- 1 Physical constraints of cultural evolution of dialects in killer whales
- 2 Running title: Constraints of dialect evolution in killer whales
- 3 Olga A. Filatova¹
- 4 Department of Vertebrate Zoology, Faculty of Biology, Moscow State University, Moscow
- 5 119991, Russia
- 6 Filipa I.P. Samarra
- 7 Marine Research Institute, Skulagata 4, 121 Reykjavik, Iceland
- 8 Lance G. Barrett-Lennard
- 9 Vancouver Aquarium Marine Science Centre, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6B 3X8,
- 10 Canada
- 11 Patrick J.O. Miller
- 12 Sea Mammal Research Unit, Scottish Oceans Institute, University of St Andrews, St Andrews,
- 13 Fife KY168LB, Scotland
- 14 John K.B. Ford
- 15 Pacific Biological Station, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 3190 Hammond Bay Rd, Nanaimo,
- 16 BC V9T1K6 Canada
- 17 Harald Yurk
- 18 JASCO Research Ltd, 2305-4464 Markham Street, Victoria, BC, V8Z7X8, Canada
- 19 Craig O. Matkin
- 20 North Gulf Oceanic Society, Homer, Alaska 99603
- 21 Erich Hoyt

¹ Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Electronic mail: alazor@rambler.ru

- Whale and Dolphin Conservation, Park House, Allington Park, Bridport, Dorset, DT65DD,
- 23 *UK*
- Abstract Odontocete sounds are produced by two pairs of phonic lips situated in soft nares
- below the blowhole; the right pair is larger and is more likely to produce clicks, while the left
- pair is more likely to produce whistles. This has important implications for the cultural
- evolution of delphinid sounds: the greater the physical constraints, the greater is the
- 28 probability of random convergence. In this paper we examine the call structure of eight killer
- 29 whale populations to identify structural constraints and to determine if they are consistent
- among all populations. Constraints were especially pronounced in two-voiced calls. In the
- calls of all eight populations, the lower component of two-voiced calls was typically centered
- 32 below 4 kHz, while the upper component was typically above that value. The lower
- component of two-voiced calls had narrower frequency range than single-voiced calls in all
- populations. This may be because some single-voiced calls are homologous to the lower
- component, while others are homologous to the higher component of two-voiced calls.
- 36 Physical constraints on call structure reduce the possible variation and increase the probability
- of random convergence, producing similar calls in different populations.
- **PACS numbers:** 43.80.Ka
- 39 **Keywords:** killer whale, sound production, biphonation, cultural evolution,

I. INTRODUCTION

41	Understanding the physical basis of sound production is essential to categorize vocal signals
42	into natural categories. For the sounds of terrestrial mammals, the development of the source-
43	filter theory has enabled researchers to describe the acoustic structure of sounds according to
44	their mode of production and to predict acoustic variation caused by variation in the
45	anatomical or physiological attributes of the caller (Taylor and Reby, 2010). Even finer
46	resolution of phoneme classification in humans is based on the detailed knowledge of their
47	production: the position of tongue and lips, properties of the airflow and other features of the
48	vocal tract (Bickford, 2006).
49	Sounds of killer whales <i>Orcinus orca</i> have a complex structure (Ford, 1991; Yurk et al.,
50	2002), but their categorization is hindered by the lack of understanding of their production
51	mechanisms. Odontocete sounds are not produced in the larynx, like in terrestrial mammals.
52	Instead, their source is situated in a complex system of air sacs and tissues that surround the
53	nasal passage (Norris, 1968; Cranford et al., 1996; Cranford and Amundin, 2003). All toothed
54	whales (except sperm whales) have two pairs of phonic lips situated in each of the soft nares
55	on the ventral side of the vestibular air sacs, just below the blowhole; both pairs are used in
56	sound production (Cranford et al., 1996; Cranford and Amundin, 2003).
57	Dolphin sounds were traditionally divided into three classes: clicks, burst-pulse sounds and
58	whistles. However, some species (e.g. killer whales, pilot whales Globicephala sp., false
59	killer whales <i>Pseudorca crassidens</i>) regularly produce calls intermediate in structure between
60	whistles and burst-pulse sounds, suggesting that these classes are not discrete, but rather the
61	two extremes of a perceptual continuum (Murray et al., 1998; Sayigh et al., 2013). Indeed,
62	Madsen et al. (2012) showed that dolphin 'whistles' are in fact not air-born, but produced by
63	pneumatically induced vibrations of phonic lips analogous to the operation of vocal folds in
64	terrestrial mammals. In most delphinoids the right pair of phonic lips is larger than the left

(Cranford et al., 1996). Madsen et al. (2013) examined the sound production of bottlenose 65 dolphin and false killer whale and showed that in both species clicks were produced by the 66 right pair while 'whistles' (tonal calls) were mostly produced by the left pair of the phonic lips. 67 68 This finding explains the long known observation that dolphins can simultaneously produce clicks and 'whistles' (Murray et al., 1998). 69 However, some delphinoids can simultaneously produce two tonal sounds, yielding 'two-70 voiced' or 'biphonic' calls (e.g. killer whale: Ford, 1991; Tyson et al., 2007; pilot whale: 71 Sayigh et al., 2013; bottlenose dolphin, *Tursiops truncatus*: Papale et al., 2015). Therefore, 72 the 'clicking' right pair of phonic lips is also capable of emitting tonal sounds. In addition, 73 74 Cranford et al. (2011) found that bottlenose dolphins can produce clicks with both pairs of 75 phonic lips working independently or simultaneously, though the right pair was used more often. So, the phonic lips are not strictly specialized, but differences in the size of the right 76 and left phonic lips suggest that they may be most effective for producing vocalizations with 77 different frequency characteristics. 78 This assumption has important implications for the cultural evolution of delphinid sounds. In 79 some, vocalizations are learned rather than transmitted genetically (killer whales: Ford, 1991; 80 81 Deecke et al., 2000; Foote et al., 2006; bottlenose dolphins: Tyack and Sayigh, 1997) and can indicate individuality (bottlenose dolphins: Janik and Sayigh, 2013) or group affiliation (killer 82 whales: Ford, 1991). Killer whales have unique family dialects – sets of stereotyped sounds. 83 84 Calves learn their dialect from their mother and other family members (Bowles et al., 1988; Crance et al., 2014). With time, these dialects slowly change through learning errors and 85 innovations in a process called cultural evolution (Deecke et al., 2000; Wieland et al., 2010; 86 Filatova et al., 2015b). The recently diverged dialects are more similar than dialects that have 87 passed a long process of independent evolution (Ford, 1991; Deecke et al., 2010). However, 88 in some cases calls from distantly related dialects become more similar. Plausibly, this could 89

