance not performed in patients who did not receive isoniazid because too few patients).

Outcome	Regimens SM containing Comparator	N datasets included	N events/N on treatment	I squared <sup>e</sup>	N pairs used <sup>a</sup>	from Propensity Score matched Analysis <sup>b</sup>	
						aOR (95% CI)	Risk Difference (per 1,000 treated with 95%CI)
<b>Analyses done in all patients</b> (with or without isoniazid)							
Mortality (all durations)	6(H)REZ + SM	23	40/763	14%	756	0.9 (0.6 to 1.3)	10 fewer per 1,000 (from 30 fewer to 20 more) (reference)
	6(H)REZ		103/2263			1.0 (reference)	
Success	≥6(H)RE 1-3Z 2SM	23	271/325	0	296	<b>0.4 (0.2 to 0.7)</b>	120 fewer per 1,000 (from 190 fewer to 60 fewer) (reference)
	≥6(H)REZ		1253/1350			1.0 (reference)	
Acquired RIF resistance	≥6(H)RE 1-3Z 2SM	14	6/58 <sup>c</sup>	NC <sup>E</sup>		not estimable <sup>d</sup>	-- (reference)
	≥6(H)REZ		44/1160 <sup>c</sup>			1.0 (reference)	
<b>Patients who received isoniazid excluded</b>							
Mortality	REZ + SM	14	6/136	NC <sup>E</sup>	133	1.2 (0.4 to 4.1)	0 difference per 1,000 (from 50 fewer to 60 more)
	REZ		41/1054			1.0 (reference)	
Success	≥6RE 1-3Z 2SM	14	89/107	NC <sup>E</sup>	105	0.5 (0.2 to 1.2)	80 fewer per 1,000 (from 170 fewer to 10 more) (reference)
	≥6REZ		837/927			1.0 (reference)	

**Notes:**

a) Number of pairs used in propensity score matched analysis. For example, 296 persons who received **6(H)REZ + SM** and an equal number who received the comparator were analyzed for the outcome of success;

b) Estimates based on pairs matched for age, sex, HIV, past TB treatment, sputum AFB smear (positive vs negative) and resistance to other drugs besides isoniazid, if used. Percentage of patients missing information for these variables: past TB treatment: 12%; AFB smear: 7%; HIV 7%, polyresistance: 2%, age: 1%, sex: 1%. HIV was missing, but assumed to be negative in 6 studies (n=1389 patients) in settings where the prevalence of HIV co-infection rate in active TB patients was <5% based on WHO surveillance data

c) Number treated is less than in success analysis because patients with fail/relapse but without acquired drug resistance or with non-rifampin acquired resistances were excluded from this analysis;

d) Propensity score matching models did not converge.

e) I squared estimated for the adjusted odds ratios using a generalized linear mixed model with a simulation-based approach specifically for individual patients data meta-analysis<sup>24</sup>.

NC: the I squared could not be calculated because the Tau squared (on which the I squared is based) was not estimated in SAS.

**Abbreviations:** aOR: adjusted odds ratio; CI Confidence interval E: ethambutol; (H)= isoniazid used in some, but not all regimens SM: streptomycin; R: rifampin; Z: pyrazinamide



*accepted manuscript*