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## Abstract

In this paper we consider the probability distribution function of a Gibbs measure supported on a self-conformal set given by an iterated function system (devil's staircase) applied to a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}$. We use thermodynamic multifractal formalism to calculate the Hausdorff dimension of the sets $S_{0}^{\alpha}, S_{\infty}^{\alpha}$ and $S^{\alpha}$, the set of points at which this function has, respectively, Hölder derivative $0, \infty$ or no derivative in the general sense. This extends recent work by Darst, Dekking, Falconer, Kesseböhmer and Stratmann, and Yao, Zhang and Li by considering arbitrary such Gibbs measures given by a potential function independent of the geometric potential.

## 1. Introduction

Over the last few years several authors studied a family of functions called devil's staircases or Cantor functions which are the cumulative probability distribution functions of probability measures on sets with zero Lebesgue measure. This analysis started with Bernoulli probability measures supported on simple self-similar sets. The findings grew in complexity to encompass self-conformal sets using methods of thermodynamic formalism and mostly focussed on finding the points where the derivative does not exist in the general sense and giving the dimension of all such sets. Certain assumptions were made to ease the classification, which included a condition that necessitated the upper derivative to be infinite. In this paper we shall omit this condition and look at Gibbs measures given by Hölder continuous potential functions on self-conformal sets that are subsets of $\mathbb{R}$.

Given a finite family of conformal (differentiable) contractions $\mathcal{F}:=\left\{f_{j} ; j \in J\right\}$, where $J$ is the finite indexing set, we consider the limit set $E$ invariant under $\mathcal{F}$ i.e. $E=\bigcup_{j \in J} f_{j}(E)$. We also require the functions to satisfy the Hölder condition and strong separation condition (defined in Section 2) so we can then give each point in $E$ a unique symbolic coding depending on which function's image it is contained in when applied to a compact subset of $X \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $E \subseteq X$ and $f_{i}(X) \cap f_{j}(X)=\varnothing$ for all $i, j \in J$ with $i \neq j$. We will refer to $X$ as the seed set of the attractor $E$.

Due to their uniqueness we will treat the point and its coding as interchangeable and whether coding or actual point are used will be clear from context. We will take $j=0$ and $j=1$ to correspond to the left- and rightmost element, respectively and define the geometric potential $\varphi(x):=\log \mid f_{j}^{\prime}\left(f_{j}^{-1}(x) \mid\right.$ for $x \in f_{j}(E)$. We will refer to the topological pressure by $P$ (.) and using Bowen's formula find that for some value $\delta$ we have $P(\delta \varphi)=0$. The
value $\delta$ then corresponds to the Hausdorff dimension of $E, \operatorname{dim}_{H} E=\delta$. We then consider the measures $\mu_{\psi}$ associated with Hölder continuous potentials $\psi$ such that $P(\psi)=0$ and $\psi<0$. The probability distribution function $F_{\psi}(x):=\mu_{\psi}([0, x))$ associated with the potential is called a devil's staircase and we are interested in the dimensions of $S_{0}^{\alpha}$, $S_{\infty}^{\alpha}$ and $S^{\alpha}$, the sets where $F_{\psi}$, respectively, has $\alpha$-Hölder derivative $0, \infty$ and no derivative in the general sense, that is neither finite nor infinite.

