American Journal of Primatology



American Journal of Primatology

The spatio-temporal complexity of chimpanzee food: How a large-brained primate can counteract the ephemeral nature of its foods

Journal:	American Journal of Primatology
Manuscript ID:	Draft
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Commentary
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Janmaat, Karline; Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Primatology Boesch, Christophe; Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Primatology Byrne, Richard; University of St.Andrews, ; Chapman, Colin; McGill University, Anthropology Goné Bi, Zorro; Université de Félix Houphouët Boigny, UFR Biosciences Head, Josephine; Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Primatology Robbins, Martha; Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Department of Primatology Wrangham, Richard; Harvard University, Department of Human Evolutionary Anthropology Polansky, Leo; Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Primatology
Keywords:	brain size evolution , foraging cognition, ecological intelligence, chimpanzee, high-energy food
	·

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

Janmaat 1

2		
3 4	1	The spatio-temporal complexity of chimpanzee food:
5	2	How a large-brained primate can counteract the ephemeral
6 7	3	nature of its foods
8	-	
9 10	4	
11	5	Karline R. L. Janmaat [*] , Christophe Boesch [*] , Richard Byrne [*] , Colin A. Chapman [*] , Zorro
12 13	6	B. Goné Bi ⁺ , Josephine S. Head ⁺ , Martha M. Robbins ⁺ , Richard W. Wrangham ⁻ , and
14	7	Leo Polansky ¹
15 16	8	
17	9	¹ Department of Primatology, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology.
18 19	10	Deutscher Platz 6, 04103 Leipzig, Germany.
20	11	Telephone: $+49(0)341$ 3550-227 Fax: $+49(0)341$ 3550-299
21 22	12	² Université de Félix Houphouët Boigny, Câte d'Ivoire
23	12	
24 25	13	Email addresses corresponding author: karline_janmaat@eva.mpg.de,
26	14	kjanmaat@hotmail.com
27 28	15	
29	16	² School of Psychology and Neuroscience, University of St Andrews
30 31	17	³ Department of Anthropology and McGill School of Environment, McGill University.
32	18	⁴ Department of Biosciences, Université Félix Houphouët Boigny
33 34	19	⁵ Department of Human Evolutionary Anthropology, Harvard University
35	20	
30 37	21	Keywords: brain size evolution, foraging cognition, chimpanzee, tropical rainforest
38	22	fruit ecological intelligence high-energy food
40	22	hun, ceological intelligence, ingli chergy lood
41 42	25	
43	24	Short title: The spatio-temporal complexity of chimpanzee food
44 45	25	
46		
47 49		
40 49		
50		
51		
52 53		
54		
55		
56		
57		
58 50		
60		

26 Abstract

Ecological complexity has been proposed to play a crucial role in primate brain-size evolution. However, detailed quantification of ecological complexity are still limited. Here we quantify the spatio-temporal distribution of tropical fruits and young leaves, two primary chimpanzee (Pan troglodytes) foods focusing on the predictability of their availability in individual trees. Using information on up to 20 years of monthly young leaf, unripe and ripe fruit availability in plant species consumed by chimpanzees from tropical forests in East, Central and West Africa, we estimated 1) the forest wide frequency of occurrence of each food type and 2) the predictability of finding ripe fruit-bearing trees, focusing on the timing, frequency, and amount of ripe fruit present. In all three forests, at least half of all encountered trees belonged to species that chimpanzees were known to feed on. However, the proportion of these trees that bore young leaves and fruit fluctuated strongly between months. Ripe fruit was the most ephemeral food source, and trees that had more than half of their crown filled were at least nine times as rare as other trees. In old growth forests only one large ripe fruit crop per 10 km was encountered on average. High levels of inter-individual variation in the number of months that fruit was present existed, and in some extreme cases individuals bore ripe fruit more than seven times as often as conspecifics. Some species showed substantially less inter-individual variation in ripe fruit production frequencies and fruit amount than others. We hypothesize that chimpanzees employ a suite of cognitive mechanisms, such as an ability to 1) generalize or classify food trees, 2) remember the relative metrics of quantity and frequency of fruit production, and 3) flexibly plan monitoring (or return) times to optimize high-energy food consumption in individual trees and efficient travel between them.

INTRODUCTION

Janmaat 3

Tropical forest habitat and its distribution is thought to have a major impact on primate, and especially great ape, evolution [e.g. Milton, 1981; Sussman, 1991; van Schaik et al., 1993; Potts, 2004; White et al., 2009; Sussman et al., 2013]. One crucial argument for this supposition is that the majority of modern primate species and all great apes forage on foods produced by tropical forest trees [Fleagle, 1988; Fleagle & Reed, 1996; Terborgh, 1986; Potts, 2004; Knott, 2005; Robbins, 2008; Doran-Sheehy et al., 2009]. Given their lack of morphological and/or physiological dietary adaptations, great apes are, in contrast to old world monkeys, unable to digest chemically defended forest foods such as most mature leaves and certain seeds and thereby must increase the consumption of energy-rich food, such as ripe fruit, when more is available [reviewed in Doran-Sheehy et al., 2009]. A low percentage of ripe fruit in the diet has been shown to influence female reproductive physiology and life history traits such as waiting time to conception in great apes [Knott, 2005; Thompson & Wrangham, 2008]. Therefore, detailed investigation of the temporal distribution of food availability in tropical forests has the potential to improve our understanding of great ape evolution and in particular that of their advanced representational skills and larger brain size [Byrne, 1997; Knott, 2005]. The availability of energy-rich plant food throughout the forest is characterized by temporal fluctuations that result in periods of abundance, alternated by variably long periods of scarcity [e.g., Hladik, 1988; van Schaik et al., 1993; Chapman et al., 1999a; Knott, 2005; van Woerden, 2012; Polansky & Boesch, 2013]. Further, not all plant foods are available for equally long periods at specific locations [Milton 1980]. Young leaves and ripe fruit are typically reported to be highly ephemeral [Milton 1980]. Observations that necessary food resources could in fact be scarce but predictable led to the "ecological intelligence hypothesis" [Milton 1981; 1988] - that primates, such as great apes, which rely on ephemeral fruits would need larger ranges and would have evolved advanced cognitive capacities that facilitate optimal food finding strategies [Milton & May, 1976, Milton, 1980]. The hypothesis found support in several comparative studies which found correlations between a variety of brain size measures and levels of frugivory in primates, rodents and bats [Clutton-Brock & Harvey, 1980; Harvey & Krebs, 1990; Barton, 1996]. For example, sympatric primate species that differ considerably in relative brain size show clear dietary differences and those that feed on energy rich and ephemeral ripe fruit for a larger percentage of time have

86 relatively larger brains [Milton, 1981; Wrangham et al., 1991; Houle et al., 2010;

87 Doran-Sheehy et al., 2006; 2009].

Knowledge of the temporal availability of energy-rich tropical forest food has continued to increase, triggering investigations on primate brain size evolution [Allman et al., 1993; Aiello and Wheeler, 1995; van Woerden et al., 2010, 2012]. Larger-brained primate species, such as great apes, in contrast to smaller-brained species, were shown 92 to exhibit less seasonality in food consumption than expected on the basis of environmental seasonality in their dietary consumption [van Woerden et al., 2012]. This finding led to the proposition that larger brains provide a cognitive behavioral flexibility which facilitates the buffering of periods of food scarcity ["the cognitive buffer hypothesis"; Allman et al., 1993; van Woerden et al., 2012]. These buffering effects were thought to be most apparent in large-brained primates, which had cognitive abilities that enabled extractive foraging or exploitation of dispersed food patches [Van Woerden et al., 2012].

101 When does food distribution become complex and what cognitive abilities102 help food finding?

At what point are required food sources ephemeral and dispersed enough to truly create a challenge to the forager? The answer is not well understood, nor easy to quantify. In fact, decades after Milton [1980] developed her hypothesis very few studies have quantified the spatio-temporal distribution of primate food directly, instead relying on other more indirect measures such as the size of the species' ranging area that is not necessarily related with increased complexity of resource distribution [Clutton-Brock & Harvey, 1980; Dunbar 1998]. Although some (e.g. Janson & Chapman, 1999) have recognized this shortcoming and have proposed and analyzed quantitative measures of food abundance, the majority of botanical studies to date typically describe phenological patterns at the population level [Hladik, 1988; van Schaik et al., 1993; Chapman et al.,1999b and Janson & Chapman, 1999, Knott, 2005]. Long-term data on the temporal fruiting patterns of *individual* trees, the actual food sources that primates need to find in daily life, is still limited [but see Janzen, 1978; Milton et al., 1982; Wheelwright, 1986; Struhsaker, 1997; Momose, 2004].

Besides a general lack of understanding regarding the point at which large-brained
primates are challenged by the ephemeral complexity of their resources, there is in
particular limited knowledge of what exact cognitive mechanisms would help them to

 American Journal of Primatology

Janmaat 5

"counteract" the complications of food finding. Two partial exceptions include studies of how visual specialization [e.g., Barton, 1998, 2004; Dominy & Lucas, 2004; Changizi & Shimojo, 2008] and spatial memory [reviewed in Janson & Byrne, 2007; Zuberbuhler & Janmaat, 2010] are used to address ephemeral resource complexity. To develop hypotheses about which other cognitive abilities primates use for finding ephemeral food, it is essential to understand and quantify the difficulty of food finding. here defined as the localization of plant items that are subsequently eaten. It has been argued that food finding becomes cognitively complex when the distribution of food has neither the lowest entropy (ordered), nor the highest entropy (random or chaotic), but rather has a certain level of predictability or pattern that is predictable without perfect knowledge [Grassberger, 1986; Sambrook & Whiten, 1997; Fagan et al., 2013]. Milton [1980], described predictability as an important feature that could work to the primates' advantage, in which she proposes "once the location of a particular food tree is known, it becomes a dependable seasonal resource in terms of its location for the lifetime of the primate." Yet, even granting perfect spatial memory of a particular tree, we might ask how dependable through time is such a "known" food tree? Does it always produce food in the same month or simultaneously with other conspecific trees? Will it bear fruits every fruiting season and, if so, will it always produce the same amount? Botanical studies have revealed that primate food production is subject to complex selection processes involving both seasonally variable biotic (e.g. pollinator population dynamics) and abiotic factors [Rathcke & Lacey, 1985; Wheelwright, 1986; van Schaik et al., 1993; Herrera et al., 1998; Janson & Chapman 1999; Sakai, 2001; Milton et al., 2005]. As a result, individual food plants show variation in the *timing*, frequency and amount of food produced [van Schaik et al., 1993; Chapman et al., 1999b; reviewed in Sakai, 2001; Anderson et al., 2005]. This study In this commentary, we aim to develop and discuss a number of hypotheses that explain how primates can "counteract" the ephemeral nature of high-energy food. To do so, we use our analyses of long-term data on the temporal food distribution of the exceptionally large-brained primate, the chimpanzee (Pan troglodytes), to inspire us. We expand earlier quantifications of ecological complexity (Milton 1980; 1981;1988) by investigating the temporal distribution of the availability of tropical fruit and young

leaves [(two primary foods of chimpanzees) in individual trees [e.g., Wrangham, 1977;

American Journal of Primatology

Janmaat 6

Medlicott & Thompson, 1985; Matsumoto-Oda & Hayashi, 1999; Goné Bi, 2007],
focusing on the primary species of food consumed by chimpanzees. We focused on the
availability of young leaves, unripe fruit, and ripe fruit (also see below) because they are
known to be important food sources for chimpanzees and other sympatric large-brained
primate species and are fed on to fulfill basic energy and protein requirements [Hladik,
1977; Rogers et al., 1990; Wrangham et al., 1991; Janson & Chapman, 1999; DoranSheehy et al., 2006; 2009; Head et al., 2011].

