Morphological response of planktic foraminifers to habitat modifications associated with the
emergence of the Isthmus of Panama

Daniela N. Schmidt¹, A. G. M. Caromel¹, O. Seki^{1,2}, J. W.B. Rae^{1,3}, S. Renaud⁴

¹ School of Earth Sciences, Wills Memorial Building, University of Bristol, BS8 1RJ, Bristol,
UK, d.schmidt@bristol.ac.uk (corresponding author),

ac3545.2003@my.bristol.ac.uk

² Water and Material Cycles Division, Institute of Low Temperature Science, Hokkaido
University, Kita-19, Nishi-8, Kita-ku, Sapporo 060-0819, Japan,
seki@pop.lowtem.hokudai.ac.jp

³ present address at Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of St
Andrews, North Street, St Andrews, KY16 9AL, UK, jwbr@st-andrews.ac.uk

⁴ Laboratoire de Biométrie et Biologie Evolutive, UMR 5558, CNRS, Université Lyon 1,
69622, Villeurbanne, France, sabrina.renaud@univ-lyon1.fr

Abstract

The impact of global change on marine ecosystems is a major concern for the future. Examples from the geological past may provide insight into how ecosystems respond to major shifts in environment. Here we use the progressive closure of the Central American Seaway over the last 10 Myrs, and the resulting new environmental conditions and niches on either side of the Panama Isthmus, as a time series documenting the reaction of planktic foraminifers to environmental change and vicariance. Our main finding is that the size and shape evolution of both investigated species is strongly influenced by temperature, despite their different ecology. The surface dweller *Trilobatus sacculifer* conserved the same shape on both sides of the Isthmus for most of the studied interval, and diverged only recently when environment diverged on both sides of the Isthmus. The shape response is a combination of a change in mean shape and in percentage of morphotypes occurring within *T. sacculifer*. This suggest a minor role of vicariance and the potential to react to changes in the local environment through ecotypic or plastic variation. This interpretation is corroborated by extensive phenotypic variability in the absence of genetic differentiation today in this species. The shape of the deeper-living species *Gt. tumida*, in contrast, diverged on both sides of the Isthmus at a time that coincides with the cut-off of the connection of its habitat. This divergence combines a response to temperature and to location, suggesting local adaptation in response to vicariance. These different reactions highlight both a high potential for adaptation, but also sensitivity to temperature variations. The species-specific responses to environmental pressures indicate the difficulty in upscaling from one species to foraminifers in general.

38 Highlights:

39 The reaction of *T. sacculifer* and the *Gt. plesiotumida* – *tumida* lineage to the formation of
40 the Panama Isthmus is assessed.

41 Temperature is the major driver of size changes.

42 Temperature is the major driver of the shape response of the surface dweller whereas
43 vicariance additionally influences the deep dweller.

44 Species-specific responses to environmental pressures highlight the complexity of upscaling
45 from species to the entire group.

46 Keywords: Planktic foraminifers, climate change, morphometrics, Isthmus of Panama

47

48

1. Introduction

The impacts of current global change have become a major issue in research fields as diverse as palaeoceanography, ecology, evolution and epidemiology (Dam, 2013; IPCC, 2014; Sanford and Kelly, 2011). Environmental conditions within this century are predicted to be outside of the ranges experienced by a large number of species in their evolutionary history (Pörtner et al., 2014; Ridgwell and Schmidt, 2010) posing a significant threat. The majority of studies have focused on the effects on terrestrial ecosystems (Austin and Rehfisch, 2005; Scholes et al., 2014); identifying coherent responses to global change in the marine environment has proven to be challenging (Poloczanska et al., 2013; Reusch, 2014). Marine plankton can be affected by drivers such as warming either directly via metabolic rates or indirectly via increases in surface water stratification, limiting nutrient input (Pörtner et al., 2014). Seminal time series studies have documented distribution changes (e.g., Chavez et al., 2003; Edwards et al., 2004; Poloczanska et al., 2013), mismatches in phenologies across the components of the ecosystem (Beaugrand and Reid, 2003) and increased competition leading to a decline of specialist species (Clavel et al., 2010). However few studies assess the potential for evolutionary adaptation in response to climate change in marine species (Dam, 2013; Lohbeck et al., 2012), possibly because the marine ecosystem is often considered to be one of few barriers with limited opportunity for local adaptations (Norris, 2000). Vast population sizes and high dispersal potential in marine plankton are often suggested to limit spatial population structuring. Despite the postulated scarcity of barriers in the ocean, examples of geographic isolation (Casteleyn et al., 2010) and adaptation to local climate exist (Helmuth et al., 2006; Sanford and Kelly, 2011).

The emergence of the Panama Isthmus is an exemplary case of ecosystem reorganisation (Fig. 1). Classically, the focus has been put on the effect of the emerging physical barriers on marine organisms as a potential trigger to speciation (Chaisson, 2003; Knowlton et al., 1993; Miura et al., 2011). However, the closure of the Central American Seaway also led to a reorganisation of ocean circulation that significantly changed the physico-chemical

conditions on both sides of the Isthmus (Haug and Tiedemann, 1998; Haug et al., 2001; Keigwin, 1982; Schmidt, 2007). The exchange between Pacific and northwest Atlantic waters gradually declined between 8 and 5 Ma (Frank et al., 1999), resulting in a shoaling of the Central American Seaway to less than 100 meters by 4.7 Ma and restricting surface water exchange (Haug et al., 2001). By 4.2 Ma, the seaway was generally closed, though Pacific waters episodically breached into the Caribbean across a still-submerged sill or by short-lasting re-openings (Haug et al., 2001). The closure created a habitat separation, both, physically through the Isthmus but also via a separation of the physio-chemical environment (Fig. 1c). Today, surface water temperatures are not much different in both environments (Fig. 1b), but the Caribbean has a much larger mixed layer thickness and higher salinity than the Pacific. The surface waters of the eastern equatorial Pacific are influenced by upwelling of nutrient and CO₂-rich waters, leading to a shallow nutri- and thermocline and a lower pH (Fig. 1b) (Schmidt, 2007; Zhang et al., 2012).

Planktic foraminifers have an excellent fossil record, which allows us to assess the impact of environmental change and habitat separation. Their morphology has been shown on a geographical scale to reflect environmental preferences (Schmidt et al., 2004a) and genetic diversity (André et al., 2014; e.g., Darling and Wade, 2008; e.g., de Vargas et al., 2001), and temporally to reflect environmental changes (e.g., Malmgren et al., 1983; Norris et al., 1994; Renaud and Schmidt, 2003) and evolution (Malmgren and Kennett, 1981; Norris et al., 1996). We focused on two morphospecies with contrasting ecologies: the deep-dwelling lineage *Globorotalia plesiotumida-tumida*, and the shallow-dwelling *Trilobatus sacculifer*. The deep-dweller should have been affected prior to the surface dweller by the progressive closure of the seaway, as the habitat separation at depth would be expected to predate the surface water separation.