When we take $E$ as the Cantor middle third set, i.e. we let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of two similarities with contraction ratio $1 / 3$ and consider the Bernoulli measure giving each coding equal weight of $1 / 2$ we find that the Hausdorff dimension of $S^{1}$ is $(\log 2 / \log 3)^{2}$. This was first shown by Darst (see [2]) who later extended his analysis to middle- $\zeta$ sets for $1 / 3<\zeta \leqslant$ $1 / 2$ (see [3]). Falconer (see [5]) later showed that for $\delta$-Ahlfors regular measures we have $\operatorname{dim}_{H} S^{\alpha}=\left(\operatorname{dim}_{H} E\right)^{2} / \alpha$. However this squaring relation does not necessarily extend to cases where the measure is not $\delta$-Ahlfors regular. Some examples of such systems with their dimension were given by Morris (see [8]) and it was not until 2007 when Li (see [7]) published a complete description of $S^{1}$ for self-similar families of functions with Bernoulli measures giving each symbol in $j \in J$ probability $p_{j}$, where the contraction ratio of $f_{j}$ is $a_{j}$. This was however done with the assumption that $p_{j}>a_{j}$ for every $j$. The step from self-similar to self-conformal families was then done by Kesseböhmer and Stratmann (see [6]), who found the dimension of $S^{\alpha}$ for devil's staircases given by distribution functions of Gibbs measures for self-conformal limit sets $E$. This was also done by considering only those cases where $\alpha \varphi(x)<\psi(x)$ for all $x \in E$, a condition equivalent to the Li condition for self-similar $E$. The reason for restricting attention to those sets only is that the upper limit of the $\alpha$-Hölder derivative is always infinite and classifying points in $S^{\alpha}$ becomes finding points with finite lower limit. This also makes the task of finding the Hausdorff dimension of $S_{0}^{\alpha}$ and $S_{\infty}^{\alpha}$ superfluous as we must necessarily have $S_{0}^{\alpha}=\varnothing$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{H} S_{\infty}^{\alpha}=\delta$. In this paper we extend this work and give the Hausdorff dimension of $S_{0}^{\alpha}, S_{\infty}^{\alpha}$ and $S^{\alpha}$ for self-conformal $E$ with a finite family $\mathcal{F}$ by considering the local dimension of points. At this stage it is worth noting a paper by Yao, Zhang and Li , who, for a limited range, found the value of $\operatorname{dim}_{H} S^{1}$ and lower bounds of $S_{0}^{1}$ and $S_{\infty}^{1}$ for self-similar sets with two contractions (see [12]).

Our main results are summarised in the following two theorems.
THEOREM 1. Let $H(\gamma(q)):=T(q)+\gamma(q) q$, where $\gamma(q):=-T^{\prime}(q)$ and $T(q)$ is such that it satisfies

$$
P(T(q) \varphi+q \psi)=0 .
$$

Let $\alpha$ be given and $q$ be such that $\gamma(q)=\alpha$. If such $q \in \mathbb{R}$ exists we have for $q=0$

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{H} S_{0}^{\alpha}=\operatorname{dim}_{H} S_{\infty}^{\alpha}=H(0)=\delta,
$$

for $q<0$

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{H} S_{0}^{\alpha}=H(\alpha) \text { and } \operatorname{dim}_{H} S_{\infty}^{\alpha}=\delta
$$

and for $q>0$

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{H} S_{0}^{\alpha}=\delta \text { and } \operatorname{dim}_{H} S_{\infty}^{\alpha}=H(\alpha)
$$

If such $q$ does not exist and for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $\gamma(x)<\alpha$ then

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{H} S_{0}^{\alpha}=0 \text { and } \operatorname{dim}_{H} S_{\infty}^{\alpha}=\delta
$$



Fig. 1. Classical case when $\alpha \varphi<\psi$ for $a_{0}=0.2, a_{1}=0.1, p_{0}=0.8, p_{1}=0.2$ and $\alpha=0.8$.
and if $\gamma(x)>\alpha$

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{H} S_{0}^{\alpha}=\delta \text { and } \operatorname{dim}_{H} S_{\infty}^{\alpha}=0
$$

THEOREM 2. The dimension of non- $\alpha$-Hölder-differentiability $\operatorname{dim}_{H} S^{\alpha}$ is 0 iffor all $q \in$ $\mathbb{R}$ we have $\gamma(q)>\alpha$ otherwise it is given by

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{H} S^{\alpha}=\inf \left\{\beta(t) ; t \in \mathbb{R} \text { and } \beta(t) \geqslant-t \frac{\psi(\underline{i})}{\varphi(\underline{i})} \text { for } i \in\{0,1\}\right\}
$$

and $\beta(t)$ given implicitly by $P((\beta(t)-\alpha t) \varphi+t \psi)=0$. For $\alpha=1$ this, of course, corresponds to the regular first derivative.