The phenology data analyzed here spans ~ 5 to 20 years of individual trees sampled at monthly intervals, and was collected from three tropical forests located in East, Central, and West Africa. Using this unique amalgamation of long-term datasets, we quantify the difficulty of finding relatively energy-rich young leaves, unripe fruit, and, in particular, large ripe fruit crops. We first describe the probabilities of encountering trees in each of these three plant phenophases forest wide. To provide insight into the potential strategies that chimpanzees could use to maintain a constant intake of energy despite the ephemeral nature of their most energy-rich food, we secondly describe the predictability of the timing, frequency, and quantity of ripe fruit production in individual focal trees.

The challenge of finding a tree with ripe and unripe fruit and young leaves We first estimated the probability of encountering young leaves and unripe and ripe fruit at the forest community level. We measured the availability of these three plant phenophases during monthly phenology checks of chimpanzee food trees growing in their territories. We did this in two ways: (1) by aggregating the data over all time, per site to describe the percentage of individuals showing each phenophase through time, and (2) reporting the minimum and maximum of these percentages. We also provide a detailed description of the temporal availability of large ripe fruit crops. By combining the particularly extensive and long-term dataset on tree density, crown size measures, and ripe fruit availability in individual fruit trees in the old growth forest compartments of Kanyawara with long-term knowledge [Isabirye-Basuta, 1988] on the diet of chimpanzees in this same forest, we also carried out an additional calculation on the encounter rates of (large) ripe fruit-bearing trees per distance travelling in this forest to gain more accurate insight in the challenges faced.

Page 7 of 41

American Journal of Primatology

Janmaat 7

The importance of ripe fruit crops In this study we especially focused on the spatio-temporal distribution of ripe fruit crops for the following reasons. Ripe fruit crops are considered to be a highly energetic food source, with fruit pulp containing high levels of sugars and seeds which are rich in starch and fat [Woodward, 1972; Marriott et al., 1981; Medlicott & Thompson, 1985; Matsumoto-Oda & Hayashi, 1999; Doran-Sheehy et al., 2009; Irwin et al., 2014]. Trees with large ripe fruit crops are considered especially important to chimpanzees, as the high energetic reward is expected to counterbalance the cost of travel and vertical climb [Goodall, 1986; Jurmain, 1997; Pontzer & Wrangham, 2006; Hanna et al., 2008; Kraft et al., 2014]. Primates such as chimpanzees are heavy, and despite the fact that heavier primates are more efficient at climbing and locomotion, absolute costs of travel and vertical climbing, especially that of injury after falling is higher for heavier primates than lighter ones [Goodall, 1986; Jurmain, 1997; Pontzer & Wrangham, 2006; Hanna et al., 2008; Kraft et al., 2014]. Large ripe fruit crops are expected to provide social benefits as they enable individuals traveling in parties to stay together and reduce feeding competition or engage in beneficial social behavior [Isabirye-Basuta, 1988; Chapman et al., 1995; Wrangham, 2000; Wakefield, 2008; Thompson et al., 2014]. The challenge of finding ripe fruit in "known" trees The timing of ripe fruit presence Once a tree is encountered, fed in and known to be reproductively mature, how does a chimpanzee know when it will produce fruit again? Tropical forests have distinct rainy and dry periods, potentially severe enough to limit or stimulate the production of plant phenophases at predictable moments in time [reviewed in Janson & Chapman, 1999]. However, tropical wet forests that lack well-developed xeric (dry) periods show less predictability in the timing of fruiting periods [e.g. Frankie et al., 1974; Putz, 1979;

214 Opler et al., 1980]. For example, individual trees of understory plants in ever-wet

215 forests that cannot rely on seasonality in rain or irradiation can produce fruit at any time

216 of year, showing similar asynchronous fruiting patterns as observed in some fig species

217 [*Ficus spp.;* Opler et al., 1980; Milton, 1980, 1991; van Schaik et al., 1993; Sakai, 2001;

218 Koptur et al., 2009]. For other rainforest tree species the timing of peak fruiting for a

219 given species can vary between months, years and areas [Chapman et al., 1999, 2004;

220 Janmaat et al., 2012; Polansky & Robbins, 2013]. Such irregular patterns, it is argued,

221 could lower the success of food finding strategies that predict the particular month that

fruit will be available in individual trees based on monitoring photoperiod or stable successions of fruiting seasons [Janmaat et al., 2012]. However, many rainforest tree species have reproductive strategies that cause different trees of the same species bear fruit simultaneously within a clustered time [i.e., fruiting season; Chapman et al., 1999b]. So even if species do not produce fruits at predictable months in the year, this phenomenon could enable chimpanzees to predict the time that fruit is available in a large number of conspecific trees at any moment in time. Based on the immediate discovery of fruit in one tree of a particular species it could then predict the presence of fruit in other trees of that same species [e.g., Milton, 1980; Milton et al., 2005; Menzel, 1991; Janmaat et al., 2012]. We expect this strategy may be especially beneficial for chimpanzees as African forests are described as lacking large areas with ever-wet forest [Richards, 1973], which likely results in a larger than expected proportion of conspecific trees producing fruit simultaneously. To understand whether the onset of ripe fruiting periods was predictably linked to particular months of the year we describe the *timing* at which each individual tree within a species bears ripe fruit over time frames of up to 20 years. In addition, we investigate whether and how the fruiting of individual trees were synchronized in time between conspecific trees at given locales to gain insight in the probability that a

240 primate will find conspecific trees that fruit simultaneously. We furthermore,

investigated how synchrony levels varied across species in all tree forests by computing
a measure of inter-individual fruiting synchrony. Finally, we compare inter-individual
fruiting synchrony of ripe fruit with that of unripe fruit and the inter-individual flushing
synchrony of young leaves.

246 The frequency and amount of ripe fruit

When chimpanzees search for ripe fruit in tree species that have just started their fruiting season, do all "known" individuals within that species at that locale have a similar probability of bearing fruit and how likely are individuals to bear ripe fruit at the same amount? To gain insight into the predictability of ripe fruit production frequencies and amount we describe the histories of monthly ripe fruit production in individual fruit trees of a total of 190 species that were monitored for up to 20 years. We also compare the variation in the number of months that ripe fruit was found present across species. In addition, we compare the variation in the number of months when the tree crown was

 American Journal of Primatology

Janmaat 9

255	scored as more than half filled with fruit to all the times it had been observed bearing at
256	least some ripe fruit across species.
257	We conclude our commentary by providing a number of hypotheses on potential
258	cognitive strategies and abilities that chimpanzees could use to counteract the ephemeral
259	nature of their most energy-rich food. Some of these hypotheses are very theoretical and
260	perhaps speculative, yet are presented here with the purpose to give guidance and
261	inspiration to future studies in the field of foraging cognition.
262	
263	Methods
264	Phenology data collection
265	Data was collected at three long-term research sites in tropical forests in East, Central,
266	and West Africa: 1) a moist evergreen tropical forest, transitional between lowland
267	rainforest and montane forest in Kibale National Park, Uganda [Kanyawara; Struhsaker,
268	1997; Chapman and Lambert, 2000], 2) a tropical lowland rainforest in Loango National
269	Park, Gabon [Loango; Head et al., 2011], and 3) a lowland tropical moist forest in Taï
270	National Park, Côte d'Ivoire [Taï; Vooren, 1999, Anderson et al., 2005]. Kanyawara,
271	Loango, and Taï contain 11, 11 and 12 primate species, respectively, each including the
272	chimpanzee, [Janmaat, 2006; Head et al., 2011]. Chimpanzee diet composition was
273	assessed through direct observations of feeding behavior of habituated East and West
274	African chimpanzees [P. t. schweinfurthii, P. t. verus] by the Kibale Chimpanzee
275	Project [Isabirye-Basuta, 1988; Wrangham et al., 1991] and the Taï Chimpanzee Project
276	[Boesch & Boesch-Achermann, 2000], during periods of 35 and 33 years, respectively.
277	The central African chimpanzees [P. t. troglodytes] in Loango were not habituated and
278	their diet was therefore assessed through analysis of fecal samples and feeding remains
279	over five years [Head et al., 2011].
280	The phenology data collection is based on monthly leaf and fruit production
281	checks of individually marked plants that produced food that was known to be
282	consumed by chimpanzees along a network of forest trails located within the territories
283	of the respective chimpanzee communities. In Kanyawara transects were located inside
284	the territory of one chimpanzee community each, while in Taï and Loango transects
285	were longer in order to represent fruit availability in territories of four and three
286	different communities, respectively. Since the majority of the monitored plants were
287	trees, we will subsequently refer to them as trees (instead of trees, figs, and lianas). In
288	general, monitored trees were selected based on evidence of reproductive maturity and a
	John Wiley & Sons