There are a number of competing hypotheses about the response of planktic foraminifers to the physical separation and alteration of their environments: (1) No divergence may occur,

because of world-wide gene flow. (2) Divergence could occur due to the physical separation of populations via the simple break down of gene flow, known as vicariance (Guarnizo et al., 2009). (3) The divergence of the environment itself could select for different adaptation. Here we construct long-term records of planktic foraminifer size and shape and sea surface temperature from either side of the Isthmus to test these hypotheses.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Background on the investigated species

Trilobatus sacculifer (Brady 1877, Fig. 2 top) is widespread in subtropical and tropical waters (Bé and Tolderlund, 1971). Its stratigraphic range extends from the early Miocene to the present day (Kennett and Srinivasan, 1983). The species' photosynthetic symbionts (Bé et al., 1982) restrict its habitat to the photic zone with a maximum habitat depth at the base of the mixed layer (Bé, 1965; Hecht and Savin, 1972). Its final chamber may take on different shapes: typically it is elongate and sac-like ('sacculifer' (S) morphotype), but it may also be undifferentiated (most frequently referred to as *Gs. or. T trilobus*, herein 'trilobus' (T) morphotype) or occasionally smaller than the previous chamber (kummerform, K). Unexpectedly, recent genetic studies provided evidence that these morphotypes are not linked to genetic differences (André et al., 2013; Aurahs et al., 2011). While the morphological variability has been comprehensively recorded through laboratory experiments (e.g., Bijma et al., 1990a; Bijma et al., 1990b; Hemleben et al., 1989), the biological significance of the sac-like chamber is unknown. In addition to *T. trilobus*, *T. sacculifer* grades with *T. immaturus* and *T. quadrilobatus* the latter being preferentially used for the Miocene morphotypes (André et al., 2013).

The *Globorotalia plesiotumida-tumida* lineage (Fig. 2 bottom) encompasses the evolution from the Late Miocene ancestral morphospecies *Gt. plesiotumida* (Banner and Blow, 1965)

to *Gt. tumida* (Brady, 1884) diachronically around the Miocene/Pliocene boundary (Malmgren et al., 1983). A third short-living morphotype in this transition from 6.2 to 5.8 Ma has been described recently (Hull and Norris, 2009). We have deliberately avoided sampling this short range variant to focus on the main transition. Morphological evolution in the lineage is reflected by an increase in size, thicker secondary calcification and changes in convexity and inflation of the test (Malmgren et al., 1983). This asymbiotic, deep-dwelling lineage calcifies close to the bottom of the photic zone in the tropics (Bé and Tolderlund, 1971). Adults live predominantly below 100 m (Bé, 1977), thus reflecting sub-thermocline depth changes (Cannariato and Ravelo, 1997). The specimens were considered to belong to the lineage if their final chamber was noticeably projected and had a distinct (early forms) to heavy (later specimens) keel and a robust test (Fig. 2). There is no indication of cryptic species in the modern morphospecies (André et al., 2014).

2.2 Material

Eastern Equatorial Pacific (Ocean Drilling Program, Leg 202).– Site 1241 is situated at 5°50.570'N/ 86°26.676'W with a water depth 2027 m (Fig. 1a). The surface ecosystem is characterised by annual sea surface temperatures of 27.4 °C, low salinity (~32.8 psu), and a shallow pycnocline (20-40 m depth) (Boyer et al., 2009). An astronomical age model was used between 6 to 2.5 Ma (Tiedemann et al., 2007), augmented with biostratigraphy from 0 to 2.5 Ma (Flores et al., 2006) and older than 6 Ma (Shipboard Scientific Party, 2003).

Western Caribbean (ODP, Leg 165). – Site 1000 is situated at 16°33.223'N/79°52.044'W at a water depth of 916 m. Samples younger than 4.80 Ma were obtained from nearby Site 999 at 12°44.639'N/ 78°44.360'W at a depth of 2828 m (Shipboard Scientific Party, 1997). The surface waters above these sites are characterised by annual average temperatures of 27.8 °C, high salinity (~35.9 psu), and a well-developed mixed layer with a depth of <100 m (Boyer et al., 2009).

All age models (Chaisson and D' Hondt, 2000; Kameo and Bralower, 2000) were adjusted to the 2004 timescale (Lourens et al., 2005) facilitating a direct comparison between the Sites. The measurement of environmental conditions and morphology on the same sample ensures synchronicity of sample comparison and environmental interpretation.

The response of both morphospecies was evaluated using geometric morphometric methods. Ten samples were selected on both sides of the Isthmus, with a time resolution of ~1 Myr. The samples were washed through a 63 μm sieve with deionized water, oven dried at ~50 °C and sieved larger than 150 μm . Splits of the sediment fraction > 150 μm were picked completely to obtain, where possible, at least 30 specimens. A total of 782 *T. sacculifer* and 760 *Gt. tumida* were measured. No *Gt. tumida* was found in the Caribbean sample corresponding to 2.3 Ma and only one *T. sacculifer* in the Pacific sample at 5.3 Ma. Similar to other studies (Hull and Norris, 2009), our data does not allow to assess if this rarity is global or a temporary restriction of the species' biogeographic distribution.

The foraminifers were oriented with the final chamber at the top, and mounted on slides. Two views of the oriented specimens were digitized to describe their three-dimensional morphology: a face view of the umbilical side and primary aperture, and a side view with aperture facing the viewer (Fig. 2). Both species contain sinistrally- and dextrally-coiled forms; therefore, a mirror image was used on left-coiled specimens in order to pool both the right- and left-coiled specimens in the morphometric analyses.

2.3 Morphometric analysis

The maximum diameter of each specimen and two-dimensional outlines were extracted using the image-processing software OPTIMAS. Each outline was defined by a set of 64 points sampled at equal curvilinear distance. The starting point of the outline analysis was at the suture between the last and penultimate chambers for *T. sacculifer*, and at the contact between the last chamber and the inner whorl for the face view and the tip of the last

chamber for the side view for *Gt. plesiotumida* and *Gt. tumida*. The variation of the radius (e.g. the distance of each point to the centre of the outline) was expressed as a function of the cumulative distance along the outline. This function was decomposed into a sum of trigonometric functions of decreasing wavelengths (harmonics), each being weighted by two Fourier coefficients (FC) (see de Vargas et al., 2001). The zeroth harmonic, proportional to size maximum diameter of the spiral view (MDsp), was used to standardize all other FCs to remove isometric size effects. Measurement noise has been shown to increase with harmonic rank and exceeds 50% of the signal for coefficients higher than the 12th harmonic (de Vargas *et al.* 2001); coefficients up to the 10th harmonic were deemed sufficient for shape analysis.

2.4 Environmental Proxies

A comparison with palaeoenvironmental proxies was used to test for the drivers of any morphological change involved. There are a number of possible ways to reconstruct palaeotemperatures, all of which with their own set of limitations (e.g., Henderson, 2002 and references therein). Ideally the habitat temperatures would be reconstructed using Mg/Ca ratios of the same specimen (Anand and Elderfield, 2003). Reconstruction of temperature by Mg/Ca palaeothermometry, though, rests on the knowledge of sea water Mg/Ca concentrations, and several studies suggest that seawater Mg/Ca ratios have varied over the past several Myrs making the calculation of accurate temperatures challenging (see Medina-Elizalde et al., 2008 for discussion).

C₃₇ alkenones are produced by coccolithophores living in the top few meters of the water column. They represent sea surface temperatures which moderately overestimate the mixed layer habitat temperature for *T. sacculifer* (Seki et al., 2012). We used the U₃₇^{K'} data published in Seki et al (2010) and Seki et al (2012) and converted it into temperatures using calibrations defined in Sonzogni et al. (1997).

TEX₈₆^H temperatures, which are based on marine Thaumarchaeota, reflect subsurface conditions in the tropics (Seki et al., 2012) similar to the habitat of *Gt. Tumida*. The understanding of their calibration is still strongly evolving. We used published data for Site 1241 (Seki et al., 2012) and, following the same analytical protocol, generated new data for Sites 999 and 1000. In brief, sediments were homogenized and lipids were extracted as described in Seki et al. (2012). Analysis of glycerol dialkyl glycerol tetraethers (GDGTs) for TEX₈₆^H was performed according to Schouten et al. (2007). The reproducibility of the TEX₈₆^H values is typically 0.01, which is equivalent to 0.3 °C (Schouten et al., 2007). We applied the calibration equation: $T = 68.4 * \text{TEX}_{86}^H + 38.6$ which was obtained from 255 core tops focussing on the temperature to tropical ocean (Kim et al., 2010), to convert our TEX₈₆^H values into temperatures. The calibration error for TEX₈₆^H is about 2.5 °C (Kim et al., 2010).