The three main types of non-trivial Hausdorff dimension for $S^{\alpha}$ are given in Figures 1-3 with the example of two linear contractions with $a_{0}=0.1, a_{1}=0.2$ and $p_{1}=1-p_{0}$, varying $p_{0}$. We are for this example considering $\alpha=0.8$. Note that $\beta(0)=\delta, \beta(1)=\alpha$ and the minimum value of $\beta(t)$, if it exists, is at $t_{0}$, where $\gamma\left(t_{0}\right)=\alpha$. The value of $\operatorname{dim}_{H} S^{\alpha}$ in Theorem 2 can be paraphrased as the least value of $\beta(t)$ to the right of any intersection with the $-t \psi(\underline{i}) / \varphi(\underline{i})$ lines. Figure 1 gives the classical case considered by Kesseböhmer and Stratmann, who presented their result similarly, though in terms of the intersections itself. The problem with this description is however that when $\psi(x)>\alpha \varphi(x)$ for some $x$ the function $\beta(t)$ has a minimum and the intersections may no longer exist. Also the upper bound predicted by Kesseböhmer and Stratmann's work could give an upper bound higher than $\delta$. The graph in Figure 2 shows that $\beta(t)$ has a minimum, although $\operatorname{dim}_{H} S^{\alpha}$ is still the $\beta(t)$ value at the rightmost intersection. Plotting the dimension depending on the applied potential we would get a phase change when the intersection and minimum coincide. This can be observed in the example at the end of this section and its associated Figure 4. In varying the potential further we get a graph as in Figure 3, where the intersection is higher than $\delta$ and the minimum of $\beta(t)$ gives $\operatorname{dim}_{H} S^{\alpha}$.


Fig. 2. Before phase transition, intersection gives $\operatorname{dim}_{H} S^{\alpha}$ for $a_{0}=0.2, a_{1}=0.1, p_{0}=0.89, p_{1}=0.11$ and $\alpha=0.8$.


Fig. 3. After phase transition, minimum $\beta\left(t_{0}\right)=H(\alpha)$ gives $\operatorname{dim}_{H} S^{\alpha}$ for $a_{0}=0.2, a_{1}=0.1$, $p_{0}=0.999, p_{1}=0.001$ and $\alpha=0.8$.


Fig. 4. Hausdorff dimension of $S^{1}, S_{0}^{1}$ and $S_{\infty}^{1}$ depending on $p_{1}$ for $p_{2}=1-p_{1}$ and $a_{1}=a_{2}=1 / 3$.
One can see that varying potential functions causes $\operatorname{dim}_{H} S^{\alpha}$ to track either $\operatorname{dim}_{H} S_{0}^{\alpha}$ or $\operatorname{dim}_{H} S_{\infty}^{\alpha}$ and after passing the phase transition to lie between those two dimensions. This is formalised in the following corollary, which follows easily from Theorem 2. Let $v_{i}$ be such that $\beta\left(v_{i}\right)=-v_{i} \psi(\underline{i}) / \varphi(\underline{i})$ for $i \in\{0,1\}$. If such $v_{k}$ does not exist for some $k$, let $v_{k}=-\infty$. Similarly take $q_{0}$ such that $\gamma\left(q_{0}\right)=\alpha$ and if it does not exist define $q_{0}=-\infty$. Now let $\bar{v}=\sup \left\{v_{0}, v_{1}\right\}$. The phase transition then happens for potentials that have $\bar{v}=q_{0}$ and we immediately get:

Corollary 1. For $\bar{v}$ as defined above we have three cases:
(i) if $\bar{v} \leqslant q_{0} \leqslant 0$, then $\operatorname{dim}_{H} S^{\alpha}=\operatorname{dim}_{H} S_{0}^{\alpha}$;
(ii) if $\bar{v}<0 \leqslant q_{0}$ then $\operatorname{dim}_{H} S^{\alpha}=\operatorname{dim}_{H} S_{\infty}^{\alpha}$;
(iii) and if $q_{0}<\bar{v}$ then $\operatorname{dim}_{H} S_{0}^{\alpha} \leqslant \operatorname{dim}_{H} S^{\alpha} \leqslant \operatorname{dim}_{H} S_{\infty}^{\alpha}$.

We can apply this to the linear problem of two similarities with contraction factor $a_{0}$ and $a_{1}$ and associated probabilities $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$. This problem was first attempted by Yao, Zhang and Li (see [12]), who arrived at a partial solution for $S^{\alpha}$ and lower bounds for $S_{0}^{\alpha}$ and $S_{\infty}^{\alpha}$. Using the pressure equation we get $\beta(t)$ defined implicitly by

$$
p_{0}^{t} a_{0}^{\beta(t)-\alpha t}+p_{1}^{t} a_{1}^{\beta(t)-\alpha t}=1
$$

Now if we take $a=a_{0}=a_{1}$ we can find an explicit solution for $\beta(t)$

$$
\beta(t)=\frac{-\log \left(p_{0}^{t}+p_{1}^{t}\right)}{\log a}+\alpha t
$$

and thus

$$
T(q)=\frac{-\log \left(p_{0}^{q}+p_{1}^{q}\right)}{\log a}, \gamma(q)=-T^{\prime}(q)=\frac{p_{0}^{q} \log p_{0}+p_{1}^{q} \log p_{1}}{\left(p_{0}^{q}+p_{1}^{q}\right) \log a}
$$

and

$$
H(\gamma(q))=\frac{p_{0}^{q} \log p_{0}+p_{1}^{q} \log p_{1}}{\left(p_{0}^{q}+p_{1}^{q}\right) \log a} q-\frac{\log \left(p_{0}^{q}+p_{1}^{q}\right)}{\log a} .
$$