species-specific diameter at breast height (D.B.H.) threshold (>10cm). The details of tree selection and phenology data collection are provided in previous studies [Kanyawara: Chapman et al., 1999b, Loango: Head et al., 2011, Taï: Anderson et al., 2005, Polansky & Boesch, 2013]. Observations of the monthly production of the three phenophases in individually marked trees were made using binoculars. Ripeness of fruits was estimated based on a combination of the fruits' size and color and whether there was evidence of partially eaten fruit or olfactory cues of ripened fruit on the ground. Determining when a fruit is ripe is sometimes difficult since not all fruits change color during ripening. As a result, for each fleshy-fruited species we defined fruit as ripe when they were first eaten by frugivores after they had reached full size or when olfactory cues of ripe fruit were detected under the tree. For wind dispersed species, we considered a tree to have mature fruit when dehiscent fruits opened and seeds could be found under the focal tree. In all three forests, we evaluated the relative abundance of the three phenophases on a production scale of 0-4. For example, in Kanyawara and Taï, the score 0 for ripe fruit corresponded to no observed ripe fruit, and 1, 2, 3, and 4 corresponded to 1–25%, 26–50%, 51–75%, and 76–100% of tree crown filled with ripe fruit, respectively [see Chapman et al. 1992 and Anderson et al. 2005 for details]. In Loango, 1, 2, 3 and 4 corresponded to the median of 1-10, 11-50, 51-100, >101 ripe or unripe fruits measured on three separate branches, respectively [Head et al., 2011]. No young leaf status (old or young) measurements were collected in Loango. The monitored trees existed of trees of 45, 38, and 107 species that chimpanzees were known to feed on in Kanyawara, Loango and Taï, respectively. As chimpanzees in Kanyawara, Loango and Taï were observed to feed on a total of 79, 75, and 150 species, respectively, our data included 50-70% of all chimpanzee food species in each forest (Table 1). The Taï forest is part of the Liberian quaternary refugium and has high species diversity and the highest tree density of all three forests [Table 1; Maley, 2001]. Tropical forest in west Gabon is described as a biodiversity hot spot [Hladik, 1978; Maley, 2001], yet the Loango forest is a heterogeneous tropical rainforest which includes savannah and lowland swamp habitat, and therefore has a relatively low tree density. The Kanyawara forest is thought to have appeared later than the Taï and Loango forests (around 12,000 B.P.) and had the lowest tree density, with relatively few endemic species [Olupot et al., 1994; Hamilton et al., 2001].

American Journal of Primatology

Janmaat 11

Data analyses We analyzed data from Kanyawara, Loango, and Taï from April 1990 through May 2011, January 2007 through December 2011 and January 1989 through December 2010, respectively. The data concerned monthly phenology checks on 1304, 741 and 3422 trees representing 45, 38 and 107 chimpanzee food species, respectively. In Kanyawara the number of individuals decreased to 263 from 1997 onwards. Observation periods were not equal for all trees, since some of them fell down, died or their location got lost due to political unrest; however, the trees had an average total study duration from first to last observation of 94, 38 and 80 months per individual for Kanyawara, Loango and Taï, respectively. We only considered phenology data from trees which produced food items that were known to be fed on by the chimpanzees ranging in the respective forests. However, for calculating the encounter probabilities per distance travelled conducted for the Kanyawara forest, we analyzed data from all mature trees (DBH>10cm) and lianas that were tagged along a 5.2 km long and 10 m wide transect and checked for fruit availability on a monthly basis for a period of 6 years. Since the chimpanzee territory in the Kanaywara forest is a mix of old growth and recently logged forest we made separate calculations for the encounter probability of chimpanzee food trees in the old growth forest compartments (K30 area) within it. To calculate the proportion of trees (DBH ≤ 10 cm) belonging to species that were known to be consumed by Kanyawara chimpanzees out of the total number of trees encountered we included transects from both areas. To calculate comparable measures for Taï and Loango we used data from separate tree density transects for which the methods are described in Goné Bi 2007; Janmaat et al 2013a and Head et al. 2011 (Table 1).

347 The timing of food production: synchrony levels

The timing of food production was assessed in two ways. First, we simply present graphics showing the monthly phenological state of each individual tree over the entire study. Second, we measured the level of simultaneous food production among tree individuals of the same species within clustered time periods (a fruiting or leaf flushing season). For the latter we calculated each species synchrony level measured as the average of all spearman rank correlation coefficients that could be calculated for the fruiting or young leaf state of all possible pairs of trees within a species [Bjørnstad et al., 1999]. For example, when all tree individuals had the same fruiting state in each month, the mean spearman correlation is equal to 1, and a species was defined as having

the highest synchrony level. Low synchrony levels were found if not all trees carried fruit within a fruiting season or when some trees produced fruit in other months (e.g. *Ficus spp.*). The correlations were calculated by comparing the presence or absence of ripe fruit scores between pairs of trees. For calculating synchrony levels we only included species for which we had data from at least five individuals with at least 12 months of data to calculate a species level mean. In addition, all analyzed individuals had some variation in their phenophase expression, as computing synchrony between 2 individuals involves dividing by the product of their standard deviations; if one of these is zero, then the denominator is zero, which would result in an undefined synchrony.

367 Fruiting frequencies

To get a reliable estimate of the inter-individual variation in species-specific fruiting frequencies we restricted analysis to a selection of three very long uninterrupted periods. These periods concerned 76, 67 and 144 months for Kanyawara, Loango and Taï, respectively. To calculate variation in the number of months that trees bore ripe fruits, we only included species that had data from at least five tree individuals.

374 Fruit amount

The history of fruit amount produced in individual trees was visualized by plotting the fruit production score of each monthly phenology check for all focal trees over the entire period. To investigate the variation in fruit production amount in individual trees we first determined the total number of months that each individual tree was observed to bear ripe fruit. We then calculated the percentage of these months that the tree had a fruit production class of 2 or more. To get a reasonable representation of the productive output of trees and the variability across species we only included trees that produced ripe fruit for a minimum of 5 months and species that had data from a minimum of 5 trees.

Results

386 Availability of young leaves, unripe and ripe fruit, and full crowns

387 We found substantial fluctuations in the availability of young leaves, unripe fruit and

388 ripe fruit over time, with ripe fruit being the rarest food source of these phenophases in

389 all three forests (Tables S1-S3). Ripe fruit had the lowest probability of encounter at any

390 moment in time (Fig. 1; Tables S1-S3). Based on all phenology checks (425,836

American Journal of Primatology

Janmaat 13

observations), trees carried ripe fruit in 3.4%, 10.9% and 8.6% of the observations in Kanyawara, Loango and Taï, respectively. The lowest percentages of trees bearing ripe fruit were observed in August 1997 in Taï and April 2007 in Loango, when the percentages dropped to 0.3% and 1.1%, respectively (Table S1). In Kanyawara the percentage of trees bearing ripe fruit was only 0.08% in April 1990 and dropped to zero several times after 1997 (partially resulting from the fact that the number of monthly checked chimpanzee food trees dropped in 1997; Table S1). While between-site comparisons could be influenced by differences in classifications, we found that trees with a ripe fruit production score larger than two were at least 9 times as rare as trees bearing fewer fruits for all three forests (Fig. 1). In Kanyawara only 6 and 3 times out of 119 and 215 observations, respectively, an abundance score of 3 or 4 for ripe fruit was observed (Fig. 1). Encounter rates of ripe fruit and large ripe fruit crops per distance traveled In all three forests, more than 50% of all encountered trees belonged to a species that chimpanzees feed on (Table 1). For example, in Kanyawara 58% of mature trees (1196 out of 2070 trees with a DBH >10cm) that were located along the 5.2 km long old growth and recently logged forest transects belonged to chimpanzee food species (based on 25 years of feeding observations of the Kanyawara chimpanzees; N_{food species}=75). The research assistants that walked these transects for 76 months, totaling 395 km, encountered on average one ripe fruit-bearing chimpanzee food tree every 97 m, while passing 37 other reproductively mature trees (of all species) along the transect. In the most fruit scarce month they found one ripe fruit-bearing chimpanzee food tree every 1730 m, while passing 687 mature trees. When we considered the availability of large ripe fruit crops (i.e. chimpanzee food trees with a DBH equal to or larger than the average size of a Kanyawara chimpanzee feeding tree (67 cm; Potts 2008) and a crown with at least 50% of the crown filled with ripe fruit), the observers found on average only one such tree every 21 km, while passing 7,992 mature trees. If we only consider the old growth forest compartments, spanning 2.4 km long transects, encounter rates of ripe fruit-bearing trees were slightly increased. Out of 1156 trees, 62% belonged to species that were known chimpanzee fruit food. Furthermore, observers found on average 1 ripe fruit-bearing chimpanzee food tree every 79 m. passing 37 other mature trees, and 1 tree with a large ripe fruit crop every 10 km, passing 4,742 other mature trees (Fig. 2). In the most fruit scarce month no trees with

ripe fruit were encountered in the entire transect. The encounter rates fluctuated stronglyin time and were substantially higher for unripe than for ripe fruit crops (Fig. 2, Fig.S1).

429 The timing of ripe fruit presence

We found some plant species produced ripe fruit at predictable months (Fig. 3a);
however, a high level of variation in the timing of fruit production was detected within
species. For example, *Sacoglottis gabonensis* trees in Taï, which appear to bear ripe
fruit predictably once a year in September, had a fruiting peak in April in 2009 and in
February in 2010. Similarly *Uvariopsis congensis* trees in Kanyawara, which appear to
bear ripe fruit once a year in June had a fruiting peak in December in 1991 (Fig. 3b).

When we plotted the fruiting patterns in time of all monitored species (that contained at least 5 individual trees), we observed species which produced ripe fruit in a highly synchronous manner, with the majority of individuals bearing ripe fruit at the same time (e.g. Coula edulis as shown in Fig. 3a). In other species conspecific trees either produced fruit in different months or failed to produce during certain seasons or years, resulting in low levels of synchrony or asynchrony in fruit production (e.g. Ficus sansibarica as shown in Fig. 3c). Comparisons of the range of synchrony levels across species by forest indicated that each forest had a comparable range of intra-specific

444 fruiting synchrony values (Fig. 4). Ripe and unripe fruit, however, showed significantly

445 higher levels of synchrony in production than young leaves in Loango and Taï forest

446 (Loango: Friedman test: X2= 8.69, df = 2, P= 0.0130, N_{species}=32, median_{young}

 $_{\text{leaves}}=0.22$, median $_{\text{unripe fruit}}=0.34$, median $_{\text{ripe fruit}}=0.32$; Taï: X2= 36.52, df = 2,

448 P<0.0001, N_{species}=73, median _{young leaves}=0.23, median _{unripe fruit}=0.38, median _{ripe fruit}=

449 0.38; Fig. 4, S2, S3). In Kanyawara we did not detect a significant difference between

450 the phenotypes (X2=1, df = 2, P= 0.6065, N_{species} =18, median _{young leaves}=0.26, median

 $_{\text{unripe fruit}}$ =.0.22, median $_{\text{ripe fruit}}$ =0.21; Fig. 4). Synchrony levels of some species

452 occasionally changed through time and space, with proportions of trees bearing ripe

453 fruit within fruiting periods being different across years (Fig.S4) or between forests454 (Fig.5).