2.5 Statistics

Due to the high number of shape variables, the dimensionality of the data was first reduced (Sheets et al., 2006) to assess the data. A principal component analysis (PCA on the variance-covariance matrix of the Fourier Coefficients) was performed on the total data set (FCs of the first ten harmonics in spiral and side views). Axes explaining more than 5% of total variance were retained. The amount of between-group to total variance was calculated using a between-group PCA (Culhane et al., 2002).

Differences between Pacific and Caribbean samples from the same time-interval were investigated using non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis tests for size, and non-parametric multivariate analyses of variance (PERMANOVA) for shape. This analysis compares the distribution of Euclidean distances between observed samples to the ones obtained on 9999 permutations.

A linear model was used to investigate the effects of temperature and location (ocean) on size; and of size, temperature and location on shape. In the case of *T. sacculifer*, the morphotype (K, S, and T) was added as a factor in the model.

Even when standardised by size, FCs may still include size-related, allometric variation. Therefore, residuals of a multivariate regression of FCs upon size (MDsp) were calculated to provide size-free shape variables. Using a similar procedure than on the raw FCs, the size-free FCs residuals were first reduced using a PCA, retaining axes explaining > 5% of variance. The influence of temperature and location (and morphotype for *T. sacculifer*) on these size-free variables was investigated using a linear model.

Multivariate analyses and statistics were performed using the R packages ade4 (Dray and Dufour, 2007) and ffmanova (Langsrud and B.-H., 2012) and Past (Hammer et al., 2001).

3 Results

Gt. plesiotumida-tumida

Size differences between contemporary samples from the two oceans fluctuate through time (Table 2; Fig. 3a), with marked differences during the *Gt. plesiotumida-tumida* transition (7.3 and 6.3 Ma), and in the most recent times slices (1.3 and 0.3 Ma, Table 2). Size is strongly related to temperature, increasing in colder conditions (33% of variance explained, Table 3).

Shape differences between contemporary samples fluctuate through time. They are significantly different in both regions after the closure of the Isthmus (Table 2). A PCA on the raw FCs resulted in 4 axes explaining more than 5% of variance each (35.7%, 17.3%, 11.8%, 6.3%). Between-samples differences explained 23.8% of the total variance. The contribution of size, temperature and location were all significant ($P < 0.0001$; Table 3), with size-related variations being most important (9%).

The importance of size suggests the potential of allometric shape variation. A PCA on size-free FCs residuals resulted in five axes which explained > 5% each (28.9%, 16.5%, 14.0%, 7.5%, 5.5%). Between-group differences explained 20.8% of the total variance. The influence of temperature and location was still significant on this data set ($P < 0.0001$), but temperature is less important than location (temperature: 2.3%, ocean: 3.8% of variance). Size is driven by temperature and therefore allometry could have contributed to the primary role of temperature on raw shape. Consequently, we chose to use size-free axes to visualise shape variations through time (Fig. 3b).

T. sacculifer

Size differences between contemporary samples were only marginal in *T. sacculifer* (Table 2; Fig. 4a). As in the *plesiotumida-tumida* lineage, size was mostly influenced by temperature (Table 3), explaining 15% of variance.

Shape differences were only significant in the oldest (9.3 Ma) and in the most recent (0.3 Ma) time intervals. A PCA on raw FCs provided two axes > 5%, explaining 49.5% and 31.3% of variance. Between-sample differences explained 15.4% of the total variance. The contribution of size and temperature were highly significant whereas location had only a marginal effect. The size effect is twice as large as the temperature effect on shape variation (size: 10.6%, ocean: 1.1 %, temperature: 5.9 % of variance explained).

A PCA on size-free residuals led to 2 axes > 5% (53.3%, 26.4%), with between-group differences explaining 11.9% of the total variance (Fig. 4b). The influence of location was still minor whereas the influence of temperature was highly significant (ocean: 1.3%, temperature: 5.1%).

Three morphotypes can be identified within *T. sacculifer*. The rarity of the kummerform type precluded any conclusion about its environmental determinants or its shape evolution. The sacculifer and trilobus types roughly correspond to the two modes of the distribution along

the first shape axis (Fig. 4b). The match between the shape modes and the morphotypes is not perfect, however. Especially in the oldest samples, sacculifer and trilobus types have a similar shape, corroborated by the difficulty of separating the morphotypes visibly. The segregation between the morphotypes is more and more pronounced over time; sacculifer types display morphologies departing from the trilobus type with increasing frequency.

The membership to one of the three morphotypes was included in the models but explain only little size variance compared to temperature (ocean: 0.4 %, temperature: 11.2 %, morphotype: 3.5 %; Table 3). The impact on shape, in contrast, was far larger than the effect of temperature (morphotype: 14 %, temperature: 3 %).

The relationship between size, shape, and temperature is shown in Figure 5. For *Gt. tumida*, size varies more or less linearly with temperature (Fig. 5a). Size, shape and the percentage of sacculifer-type specimens are strongly related to temperature (Fig. 5 c, d, e). The relationship between shape and temperature is more complex. Shape co-varies with temperature in the Pacific series of *Gt. tumida*. Caribbean samples, though, deviate frequently from this trend (Fig. 5b), leading to a large variation around the general shape–temperature relationship. Ancestral morphologies of the *Trilobus* three morphotypes were rather similar, sharing compact shapes (Fig 5e,f). The relationship of sacculifer and trilobus types to temperature develops differently, possibly causing the increase in divergence in more recent times. While the shape of the sacculifer morphotype (Fig. 5e) co-varies strongly with temperature, the trilobus morphotype changes very little with varying temperatures (Fig. 5f). Based on our limited sampling, it seems that the kummerform morphotype follows a pattern similar to the sacculifer morphotype. The sacculifer morphotype thus displays the strongest covariation between shape and temperature, even stronger than the one present in *Gt. tumida*.

To investigate this further, we analysed the relationship between shape and environment, separating sacculifer and trilobus morphotypes. As for the whole species, the low variance

explained indicates a strong intra-sample variance. In the *sacculifer* type, the morphologies differed between the two oceans only in the most recent sample, significantly after the closure of the Isthmus (Table 2). Ocean was never a significant factor, whereas temperature explained 5-9 % of size and shape variance (Table 3). The *trilobus* morphotype displayed differences between locations in the oldest time slice. It is important to note that we lack confidence in our assignment of morphotypes in this time-slice as overall the morphologies are very similar (Table 2). Size is strongly related to temperature (24 % of variance explained). Shape was slightly related to temperature (2-3%), whereas the effect of location was not significant.

4 Discussion

The closure of the Central American seaway represents the first time in the evolutionary history of modern marine plankton that the connection across *all* tropical seaways was interrupted. As a result species had to move across a wide range of habitats to exchange genetic information between ocean basins. The general expectation in response is that the progressive shoaling of the Central American seaway and the formation of the Isthmus of Panama would (1) trigger differentiation due to isolation and (2) impact deep-dwelling species first and hence affect *Gt. tumida* prior to *T. sacculifer*.