Taking $a=1 / 3$ we get the Cantor middle-third set as our limit set $E$ and a plot of $\operatorname{dim}_{H} S_{0}^{1}$, $\operatorname{dim}_{H} S_{\infty}^{1}$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{H} S^{1}$ depending on $p_{0}$ can be seen in Figure 4. Note that $\operatorname{dim}_{H} S^{\alpha}=H(\alpha)$ until the phase transition at about $p_{0} \approx 0.2$.

We will now continue this paper by recalling basic thermodynamic and multifractal analysis which will be used to provide a concise proof of Theorem 1 by considering the
connection between local dimension and differentiability. In Section 3 we will prove Theorem 2 by establishing an upper and lower bound.

## 2. Thermodynamic Formalism and Proof of Theorem 1

The results were established using thermodynamic multifractal formalism and we assume the reader is familiar with standard works such as $[\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1 0}, 11]$.

In addition to the definitions already given in the introduction we denote the Birkhoff sum as $S_{n} f(x):=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f\left(\sigma^{i}(x)\right)$, with $\sigma$ representing the left shift map on the coding of $x \in E$. We let $\Omega$ stand for the coding space of $E$ and will represent finite codings as $\left[j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{n}\right]$ for some finite $n$. These finite codings represent cylinders and are treated as such in this paper, where $\left|\left[j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{n}\right]\right|$ is the diameter of the associated cylinder. We call $\Omega_{n}$ the set of all cylinders of (coding) length $n$.

The topological pressure is

$$
P(f):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_{n}} \exp \left(S_{n} f\left(\xi_{\omega}\right)\right)
$$

with $\xi_{\omega}$ being an arbitrary point in the cylinder $\omega$.
An iterated function system $\left\{f_{i}\right\}$ has the bounded distortion property if for every $\omega \in \Omega_{n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x, y$ in the seed set $X$ we have

$$
\left|f_{\omega}^{\prime}(x)\right| \asymp\left|f_{\omega}^{\prime}(y)\right|
$$

where we write $f_{\omega}$ to mean $f_{\omega(n)} \circ \ldots \circ f_{\omega(2)} \circ f_{\omega(1)}$ with $\omega(m)$ refering to the $m$ th coding of $\omega$. We denote $g \asymp h$ to mean $g / h$ and $h / g$ are bounded away from 0 and similarly we will use $g \prec h$ to mean $g / h$ is bounded above.

We are now able state a standard result (see e.g. [4]) about the topological pressure.
Theorem 3. For all $\omega \in \Omega_{n}$ and $x \in X_{\omega}$ let $\phi(x)$ be a function that has the bounded distortion property. Then $P(\phi)$ exists and does not depend on the point chosen in each cylinder. Furthermore there exists a Borel probability measure $\mu$, called the Gibbs measure, on the limit set of the IFS and a number $a_{0}>0$ such that

$$
a_{0}^{-1} \leqslant \frac{\mu\left(X_{\omega}\right)}{\exp \left(-n P(\phi)+S_{n} \phi(x)\right)} \leqslant a_{0}
$$

for all $x \in X_{\omega}$ where $X$ is the seed set and we write $X_{\omega}=f_{\omega}(X)$.
We consider an IFS given by a finite family of conformal contractions $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right\}$ which satisfy the strong separation condition, that is for $i \neq j$ with $i, j \in J$ we have $f_{i}(X) \cap$ $f_{j}(X)=\varnothing$. We also require the $f_{i}$ to satisfy the Hölder condition in that there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that $f_{i}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a strict contraction and in $C^{1+\varepsilon}$.

Like the Lipschitz condition, the Hölder condition implies that $f_{i}$ has the bounded distortion property.

We consider Gibbs measures induced by a potential function $\psi$. This Gibbs measure must exist by Theorem 3 as long as $\psi$ is Hölder-continuous. We will refer to one such potential function in particular. This is the geometric potential $\varphi(x)=\log f_{i}^{\prime}\left(f_{i}^{-1}(x)\right)$ for $x \in f_{i}(E)$ but for all other potential functions we require:
(i) $P(\psi)=0$;
(ii) $\psi<0$;
(iii) $\psi$ is Hölder continuous.