happen by either horizontal transmission or random convergence (Filatova et al., 2013). By horizontal transmission we mean here the transmission of calls and call features from one family to another. Random convergence occurs when calls of different families become more similar by chance, without any influence on each other's evolution. This is more likely to happen if the variety of possible states is limited. In this case the variation in the diverging calls soon reaches a limit and then the difference between them can no longer increase. This situation is analogous to the phenomenon called "mutational saturation" which occurs when many changes at a given locus eliminate phylogenetic signals (Delsuc et al., 2005). This happens because variation in nucleotide sequences is restricted by the structure of DNA: there are only four nucleotides and four possible types of mutations (transitions, transversions, insertions and deletions). Saturation can lead to homoplasy, i.e. convergence of traits in nonrelated taxa, which was described not only in genetics, but also in morphology (e.g. Alvarez et al., 1999; Mueller et al., 2004). In vocal repertoires, a similar situation can be caused by constraints imposed on call structure by the physical properties of the sound producing apparatus. Therefore, it is important to understand these constraints to interpret the importance of call similarity: the stricter the constraints, the higher the probability that calls can become similar at random. In this paper we examine the call structure of eight killer whale populations to identify the scope of the constraints and test whether they are consistent among all populations.

109

110

111

112

113

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

II. METHODS

A. Data collection

Acoustic recordings used for this study were collected over various field projects using a variety of equipment. All recordings were made at a sampling rate of 44.1 kHz or higher.

Recordings of the Northern resident and West Coast transient killer whale populations were made from 1988 to 1999 in the waters off northern Vancouver Island. Recordings of the Southern resident population were made from 1980 to 2009 in the waters of Salish Sea (northern Washington State and southern Vancouver Island). The Alaskan resident killer whale population was recorded from 1984 to 2008 in Prince William Sound and Kenai Fjords area. Calls from Kamchatkan residents were obtained in 2000-2014 off the southeastern coast of Kamchatka peninsula. Eastern Aleutian transient killer whales were recorded in 2003-2008 in False Pass (between mainland Alaska and Unimak Island). Calls from Icelandic killer whales were obtained between 2008 and 2014 using both digital acoustic recording tags (Dtags, Johnson and Tyack, 2003) and various other recording systems at two main locations: one near Grundarfjörður on the Snæfellsnes Peninsula (West Iceland) the other near Vestmannaeyjar off the southern coast of Iceland. Calls from Norwegian killer whales were obtained between 2005-2009 using Dtags in the northern Norwegian Vestfjord fjord system and off Vesterållen. Calls from four North Pacific resident populations and the West Coast transient population were classified according to existing catalogues (Ford, 1987; Yurk et al., 2002; Filatova et al., 2004). For the False Pass transient population, call categorisation was performed based on audible characteristics of calls and visual inspection of spectrographic features. For Icelandic and Norwegian killer whales, we have modified and updated existing catalogues (Strager, 1995; Shapiro, 2008; Duc, 2010). Each killer whale population produces several tens of stereotyped call types recognized even by inexperienced observers (Yurk et al., 2002; Shamir et al., 2014); these call types retain stereotypy in different circumstances (Ford, 1989) and over the years (Deecke et al., 2000; Foote et al., 2008). Call types have different degrees of structural variation: some types are very stereotyped while others demonstrate some variation that can be either discrete or

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

gradual. Call types with a discrete variation are traditionally divided into a number of subtypes according to their structural characteristics; call types with a gradual variation are not divided into subtypes, and all variations are regarded as a single call type (Ford, 1991). To cover the full range of structural variation within a type, we selected two calls from each call type or subtype that were the least similar to each other. If a call type had no subtypes, two samples from that call type were used; for call types that comprised discrete subtypes, we used two samples from each subtype. For calls that did not fall into discrete subtypes but showed apparent variations, two calls from opposite extremes of the structural continuum were selected. When possible, the pairs of call samples from the same type/subtype were selected from different encounters and different years to cover the presumed variation in the call structure. Norwegian killer whales are known to produce compound calls, which consist of combinations of other stereotyped calls produced in stable sequences (Strager, 1995; Shapiro et al., 2011). Treating every stable sequence as a separate type would produce pseudoreplication through the increased presence of calls used as parts of stable sequences (as they will be measured twice – as a separate call and as a part of a stable sequence). Thus, we only measured those stable sequences that contained calls or syllables not observed occurring separately. In total, 638 call samples were used for the analysis: 96 from Alaskan residents, 102 calls from Kamchatkan residents, 96 calls from Northern residents, 62 calls from Southern residents, 34 calls from Eastern Aleutian transients, 36 calls from West Coast transients, 72 calls from Norway, and 140 calls from Iceland. Sample sizes of calls from the transient populations were lower because the overall repertoire size of stereotyped calls is typically

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

lower in these populations (Ford, 1987; Saulitis et al., 2005). The differences in sample sizes

from different populations did not bias our results, because each sample size was selected to cover the full range of structural variation of stereotyped calls in the respective population.