4.1 Closure of the Isthmus as a trigger of differentiation: a validation

Despite known world-wide genetic exchanges in foraminifers (e.g. Darling et al., 2000), both morphospecies displayed a differentiation in shape of Atlantic and Pacific populations after the closure of the seaway. This differentiation occurred significantly earlier for the deep-dwelling *Gt. tumida* than for the shallow-dwelling *T. sacculifer*. This differentiation could be due to vicariance but a local adaptation to progressively differing environments can also

cause this differentiation as the Isthmus of Panama generated a split in the environmental conditions in the eastern equatorial Pacific and the Caribbean (Schmidt, 2007).

4.2. Size trends: A physiology-driven response to temperature?

Adult size in planktic foraminifers is the outcome of environmentally controlled growth rates (see Schmidt et al., 2006 and references therein). Therefore, physiology-driven size response is a good candidate to explain the observed trends. Large size in this group indicates optimal environmental conditions (Hecht, 1976; Schmidt et al., 2004a; Schmidt et al., 2004b). Assuming that the temperature effect on physiology and size is stable over time allows a cautious comparison to the modern temperature niche. The modern SST optimum for *T. sacculifer* is 27 °C (Lombard et al., 2009; Schmidt et al., 2004a), slightly colder than the majority of our record. The cooling temperatures over the studied interval therefore move temperatures towards more optimal conditions and allow growth to larger size (Fig. 3 and 5). This interpretation is based on the assumption that the temperature optima have not change significantly over time. The optimal habitat temperatures for *Gt. tumida* today are between 12°C and 17 °C (estimated by maximum abundance of this species and temperature at 200 m (Prell et al., 1999)). The increase in size with cooling similarly suggests temperatures moving closer to the specific optimum. Hence, physiology-driven response to temperature is sufficient to explain the size variation of both morphospecies over time and the relationship does not change over time.

4.3. Shape changes: temperature and vicariance

Our temperature-driven changes in size affect shape due to allometric variations. These allometric variations mask subtle changes in shape departing from the allometric relationship (Girard and Renaud, 2008). The effect of size as a major driver of shape evolution is well known (Marroig and Cheverud, 2005). We assessed size-free shape variations to investigate how they may relate to environmental changes beyond the signal driven by the size-

temperature relationship. The similarity of our results on raw and size-free data suggests that shape response was not merely a result of allometry.

A differentiation of Pacific and Atlantic stocks in the shallow-dweller *T. sacculifer* occurred several millions years after the closure of the Isthmus, concomitant with divergence in the temperature conditions at both locations. Understanding the response of *T. sacculifer* is complicated by the occurrence of several morphotypes. This species is known for both its phenotypic variability but also its global genetic homogeneity (André et al., 2013). The driver of the plasticity is still poorly understood and experiments result in a wide range of responses. Bé et al. (1981) found that increased feeding frequency increases sac-like chamber formation and growth rate, resulting in larger final test sizes in these experiments. Bijma et al. (1992) in experiments at the same location found an interplay between feeding and light influencing the formation of the sac-like chamber. While variable, they found that lower feeding rates resulted in a greater number of sac-like phenotypes. High light intensity, typically associated with oligotrophic environments such as the Caribbean, lead to higher frequency of sac-like chambers (Bijma et al., 1992; Caron et al., 1981). Both observations might explain the high abundance of *sacculifer* morphotypes in the oligotrophic Caribbean compared to the Pacific. Bijma et al. (1992) found that low light, representing deeper waters, results in a higher number of kummerform types in their experiments but the low abundance in our samples hinders us from drawing inferences.

Whatever the process, mean shape of *T. sacculifer* and the shape of each morphotype appears to be responding to temperature over time. The relative abundance of both dominant morphotypes is correlated to temperature. The controlling mechanism may not be temperature *per se* but could be a knock-on effect of temperature-related shifts in oceanographic conditions (e.g. the nutrient supply to prey species). This raises further questions about the determinism of the morphotypes, but clearly shows that the response of *T. sacculifer* was not driven by vicariance but by the progressive differentiation of the

environmental conditions on both sides of the Isthmus. Changes in shape influence buoyancy (Caromel et al., 2014). The more elongated Atlantic type and its symbionts would therefore be able to stay longer in the surface water compared to the more rounded Pacific type. The change in shape would also allow the morphotype to grow larger without losing relative internal volume and hence reproductive success (Caromel et al., 2014). This morphological change therefore might facilitate the exploitation of the mixed layer habitat, benefiting the symbionts and facilitating growth to large sizes. This ecological advantage is highlighted by the globally highest abundances (>40% of the assemblage) of *T. sacculifer* in the tropical Atlantic Ocean (Prell et al., 1999).

Regarding the deep-dweller *Gt. tumida*, temperature also appears as a significant driver of shape change. Differences between the two oceans, which cannot be linked to temperature differences, appear significant as well. We therefore suggest that vicariance played a role in the differentiation of Caribbean and Pacific populations of this species.

Physiology-driven changes may contribute to this reaction to the environment, but such processes are most probably reinforced by sorting of genetic variants from a vast standing stock. Overall our study highlights the high ability of foraminifers to respond to environmental changes, mostly following temperature (or temperature-related) variations, an environmental parameter which we expect to change rapidly over the coming decades. While phenotypic plasticity, as strongly expressed in *T. sacculifer*, is generally thought to shield genetic variation from selection (Senner et al., 2015), it may also facilitate adaptation by allowing survival in new environments in the first place (Badyaev, 2005; Ghalambor et al., 2007; Price and Qvarnström, 2003).

4.4 Conclusions

Our main finding is that the size and shape evolution of both investigated species is strongly influenced by temperature, despite their different ecology. This raises concerns about the

impacts of current climate change on foraminifers. This can be directly on the organisms by impacting on growth and volume which relates to the numbers of gametes produced. Indirectly, changes to the amount carbonate produced by each specimen impacts the production of carbonate in the ocean and thereby global biogeochemical cycles. The open question therefore is if these species, and potentially all planktic foraminifers, will be able to track their habitat given that environmental changes are currently occurring at a rate outside foraminifers' evolutionary experience. A physiological response and the selection of an available phenotype or genotype from a large standing stock would both facilitate a rapid response to environmental changes.

Author contributions

DNS conceived the idea, AC collected the morphometric data, JR and OS the temperature data. SR performed the morphometric analysis. DNS and SR interpreted the data. AC, DNS, SR wrote the paper. All authors contributed to the manuscript and gave final approval for publication. We have no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank NERC for funding AGMC (NE/G524228/1) and JWBR (NE/F007345/1) via PhD studentships and the Royal Society for funding DNS via a University Research Fellowship and a Wolfson Merit Award. The work was supported by NERC grant NE/H006273/1. OS acknowledges the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology for funding via Japan Society of Promotion of Science (No. 1800410000) enabling the research at Bristol. The data is deposited at www.pangaea.de, (doi:10.1594/PANGAEA.837987)