Note that the first two conditions are for convenience and one could consider more general potential functions $\psi$. This analysis would then have to consider the potential function $\psi^{*}=$ $\psi-P(\psi)$, as we necessarily have $P\left(\psi^{*}\right)=0$.

Let $S^{\alpha}$ denote the subset of $E$ where there is no $\alpha$-Hölder derivative in the general sense. That is

$$
\lim _{y \rightarrow x} \frac{|F(x)-F(y)|}{|x-y|^{\alpha}}
$$

is neither finite nor infinite. Similarly let $S_{0}^{\alpha}$ and $S_{\infty}^{\alpha}$ be the sets where the limit is 0 and $\infty$, respectively. In the derivation we may at times ignore the endpoints of intervals which are countable and have thus no relevance to the Hausdorff dimension of $S_{0}^{\alpha}$, $S_{\infty}^{\alpha}$ and $S^{\alpha}$.

## $2 \cdot 1$. Derivative and local dimension

As mentioned before most of the previous research focussed on cases where $\alpha \varphi<\psi$ and here we will present a proof of Theorem 1 by considering the local dimension of points in $E$ and proving some relations between differentiability and local dimension. For the Hausdorff dimension of the sets $K$, we have yet to define, we will mostly rely on a theorem by Pesin and Weiss and a Corollary to their work which we shall briefly prove.

We start by defining the upper and lower pointwise (or local) dimension at point $x$ with respect to the measure $\mu_{\psi}$ as usual by

$$
\bar{d}_{x}:=\underset{r \rightarrow 0}{\limsup } \frac{\log \mu_{\psi}(B(x, r))}{\log r}
$$

and

$$
\underline{d}_{x}:=\liminf _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \mu_{\psi}(B(x, r))}{\log r}
$$

Now define the potential $\varphi_{q}(x):=T(q) \varphi(x)+q \psi(x)$, where $T(q)$ is chosen such that $P\left(\varphi_{q}\right)=0$. We also introduce the sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{\gamma(q)} & :=\left\{x \in E ; d_{x}=\gamma(q)\right\} \\
K_{>\gamma(q)} & :=\left\{x \in E ; \underline{d}_{x}>\gamma(q)\right\} \\
K_{\geqslant \gamma(q)} & :=\left\{x \in E ; \underline{d}_{x} \geqslant \gamma(q)\right\} \\
K_{<\gamma(q)} & :=\left\{x \in E ; \bar{d}_{x}<\gamma(q)\right\} \\
K_{\leqslant \gamma(q)} & :=\left\{x \in E ; \bar{d}_{x} \leqslant \gamma(q)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\gamma(q)$ is the local dimension associated with $q$ and $\gamma(q):=-T^{\prime}(q)$. Pesin and Weiss established the fractal spectrum and proved the following theorem (see [9]).

THEOREM 4. For the functions as defined above we have for the fractal spectrum of the local dimension with respect to the measure $\mu_{\psi}$

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{H} K_{\gamma(q)}=H(\gamma(q))=T(q)+q \gamma(q)
$$

Furthermore $T(q)$ is real analytic for all $q \in \mathbb{R}, T(0)=\operatorname{dim}_{H} E=\delta, T(1)=0$, $\mu_{\varphi_{q}}\left(K_{\gamma(q)}\right)=1$ and if $\mu_{\psi}$ is not equal to the Gibbs measure induced by the geometric potential, $H(\alpha)$ and $T(q)$ are strictly convex and $H(\alpha)$ has maximum at $q=0$.

Let now $\omega_{n}$ and $\omega(n)$ refer to the $n$th coding of the point $\omega \in \Omega$. By the strong separation condition we have for all measures considered in this paper and for every length $n$ that $\mu(B(\omega, r)) \asymp \mu\left(\left[\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n}\right]\right)$. The strong separation condition also implies that our codings are unique, i.e. there exists a bijective function $\Psi(x): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Omega$. This means that instead of talking about points $x \in E$ we will continue further discussion in terms of infinite codings $\omega \in \Omega$ that represent points in our self-conformal set $E$. We can therefore equivalently denote the local dimension as

$$
d_{\omega}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_{\psi}\left(\left[\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n}\right]\right)}{\log \left|\left[\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n}\right]\right|}
$$

if the limit exists and analogously refer to the upper and lower pointwise dimension as $\bar{d}_{\omega}$ and $\underline{d}_{\omega}$ taking the upper and lower limit, respectively. This is due to the following well known result and follows for example from a covering theorem by Pesin and Weiss and their separation condition used in [9] being weaker then our strong separation condition.