456 The frequency of ripe fruit presence

457 Fruiting periods of individual species vary in frequency. Some species bear fruit

458 multiple times a year (continuous), twice a year (bi-annual), once a year (annual), or

American Journal of Primatology

Janmaat 15

every other year or more (supra-annual; Fig. S5). Given a species was fruiting, ripe fruit production in individual trees showed high levels of inter-individual variation in the number of times that fruit was produced and the number of months it persisted. For example, in Taï, one individual Treculia africana bore ripe fruit for 17 months out of 48 consecutive months, while another conspecific tree fruited only 3 months within the same period (Fig. S6). Similarly, in Kanyawara, in *Ficus sansibarica* one individual bore ripe fruit for 28 months out of 132 consecutive months, while another tree fruited only 4 months within that same period (Fig. S6). When we considered a large number of species with all trees monitored for similar time durations we found that for all three forests some species had substantially more inter-individual variation in the number of months that ripe fruits were produced than other species (Fig. 6). The within species variation in percentages of monthly production within these same consecutive time periods differed significantly between the three phenophases with ripe fruit having the lowest variation (Friedman test: X2=35.03, df = 2, P<0.0001, N_{species}=56, median standard deviation of young leaves_s=4.28; median standard deviation of unripe fruit =5.48; median standard deviation of ripe fruit=2.50). This is likely due to the quicker depletion or perishing times of ripe fruit, resulting in lower maximum months of ripe fruit presence (See SI; Fig.S7, S8, S9) and thus lower levels of variation.

478 The amount of ripe fruit

The number of months that individual trees were scored as having more than 50% of their crown full of ripe fruit (production score > 2) varied between years (e.g., Xylia evansii in Fig. S5b). The proportion of months in which the ripe fruit production score was larger than 2, out of all the months that a tree was observed to bear ripe fruit, also varied between individual trees (Fig.7). For example, in Sarcocephalus pobeguinii in Taï, one individual produced more than half of what it maximally could (production score>2) 4 out of 53 months, while another tree only produced small amounts of fruit (all scores \leq 2) within that same period. However, very few tree individuals produced only large or small amounts of fruit for the entire period (Fig. S5b, S10). In Loango and Taï, some species had substantially more inter-individual variation in the proportion of months with a high productive output than other species (Fig.7). For Kanyawara variation across species was low as trees rarely had more than 50% of their crown filled, even in the old growth forest compartments.

493 Discussion

The challenge of finding a tree with ripe and unripe fruit and young leaves Due to a combination of fruit size, protection, taste, toxicity and inaccessibility, primates only feed on a fraction of the many hundreds of fruit species in a tropical forest [Janson & Chapman, 1999]. The Taï forest, for example, harbors an estimated 1,300 plant species [Guillaumet, 1967] from which only 150 species produce fruits that are known to be consumed by three neighboring Taï chimpanzee communities [Goné Bi, 1999, KJ unpublished data]. Our study however, reveals that plant species fed on by chimpanzees are surprisingly abundant in space. In all three forests more than half of all encountered trees belonged to a fruiting species that chimpanzees were known to consume. A chimpanzee's challenge is therefore not so much to find plants that belong to an edible species, but to find those that actually produce food, in particular those that produce ripe fruit at a particular time. Similar to Milton's [1980] observations in the neo-tropical forest in Panama, ripe fruit in the forest ecosystems observed here was the most ephemeral food source, with the lowest encounter rates, compared to young leaves and unripe fruit. Finding ripe fruit is inferred to be the most challenging in the absence of the chimpanzee's use of any sensory cues. Observations of trees with more than half of their crown filled with ripe fruit were particularly rare being at least nine times as rare as trees with lower productive outputs. Encounter rates of trees with ripe fruit crops were substantially lower than that of trees with unripe fruit crops. However, on average observers only had to travel 97 m to find one ripe fruit-bearing tree. In fruit scarce months this distance increased to nearly 20 times (to 1730 m), with observers passing hundreds of trees before encountering one single ripe fruit-bearing chimpanzee food tree in the territory, while none were encountered in the old growth forest compartments. When we considered only trees with large ripe fruit crops, meaning a tree that was at least as large as an average chimpanzee feeding tree, with a crown that was half or more filled with fruit, encounter rates were extremely low. Observers traversing transects located in the chimpanzee territory encountered such a large fruit crop on average only once every 21 km of travelling or every 10 km in old growth forest compartments in the same territory. Considering that the average day journey length of chimpanzees in the Kanyawara forest is 2.1 km [Pontzer & Wrangham, 2004], this encounter rate can be translated into a rate as low as 1 tree per 5 average-length chimpanzee daily routes in old growth

526 forest.

American Journal of Primatology

Janmaat 17

Unfortunately, we were unable to make similarly detailed calculations for the Taï and Loango forests, since phenology trees at these sites were selected and did not represent all food trees on a transect as was the case in Kanyawara between 1990 and 1996. However, transect data revealed that trees larger than the average feeding tree size of Kanyawara chimpanzees (67 cm) were of similar density [9.8, 8.2 and 11.0 trees ha⁻¹, in Kanyawara, Loango and Taï, respectively; Potts et al. 2011]. In addition, the overall proportion of phenology trees carrying ripe fruit was comparable, although slightly higher in Taï and Loango compared to Kanyawara (Fig. 1). Observations of high fruit production scores were rare in all three forests (Table S1). Based on these descriptive findings we hypothesize that chimpanzees in West, Central and East Africa are all considerably challenged in finding ripe fruit crops during some months of the year, and are continuously challenged in discovering large ripe fruit

539 crops which are sizeable enough to feed an averaged sized chimpanzee party. The latter

540 challenge appeared considerably larger for the Kanyawara chimpanzees, which could

541 potentially explain the lower levels of dyadic associations reported in Kanyawara

542 female chimpanzees compared to those in Taï [Wittiger et al., 2013]. Several periods of 543 ripe fruit absence further suggests that the Kanyawara chimpanzees are more challenged

544 than chimpanzees in the other two forests. On the other hand, the lower diversity of tree

545 species in Kanyawara compared to Taï, [the Liberia refugium; Booth, 1957] should

546 make it easier to identify and locate trees belonging to food species, which could

547 potentially limit the complexity and decrease the cognitive challenge [Janson &

548 Chapman, 1999]. Further studies that project fruit availability distributions from

549 phenology transects on simulated forest areas using tree density and diversity data are

550 needed to fully quantify and compare the separate challenges faced at each site.

552 Once a tree is "known" to produce fruit, when will it produce ripe fruit again? 553 In all three forests, we detected conspecific trees that produced ripe fruit during 554 predictable months of the year. However, substantial variations in fruiting months were 555 found, making it difficult for chimpanzees to rely on monitoring of photoperiods, 556 climatic variables, or particular orders in fruiting seasons.

Levels of ripe fruit synchrony were comparable across the three forests studied here, with some species exhibiting mean intra-specific synchrony correlations of greater than 0.5. We therefore hypothesize that chimpanzees and other primates living in African tropical forests could use the phenomenon of fruiting synchrony to efficiently

predict the moment in time that an individual and "known" tree bears ripe fruit. They
could use the detection of a ripe fruit bearing tree to predict ripe fruit availability in a
large number of conspecific trees.

Earlier studies [Janmaat et al., 2012; 2013b] suggest that chimpanzees, and another large-brained rain forest primate (e.g. the gray-cheeked mangabeys (Lophocebus albigena)), indeed make use of the phenomenon of synchronous fruiting to predict the timing of fruit production in individual trees. Since young leaves showed lower levels of synchrony in their production in two of the three sites, we expect such strategies to be used in particular by fruit-eating primates. However, we do not exclude the possibility that such a strategy will be functional for primates that rely on other highly synchronous plant parts, such as bamboo shoots [Gorilla gorilla; Byrne, 1995] or high quality young leaves.

Substantial variation in synchrony levels across species in each forest suggest a possible benefit to chimpanzees to learn species-specific differences in synchrony levels. Evidence for such learning abilities has been shown in Taï chimpanzees [Janmaat et al., 2013b]. It remains to be investigated what other primate species have the cognitive abilities to do so, and whether they are aided by an ability to generalize or classify trees in species with high versus low levels of synchrony or, if there is a taxonomic relation to synchrony, if animals can make assessments based on plant type [Janmaat et al., 2013b].

Surprisingly, we observed fluctuations in the monthly percentage of trees that bore fruit within the same species across years and between forests. These findings are congruent with results from earlier studies, which indicated that synchrony levels differed between separate parts of a home range or a forest [MacKinnon, 1974; Chapman et al., 1999b; Janmaat et al., 2012]. MacKinnon [1974] suggested that these spatial differences in synchrony levels could be attributable to heavy local rains, which kill both the flowers and pollinators responsible for fertilizing them, inhibiting fruit production locally.

589 Considering these findings, we argue that it is unlikely that chimpanzees rely on a 590 synchronicity-based search strategy that is genetically "hardwired", but that these 591 strategies need to be learned to lead to efficient foraging. We hypothesize that such 592 learning is flexible, enabling primates to update themselves on synchrony levels based 593 on encounter rates of fruit-bearing trees at the start of each season, rather then

American Journal of Primatology

Janmaat 19

remembering species-specific levels from previous seasons or feeding locations[Janmaat et al., 2012].