B. Acoustic and statistical analysis

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

To capture the frequency parameters over the whole call duration, we extracted call contours following the method described in Filatova et al. (2012). The extracted contours represented a set of frequency measurements of each call's fundamental frequency spaced 0.01 s apart. If fundamental frequency was not visible, we measured one of the harmonics and divided the measurements by the number of that harmonic to obtain the fundamental frequency values. For two-voiced (biphonic) calls, containing overlapping frequency components, we extracted the contours of both the lower- and upper-frequency components. In many two-voiced calls the start and end of the lower and upper components do not match, so that a call can be partly two-voiced and partly single-voiced (Fig. 1). Single-voiced segments can consist of either lower or upper component (Fig. 1). As we were interested in constraints imposed by the structure of both pairs of phonic lips, we analysed two-voiced and single-voiced segments of the calls separately. For each point of a two-voiced segment of each two-voiced call we obtained two values: frequency of the lower and the upper components at that point. Single-voiced segments of two-voiced calls (both from the lower and upper components) were pooled together with single-voiced calls; for each point of these vocalizations we obtained a single frequency measurement. We analyzed the distribution of frequency values of the lower and the upper components of two-voiced call segments, and in single-voiced calls and call segments using plots and

185

186

III. RESULTS

descriptive statistics in R (R Core Team, 2014).

To identify the scope of the constraints of the sound producing apparatus in killer whales, we analyzed the frequency range of two-voiced and single-voiced calls and call segments in different populations. In the two-voiced segments of calls the frequency of the lower component was typically below 4 kHz (Fig. 2). Only in five calls the frequency values of the lower component ranged above 4 kHz. The first call type with frequency of the lower component ranging above 4 kHz was AKS16b from the Alaskan resident population. The lower component of this call is a short upsweep that sometimes can rise above 4 kHz in the end. In our sample, only one frequency point of one AKS16b call ranged above this value; the second call of this type had the whole lower component below 4 kHz. Four other calls with the frequency values of the lower component above 4 kHz belonged to two call types: the AKS18 call type from the Alaskan resident population and the I84 call type from the Icelandic population (Fig. 3). The most of the lower component of both AKS18 calls and about the half of the contour of the lower component of both I84 calls were centered above 4 kHz. The frequency of the upper component was typically centered above 4 kHz, though in some calls the upper component ranged down to 3 kHz (Fig. 2). The upper component ranging below 4 kHz was found in sixteen call types from all populations except Norwegian. Usually (in eleven of the sixteen call types) it occurred in calls where the upper component started with an abrupt upsweep, and its section with frequency below 4 kHz was rather short – 10-30 ms. Only five call types included relatively long (> 50 ms) sections of the upper component with frequency below 4 kHz: K20 call type from Kamchatkan residents, N18 call type from Northern residents, T12ii call type from West Coast transients, FP4 call type from Eastern Aleutian transients, and I41 call type from Iceland. The frequency of the lower component of two-voiced call segments had narrower range than single-voiced calls and call segments in all populations (Fig. 4). The 5% quantile of single-

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

voiced calls was lower than the 5% quantile of the lower component of two-voiced call segments in all populations except West Coast transients, and the 95% quantile of single-voiced calls was higher than the 95% quantile of the lower component of two-voiced call segments in all populations, except Alaska (Table 1).

The 95% quantile of the lower component was very similar among all populations except transients (that had lower values) and Alaskan residents that had a much higher value due to the presence of a single call type (AKS18). The 5% quantile of the lower component was

All populations had similar 5% and 95% quantiles for the upper frequency component, except for West Coast and Eastern Aleutian transients that had lower values. Nevertheless, in all populations the 95% quantile for single-voiced calls was lower than the 95% quantile of the upper component of two-voiced call segments (Table 1).

more variable, but in all populations except West Coast transients it was above 300 Hz.

IV. DISCUSSION

In the stereotyped calls of all eight killer whale populations, the frequency values of the lower component were mostly centered below 4 kHz, while the frequency values of the upper component were mostly above that value. It appears that 4 kHz is a natural boundary between lower and upper components. Killer whales are technically capable of producing lower components at frequencies above, and upper components at frequencies below this value, but such calls are rare, suggesting that there is a physical constraint. Despite the relatively small sample size (two calls per type/subtype, total of 638 calls from eight populations), the overall frequency values of two-voiced calls from different populations were mostly similar (Table 1), suggesting that the sample size was enough to cover the full range of variations in stereotyped calls of the studied populations.

A. Implications for sound production

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

Many odontocete species have been reported to produce two overlapping sounds simultaneously (e.g., bottlenose dolphin: Lilly and Miller, 1961; Risso's dolphin: Corkeron and Van Parijs, 2001; common dolphin: Moore and Ridgway, 1995; short-finned pilot whale: Sayigh et al., 2013; long-finned pilot whale: Nemiroff and Whitehead, 2009; false killer whale: Murray et al., 1998; beluga whale: Belikov and Bel'kovich, 2006; Garland et al., 2015), but the structure of these sounds varies across species. An upper component is typically a high-frequency tonal sound ('whistle') in all species, but most dolphins (bottlenose dolphin, common dolphin, Risso's dolphin) and beluga whales typically produce burst-pulse sounds or click trains as a lower component, and only killer whales, false killer whales and both species of pilot whales have been reported to regularly produce calls as a lower component of two-voiced sounds. Research on sound production in odontocetes has been so far mostly focused on bottlenose dolphins that typically produce click trains (echolocation clicks and burst pulse sounds) and high-frequency whistles, but rarely emit "calls" – the sound category intermediate between click trains and whistles (Murray et al., 1998). Even in the study of sound production where a false killer whale *Pseudorca crassidens* was involved (Madsen et al., 2013), the authors referred to the tonal vocalizations as "whistles" and did not provide any sonograms that could clarify the structure of these sounds. In many cases, the terminology used to classify sounds into categories is still poorly linked to sound production mechanisms, often due to a lack of knowledge on the mechanisms involved in producing different types of sound. In the future, advances in the understanding of delphinid sound production would benefit from collaboration between morphologists and bioacousticians familiar with vocal repertoires. The frequency of the lower component of two-voiced call segments had narrower range than single-voiced calls and call segments in all populations: single-voiced calls had lower