Lemma 1. For a self-conformal IFS in which the strong separation condition holds and for $x \in E$ and $\omega \in \Omega$ and $\Psi(x)=\omega$ we have that

$$
d_{x}=d_{\omega}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_{\psi}\left(\left[\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n}\right]\right)}{\log \left|\left[\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n}\right]\right|}
$$

is equivalent to the usual definition of pointwise dimension. Furthermore the different definitions of upper and lower local dimension coincide as well.

In the following discussion it is sometimes useful to refer to $d_{\omega \mid m}$, the ratio up to $m$ which we define as

$$
d_{\omega \mid m}:=\frac{\log \mu_{\psi}\left(\left[\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{m}\right]\right)}{\log \left|\left[\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{m}\right]\right|}
$$

We will now state and briefly proof a corollary to the result by Pesin and Weiss, which will turn out to be convenient in finding the Hausdorff dimension of $S_{0}^{\alpha}$ and $S_{\infty}^{\alpha}$.

Corollary 2. Let $q$ be given, and assume we do not have the trivial case where $\gamma(t)$ is constant. Then, for $q>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{dim}_{H} K_{<\gamma(q)} & =\operatorname{dim}_{H} K_{\leqslant \gamma(q)}=T(q)+q \gamma(q) \\
\operatorname{dim}_{H} K_{>\gamma(q)} & =\operatorname{dim}_{H} K_{\geqslant \gamma(q)}=T(0)=\delta
\end{align*}
$$

and, for $q<0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{dim}_{H} K_{<\gamma(q)} & =\operatorname{dim}_{H} K_{\leqslant \gamma(q)}=T(0)=\delta \\
\operatorname{dim}_{H} K_{>\gamma(q)} & =\operatorname{dim}_{H} K_{\geqslant \gamma(q)}=T(q)+q \gamma(q)
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let $q>0$, then $\gamma(q)<\gamma(0)$. Clearly $K_{\gamma(0)} \subseteq K_{>\gamma(q)} \subseteq K_{\geqslant \gamma(q)}$ and so as $\operatorname{dim}_{H} K_{\gamma(0)}=\delta$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{H} E=\delta$, we find that (2.2) must follow. Similarly the lower bound of $\operatorname{dim}_{H} K_{<\gamma(q)}$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{H} K_{\leqslant \gamma(q)}$ can be established by noting that $K_{\gamma(q+\varepsilon)} \subseteq K_{<\gamma(q)} \subseteq$ $K_{\leqslant \gamma(q)}$ for arbitrarily small $\varepsilon>0$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{H} K_{\gamma(q+\varepsilon)}=T(q+\varepsilon)+(q+\varepsilon) \gamma(q+\varepsilon)$. The upper bound follows by Lemma 1 as it implies that for all points with symbolic coding in $\operatorname{dim}_{H} K_{\leqslant \gamma(q)}$ also have upper local dimension with respect to the measure $\mu_{\psi}$ less than or equal to $\gamma(q)$. Now consider the measure $\mu_{\varphi_{q}}$. By Theorem 4 it is obvious that
$\mu_{\varphi_{q}}\left(K_{\leqslant \gamma(q)}\right) \asymp 1$. The upper local dimension at $\omega \in \Omega$ with respect to this measure, $\bar{d}_{\omega}^{\varphi_{q}}$, is

$$
\bar{d}_{\omega}^{\varphi_{q}}=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_{\varphi_{q}}\left[\left[\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n}\right]\right)}{\log \left|\left[\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n}\right]\right|} .
$$

Now

$$
\mu_{\varphi_{q}}\left(\left[\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n}\right]\right) \asymp \exp \left(S_{n} T(q) \varphi(\omega)+q \psi(\omega)\right) \prec \exp \left((T(q)+q \gamma(q-\varepsilon)) S_{n} \varphi(\omega)\right)
$$

as $d_{\omega}<\gamma(q-\varepsilon)$ for all $\omega \in K_{\leqslant \gamma(q)}$ and thus as $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrarily small we have for all such $\omega$

$$
\bar{d}_{\omega}^{\varphi_{q}} \leqslant T(q)+q \gamma(q) .
$$

Now as $\mu_{\varphi_{q}}$ is finite on $K_{\leqslant \gamma(q)}$ this then implies that $\operatorname{dim}_{P} K_{\leqslant \gamma(q)} \leqslant T(q)+q \gamma(q)$ (see e.g. [4]) where $\operatorname{dim}_{P}$ is the packing measure and thus $\operatorname{dim}_{H} K_{\leqslant \gamma(q)} \leqslant T(q)+q \gamma(q)$. As upper and lower bound coincide we have the required result (2.1). The case $q<0$ is proven similarly and left to the reader.