597 Once a tree is "known" to produce how often will it produce ripe fruit and how 598 much?

Considering the large variation in fruiting frequencies by individual trees during the fruiting time period of the species, we hypothesize that chimpanzees may remember fruit production histories of individual trees, to avoid travelling towards trees that are likely to be empty. However, since some species showed substantially higher levels of inter-individual variation than others, it is unlikely that the advantages of such information will be similar for all tree species. Some species show such small variation in fruiting frequencies that remembering the histories of individual trees may not be worth the effort. Another factor that could result in a low benefit of remembering fruiting histories of individual trees is the species' spatial distribution. If trees are spatially clustered, it could be as efficient to simply remember the fruiting history of a forest patch, than that of each single tree within it [Normand et al., 2009]. Such advantages could potentially explain the higher performance of human and non-human primates in short-term memory tasks when locations are clustered in space compared to when they are not [De Lillo et al., 1997; De Lillo & James, 2012]. We found that certain trees had full crowns for a substantially larger proportion of ripe fruit bearing months than others. This was congruent with earlier observations that certain groups of trees in the Taï forest had a yearly maximum fruit score larger than 2 for the majority of years, while another much larger group of tree individuals had a yearly maximum class lower than 2 for the majority of years [Janmaat et al., 2013a]. The inter-individual variation was however weaker than expected with very few individuals producing only large or only small fruit crops. Moreover, the number of months that trees had high fruit production scores differed between years. This could be explained by the possibility that crop size is not only determined by the age of the plant and its micro-habitat conditions (e.g., soil quality), but also by temporal changes in weather conditions and fruit foragers' densities [e.g. Van Schaik, 1993; Houle, 2004]. Extensive observations of habituated chimpanzee females in the Taï forest indicated that inter-annual revisiting and goal-directed monitoring of feeding trees can be extremely rare when territories are large and tree density and diversity is high [Janmaat et al., 2013a, 2013b]. Out of 268 trees that were fed on by one female

chimpanzee in Taï in 2009 only 20 and 18 trees were fed on in the same months and fruiting seasons in 2010 and 2011, respectively [Janmaat et al., 2013b]. Out of 118 trees that were fed on by a second female in 2009 none were fed on in the same months of 2010, while other trees of the same species were producing fruit. In addition, most trees were inspected for fruit *en route* and only 13% were approached in a goal-directed manner [Janmaat et al., 2013a]. This suggests that the use of an across-seasonal memory of fruiting histories is likely restricted to a very small number of trees that reliably produce fruit or large fruit crops. Yet evidence for an across-seasonal memory has been shown to exist in Taï chimpanzees [Janmaat et al., 2013a]. Based on this study we hypothesize that chimpanzees will target their travels to monitor trees that have a high probability of producing fruit. In addition, we expect them to store and retrieve information on fruiting histories over a large number of years especially when we consider that some inter-fruiting intervals are greater than six years (e.g. Parinari excelsa in Kanyawara, within 132 months of consecutive observation). What cognitive abilities could facilitate the localization of ephemeral food and maximize energy intake when times are lean? The most energetically rewarding and cognitive flexible behavior proposed to be used by large-brained primates to buffer periods of food scarcity is extractive foraging and tool use [Van Woerden et al., 2010; 2012]. Our extensive assessment of the spatio-temporal complexity and predictability of chimpanzee plant foods allows us to propose an additional important set of flexible behaviors that large-brained primates can use to maximize their energy intake when times are lean. We propose that chimpanzees can use behavioral strategies to outcompete sympatric animals in exploiting easily accessible energy-rich foods, such as ripe fruit, by optimizing their arrival time. It could be argued that the timing of arrival is of little importance as chimpanzees can simply chase away competitors from ripe fruit sources through direct (contest) competition, likely facilitated by a larger body size [Anderson, 1984; Houle, 2004; Houle et al., 2010; but see Zuberbühler & Janmaat, 2010]. However, such chases will not stop competitors from consuming all ripe fruit prior to the arrival of chimpanzees. Hence, we hypothesize that chimpanzees can still benefit by actively reducing indirect (scramble) competition for dispersed and ephemeral foods through adjusting their timing of arrival. Chimpanzees could employ cognitive strategies that enable them to forage more efficiently, either by facilitating early discoveries of large amounts of energy-rich foods,

American Journal of Primatology

Janmaat 21

662	or by optimizing return times to previous feeding locations. A variety of studies have
663	found evidence that primates use spatial memory to relocate ephemeral food sources
664	and can keep track of changes in fruit availability [reviewed in Janson & Byrne, 1997;
665	Janson & Chapman, 1999; Janmaat et al., 2006a; Janmaat et al., 2006b; Martin-Ordas et
666	al., 2010; Zuberbühler & Janmaat, 2010; Sayers & Menzel, 2012; Ban et al., 2014].
667	Robert Barton [1998, 2004] furthermore suggested that the advanced visual
668	specialization of primates, despite short average detection distances within dense forest
669	vegetation, facilitates ripe fruit discovery [Janson & Di Bitetti, 1997; Janmaat et al.,
670	2013a]. Knowledge on what other cognitive strategies are used to discover newly
671	produced and ephemeral food is limited and in need of further investigation.
672	Based on the results of this study we hypothesize that to improve the efficiency of
673	fruit discovery, chimpanzees continuously update their knowledge regarding both the
674	synchrony levels of their food in time and space and the species-specific differences of
675	these levels as well as the fruiting histories of individual trees belonging to species with
676	high levels of inter-individual variation or patches of trees. We further hypothesize that
677	chimpanzees have an ability to use the following suite of cognitive mechanisms in
678	combination with search by use of sensory cues and spatial memory of "known" trees:
679	1) An ability to learn to generalize or classify food plants as belonging to a species (with
680	or without the presence of food), or a class with high and low levels of synchrony, food
681	persistence duration (ephemerality levels) or food production frequencies. 2) An ability
682	to flexibly learn to acquire, store, integrate, order and retrieve information on a) the
683	frequency of phenophase occurrences, b) the relative amounts of fruit and young leaves
684	and variables that influence changes in these amounts in individual plants, as well as c)
685	sensory information emitted by plants (e.g., the smell of ripe fruits) and other sympatric
686	foragers, including group members that indicate the availability of food. 3) An ability to
687	plan or anticipate efficient monitoring (or return) times and efficient travel paths
688	between food producing plants.
689	We expect that chimpanzees will use a combination of these cognitive abilities to
690	reach their goals. For example, once a chimpanzee discovers the first fruit in a highly
691	synchronous plant species that has high variation in fruiting frequencies, it could plan its
692	approach towards a specific tree of the same species – a tree for which its long-term
693	memory informs the chimpanzee that the tree had a good fruiting history and a high
694	probability of bearing a large amount of fruit within season. The chimpanzee could then
695	use this ability in combination with one that can use the sounds of other foragers and

696 smell of the fruit, to confirm whether the tree has not been depleted, once it arrives in
697 the vicinity, and a spatial memory (using a variety of cognitive maps or orientation
698 skills) to efficiently travel towards the respective tree.

In short, ecological intelligence is so much more than solely remembering the spatial location of a number of food trees within a large home range. We conjecture that successful foraging depends on a combination of a large variety of cognitive skills, especially an ability to obtain, store and retrieve knowledge on temporal availability of food in individual trees. Here, we hypothesized on the existence of a suite of cognitive strategies that chimpanzees could employ to maximize food finding efficiency in periods of scarcity by using individual and species specific information on the predictability of their food in individual trees. We challenge cognitive scientists to test whether chimpanzees indeed employ the proposed strategies and whether other primates with smaller brains are able to do the same. Lastly, we hope that our quantification of the temporal complexity of primate food in the three African tropical forests will help future primatologists to further elaborate on and test hypotheses on the cognitive abilities of a wide variety of primate species to provide new insight and ideas on the comparative studies that test the role of ecological complexity in primate brain size evolution.

715 Acknowledgments

Funding to CC for research in Kanyawara was provided by the Canada Research Chairs Program, Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada, Fonds Québécois de la Recherche sur la Nature et les Technologies, Wildlife Conservation Society, Canadian Foundation for Innovation, Killam Foundation, Primate Conservation Inc., Morris Animal Fund, Leakey Foundation, Ford Foundation, McGill University, National Geographic Society, and by NIH grant TW009237 as part of the joint NIH-NSF Ecology of Infectious Disease program and the UK Economic and Social Research Council. In Uganda, we thank the Office of the President, Uganda National Council for Science and Technology, Uganda Wildlife Authority, Makerere University Biological Field Station. Funding for research in Taï was funded by the Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology. In Côte d'Ivoire we thank the Ministère de la Recherches Scientifiques, the Ministère de l'Environnement et des Eaux et Forêts, the Office Ivoirien des Parcs et Réserves, the directorship of the Taï National Park and the Centre Suisse de Recherche Scientifique. For Loango funding was provided by the Max Planck

American Journal of Primatology

Janmaat 23

730	Society, Mr Romout Swanbourn, the US Fish and Wildlife Service and BHP Billiton. In
731	Gabon we thank the Agence Nationale des Parcs Nationaux (ANPN), the Centre
732	National de la Recherche Scientifique et Technique (CENAREST) and the Institut de
733	Recherché en Ecology Tropicale (IRET) of Gabon for their collaboration and for
734	permission to conduct our research in Loango National Park, and to Africa's Eden and
735	WCS for logistical support at the field site. We thank Barbara Fruth and Katherine
736	Milton for valuable comments during the writing of the manuscript.
737	
738	References :
739	
740	Aiello LC, Wheeler P. 1995. The expensive tissue hypothesis. Current Anthropology,
741	36:184–193.
742	Allmann J, McLaughlin T, Hakeem A. 1993. Brain-weight and life-span in primate
743	species. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, USA 90:118–122.
744	Anderson DP, Nordheim EV, Moermond TC, Goné Bi ZB, Boesch C. 2005. Factors
745	influencing tree phenology in Taï National Park, Côte d'Ivoire. Biotropica 37:631-
746	640.
747	Ban SB, Boesh C, Janmaat KRL. 2014. Taï chimpanzees anticipate revisiting high-
748	valued fruit trees from further distances. Animal Cognition 17(6): 1353-1364.
749	Barton RA.1996. Neo-cortex size and behavioral ecology in primates. Proceedings of
750	the Royal Society. B: Biological Sciences, 263:173-177.
751	Barton RA.1998. Visual specialization and brain evolution in primates. Proceedings of
752	the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences 265(1409):1933-1937.
753	Barton RA. 2004. Binocularity and brain evolution in primates. Proceedings of the
754	National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 101(27):10113-
755	10115.
756	Bjørnstad ON, Ims RA, Lambin X. 1999. Spatial population dynamics: analyzing
757	patterns and processes of population synchrony. Trends in Ecology and Evolution
758	14:427-432.
759	Boesch C, Boesch-Achermann H. 2000. The chimpanzees of the Taï Forest:
760	Behavioural ecology and evolution. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
761	Booth AH. 1957. The Niger, the Volta and the Dahomey Gap as geographic barriers.
762	Evolution 12 (1):48-62.