minimum and higher maximum frequency values. The higher maximum frequency values are easy to explain: we did not make a distinction between upper and lower components in singlevoiced calls and call segments, so some single-voiced calls and call parts are homologous to the lower component while others are homologous to the upper component of two-voiced calls. This distinction is important to consider in future studies of killer whale acoustic behavior, because "upper" and "lower" single-voiced calls can potentially have different communicative functions. It is less clear why single-voiced calls had lower minimum values than the lower component of two-voiced calls. In our dataset, the 5% quantile of the lower component in two-voiced calls was above 300 Hz in all populations except West Coast transients. In West Coast transients, the lower values of the lower component were due to the presence of WCT12 call type that has a two-voiced segment with the frequency of the lower component below 300 Hz (Fig. 5). However, the non-harmonic frequency modulation in this segment suggests that the sidebands are not true harmonics. In humans, a similar phenomenon occurs when both vocal folds vibrate at different frequencies (see Fig. 3 in Wilden et al., 1998). Therefore, our results indicate that killer whales rarely produce two-voiced calls with a lower component below 300 Hz, though they often produce sounds with frequency below 300 Hz separately as single-voiced calls. One of the reasons can be that it is physically difficult to produce two sounds with so drastically different structure simultaneously. However, dolphins and beluga whales routinely produce simultaneous whistles and burst pulse sounds (Lilly and Miller, 1961; Corkeron and Van Parijs, 2001; Moore and Ridgway, 1995; Van Parijs, 2001; Garland et al., 2015). Dolphin burst pulse sounds resemble low-frequency killer whale calls and likely result from a similar sound production mechanism (Murray et al., 1998). Dolphin sounds are produced by two pairs of phonic lips (Cranford et al., 2011; Madsen et al., 2013). Two-voiced calls most likely arise from both pairs of phonic lips oscillating

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

simultaneously with different frequencies, one pair producing the upper and another – the lower component. In this case, the lack of the upper component in calls below 300 Hz can indicate that both pairs of phonic lips are involved in their production (i.e., technically the low-frequency sounds are two-voiced, but both pairs of lips oscillate with low frequency). It is also possible that sound sources other than phonic lips might exist. Most two-voiced calls of killer whales have heterodyne frequencies below and above the upper component (Fig. 1). Heterodyne frequencies arise from the interaction of the lower and upper components (Wilden et al., 1998; Brown, 2008), confirming that these components are produced by coupled sound sources (most likely, two pairs of phonic lips). However, some call types lack the heterodynes, suggesting either that the level of coupling between the right and the left phonic lips pairs can vary across call types, or that an alternative sound production source can be involved. Production of two-voiced calls by baleen whales (Gedamke et al., 2001; Tyson et al., 2007; Tervo et al., 2011) that lack the system of phonic lips also suggests that cetaceans can have other potential sources of sound production. Terrestrial mammals can produce biphonic calls without specific anatomical adaptations of the sound-producing structures (Frey et al., 2016). Humans produce sounds with two independent, but similar frequencies by asynchronous vibration of the left and right vocal folds (Tigges et al., 1997). Other proposed sources of biphonation in terrestrial mammals include air vortices at the narrowings of the vocal tract (Solomon et al., 1995) and sourcefilter interaction when the vocal folds start oscillating at one of the resonance frequencies of the vocal tract (Titze et al., 2008; Volodin et al., 2013). Both of these mechanisms are however unlikely to occur in cetaceans because these mechanisms relate on air resonances depending on the air volume in the vocal tract. This would lead to the shift in the fundamental frequency when the whales dive and air cavities contract under pressure. However, both lower and upper components of stereotyped two-voiced calls in killer whales are produced with

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

stable fundamental frequencies (Ford, 1991; Yurk et al., 2002; Miller et al., 2007). Miller et al. (2007) demonstrated the differences in the relative intensity of harmonics between male and female killer whales, suggesting that air volume in odontocete's nasal sacs can act as a filter similarly to the vocal tract in terrestrial mammals. If a sound source besides right and left pairs of phonic lips exists, three-voiced sounds are theoretically possible. Such sounds have not been reported in killer whales, but Sayigh et al. (2013) provides a sonogram (Fig. 2C and Fig. 5 in Sayigh et al., 2013) of a call of shortfinned pilot whale Globicephala macrorhynchus that apparently contains three independently modulated components: an upsweep upper component, a downsweep lower component and a low-frequency buzz or squeak. The upper component has clear heterodynes, indicating that the upper and lower components are produced by coupled sources, most likely phonic lips, but the source for the overlapping low-frequency buzz is unknown. Future studies are required to understand the sound production of two-voiced (and possibly three-voiced) calls in killer and pilot whales using similar experimental approaches as used in bottlenose dolphins. We found that constraints were especially pronounced in two-voiced calls. Single-voiced calls ranged further down than the lower component of two-voiced calls. Upper component ranged higher than single-voiced calls; however, in this study we have not considered so-called 'whistles' that can occur at much higher frequencies (Samarra et al., 2010; Filatova et al., 2012b; Simonis et al., 2012). Whistles were not a part of this study because we included only stereotyped calls that allow consistent sampling, while whistles are typically not stereotyped (Ford, 1991). Stereotyped whistles were reported in killer whales, but they were less common than calls and not specific to a particular pod or clan (Riesch et al., 2006). This suggests that they have other communicative function than stereotyped calls, probably due to the differences in their propagation range (Thomsen et al., 2002; Miller, 2006).