Note that due to our assumptions there exists an integer $\zeta$ independent of $n$ such that any ball in the cylinder $\left[\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n}\right]$ with diameter $\left|\left[\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n}\right]\right|$ is wholly contained in the cylinder $\left[\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n-\zeta}\right]$. This immediately implies:

Lemma 2. For $\omega \in \Omega$ we have

$$
\bar{d}_{\omega}>\alpha \Longrightarrow \liminf _{r \rightarrow 0^{ \pm}} \frac{\mu_{\psi}([\omega, \omega+r])}{r^{\alpha}}=0
$$

and

$$
\underline{d}_{\omega}>\alpha \Longrightarrow \lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{ \pm}} \frac{\mu_{\psi}([\omega, \omega+r])}{r^{\alpha}}=0
$$

with $0^{ \pm}$meaning the results holds from the left and the right.
A similar result gives us a connection between the lower pointwise dimension and the upper $\alpha$-Hölder derivative.

Lemma 3. For $\omega \in \Omega$ not an interval endpoint we have

$$
\underline{d}_{\omega}<\alpha \Rightarrow \limsup _{r \rightarrow 0^{ \pm}} \frac{\mu_{\psi}([\omega, \omega+r])}{r^{\alpha}}=\infty .
$$

Proof. We prove only the result from the right, the other case is left to the reader. There must be a sequence of $\left(k_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\omega\left(k_{n}\right) \neq 1$. Therefore we have a sequence of $r_{n}>0$ such that $\omega+r_{k_{n}}$ is a right interval point and

$$
\frac{F\left(\omega+r_{k_{n}}\right)-F(\omega)}{\left|\left[\omega, \omega+r_{k_{n}}\right]\right|^{\alpha}} \geqslant \frac{\mu_{\psi}\left(\left[\omega_{1} \ldots \omega_{k_{n}-1} 1\right]\right)}{\left|\left[\omega_{1} \ldots \omega_{k_{n}-1}\right]\right|^{\alpha}} \asymp \frac{\mu_{\psi}\left(\left[\omega_{1} \ldots \omega_{k_{n}-1}\right]\right)}{\left|\left[\omega_{1} \ldots \omega_{k_{n}-1}\right]\right|^{\alpha}} .
$$

Now there must be a subsequence where we also have $d_{\omega \mid k_{n}}<\alpha$ for some $k_{n}$ and so we get

$$
\frac{\mu_{\psi}\left(\left[\omega_{1} \ldots \omega_{k_{n}-1}\right]\right)}{\left|\left[\omega_{1} \ldots \omega_{k_{n}-1}\right]\right|^{\alpha}} \geqslant \frac{\left|\left[\omega_{1} \ldots \omega_{k_{n}-1}\right]\right|^{\alpha \alpha}}{\left|\left[\omega_{1} \ldots \omega_{k_{n}-1}\right]\right|^{\alpha}}=\left|\left[\omega_{1} \ldots \omega_{k_{n}-1}\right]\right|^{c-1}
$$

for $0<c<1$. It is immediate that this sequence tends to infinity and therefore the required result follows.

Lemma 4. Let $\underline{j}$ be the infinite word consisting of a single letter $j \in J$, then for all $\kappa<\alpha$ such that $\kappa \neq d_{\underline{j}}$ for all $j \in J$, we have