References

- Anand, P., Elderfield, H., 2003. Calibration of Mg/Ca thermometry in planktonic foraminifera from a sediment trap time series. *Paleoceanography* 18, 1050, doi:10.1029/2002PA000846.
- Anderson, O.R., Spindler, M., Bé, A.W.H., Hemleben, C., 1979. Trophic activity of planktonic foraminifera. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 59, 791-799.
- André, A., Quillévéré, F., Morard, R., Ujiie, Y., Escarguel, G., de Vargas, C., de Garidel-Thoron, T., Douady, C.J., 2014. SSU rDNA Divergence in Planktonic Foraminifera: Molecular Taxonomy and Biogeographic Implications. *PLoS ONE* 9, e104641.
- André, A., Weiner, A., Quillévéré, F., Aurahs, R., Morard, R., Douady, C.J., de Garidel-Thoron, T., Escarguel, G., de Vargas, C., Kucera, M., 2013. The cryptic and the apparent reversed: lack of genetic differentiation within the morphologically diverse plexus of the planktonic foraminifer *Globigerinoides sacculifer*. *Paleobiology* 39, 21-39.
- Aurahs, R., Treis, Y., Darling, K., Kucera, M., 2011. A revised taxonomic and phylogenetic concept for the planktonic foraminifer species *Globigerinoides ruber* based on molecular and morphometric evidence. *Marine Micropaleontology* 79, 1-14.
- Austin, G.E., Rehfish, M.M., 2005. Shifting nonbreeding distributions of migratory fauna in relation to climatic change. *Global Change Biology* 11, 31-38.
- Badyaev, A.V., 2005. Stress-induced variation in evolution: from behavioural plasticity to genetic assimilation. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences* 272, 877-886.
- Banner, F.T., Blow, W.H., 1965. Progress in the planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy of the Neogene. *Nature* 208, 1164-1166.
- Bé, A.W.H., 1965. The influence of depth on shell growth in *Globigerinoides sacculifer* (Brady). *Micropaleontology* 11, 81-97.
- Bé, A.W.H., 1977. An ecological, zoogeographic and taxonomic review of Recent planktonic foraminifera, in: Ramsay, A.T.S. (Ed.), *Oceanic Micropaleontology*. Academic Press, London, pp. 1-100.
- Bé, A.W.H., Caron, D.A., Anderson, O.R., 1981. Effects of feeding frequency on life processes of the planktonic foraminifer *Globigerinoides sacculifer* in laboratory culture. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 61, 257-277.
- Bé, A.W.H., Spero, H.J., Anderson, O.R., 1982. Effects of symbiont elimination and reinfection on the life processes of the planktonic foraminifer *Globigerinoides sacculifer*. *Mar Biol* 70, 73-86.
- Bé, A.W.H., Tolderlund, D.S., 1971. Distribution and ecology of living planktonic foraminifera in surface waters of the Atlantic and Indian oceans, in: Funnell, B.M., Riedel, W.R. (Eds.), *Micropaleontology of marine bottom sediments*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 105-149.
- Beaugrand, G., Reid, P.C., 2003. Long-term changes in phytoplankton, zooplankton and salmon related to climate. *Global Change Biology* 9, 801-817.
- Bijma, J., Erez, J., Hemleben, C., 1990a. Lunar and semi-lunar reproductive cycles in some spinose planktonic foraminifera. *Journal of Foraminiferal Research* 20, 117-127.
- Bijma, J., Faber, W.W., Hemleben, C., 1990b. Temperature and salinity limits for growth and survival of some planktonic foraminifera in laboratory cultures. *Journal of Foraminiferal Research* 20, 95-116.
- Bijma, J., Hemleben, C., Oberhänsli, H., Spindler, M., 1992. The effects of increased water fertility on tropical spinose planktonic foraminifera in laboratory cultures. *Journal of Foraminiferal Research* 22, 242-256.
- Boyer, T.P., Antonov, J.I., Baranova, O.K., Garcia, H.E., Johnson, D.R., Locarnini, R.A., Mishonov, A.V., Seidov, D., Smolyar, I.V., Zweng, M.M., 2009. *World Ocean Database 2009*. U.S. Gov. Printing Office, Washington DC.

- 476 Brady, H.B., 1884. Report on the foraminifera dredged by H.M.S. Challenger during the
477 years 1873-1876.
- 478 Cannariato, K.G., Ravelo, A.C., 1997. Plio-Pleistocene evolution of eastern tropical Pacific
479 surface water circulation and thermocline depth. *Paleoceanography* 12, 805-820.
- 480 Caronnel, A.G.M., Schmidt, D.N., Phillips, J.C., Rayfield, E.J., 2014. Hydrodynamic
481 constraints on the evolution and ecology of planktic foraminifera. *Marine Micropaleontology*
482 106, 69-78.
- 483 Caron, D.A., Bé, A.W.H., Anderson, O.R., 1981. Effects of variations in light intensity on life
484 processes of the planktonic foraminifer *Globigerinoides sacculifer* in laboratory culture.
485 *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 62, 435-451.
- 486 Casteleyn, G., Leliaert, F., Backeljau, T., Debeer, A.-E., Kotaki, Y., Rhodes, L., Lundholm,
487 N., Sabbe, K., Vyverman, W., 2010. Limits to gene flow in a cosmopolitan marine
488 planktonic diatom. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 107, 12952-12957.
- 489 Chaisson, W.P., 2003. Vicarious living: Pliocene menardellids between an isthmus and an
490 ice sheet. *Geology* 31, 1085-1088.
- 491 Chaisson, W.P., D' Hondt, S.L., 2000. Neogene planktonic foraminifer biostratigraphy at Site
492 999, Western Caribbean Sea, in: Leckie, R.M., Sigurdsson, H., Acton, G.D., Draper, G.
493 (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program, Scientific Results. Ocean Drilling*
494 *Program, College Station, TX*, pp. 19-56.
- 495 Chavez, F.P., Ryan, J., Lluch-Cota, S.E., Niquen, C.M., 2003. From Anchovies to Sardines
496 and Back: Multidecadal Change in the Pacific Ocean. *Science* 299, 217-221.
- 497 Clavel, J., Julliard, R., Devictor, V., 2010. Worldwide decline of specialist species: toward a
498 global functional homogenization? *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 9, 222-228.
- 499 Culhane, A.E., Perrière, G., Considine, E.C., Cotter, T.G., Higgins, D.G., 2002. Between-
500 group analysis of microarray data. *Bioinformatics* 18, 1600-1608.
- 501 Dam, H.G., 2013. Evolutionary Adaptation of Marine Zooplankton to Global Change. *Annual*
502 *Review of Marine Science* 5, 349-370.
- 503 Darling, K.F., Wade, C.M., 2008. The genetic diversity of planktic foraminifera and the global
504 distribution of ribosomal RNA genotypes. *Marine Micropaleontology* 67, 216-238.
- 505 Darling, K.F., Wade, C.M., Stewart, I.A., Kroon, D., Dingle, R., Brown, A.J.L., 2000.
506 Molecular evidence for genetic mixing of Arctic and Antarctic subpolar populations of
507 planktonic foraminifers. *Nature* 405, 43-47.
- 508 de Vargas, C., Renaud, S., Hilbrecht, H., Pawlowski, J., 2001. Pleistocene adaptive radiation
509 in *Globorotalia truncatulinoides*: genetic, morphologic and environmental evidence.
510 *Paleobiology* 27, 104-125.
- 511 Dray, S., Dufour, A.-B., 2007 The ade4 package: implementing the duality diagram for
512 ecologists. *Journal of Statistical Software* 22, 1-20.
- 513 Edwards, A.M., Wright, D.G., Platt, T., 2004. Biological heating effect of a band of
514 phytoplankton. *Journal of Marine Systems* 49, 89-103.
- 515 Flores, J.-A., Wei, W., López-Otálvaro, G.E., Alvarez, C., Sierro, F.J., 2006. Data report:
516 Tropical and Equatorial Calcareous Nannofossil Pleistocene Biostratigraphy, ODP Leg 202,
517 in: Tiedemann, R., Mix, A.C., Richter, C., Ruddiman, W.F. (Eds.), *Proceedings of the*
518 *Ocean Drilling Program, Scientific Results. Ocean Drilling Program, College Station*, pp. 1-
519 10. doi:10.2973/odp.proc.sr.2202.2214.2006.
- 520 Frank, M., Reynolds, B.C., O'Nions, K., 1999. Nd and Pb isotopes in Atlantic and Pacific
521 water masses before and after the closure of the Panama gateway. *Geology* 27, 1147-
522 1150.
- 523 Garcia, H.E., Locarnini, R.A., Boyer, T.P., Antonov, J.I., 2006. *World Ocean Atlas 2005*. U.S.
524 Government Printing Office, Washington.
- 525 Ghalambor, C.K., McKay, J.K., Carroll, S.P., Reznick, D.N., 2007. Adaptive Versus Non-
526 Adaptive Phenotypic Plasticity and the Potential for Contemporary Adaptation in New
527 Environments. *Functional Ecology* 21, 394-407.
- 528 Girard, C., Renaud, S., 2008. Disentangling allometry and response to Kellwasser anoxic
529 events in the Late Devonian conodont genus *Ancyrodella*. *Lethaia* 41, 383-394.