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

Besides stereotyped calls and whistles, killer whale acoustic repertoire includes variable calls that cannot be divided into discrete categories and so-called aberrant calls that represent highly distorted stereotyped calls. Analyzing the structure of these calls as well as whistles can provide important insights into sound production mechanisms in killer whales.

B. Implications for cultural evolution

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

Calls with either lower or upper component frequencies that go far beyond the assumed boundary of 4 kHz were rare in general and completely absent in some populations. Calls with the frequencies of the lower component above 4 kHz were found only in the Alaskan and Icelandic populations. Of note, Alaskan AKS18 call has sidebands (Fig. 3) in some (but not all) samples, suggesting that the real fundamental frequency can be lower, and the energy is shifted to the upper harmonic due to filtering. The lower limit of the upper component is not as strict, but only in transient populations the 5% quantile of the upper component goes below 4 kHz, confirming that transients generally have lower call frequencies than residents and North Atlantic killer whales (Filatova et al., 2015a). Besides, the lower component in twovoiced calls rarely goes below 300 Hz, though killer whales often produce such lowfrequency sounds separately as single-voiced calls. If some socially learned sounds are easier to produce than others, the morphology of the phonic lips plays a role in the formation of a cultural attractor. The idea of cultural attraction (Sperber, 1996) is based on two contradicting observations: a) social learning is generally not a copying process and typically results in modifications of the transmitted information or behavior; but b) cultural information/behavior is often relatively stable within whole populations and across generations. Cultural attractors are abstract 'centers of gravity' in the space of possibilities, which exist because some factors affect the probability that individual memes will depart from their models in one direction rather than in another. In killer whales, it appears that such 'centers of gravity' occur at frequencies around 1 kHz for the lower

component and around 5-10 kHz for the upper component of two-voiced calls. This would define the most probable direction of call change, causing multiple convergences in different populations.

This finding is particularly important for the understanding of the cultural evolution of killer whale sounds. Physical constraints on call structure reduce the possible variation, so that calls more often become similar by random convergence. Indeed, very similar calls were found in different populations (Fig. 6). Since these populations are not in contact, and some of them are separated by thousands of kilometers, the most plausible explanation is random convergence.

Filatova et al. (2012a) found no correlation between dynamic time warping similarity of calls and geographic distance in four North Pacific resident populations. One of the suggested explanations of this finding was that calls change too fast and populations soon reach the maximum possible divergence, and after that they can only converge, so the similarity of population repertoires is not phylogenetically meaningful (at least, at the level of dynamic time warping comparison of call contours). The current study provides clear evidence in favor of this hypothesis. A similar situation exists in human languages: phonemes change faster than other language features (Labov, 2011), but due to the limited variation they often converge randomly in non-related languages (Moran et al., 2014).

This phenomenon is especially important to understand while interpreting the similarities in calls of captive-born killer whales. For example, Kremers et al. (2012) found some vague similarities of calls of captive-born killer whales of Icelandic maternal origin with calls of Canadian Northern and Southern residents (even though none of them was related to Northern residents, and only two of the four whales had Southern resident grandfathers). Kremers et al. (2012) explain this finding by multiple chain learning events among captive individuals, ignoring the arguably more plausible explanation of random call convergence.

Sounds of killer whales and other cetaceans are usually referred to as culturally transmitted, as opposed to genetically inherited sounds of most other mammals. However, Laland and Janik (2006) emphasize that it is counterproductive to interpret behavior as being either genetic or cultural, because every learned behavior has some genetic basis. This basis can include the tendency to learn specific behaviors as well as physical ability to perform them. Our work illustrates the genetically inherited constraints of socially learned killer whale sounds that shall be considered in the further studies of their cultural evolution.

Acknowledgements

Data collection was supported by a variety of organizations, including the Russian Fund for the Fundamental Research (grant number 15-04-05540), the Rufford Small Grants Fund, Whale and Dolphin Conservation, the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (grant number SFRH/BD/30303/2006), Russell Trust Award of the University of St Andrews, the Office of Naval Research, the Icelandic Research Fund (i. Rannsóknasjóður), the National Geographic Global Exploration Fund (grant number GEFNE65-12), Vancouver Aquarium Marine Science Centre, the Canadian Ministry of Fisheries and Oceans, the North Gulf Oceanic Society. We are grateful to all people who participated in our field work or provided their recordings.

References

- Alvarez, Y., Juste, J., Tabares, E., Garrido-Pertierra, A., Ibáñez, C., and Bautista, J.M. (1999).
- "Molecular phylogeny and morphological homoplasy in fruitbats," Mol. Biol. Evol. 16, 1061-
- 408 1067.