763	Byrne RW. 1995. The thinking ape. Evolutionary origins of intelligence. Oxford:
764	Oxford University Press.
765	Byrne RW. 1997. The technical intelligence hypothesis: an additional evolutionary
766	stimulus to intelligence? In: Whiten A, Byrne RW, editors. Machiavellian
767	intelligence II: extensions and evaluations. Cambridge: University Press. p 289-
768	311.
769	Changizi MA, Shimojo S. 2008. "X-ray vision" and the evolution of forward-facing
770	eyes. Journal of Theoretical Biology 254:756-767.
771	Chapman CA, Chapman LJ, Wrangham RW, Hunt K, Gebo D, Gardner L.1992.
772	Estimators of fruit abundance of tropical trees. Biotropica 24:527-531.
773	Chapman CA, RW Wrangham & LJ Chapman. 1995. Ecological constraints on group
774	size: an analysis of spider monkey and chimpanzee subgroups. Behavioral Ecology
775	and Sociobiology 32:199-209.
776	Chapman CA, Gautier-Hion A, Oates JF, Onderdonk DA. 1999a. African primate
777	communities: Determinant of structure and threats to survival. In: Fleagle JG,
778	Janson CH, Reed KE, editors. Primate communities. Cambridge: Cambridge
779	University Press. p. 1–37.
780	Chapman CA, Wrangham RW, Chapman LJ, Kennard DK, Zanne AE. 1999b. Fruit
781	and flower phenology at two sites in Kibale National Park, Uganda. Journal of
782	Tropical Ecology 15:189–211.
783	Chapman CA, Lambert JE. 2000. Habitat alteration and the conservation of African
784	primates: A case study of Kibale National Park, Uganda. American Journal of
785	Primatology 50:169-186.
786	Chapman CA, Chapman LJ, Struhsaker TT, Zanne AE. Clark CJ, Poulsen JR. 2004. A
787	long-term evaluation of fruit phenology: Importance of climate change. Journal of
788	Tropical Ecology 21:1-14.
789	Clutton-Brock TH, Harvey PH. 1980. Primate brains and ecology. Journal of Zoology.
790	190 (3):309-323.
791	De Lillo C, Visalberghi E, Aversana M. 1997. The organization of exhaustive searches
792	in a patchy space by capuchin monkeys (Cebus apella). Journal Comparative
793	Psychology 111(1):82-90.
794	De Lillo C, James FC. 2012. Spatial working memory for clustered and linear
795	configurations of sites in a virtual reality foraging task. Cognitive Processes
796	13(1):243-246.

John Wiley & Sons

American Journal of Primatology

Page 25 of 41		American Journal of Primatology		
1		Janmaat 25		
2	797	Doran-Sheehy DM Shah NF Heimbauer LA 2006 Sympatric western gorilla and		
4	798	mangabey diet: re-examination of ape and monkey foraging strategies. In:		
5 6	799	Hohmann G Robbins MM Boesch C editors Feeding ecology in apes and other		
7 8	800	primates Cambridge Cambridge University Press, p.49-72		
9	801	Doran-Sheehy DM Mongo P Lodwick I Conklin-Brittain NL 2009 Male and		
10	802	female western gorilla diet: preferred foods use of fallback resources and		
12 13	803	implications for ane versus old world monkey foraging strategies. American		
14	804	Journal of Physical Anthropology 140(4):727-738		
16	805	Dominy NJ, Lucas PW, 2004, Significance of color, calories, and climate to the visual		
17 18	806	ecology of catarrhines American Journal of Primatology 62(3):189-207		
19 20	807	Dunbar RIM, 1998. The social brain hypothesis. Evolutionary Anthropology 6(5):178-		
20 21	808	190		
22 23	809	Fagan WF, Lewis MA, Auger-Méthé M, Avgar T, Benhamou S, Breed G, Mueller T.		
24 25	810	2013. Spatial memory and animal movement. Ecology letters 16(10):1316-1329.		
26	811	Fleagle JG. 1988. Primate adaptation and evolution. Academic Press, San Diego, p		
27 28	812	211.		
29 30	813	Fleagle JG, Reed KE. 1996. Comparing primate communities: A multivariate		
31	814	approach. Journal of Human Evolution 30:489–510.		
32 33	815	Frankie GW, Baker HG., Opler PA. 1974. Comparative phonological studies of trees		
34 35	816	in tropical wet and dry forests in the lowlands of Costa Rica. Journal of Ecology		
36	817	62:881-919.		
37 38	818	Goné Bi ZB. 1999. Phénologie et distribution des plantes dont divers organs		
39 40	819	(principalement les fruits) sont consommés par les chimpanzés, dans le Parc		
41	820	National de Taï, Côte d'Ivoire. [M.Sc. dissertation]. Côte d'Ivoire: University of		
42 43	821	Cocody. Available at Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Leipzig		
44 45	822	Goné Bi ZB. 2007. Régime alimentaire des chimpanzés, distribution spatiale et		
46 47	823	phénologie des plantes dont les fruits sont consommés par les chimpanzés du Parc		
47	824	National de Taï, en Côte d'Ivoire. [Doctoral dissertation]. Côte d'Ivoire: University		
49 50	825	of Cocody. Available at Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology,		
51 52	826	Leipzig		
53	827	Goodall J. 1986. The Chimpanzees of Gombe: patterns of behavior. Cambridge:		
54 55	828	Harvard University Press. p 44.		
56 57	829	Grassberger P. 1986. Toward a quantitative theory of self-generated complexity.		
58	830	International Journal of Theoretical Physics 25 :907-938.		
59 60				
		John Wiley & Sons		

831	Guillaumet JL. 1967. Recherches sur la végétation et la flore de la région du Bas-
832	Cavally (Côte d'Ivoire). Paris : Mémoires ORSTOM. p 259.
833	Hamilton A, Taylor D, Howard P. 2001. Hotspots in African Forests as Quaternary
834	Refugia In: Weber W, White LJT, Vedder A, Naughton-Treves L, editors. African
835	Rain Forest Ecology and Conservation. An Interdisciplinary Perspective. London:
836	Yale University. p 57-67.
837	Hanna JB, Schmitt D, Griffin TM. 2008. The energetic cost of climbing in primates.
838	Science 320(5878):898.
839	Harvey PH, Krebs JR. 1990. Comparing brains. Science 249:140–146.
840	Head J, Boesch C, Makaga L, Robbins MM. 2011. Sympatric chimpanzees (Pan
841	troglodytes troglodytes) and gorillas (Gorilla gorilla gorilla) in Loango National
842	Park, Gabon: dietary composition, seasonality, and Intersite Comparisons.
843	International Journal of Primatology 32:755-775.
844	Hladik CM. 1977. Chimpanzees of Gabon and chimpanzees of Gombe: some
845	comparative data on the diet. In: Clutton-Brock TH, editor. Primate ecology. New
846	York: Academic Press. p 481-501.
847	Hladik A. 1978. Phenology of leaf production in rain forest of Gabon: Distribution and
848	composition of food for folivores. In: Montgomery GG, editor. The Ecology of
849	arboreal Folivores. Washington: Smithsonian Institution Press. p 51-71.
850	Hladik CM. 1988. Seasonal Variations in Food Supply for Wild Primates. In: Garine I,
851	Harrison GA, editors. Coping with uncertainty in food supply. Oxford: Claredon
852	Press. p 1-25.
853	Houle A. 2004. Mécanismes de coexistence chez les primates frugivores du Parc
854	National de Kibale en Ouganda (doctoral dissertation). Quebec: University of
855	Quebec.
856	Houle A, Chapman CA, Vickery WL. 2010. Intratree vertical variation of fruit density
857	and the nature of contest competition in frugivores. Behavioral Ecology and
858	Sociobiology 64(3): 429-441.
859	Herrera CM, Jordano P, Guitián J, Traveset A. 1998. Annual variability in seed
860	production by woody plants and the masting concept: reassessment of principles
861	and relationship to pollination and seed dispersal. The American Naturalist
862	152(4):576-594.
863	Isabirye-Basuta G. 1988. Food competition among individuals in a free-ranging
864	chimpanzee community in Kibale Forest, Uganda. Behaviour 105:135-147.

American Journal of Primatology

age 27 OF		American oodinal of Frinatology
1		Janmaat 27
2	865	Irwin MT Raharison II. Raubenheimer D. Chanman CA. Rothman IM 2014
4	866	Nutritional correlates of the "lean season". Effects of seasonality and frugivory on
5 6	867	the nutritional ecology of diademed sifakas. American Journal of Physical
7 8	868	Anthropology 153(1):78-91
9	869	Janmaat KRI Byrne RW Zuberbühler K 2006a Evidence for a spatial memory of
10 11	870	fruiting states of rainforest trees in wild mangabeys. Animal Behaviour 72:797–
12 13	871	807
14	872	Janmaat KRI Byrne RW Zuberbühler K 2006b Primates take weather into account
15 16	873	when searching for fruit Current Biology 16:1232–1237
17 18	874	Janmaat KRL 2006 Fruits of enlightenment Fruit-localization strategies in wild
19	875	mangabey monkeys (doctoral dissertation) St Andrews: University of St Andrews
20 21	876	Available from: University of St Andrews
22 23	877	Janmaat KRL, Chapman CA, Meijer R, Züberbuehler K, 2012. The use of fruiting
24	878	synchrony by foraging mangabey monkeys: a 'simple tool' to find fruit Animal
25 26	879	Cognition 15:83–96
27 28	880	Janmaat KRL Ban SD Boesch C 2013a Chimpanzees use long-term spatial memory
29	881	to monitor large fruit trees and remember feeding experiences across seasons
30 31	882	Animal Behaviour 86(6): 1183-1205
32 33	883	Janmaat KRL, Ban SD, Boesch C. 2013b. Taï chimpanzees use botanical skills to
34	884	discover fruit: what we can learn from their mistakes. Animal Cognition, 16(6):
36	885	851-860.
37 38	886	Janson CH, Chapman CA, 1999. Primate resources and the determination of primate
39 40	887	community structure. In: Fleagle JG, Janson CH, Reed K, editors, Primate
40	888	Communities. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. p 237-267.
42 43	889	Janson C, Byrne RW. 2007. Resource cognition in wild primates-opening up the black
44 45	890	box. Animal Cognition 10:357–367.
46	891	Janson CH, Di Bitetti MS. 1997. Experimental analysis of food detection in capuchin
47 48	892	monkeys: effects of distance, travel speed, and resource size. Behavioral Ecology
49 50	893	and Sociobiology 41:17-24.
51	894	Janzen DH. 1978. Seeding patterns of tropical trees. In: Tomlinson PB, Zimmerman,
52 53	895	MH, editors. Tropical trees as living systems. New York: Cambridge University
54 55	896	Press. p 83-128.
56 57	897	Jurmain R 1997. Skeletal evidence of trauma in African apes, with special reference
58 59	898	to the Gombe chimpanzees. Primates 38(1):1–14.
συ		John Wiley & Sons