- Guarnizo, C.E., Amézquita, A., Bermingham, E., 2009. The relative roles of vicariance versus elevational gradients in the genetic differentiation of the high Andean tree frog, *Dendropsophus labialis*. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 50, 84-92.
- Hammer, Ø., Harper, D.A.T., Ryan, P.D., 2001. PAST: Paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. *Palaeontologia Electronica* 4, 9.
- Haug, G., Tiedemann, R., 1998. Effect of the formation of the Isthmus of Panama on Atlantic Ocean thermohaline circulation. *Nature* 393.
- Haug, G.H., Tiedemann, R., Zahn, R., Ravelo, A.C., 2001. Role of Panama uplift on oceanic freshwater balance. *Geology* 29, 207-210.
- Hecht, A.D., 1976. An ecologic model for test size variation recent planktonic foraminifera: application to the fossil record. *Journal of Foraminiferal Research* 6, 295-311.
- Hecht, A.D., Savin, S.M., 1972. Phenotypic variation and oxygen isotope ratios in Recent planktonic foraminifera. *Journal of Foraminiferal Research* 2, 55-67.
- Helmuth, B., Mieszkowska, N., Moore, P., Hawkins, S.J., 2006. Living on the edge of two changing worlds: Forecasting the responses of rocky intertidal ecosystems to climate change. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics and Biodiversity* 37, 373-404.
- Hemleben, C., Spindler, M., Anderson, O.R., 1989. *Modern Planktonic Foraminifera*. Springer, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Henderson, G.M., 2002. New oceanic proxies for paleoclimate. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 203, 1-13.
- Hull, P.M., Norris, R.D., 2009. Evidence for abrupt speciation in a classic case of gradual evolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 106, 21224-21229.
- IPCC, 2014. *Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part A: Global and Sectoral Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA.
- Kameo, K., Bralower, T.J., 2000. Neogene calcareous nannofossil biostratigraphy of Site 998, 999, and 1000, Caribbean Sea, in: Leckie, R.M., Sigurdsson, H., Acton, G.D., Draper, G. (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program, Scientific Results. Ocean Drilling Program, College Station, TX*, pp. 3-17.
- Keigwin, L., 1982. Isotopic paleoceanography of the Caribbean and East Pacific: role of Panama uplift in late Neogene time. *Science* 217, 350-353.
- Kennett, J.P., Srinivasan, M.S., 1983. *Neogene planktonic foraminifera: A Phylogenetic Atlas*. Hutchinson Ross, Stroudsburg, PA.
- Kim, J.-H., van der Meer, J., Schouten, S., Helmke, P., Willmott, V., Sangiorgi, F., Koç, N., Hopmans, E.C., Damsté, J.S.S., 2010. New indices and calibrations derived from the distribution of crenarchaeal isoprenoid tetraether lipids: Implications for past sea surface temperature reconstructions. *Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta* 74, 4639-4654.
- Knowlton, N., Weight, L.A., Solorzano, L.A., Mills, D.K., Bermingham, E., 1993. Divergence in proteins, mitochondrial DNA, and reproductive compatibility across the Isthmus of Panama. *Science* 260, 1629-1631.
- Langsrud, Ø., B.-H., M., 2012. Ffmanova. R package. .
- Lohbeck, K.T., Riebesell, U., Reusch, T.B.H., 2012. Adaptive evolution of a key phytoplankton species to ocean acidification. *Nature Geoscience* 5, 346-351.
- Lombard, F., Labeyrie, L., Michel, E., Spero, H.J., Lea, D.W., 2009. Modelling the temperature dependent growth rates of planktic foraminifera. *Marine Micropaleontology* 70, 1-7.
- Lourens, L.J., Hilgen, F.J., Laskar, J., Shackleton, N.J., Wilson, D., 2005. The Neogene Period, in: Gradstein, F.M., Ogg, J., Smith, A.G. (Eds.), *A Geologic Time Scale 2004*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Malmgren, B.A., Berggren, W.A., Lohman, G.P., 1983. Evidence for punctuated gradualism in the Late Neogene *Globorotalia tumida* lineage of planktonic foraminifera. *Paleobiology* 9, 377-389.

- Malmgren, B.A., Kennett, J.P., 1981. Phyletic gradualism in a Late Cenozoic planktonic foraminiferal lineage; DSDP Site 284, southwest Pacific. *Paleobiology* 7, 230-240.
- Marroig, G., Cheverud, J.M., 2005. Size as line of least evolutionary resistance: diet and adaptive morphological radiation in New World monkeys. *Evolution* 59, 1128-1142.
- Medina-Elizalde, M., Lea, D.W., Fantle, M.S., 2008. Implications of seawater Mg/Ca variability for Plio-Pleistocene tropical climate reconstruction. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 269, 584-594.
- Miura, O., Torchin, M.E., Bermingham, E., Jacobs, D.K., Hechinger, R.F., 2011. Flying shells: historical dispersal of marine snails across Central America. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 279, 1061-1067.
- Norris, R.D., 2000. Pelagic species diversity, biogeography, and evolution. *Paleobiology* 26, 236-258.
- Norris, R.D., Corfield, R.M., Cartlidge, J., 1996. What is gradualism? Cryptic speciation in globorotaliid foraminifera. *Paleobiology* 22, 386-405.
- Norris, R.D., Corfield, R.M., Cartlidge, J.E., 1994. Evolutionary ecology of *Globorotalia* (*Globoconella*) (planktic foraminifera). *Marine Micropaleontology* 23, 121-145.
- Poloczanska, E.S., Brown, C.J., Sydeman, W.J., Kiessling, W., Schoeman, D.S., Moore, P.J., Brander, K., Bruno, J.F., Buckley, L.B., Burrows, M.T., Duarte, C.M., Halpern, B.S., Holding, J., Kappel, C.V., O'Connor, M.I., Pandolfi, J.M., Parmesan, C., Schwing, F., Thompson, S.A., Richardson, A.J., 2013. Global imprint of climate change on marine life. *Nature Clim. Change* 3, 919-925.
- Pörtner, H.O., Karl, D., Boyd, P.W., Cheung, W., Lluch-Cota, S.E., Nojiri, Y., Schmidt, D.N., Zavialov, P., 2014. Ocean systems, in: Field, C.B., Barros, V.R., Dokken, D.J., Mach, K.J., Mastrandrea, M.D., Bilir, T.E., Chatterjee, M., Ebi, K.L., Estrada, Y.O., Genova, R.C., Girma, B., Kissel, E.S., Levy, A.N., MacCracken, S., Mastrandrea, P.R., White, L.L. (Eds.), *Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part A: Global and Sectoral Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 411-484.
- Prell, W., Martin, A., Cullen, J., Trend, M., 1999. The Brown University Foraminiferal Data Base, GBP PAGES/World Data Center-A for Paleoclimatology Data Contribution Series # 1999-027. NOAA/NGDC Paleoclimatology Program, Boulder CO, USA.
- Price, T.D., Qvarnström, A., 2003. The role of phenotypic plasticity in driving genetic evolution. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 70, 1433-1440.
- Renaud, S., Schmidt, D.N., 2003. Habitat tracking as a response of the planktic foraminifer *Globorotalia truncatulinoides* to environmental fluctuations during the last 140 kyr. *Marine Micropaleontology* 49, 97-122.
- Reusch, T.B.H., 2014. Climate change in the oceans: evolutionary versus phenotypically plastic responses of marine animals and plants. *Evolutionary Applications* 7, 104-122.
- Ridgwell, A., Schmidt, D.N., 2010. Past constraints on the vulnerability of marine calcifiers to massive carbon dioxide release. *Nature Geoscience* 3, 196-200.
- Sanford, E., Kelly, M.W., 2011. Local Adaptation in Marine Invertebrates. *Annual Review of Marine Science* 3, 509-535.
- Schlitzer, R., 2006. Ocean Data View - 3.1.0, <http://odv.awi.de>.
- Schmidt, D.N., 2007. The closure history of the Panama Isthmus: Evidence from isotopes and fossils to models and molecules, in: Williams, M., Haywood, A., Gregory, R.D., Schmidt, D.N. (Eds.), *Deep time perspectives on climate change - marrying the signal from computer models and biological proxies*. Geological Society of London, London, pp. 429-444.
- Schmidt, D.N., Lazarus, D., Young, J., Kucera, M., 2006. Biogeography and evolution of body-size of marine plankton. *Earth-Science Reviews* 78, 239-266.
- Schmidt, D.N., Renaud, S., Bollmann, J., Schiebel, R., Thierstein, H.R., 2004a. Size distribution of Holocene planktic foraminifer assemblages: biogeography, ecology and adaptation. *Marine Micropaleontology* 50, 319-338.