- Bowles, A. E., Young, W. G., and Asper, E. D. (1988). "Ontogeny of stereotyped calling of a
- 410 killer whale calf, *Orcinus orca*, during her first year," Rit Fiskideildar 11, 251–275.
- Belikov, R. A., and Bel'kovich, V. M. (2006). "High-pitched tonal signals of beluga whales
- 412 (Delphinapterus leucas) in a summer assemblage off Solovetskii Island in the White Sea,"
- 413 Acoustical Physics 52(2), 125-131.
- Bickford, A. (2006). "Articulatory Phonetics: Tools For Analyzing The World's Languages,"
- 415 (4th ed.). Summer Institute of Linguistics.
- Brown, J. C. (2008). "Mathematics of pulsed vocalizations with application to killer whale
- 417 biphonation," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 123(5), 2875-2883.
- 418 Corkeron, P. J., and Van Parijs, S. M. (2001). "Vocalizations of eastern Australian Risso's
- 419 dolphins, *Grampus griseus*," Can. J. Zool. 79(1), 160-164.
- 420 Crance, J. L., Bowles, A. E., and Garver, A. (2014). "Evidence for vocal learning in juvenile
- male killer whales, *Orcinus orca*, from an adventitious cross-socializing experiment," J.
- 422 Exper. Biol. 217(8), 1229-1237.
- 423 Cranford, T. W., Amundin, M., and Norris, K. S. (1996). "Functional morphology and
- homology in the odontocete nasal complex: implications for sound generation," J. Morphol.
- 425 228(3), 223-285.
- 426 Cranford, T. W., and Amundin, M. (2003). "Biosonar pulse production in odontocetes: the
- state of our knowledge," Echolocation in bats and dolphins, 27-35.
- 428 Cranford, T. W., Elsberry, W. R., Van Bonn, W. G., Jeffress, J. A., Chaplin, M. S.,
- Blackwood, D. J., ... & Ridgway, S. H. (2011). "Observation and analysis of sonar signal
- 430 generation in the bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*): evidence for two sonar sources," J.
- 431 Exper. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 407(1), 81-96.

- Deecke, V. B., Ford, J. K. B., and Spong, P. (2000). "Dialect change in resident killer whales:
- implications for vocal learning and cultural transmission," Anim. Behav. 60, 629-638.
- Deecke, V. B., Barrett-Lennard, L. G., Spong, P., and Ford, J. K. B. (2010). The structure of
- stereotyped calls reflects kinship and social affiliation in resident killer whales (*Orcinus*
- 436 *orca*). Naturwissenschaften 97, 513-518.
- Delsuc, F., Brinkmann, H., Philippe, H., (2005). "Phylogenomics and the reconstruction of the
- 438 tree of life," Nat. Rev. Genet. 6, 361–375.
- Duc, A. V. (2011). "A comparison of the discrete call repertoires of Northeast Atlantic killer
- whales (Orcinus orca)," Master of Science dissertation, Uppsala University.
- Filatova, O. A., Burdin, A. M., Hoyt, E., and Sato, H. (2004). "A catalogue of discrete calls of
- resident killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) from the Avacha Gulf of Kamchatka Peninsula,"
- Zoologicheskii Journal 83,1169-1180 (in Russian).
- 444 Filatova, O. A., Deecke, V. B., Ford, J. K. B., Matkin, C. O., Barrett-Lennard, L. G., Guzeev,
- M. A., Burdin, A. M., and Hoyt, E. (2012a). "Call diversity in the North Pacific killer whale
- populations: implications for dialect evolution and population history," Anim. Behav. 83,
- 447 595-603.
- 448 Filatova, O. A., Ford, J. K., Matkin, C. O., Barrett-Lennard, L. G., Burdin, A. M., and Hoyt,
- E. (2012b). "Ultrasonic whistles of killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) recorded in the North
- 450 Pacific," (L). J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 132(6), 3618-3621. Filatova, O. A., Burdin A. M., and
- Hoyt, E. (2013). "Is killer whale dialect evolution random?" Behav. Proc. 99, 34-41.
- Filatova, O. A., Miller, P. J., Yurk, H., Samarra, F. I., Hoyt, E., Ford, J. K., ... & Barrett-
- Lennard, L. G. (2015a). "Killer whale call frequency is similar across the oceans, but varies
- across sympatric ecotypes," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 138(1), 251-257.

- 455 Filatova, O. A., Samarra, F. I., Deecke, V. B., Ford, J. K., Miller, P. J., & Yurk, H. (2015b).
- "Cultural evolution of killer whale calls: background, mechanisms and consequences,"
- 457 Behaviour 152(15), 2001-2038.
- 458 Foote, A. D., Griffin, R. M., Howitt, D., Larsson, L., Miller, P. J., and Hoelzel, A. R. (2006).
- 459 "Killer whales are capable of vocal learning," Biol. Let. 2(4), 509-512.
- 460 Foote, A. D., Osborne, R. W., Hoelzel, A. R. (2008). "Temporal and contextual patterns of
- killer whale (*Orcinus orca*) call type production," Ethol. 114, 599-606.
- 462 Ford, J. K. B. (1987). "A catalogue of underwater calls produced by killer whales (*Orcinus*
- orca) in British Columbia," Canadian Data Report of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 633 pp.
- 464 Ford, J. K. B. (1989). "Acoustic behaviour of resident killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) off
- Vancouver Island, British Columbia," Can. J. Zool. 67, 727-745.
- 466 Ford, J. K. B. (1991). "Vocal traditions among resident killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) in coastal
- 467 waters of British Columbia," Can. J. Zool. 69, 1454-1483.
- 468 Frey, R., Volodin, I. A., Fritsch, G., and Volodina, E. V. (2016). "Potential sources of high
- frequency and biphonic vocalization in the dhole (*Cuon alpinus*)," PloS one, 11(1), e0146330,
- 470 1-26.
- 471 Garland, E. C., Castellote, M., and Berchok, C. L. (2015). "Beluga whale (Delphinapterus
- leucas) vocalizations and call classification from the eastern Beaufort Sea population," The J.
- 473 Acoust. Soc. Am. 137(6), 3054-3067.
- 474 Gedamke, J., Costa, D. P., and Dustan, A. (2001). "Localization and visual verification of a
- complex minke whale vocalization," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 109, 3038–3047.
- Janik, V. M., and Sayigh, L. S. (2013). "Communication in bottlenose dolphins: 50 years of
- signature whistle research," J. Comp. Physiol. A, 199(6), 479-489.