American Journal of Primatology

	American Journal of Frinatology
	Janmaat 28
899	Knott CD 2005 12 Energetic responses to food availability in the great apes.
900	implications for hominin evolution. In: Brockman DK, Van Schaik CP, editors
901	Seasonality in primates: Studies of living and extinct human and non-human
002	primates Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, p. 351, 378
902	primates. Cambridge. Cambridge University Fress. p 551-578.
903 904	Kraft TS, Venkataraman VV, Dominy NI, 2014, A natural history of human tree
905	climbing Journal of Human Evolution 71:105-118
906	Kontur S. Haber WA. Frankie GW. Baker HG. 2009. Phenological studies of shrub
907	and treelet species in tropical cloud forests in Costa Rica. Journal of Tropical
908	Ecology 4:323-346
900	Maley I 2001. The impact of Arid Phases on the African Rain forest through
010	geological history. In: Weber W. White LIT. Vedder, A. Naughton Traves I.
011	A friegen Bain Earset Easlagy and Conservation. An Interdisciplingry Derepative
911	London: Vola University Press p (8, 87
912	London: Yale University Press. p 68-87.
913	MacKinnon J. 1974. The behaviour and ecology of wild orang-utans (<i>Pongo</i>
914	<i>pygmaeus</i>). Animal Behaviour 22:3-74.
915	Matsumoto-Oda A, Hayashi Y. 1999. Nutritional aspects of fruit choice by
916	chimpanzees. Folia Primatologica 70(3):154-162.
917	Marriott J, Robinson M, Karikari SK. 1981. Starch and sugar transformation during
918	the ripening of plantains and bananas. Journal of the Science of Food and
919	Agriculture 32:1021-1026.
920	Martin-Ordas G, Haun D, Colmenares F, Call J. 2010. Keeping track of time: evidence
921	for episodic-like memory in great apes. Animal Cognition 13:331–340.
922	Medlicott AP, Thompson AK. 1985. Analysis of sugar and organic acids in ripening
923	mango fruits (Mangifera indica L. var Keitt) by high performance liquid
924	chromatography. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture 36:561-566.
925	Menzel CR. 1991. Cognitive aspects of foraging in Japanese monkeys. Animal
926	Behaviour 41:397–402.
927	Milton K, May ML. 1976. Body weight, diet and home range. Nature 259:459-462.
928	Milton K. 1980. The foraging strategy of howler monkeys: a study in primate
929	economics (doctoral dissertation). New York: Columbia University Press.
930	Milton K. 1981. Distribution Pattern of Tropical Plant Foods as an Evolutionary
931	Stimulus to primate mental development. American Anthropologist 83:534–548.

American Journal of Primatology

Janmaat 29

932	Milton K, Windsor DM, Morrison DW, Estribi MA.1982. Fruiting phenologies of two
933	tropical Ficus species. Ecology 63:752-762.
934	Milton K. 1988. Foraging behaviour and the evolution of primate intelligence. In:
935	Byrne RW, Whiten A, editors. Machiavellian intelligence: Social expertise and the
936	evolution of intellect in monkeys, apes and humans. Oxford: Clarendon Press. p.
937	285–305.
938	Milton K, Giacalone J, Wright SJ, Stockmayer G. 2005. Do frugivore population
939	fluctuations reflect fruit production? Evidence from Panama. In: Lawrence Dew J,
940	Boubli JP, editors. Tropical fruits and frugivores: The search for strong interactors.
941	Netherlands: Springer. p 5-35.
942	Momose K. 2004. Plant reproductive interval and population density in aseasonal
943	tropics. Ecological Research 19:245-253.
944	Normand E, Ban SD, Boesch C. 2009. Forest chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes verus)
945	remember the location of numerous fruit trees. Animal Cognition 12:797-807.
946	Olupot W, Chapman CA, Brown CH, Waser PM. 1994. Mangabey (Cercocebus
947	albigena) population density, group size, and ranging: a twenty-year comparison.
948	American Journal of Primatology 32:197-205.
949	Opler PA, Frankie GW, Baker HG. 1980. Comparative phonological studies of treelet
950	and shrub species in tropical wet and dry forest in the lowlands of Costa Rica.
951	Journal of Ecology 68:167-188.
952	Polansky L, Boesch C. 2013. Long-term fruit phenology and rainfall trends conflict in
953	a West African lowland tropical rainforest. Journal of Tropical Ecology 45:409-
954	535.
955	Polansky L, Robbins MM. 2013. Generalized additive mixed models for disentangling
956	long-term trends, local anomalies, and seasonality in fruit tree phenology. Ecology
957	and Evolution 3(9): 3141-3151.
958	Pontzer H, Wrangham RW. 2004. Climbing and the daily energy cost of locomotion in
959	wild chimpanzees: implications for hominoid locomotor evolution. Journal of
960	Human Evolution 46(3):315-333.
961	Pontzer H, Wrangham RW. 2006. Ontogeny of ranging in wild chimpanzees.
962	International Journal of Primatology 27:295-309.
963	Potts KB. 2008. Habitat heterogeneity on multiple spatial scales in Kibale National
964	Park, Uganda: Implications for chimpanzee population ecology and grouping

0.65	
965	patterns [Doctoral dissertation]. United States: Yale University. Available at Yale
966	University, New Haven.
967	Potts KB, Watts DP, Wrangham RW. 2011. Comparative feeding ecology of two
968	communities of chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes) in Kibale National Park, Uganda.
969	International Journal of Primatology 32(3):669-690.
970	Potts R. 2004. Paleo environmental basis of cognitive evolution in great apes.
971	American Journal of Primatology 62:209-228.
972	Putz FE. 1979. Aseasonality in Malaysian tree phenology. Malaysian Forester 42:1-24.
973	R Development Core Team. 2014. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical
974	Computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
975	Rathcke B, Lacey EP. 1985. Phenologial patterns of terrestrial plants. Annual Review
976	of Ecology and Systematics 16:179–214
977	Richards PW. 1973. Africa, the "odd man out" In: Meggers BJ, Ayensu ES,
978	Duckworth WD, editors. Tropical forest ecosystems in Africa and South America:
979	A comparative review. Washington: Smithsonian Institution press.
980	Robbins MM. 2008. Feeding competition and agonistic relationships among Bwindi
981	Gorilla beringei. International Journal of Primatology, 29(4):999-1018.
982	Rogers ME, Maisels F, Williamson EA, Fernandez M, Tutin CEG. 1990. Gorilla
983	diet in the Lope Reserve, Gabon: a nutritional analysis. Oecologia 84:326-339.
984	Sakai S. 2001. Phenological diversity in tropical forests. Population Ecology, 43:77–
985	86.
986	Sambrook T, Whiten A. 1997. On the nature of complexity in cognitive and
987	behavioural science. Theory & Psychology 7:191-213.
988	Sayers K, Menzel CR. 2012. Memory and foraging theory: chimpanzee utilization of
989	optimality heuristics in the rank-order recovery of hidden foods. Animal Behaviour
990	84:795-803.
991	Struhsaker TT. 1997. Ecology of an African rainforest. Appendix. Gainesville:
992	University Press of Florida.
993	Sussman RW. 1991. Primate origins and the evolution of angiosperms. American
994	Journal of
995	Primatology 23:209-223.
996	Sussman RW, Tab Rasmussen D, Raven PH. 2013. Rethinking primate origins again.
997	American Journal of Primatology 75(2):95-106.

American Journal of Primatology

Janmaat 31

2		
3	998	Terborgh J. 1986. Community aspects of frugivory in tropical forests. In: Estrada A,
4 5	999	Fleming TH, editors. Frugivores and seed dispersal. Dordrecht: Dr W. Junk
6 7	1000	Publishers. p 371-386.
8	1001	Thompson ME, Wrangham RW. 2008. Diet and Reproductive Function in Wild
9 10	1002	Female Chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes schweinfurthii) at Kibale National Park,
11 12	1003	Uganda and evolution. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 24:127-135.
13	1004	Thompson ME, Muller MN, Wrangham RW. 2014. Male chimpanzees compromise
14 15	1005	the foraging success of their mates in Kibale National Park, Uganda. Behavioral
16 17	1006	Ecology and Sociobiology 68 (12):1973-1983.
18	1007	Vooren AP. 1999. Introduction de la bionomie dans la gestion des forêts tropicales
19 20	1008	denses humides (doctoral dissertation). Wageningen: Wageningen University.
21	1009	Van Woerden JT, van Schaik CP, Isler K. 2010. Effects of seasonality of brain size
22 23	1010	evolution: evidence from Strepsirrhine primates. The American Naturalist
24 25	1011	176(6):758-767.
26 27	1012	Van Woerden JT, Willems EP, van Schaik CP, Isler K. 2012. Large brains buffer
28	1013	energetic effects of seasonal habitats in catarrhine primates. Evolution 66(1):191-
29 30	1014	199.
31 32	1015	Van Schaik CP, Terborgh JW, Wright SJ. 1993. The phenology of tropical forests:
33	1016	adaptive significance and consequences for primary consumers. Annual Review of
34 35	1017	Ecology and Systematics 24:353–377.
36 37	1018	Wakefield M. 2008. Socioecology of Female Chimpanzees (Pan Troglodytes
38	1019	Schweinfurthii) in the Kibale National Park, Uganda: Social Relationships,
39 40	1020	Association Patterns, and Costs and Benefits of Gregariousness in a Fission-Fusion
41 42	1021	Society (doctoral dissertation). New Haven: Yale University.
43	1022	Wheelwright NT. 1986. A seven-year study of individual variation in fruit production
44 45	1023	in tropical bird-dispersed tree species in the family Lauraceae. In: Estrada A,
46 47	1024	Fleming TH, editors. Frugivores and seed dispersal. Dordrecht: Dr W. Junk
48	1025	Publishers. p 19-34.
49 50	1026	White TD, Asfaw B, Beyene Y, Haile-Selassie Y, Lovejoy CO, Suwa G,
51 52	1027	WoldeGabriel G. 2009. Ardipithecus ramidus and the paleobiology of early
52 53	1028	hominids. Science 326(5949):64-86.
54 55	1029	Wittiger L, Boesch C. 2013. Female gregariousness in Western Chimpanzees (Pan
56 57	1030	troglodytes verus) is influenced by resource aggregation and the number of females
58	1031	in estrus. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 67(7):1097-1111.
59 60		