- Schmidt, D.N., Thierstein, H.R., Bollmann, J., Schiebel, R., 2004b. Abiotic Forcing of Plankton Evolution in the Cenozoic. *Science* 303, 207-210.
- Scholes, R., Settele, J., Betts, R., Bunn, S., Leadley, P., Nepstad, D., Overpeck, J., Taboda, M.A., 2014. Terrestrial and Inland Water systems, in: Field, C., Barros, V. (Eds.), IPCC WGII Impact, Adaptation. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 271-359.
- Schouten, S., Huguet, C., Hopmans, E.C., Damsté, J.S.S., 2007. Analytical methodology for TEX₈₆ paleothermometry by highperformance liquid chromatography/atmospheric pressure chemical ionization-mass spectrometry. *Analytical Chemistry* 79, 2940–2944.
- Seears, H., Darling, K., Wade, C., 2012. Ecological partitioning and diversity in tropical planktonic foraminifera. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 12, 54.
- Seki, O., Foster, G.L., Schmidt, D.N., Mackensen, A., Kawamura, K., Pancost, R.D., 2010. Alkenone and boron-based Pliocene pCO₂ records. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 292, 201-211.
- Seki, O., Schmidt, D.N., Schouten, S., Hopmans, E.C., Sinninghe Damsté, J.S., Pancost, R.D., 2012. Paleoceanographic changes in the Eastern Equatorial Pacific over the last 10 Myr. *Paleoceanography* 27, PA3224.
- Senner, N.R., Conklin, J.R., Piersma, T., 2015. An ontogenetic perspective on individual differences. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences* 282.
- Sheets, H.D., Covino K. M., Panasiewicz, J.M., Morris, S.R., 2006. Comparison of geometric morphometric outline methods in the discrimination of age-related differences in feather shape. *Frontiers in Zoology* 3.
- Shipboard Scientific Party, 1997. Site 999, in: Sigurdsson, H., Leckie, R.M., Acton, G.D. (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program, Initial Reports, Leg 165*. Ocean Drilling Program, College Station, pp. 131-230.
- Shipboard Scientific Party, 2003. Site 1241. *Initial Results of the Ocean Drilling Program 202*, doi:110.2973/odp.proc.ir.2201.2112.
- Sonzogni, C., Bard, E., Rostek, F., Lafont, R., Rosell-Mele, A., Eglinton, G., 1997. Core-top calibration of the alkenone index vs sea surface temperature in the Indian Ocean. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography* 44, 1445-1460.
- Tiedemann, R., Sturm, A., Steph, S., Lund, S.P., Stoner, J.S., 2007. Astronomically calibrated timescales from 6 to 2.5 Ma and benthic isotope stratigraphies, Sites 1236, 1237, 1239, and 1241, in: Tiedemann, R., Mix, A.C., Richter, C., Ruddiman, W.F. (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program, Scientific Results*. Ocean Drilling Program, College Station, pp. 1–69.
- Zhang, X., Prange, M., Steph, S., Butzin, M., Krebs, U., Lunt, D.J., Nisancioglu, K.H., Park, W., Schmittner, A., Schneider, B., Schulz, M., 2012. Changes in equatorial Pacific thermocline depth in response to Panamanian seaway closure: Insights from a multi-model study. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 317,318, 76-84.

678 **Tables**

679 Table 1. Sample size and summary of the data. N: sample size for morphometrics (for *T.*
 680 *sacculifer* also divided by morphotypes S(acculifer), T(rilobus) and K(ummerform)).
 681 TEXtemp: thermocline temperature [°C]; UKtemp: sea surface temperature [°C]. MDsp:
 682 mean size of the foraminifer (maximum diameter in spiral view) [μm].

<i>Gt. tumida</i>					<i>T. sacculifer</i>					
Area	Age	N	TEXtemp	MDsp	N	NK	NT	NS	UKtemp	MDsp
	[Ma]		[°C]	[μm]					[°C]	[μm]
CAR	0.3	43	24.3	766	39	1	37	1	24.6	562
CAR	1.3	86	23.7	810	64	3	47	14	28.3	465
CAR	2.3	0	23.2		67	0	42	25	28.2	521
CAR	3.3	32	24.2	678	32	0	20	12	28.5	438
CAR	4.3	28	23.7	543	45	1	21	23	29.4	413
CAR	5.3	100	25.3	619	37	0	14	23	30.4	434
CAR	6.3	30	26.1	370	37	0	17	20	30.4	417
CAR	7.3	86	26.3	385	65	0	27	18	30.4	387
CAR	8.3	57	26.9	365	35	0	16	19	30.4	436
CAR	9.3	5	26.5	344	28	0	8	20	30.4	360
PAC	0.3	29	22.1	633	31	0	20	11	27.4	603
PAC	1.3	51	24.1	628	47	1	33	13	28.1	534
PAC	2.3	37	23.3	685	60	1	37	22	28.6	477
PAC	3.3	34	24.0	790	34	0	20	14	28.8	486
PAC	4.3	40	24.9	651	30	0	14	16	28.9	469
PAC	5.3	34	24.1	656	1	0	0	1	29.0	546
PAC	6.3	32	25.3	478	33	1	13	19	28.0	471

PAC	7.3	13	26.9	516	31	0	15	16	29.0	389
PAC	8.3	21	25.6	354	38	1	12	25	29.5	409
PAC	9.3	2	26.0	499	28	0	9	19	29.5	394

683

684

Table 2. Size and shape differences between contemporary samples from the Caribbean and the Pacific Ocean. Size differences were tested on the maximum diameter in spiral view (MDsp) using non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis tests. Shape differences were tested on the set of principal axes explaining > 5% of variance, on the raw and size-free (SF) data, using a non-parametric multivariate analyses of variance (PERMANOVA). Probabilities of the tests are given. In bold: $P < 0.001$; in italics: $P < 0.05$. *Gt. tumida*: Note that the latest pair includes 7 specimens only. *T. sacculifer*: results are provided for the whole assemblage, and for S and T morphotypes separately.