- Johnson, M. P., and Tyack, P. L. (2003). "A digital acoustic recording tag for measuring the
- 479 response of wild marine mammals to sound," IEEE J. Oceanic Eng. 28, 3e12.
- 480 Kremers, D., Lemasson, A., Almunia, J., and Wanker, R. (2012). "Vocal sharing and
- 481 individual acoustic distinctiveness within a group of captive orcas (*Orcinus orca*)," J.
- 482 Compar. Psychol. 126(4), 433.
- Labov W. (2011). "Principles of linguistic change, cognitive and cultural factors (Vol. 3),"
- John Wiley and Sons.
- Laland, K. N., and Janik, V. M. (2006). "The animal cultures debate," Trends Ecol. Evol.
- 486 21(10), 542-547.
- Lilly, J. C., and Miller, A. M. (1961). "Vocal exchanges between dolphins," Science,
- 488 134(3493), 1873-1876.
- Madsen, P. T., Jensen, F. H., Carder, D., and Ridgway, S. (2012). "Dolphin whistles: a
- 490 functional misnomer revealed by heliox breathing," Biol. Lett. 8(2), 211-213.
- 491 Madsen, P. T., Lammers, M., Wisniewska, D., and Beedholm, K. (2013). "Nasal sound
- 492 production in echolocating delphinids (Tursiops truncatus and Pseudorca crassidens) is
- dynamic, but unilateral: clicking on the right side and whistling on the left side," J. Exper.
- 494 Biol. 216(21), 4091-4102.
- 495 Miller, P. J. (2006). "Diversity in sound pressure levels and estimated active space of resident
- killer whale vocalizations," J. Comp. Physiol. A 192(5), 449-459.
- 497 Miller, P. J., Samarra, F. I., and Perthuison, A. D. (2007). "Caller sex and orientation
- 498 influence spectral characteristics of "two-voice" stereotyped calls produced by free-ranging
- 499 killer whales," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 121(6), 3932-3937.

- Moore, S. E., and Ridgway, S. H. (1995). "Whistles produced by common dolphins from the
- 501 Southern California Bight," Aquat. Mamm. 21(1), 55-63.
- Moran, S., McCloy, D. and Wright, R. (eds.). (2014). "PHOIBLE Online," Leipzig: Max
- Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology. (available online at http://phoible.org)
- Mueller, R.L., Macey, J.R., Jaekel, M., Wake, D.B., Boore, J.L., (2004). "Morphological
- 505 homoplasy, life history evolution, and historical biogeography of plethodontid salamanders
- inferred from complete mitochondrial genomes," PNAS 101, 13820-13825.
- Murray, S. O., Mercado, E., and Roitblat, H. L. (1998). "Characterizing the graded structure
- of false killer whale (Pseudorca crassidens) vocalizations," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 104(3), 1679-
- 509 1688.
- Nemiroff, L., and Whitehead, H. (2009). "Structural characteristics of pulsed calls of long-
- finned pilot whales *Globicephala melas*," Bioacoustics, 19(1-2), 67-92.
- Norris, K. S. (1968). "The evolution of acoustic mechanisms in odontocete cetaceans,"
- 513 Evolution and Environment, 297-324.
- Papale, E., Buffa, G., Filiciotto, F., Maccarrone, V., Mazzola, S., Ceraulo, M., ... and
- Buscaino, G. (2015). "Biphonic calls as signature whistles in a free-ranging bottlenose
- 516 dolphin," Bioacoustics, 24(3), 223-231.
- R Core Team (2014). "R: A language and environment for statistical computing," R
- Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL http://www.R-project.org/.
- Riesch, R., Ford, J. K., and Thomsen, F. (2006). "Stability and group specificity of
- stereotyped whistles in resident killer whales, *Orcinus orca*, off British Columbia," Anim.
- 521 Behav. 71(1), 79-91.

- Samarra, F. I., Deecke, V. B., Vinding, K., Rasmussen, M. H., Swift, R. J., and Miller, P. J.
- 523 (2010). "Killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) produce ultrasonic whistles," J. Acoust. Soc. Am.
- 524 128(5), EL205-EL210.
- Saulitis, E. L., Matkin, C. O., & Fay, F. H. (2005). "Vocal repertoire and acoustic behavior of
- the isolated AT1 killer whale subpopulation in southern Alaska," Can. J. Zool. 83(8), 1015-
- 527 1029.
- 528 Sayigh, L., Quick, N., Hastie, G., and Tyack, P. (2013). "Repeated call types in short-finned
- pilot whales, Globicephala macrorhynchus," Mar. Mam. Sci. 29(2), 312-324.
- 530 Shamir, L., Yerby, C., Simpson, R., von Benda-Beckmann, A. M., Tyack, P., Samarra, F.,
- Miller, P. J. O., and Wallin, J. (2014). "Classification of large acoustic datasets using machine
- learning and crowdsourcing: Application to whale calls," J. Acoust. Soc. Am.135(2), 953-962.
- Shapiro, A. D. (2008). "Orchestration: the movement and vocal behavior of free-ranging
- Norwegian killer whales (*Orcinus orca*)," Doctoral dissertation, Massachusetts Institute of
- Technology and Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution.
- Shapiro, A. D., Tyack, P. L., and Seneff, S. (2011). "Comparing call-based versus subunit-
- based methods for categorizing Norwegian killer whale, *Orcinus orca*, vocalizations," Anim.
- 538 Behav. 81, 377-386.
- 539 Simonis, A. E., Baumann-Pickering, S., Oleson, E., Melcón, M. L., Gassmann, M., Wiggins,
- 540 S. M., and Hildebrand, J. A. (2012). "High-frequency modulated signals of killer whales
- 541 (*Orcinus orca*) in the North Pacific," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 131(4), EL295-EL301.
- 542 Solomon, N.P., Luschei, E., and Kang, L. (1995). "Fundamental frequency and tracheal
- pressure during three types of vocalizations elicited from anaesthetized dogs," J. Voice. 9,
- 544 403–412.