Janmaat 32

1032	Woodward JR. 1972. Physical and chemical changes in developing strawberry fruits.
1033	Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture 23:465-473.
1034	Wrangham RW, Conklin NL, Chapman CA, Hunt KD. 1991. The significance of
1035	fibrous foods for Kibale Forest chimpanzees. Philosophical Transactions:
1036	Biological Sciences 334:171-178.
1037	Wrangham RW. 2000. Why are male chimpanzees more gregarious than mothers? A
1038	scramble competition hypothesis. In: Kappeler PM, editor. Primate males: causes
1039	and consequences of variation in group composi tion. Cambridge: Cambridge
1040	University Press. p 248–258.
1041	Zuberbühler K, Janmaat KRL. 2010. Foraging cognition in nonhuman primates. In:
1042	Platt ML, Ghazanfar AA, editors. Primate neuroethology. Oxford: Oxford
1043	University Press. p 64-83.
1044	
1045	
1046	Figure legends
1047	
1048	Fig.1. The availability of young leaves, unrine fruit and ripe fruit in the focal phenology
1049	trees situated in three chimpanzee territories. Panel a) shows the proportion of all
1050	observations amongst trees were found to bear ripe fruit, unripe fruit, young leaves or none of
1051	the above (N=425,836). Panel b) focuses on the ripe fruit phenophase, showing the proportion
1052	of observations in each of the four ripe fruit production scores given that ripe fruit was
1053	observed.
1054	
1055	Fig. 2 The encounter rates of large ripe and unripe fruit crops in old growth rain forest.
1056	The open and filled squares represent the average number of trees found along one km of
1057	transect in the old growth forest of the Kanyawara chimpanzee community that bore a large ripe
1058	or unripe fruit crop, respectively. Trees with large fruit crops are defined as trees with a trunk
1059	size equal or larger than the average feeding tree of Kanyawara chimpanzees and a crown that is
1060	more than 50% filled (rank core \geq 2) with ripe fruit.
1061	
1062	Fig. 3 The timing of ripe fruit availability in individual trees. Examples of frequency and
1063	intra-specific synchrony (see Fig. 4) of ripe fruit availability of individual trees. Black and grey
1064	dots represent ripe truit presence and absence, respectively; no dot represents missing data. The
1000	size of the dots are proportional to the fruiting pottern for troop of <i>Coulo</i> adulia in Tox forest, for which it
1000	concerce. I aller a) shows the fruiting pattern for trees of <i>Courd eaulis</i> in Tar forest, for which it

American Journal of Primatology

Janmaat 33

is relatively easy to predict which individual will bear fruit in season (high synchrony level) and in which month the season starts (fixed timing). Panel b) shows the pattern for Uvariopsis congensis in Kanyawara forest, for which it is relatively easy to predict which individual will bear fruit in the season (high synchrony level), but where the timing of the season is less predictable (fluctuating timing). Panel c) shows the pattern for *Ficus sansibarica* in Taï forest, in which it is difficult to predict which individuals will fruit in which month (asynchronous and fluctuating timing). Fig.4. Intra-specific ripe fruit mean synchrony by species at each of the three sites. Fig. 5. Ripe fruit patterns for Erythroxylum mannii in two forests, a) Loango (mean synchrony=0.33) and b) Taï (mean synchrony=0.65), illustrating that the same species can have contrasting levels of intra-specific synchrony. Black and grey dots represent ripe fruit presence and absence, respectively; no dot represents missing data. The size of the dots are propotional to the fruit production scores; blank white spaces denote no data collected. Fig. 6. Inter-individual variation in ripe fruit production frequencies in individual trees. The number of months that individual trees bore ripe fruit within a consecutive uninterrupted observation periods of a) 76 months in Kanyawara, b) 67 months in Loango, and c)144 months in Taï forest. At least 5 trees per species were monitored. Bars represent median values of the proportions; upper and lower boundaries of boxes represent the upper and lower quartiles. Whiskers represent the lowest and highest data points still falling within 1.5 times the interquartile range; Points represent outliers. Fig. 7. Inter-individual variation in the amount of fruit produced during ripe fruiting **bouts.** The percentage of months individual trees had a fruit production score larger than 2 out of all the months individual trees bore ripe fruit. Each species includes data on at least 5 individuals that bore fruit for at least 5 months. Bars represent median values of the proportions; upper and lower boundaries of boxes represent the upper and lower quartiles. Whiskers represent the lowest and highest data points still falling within 1.5 times the interquartile range; Points represent outliers.

_	
2	
4	
4	
5	
6	
7	
~	
8	
9	
10	
11	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
10	
16	
17	
18	
10	
19	
20	
21	
22	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
20	
27	
28	
29	
20	
30	
31	
32	
22	
22	
33 34	
33 34 35	
33 34 35 36	
33 34 35 36 37	
33 34 35 36 37	
34 35 36 37 38	
33 34 35 36 37 38 39	
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	
 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 	
 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 	
 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 	
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	
 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 	
 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 	
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 9	
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 951	
33 34 35 36 37 38 30 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 49 50 51 52	
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 41 42 43 44 45 40 47 48 49 50 51 52 52	
33 36 34 35 36 37 38 39 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 50 51 53 53	
33 36 37 38 30 41 42 43 44 45 40 51 53 53 54 54	
334 35 36 37 38 39 41 42 43 44 54 47 84 90 51 52 53 54 55	
33 36 37 39 41 42 44 46 47 89 51 52 54 55 55 55 55 56	
33 36 37 39 41 42 44 46 47 89 51 52 54 55 <td< td=""><td></td></td<>	
33 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 1 4 2 3 4 4 5 4 6 7 4 8 9 5 1 5 2 3 4 5 5 6 5 7	
334 35 36 37 38 9 4 1 4 2 4 3 4 4 5 6 4 7 8 9 5 1 5 2 3 4 5 5 6 5 7 5 8	

1

	Kanyawara	Taï	Loango
# trees/ha (DBH>10cm)	406	507	321
# chimpanzee fruit trees/ha	237	325	162*
# average sized chimpanzee	9.81	11.00	8.18*
fruit trees/ha (DBH>67cm)			
# chimpanzee fruit species	75	150	79*
# monitored chimpanzee fruit species	45	107	38

* These numbers may be underestimations as diet composition was based on 5 years of observation and dung analysis of non-habituated chimpanzees, compared to 30 and 25 years of feeding observations for the Taï and Kanyawara chimpanzee communities, respectively

, observatio

Figure 1

15

16 17

18

19

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

47

48

49

50

51

1.0 a) Kanyawara Tai 0.8 Proportion of all Loango observations 0.6 0.4 0.2 0.0 Unripe fruit absent Unripe fruit present Young leaves absent Young leaves present Ripe fruit absent Ripe fruit present Proportion of all observations 1 b) 1–25% given ripe fruit present 1–10 26-50% 11–50 0.75 51-75% 51-100 □ 76-100% □ 100+ 0.5 0.25 0 2 3 4 2 3 4 2 3 4 1 1

Tai

Loango

Kanyawara

The availability of young leaves, unripe fruit and ripe fruit in the focal phenology trees situated in three chimpanzee territories. Panel a) shows the proportion of all observations amongst trees were found to bear ripe fruit, unripe fruit, young leaves or none of the above (N=425,836). Panel b) focuses on the ripe fruit phenophase, showing the proportion of observations in each of the four ripe fruit production scores given that ripe fruit was observed. 215x279mm (200 x 200 DPI)



The encounter rates of large ripe and unripe fruit crops in old growth rain forest. The open and filled squares represent the average number of trees found along one km of transect in the old growth forest of the Kanyawara chimpanzee community that bore a large ripe or unripe fruit crop, respectively. Trees with large fruit crops are defined as trees with a trunk size equal or larger than the average feeding tree of Kanyawara chimpanzees and a crown that is more than 50% filled (rank core >2) with ripe fruit. 127x79mm (96 x 96 DPI)

The timing of ripe fruit availability in individual trees. Examples of frequency and intra-specific synchrony (see Fig. 4) of ripe fruit availability of individual trees. Black and grey dots represent ripe fruit presence and absence, respectively; no dot represents missing data. The size of the dots are propotional to the fruit production scores; blank white spaces denote no data collected. Panel a) shows the fruiting pattern for trees of Coula edulis in Taï forest, for which it is relatively easy to predict which individual will bear fruit in season (high synchrony level) and in which month the season starts (fixed timing). Panel b) shows the pattern for Uvariopsis congensis in Kanyawara forest, for which it is relatively easy to predict which individual will bear fruit in the season (high synchrony level), but where the timing of the season is less predictable (fluctuating timing). Panel c) shows the pattern for Ficus sansibarica in Taï forest, in which it is difficult to predict which individuals will fruit in which month (asynchronous and fluctuating timing).

215x279mm (200 x 200 DPI)



Intra-specific ripe fruit mean synchrony by species at each of the three sites. 215x279mm (200 x 200 DPI)





Ripe fruit patterns for Erythroxylum mannii in two forests, a) Loango (mean synchrony=0.33) and b) Taï (mean synchrony=0.65), illustrating that the same species can have contrasting levels of intra-specific synchrony. Black and grey dots represent ripe fruit presence and absence, respectively; no dot represents missing data. The size of the dots are propotional to the fruit production scores; blank white spaces denote no data collected. 215x279mm (200 x 200 DPI)



Inter-individual variation in ripe fruit production frequencies in individual trees. The number of months that individual trees bore ripe fruit within a consecutive uninterrupted observation periods of a) 76 months in Kanyawara, b) 67 months in Loango, and c)144 months in Taï forest. At least 5 trees per species were monitored. Bars represent median values of the proportions; upper and lower boundaries of boxes represent the upper and lower quartiles. Whiskers represent the lowest and highest data points still falling within 1.5 times the interquartile range; Points represent outliers.

149x299mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Inter-individual variation in the amount of fruit produced during ripe fruiting bouts. The percentage of months individual trees had a fruit production score larger than 2 out of all the months individual trees bore ripe fruit. Each species includes data on at least 5 individuals that bore fruit for at least 5 months. Bars represent median values of the proportions; upper and lower boundaries of boxes represent the upper and lower quartiles. Whiskers represent the lowest and highest data points still falling within 1.5 times the interquartile range; Points represent outliers. 149x299mm (300 x 300 DPI)