<i>Gt. tumida</i>				<i>T. sacculifer</i>			S type			T type		
				All together			N=422			N=351		
	MDsp	Raw	SF	MDsp	Raw	SF	MDsp	Raw	SF	MDsp	Raw	SF
0.3	0.0006	0.0001	0.0001	0.1228	0.0001	0.0001	0.2626	0.0002	0.0001		0.0854	0.0805
1.3	< 0.0001	0.0003	0.0001	<i>0.0053</i>	0.0797	0.1879	<i>0.0173</i>	0.1365	0.3413	0.1205	0.8664	0.6231
2.3				<i>0.0406</i>	0.6908	0.4916	0.0542	0.6832	0.3143	0.7170	0.2889	0.5068
3.3	<i>0.0176</i>	0.0001	0.0001	0.0543	0.1654	0.5386	0.0742	0.7745	0.9649	0.3545	0.0007	0.0010
4.3	<i>0.0086</i>	<i>0.0010</i>	0.0001	<i>0.0083</i>	0.6093	0.9194	0.1060	0.4215	0.5803	<i>0.0279</i>	0.1085	0.0999
5.3	0.2012	0.0001	0.0001		0.3860	0.1828					0.2861	0.1664
6.3	0.0001	<i>0.0015</i>	<i>0.0018</i>	<i>0.0146</i>	0.1771	0.1334	0.4144	0.1493	0.1358	<i>0.0024</i>	0.1016	0.5154
7.3	0.0001	<i>0.0055</i>	0.0644	0.8111	0.5772	0.6009	0.2124	0.2959	0.4796	0.1667	<i>0.0037</i>	<i>0.0163</i>
8.3	0.4206	<i>0.0018</i>	0.0001	0.1709	<i>0.0204</i>	0.0624	0.2652	0.2866	0.3313	0.4137	0.0991	0.1537
9.3	<i>0.0528</i>	0.8089	0.4746	0.1119	0.0003	0.0005	0.2898	<i>0.0060</i>	<i>0.0181</i>	0.3254	0.0002	0.0005

693

Table 3. Percentage of variance and probability of the different factors (size, ocean, temperature, and morphotype, depending on the model) explaining size and shape for *Gt. tumida* and *T. sacculifer*. In bold effects with $P < 0.0001$.

% variance	P
------------	---

		Size	Ocean	Temperature	Types	Size	Ocean	Temperature	Types
<i>Gt. tumida</i>	Size		1.2	33.2			0.0003	<0.0001	
	Shape, raw	8.6	1.8	3.9	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	
	Shape, sizefree		3.8	2.5		<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	
<i>T. sacculifer</i>	Size		0.2	16.1		0.128	<0.0001	<0.0001	
	Shape, raw	10.6	1.1	5.9	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	
	Shape, sizefree		1.3	5.1		<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	
<i>With types</i>	Size		0.4	11.2	3.5		0.047	<0.0001	<0.0001
	Shape, raw	9.0	0.8	1.8	14.0	<0.0001	0.0004	<0.0001	<0.0001
	Shape, sizefree		1.0	1.8	14.0		0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
<i>sacculifer type</i>	Size		0.4	8.5			0.193	<0.0001	
	Shape, raw	8.7	0.6	6.9	<0.0001	0.106	<0.0001	<0.0001	
	Shape, sizefree		0.7	5.3		0.0823	<0.0001	<0.0001	
<i>trilobus type</i>	Size		0.2	23.5			0.309	<0.0001	
	Shape, raw	8.2	0.0	0.9	<0.0001	0.8492	0.0145		
	Shape, sizefree		0.0	2.3		0.946	<0.0001	<0.0001	

697

698

699

700

Figures

Figure 1: Overview of the core locations and their environmental conditions. (A) core locations and (B) environmental characteristics: temperature, salinity, phosphate and silicate. Note the strong modern differences in water column structure and nutrient distribution between the two regions. Green circles – Pacific Site 1241, Red squares – Caribbean Site 1000, purple diamond – Caribbean Site 999; same colour coding is used through the figures. The map was generated with Ocean Data View (Schlitzer, 2006). The environmental data is from World Ocean Atlas (Garcia et al., 2006).

714 Figure 2: Overview of the morphological variability within the selected species. TOP *T.*
715 *sacculifer*: A, D typical ‘trilobus’ morphotypes without sac-like final chamber (A 202-1241-
716 2H1, D 202-1241-25H6); B, C morphotypes with inflated (B) and typical (C) sac-like final
717 chamber (B 202-1241-2H1, C 165-999-2H2); all specimens in spiral view and side view
718 showing the primary aperture. BOTTOM *Gt. tumida* – *plesiotumida* lineage: A, B *Gt. tumida*
719 with different final chamber shapes (202-1241-9H2) and C, D *Gt. plesiotumida* with different
720 degrees of inflation (202-1241-18H7); all specimens in umbilical view and side view showing
721 the primary aperture. Scale bars: 200 μ m.

722

Figure 3. Temporal variations in size and shape of *Gt. tumida* and the associated temperature changes. (a) Size [μm], estimated by maximal diameter in spiral view; (b, c) Shape variations, estimated by the first (b) and second (c) axes of PCA on the Fourier coefficients, using both sides combined. In a, b and c, each dot corresponds to an individual specimen. (d) $\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}}$ [$^{\circ}\text{C}$] derived thermocline temperatures; large symbols correspond to the value used for comparison with morphometrics. The noticeable increase in size between 6.3 and 5.3 Ma is a response of the transition from *Gt. plesiotumida* to *Gt. tumida*. The divergence in shape of the Pacific and Atlantic stock between 5.4 and 4.3 is concomitant with the environmental separation of the seaway at the habitat depth of the species which started in the Miocene (indicated by grey wedge).

Figure 4. Temporal variations in size and shape of *T. sacculifer* and the associated temperature changes. (a) Size [μm], estimated by maximal diameter in spiral view; (b, c) Shape variations, estimated by the first (b) and second (c) axes of a PCA of the size-free Fourier coefficients, using both sides combined. In a, b and c, each dot corresponds to an individual specimen. (d) U_{37}^K [°C] derived sea-surface temperatures (Seki et al., 2010, 2012). The progressive limitation of surface water exchange and the final closure are indicated by the grey wedge. Note the size increase in *T. sacculifer* and the significant among sample variations. Combined with a gradual change in shape, this change appears to be independent of the emergence of the Isthmus. Top panel for each of the dot plots Pacific and bottom panel Caribbean.

Figure 5. Relationship between mean morphological parameters and temperature estimates. (a ,b) *Gt. tumida* vs. $\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}}$ [°C] derived temperature estimates = thermocline temperatures. (c, d, e, f) *T. sacculifer* vs. U_{37}^{K} [°C] derived temperature estimates = sea surface temperatures. (a, c) Size [μm] (maximal diameter in spiral view) of *Gt. tumida*. (b) *Gt. tumida* shape (first axis of a PCA on size-free Fourier coefficients, both sides combined) (c) Size [μm] of *T. sacculifer*, all morphotypes averaged by sample. (d) Percentage of morphotypes of *T. sacculifer*. (e) Shape of the sacculifer and kummerform morphotypes of *T. sacculifer*. (f) Shape of the trilobus morphotype of *T. sacculifer* (note that PC axes are the same on panels e and f, since the PCA was done on all *T. sacculifer*). For plots of shape vs. temperature, a visualisation of the shape changes vs. temperature is provided above the corresponding plot (b: *Gt. tumida*; e: S(acculifer) and f: T(rilobus) morphotypes of *T. sacculifer*). The visualisation was obtained using a multivariate regression of the raw FCs vs. temperature.