- Sperber, D. 1996. "Explaining Culture: a Naturalistic Approach," Oxford: Blackwell.
- Strager, H. (1995). "Pod-specific call repertoires and compound calls of killer whales, Orcinus
- orca Linnaeus, 1758, in the waters of northern Norway," Can. J. Zool. 73, 1037-1047.
- Taylor, A. M., and Reby, D. (2010). "The contribution of source–filter theory to mammal
- vocal communication research," J. Zool. 280(3), 221-236.
- Tervo, O. M., Christoffersen, M. F., Parks, S. E., Kristensen, R. M., and Madsen, P. T.
- 551 (2011). "Evidence for simultaneous sound production in the bowhead whale (*Balaena*
- 552 *mysticetus*)," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 130(4), 2257-2262.
- Thomsen, F., Franck, D. and Ford, J. K. B. (2002). "On the communicative significance of
- whistles in wild killer whales (*Orcinus orca*)," Naturwissenschaften 89, 404–407.
- Tigges, M., Mergell, P., Herzel, H., Wittenberg, T., and Eysholdt, U. (1997). "Observation
- and modelling of glottal biphonation," Acta Acustica united with Acustica 83, 707–714.
- Titze, I.R., Riede, T., and Popollo, P. (2008). "Nonlinear source–filter coupling in phonation:
- 558 Vocal exercises," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 123, 1902–1915.
- Tyack, P. L., and Sayigh, L. S. (1997). "Vocal learning in cetaceans. Social influences on
- vocal development," Cambridge University Press.
- Tyson, R. B., Nowacek, D. P., and Miller, P. J. (2007). "Nonlinear phenomena in the
- vocalizations of North Atlantic right whales (*Eubalaena glacialis*) and killer whales (*Orcinus*
- 563 *orca*)," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 122(3), 1365-1373.
- Volodin, I.A., Volodina, E.V., Frey, R., Carranza, J., and Torres-Porras, J. (2013).
- "Spectrographic analysis points to source-filter coupling in rutting roars of Iberian red deer,"
- 566 Acta Ethol. 16, 57–63.

- Wieland, M., Jones, A., and Renn, S. C. (2010). "Changing durations of southern resident
- killer whale (*Orcinus orca*) discrete calls between two periods spanning 28 years," Mar.
- 569 Mam. Sci. 26,195-201.
- Wilden, I., Herzel, H., Peters, G., and Tembrock, G. (1998). "Subharmonics, biphonation, and
- deterministic chaos in mammal vocalization," Bioacoustics 9(3), 171-196.
- Yurk, H., Barrett-Lennard, L. G., Ford, J. K. B., and Matkin, C. O. (2002). "Cultural
- transmission within maternal lineages: vocal clans in resident killer whales in southern
- 574 Alaska," Anim. Behav. 63, 1103-1119.

Table 1. 5% and 95% quantiles of frequency values (in kHz) of single-voiced and both components of two-voiced calls and call segments in eight studied populations.

	Single-voiced		LFC of two-voiced		UFC of two-voiced	
	5%	95%	5%	95%	5%	95%
Kamchatka	0.13	6.55	0.42	1.92	5.37	10.76
Alaska	0.10	5.63	0.34	5.71	5.04	10.64
Northern residents	0.05	4.63	0.54	2.17	5.12	10.66
Southern residents	0.04	4.80	0.36	2.02	4.51	8.12
West Coast transients	0.23	2.99	0.20	0.90	3.32	6.71
Eastern Aleutian transients	0.25	4.73	0.40	1.49	3.28	5.42
Iceland	0.25	4.33	0.66	2.14	5.01	10.47
Norway	0.27	7.52	0.32	2.50	5.21	11.28

Figure captions

580

581 Fig. 1. (color online) The start and the end of the lower-frequency component (LFC) and upper-frequency component (UFC) in two-voiced call do not match, so that only the middle 582 583 segment of the call is two-voiced, while the beginning and the end of the call are single-584 voiced. Heterodyne frequencies arise from the interaction of LFC and UFC; the lower heterodyne in each point is equal to LFC-UFC, and the higher heterodyne is equal to 585 586 LFC+HFC. Fig. 2. (color online) Scatterplot with marginal histograms showing the distribution of 587 588 frequency points of the lower and upper components in two-voiced calls from all populations: KR – Kamchatkan residents, AR – Alaskan residents, NR – Northern residents, SR – 589 Southern residents, WT – West Coast transients, AT – Eastern Aleutian transients, Ice – 590 591 Iceland, Nrw – Norway. 592 Fig. 3. Two call types with the lower component above 4 kHz: Alaskan call AKS18 (left) and 593 Icelandic call I84 (right). 594 Fig. 4. (color online) Density plots of the frequency values of the lower (blue) and upper 595 (green) components of two-voiced call segments and of single-voiced calls and call segments 596 (red) from all studied populations. Fig. 5. (color online) WCT12 call type showing the two-voiced segment with the frequency of 597 598 the lower component below 300 Hz. Note the non-harmonic modulation in the beginning and 599 the end of the segment (marked by arrows) indicating that the sidebands are not true harmonics. 600

602

601

Fig. 6. Examples of convergence of call structure in different populations.