$$
d_{\omega}=\kappa \Longrightarrow \omega \in S_{\infty}^{\alpha}
$$

for $\kappa$ arbitrarily close to $\alpha$.
Proof. Fix $\omega$ with pointwise dimension $\kappa$ as required, and let a small $\varepsilon>0$ be given. Then from some stage $N$ we must have for $n>N$ that $d_{\omega \mid n} \in(\kappa-\varepsilon, \kappa+\varepsilon)$. This gives us a maximum length $l_{n}$ (dependent on $n$ ) of 0 or 1 strings as the condition on $\kappa$ means that too long a string would eventually cause $d$ to fall outside the required length. Here we will only consider the case of the dimension increasing with $j$-blocks. Decreasing is handled in almost the same way and is left to the reader. The maximum length $l_{n}$ is obtained when at some stage $k_{n}$ we have $\mu_{\psi}\left(\left[\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{k_{n}}\right]\right) \asymp\left|\left[\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{k_{n}}\right]\right|^{\kappa-\varepsilon}$, which is followed by a $j$ block of maximal length $l_{n}$ such that $\mu_{\psi}\left(\left[\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{k_{n}+l_{n}}\right]\right) \asymp\left|\left[\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{k_{n}+l_{n}}\right]\right|^{\kappa+\varepsilon}$ We thus get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu_{\psi}\left(\left[\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{k_{n}+l_{n}}\right]\right) \asymp\left|\left[\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{k_{n}+l_{n}}\right]\right|^{\kappa+\varepsilon} \\
\Longrightarrow \exp \left(S_{k_{n}} \psi(\omega)+l_{n} \psi(\underline{i})\right) \asymp\left|\left[\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{k_{n}}\right]\right|^{\kappa+\varepsilon} \exp \left(l_{n}(\kappa+\varepsilon) \varphi(\underline{i})\right) \\
\asymp \exp \left(\frac{\kappa+\varepsilon}{\kappa-\varepsilon} S_{k_{n}} \psi(\omega)+l_{n}(\kappa+\varepsilon) \varphi(\underline{i})\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Which means that

$$
l_{n} \asymp \frac{\left(\frac{\kappa+\varepsilon}{\kappa-\varepsilon}-1\right) S_{k_{n}} \psi(\omega)}{\psi(\underline{j})-(\kappa+\varepsilon) \varphi(\underline{j})}
$$

This gives us an expression for the maximum length and from that we can see that for a small enough $\varepsilon$, i.e. from some stage $N$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\exp \left(S_{k_{n}} \psi(\omega)-\alpha S_{k_{n}} \varphi(\omega)+l_{n} \psi(\underline{j})\right) \asymp \exp \left(S_{k_{n}} \psi(\omega)-\alpha S_{k_{n}} \varphi(\omega)+\varepsilon^{\prime} S_{k_{n}} \psi(\omega)\right) \\
\asymp \exp \left(\left(\left(1+\varepsilon^{\prime}\right) \kappa-\alpha\right) S_{k_{n}} \varphi(\omega)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ satisfies $l_{n}=\varepsilon^{\prime} S_{k_{n}} \psi(\omega)$, which is an arbitrarily small constant dependent on $\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. So $((1+\varepsilon) \kappa-1)<0$ for sufficiently large $N$. Combining this with Lemma 8 below, the $\alpha$-Hölder derivative is necessarily infinite and the required result follows.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.
Proof of Theorem 1. Combining the lemmas above we get the following relations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\underline{d}_{\omega}>\alpha \Longrightarrow \omega \in S_{0}^{\alpha} \\
\omega \in S_{0}^{\alpha} \Longrightarrow \underline{d}_{\omega} \geqslant \alpha \\
\omega \in S_{\infty}^{\alpha} \Longrightarrow \bar{d}_{\omega} \leqslant \alpha \\
d_{\omega}=\alpha-\varepsilon \Longrightarrow \omega \in S_{\infty}^{\alpha}
\end{gathered}
$$

excluding some finite choices of $\varepsilon$ where $\alpha-\varepsilon$ equals $d_{\underline{j}}$. Hence for arbitrarily small $\varepsilon$, avoiding the finite list, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{\alpha-\varepsilon} \subseteq S_{\infty}^{\alpha} \subseteq K_{\leqslant \alpha} \\
K_{>\alpha} \subseteq S_{0}^{\alpha} \subseteq K_{\geqslant \alpha} .
\end{gathered}
$$

By Theorem 4 and Corollary 2 we can now give the dimension of $S_{0}^{\alpha}$ and $S_{\infty}^{\alpha}$. Let $\gamma(q)=$ $\alpha$, provided such $q$ exists we have $\operatorname{dim}_{H} K_{\alpha-\varepsilon} \leqslant \operatorname{dim}_{H} S_{\infty}^{\alpha} \leqslant \operatorname{dim}_{H} K_{\leqslant \alpha}$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{H} K_{>\alpha} \leqslant$ $S_{0}^{\alpha} \leqslant \operatorname{dim}_{H} K_{\geqslant \alpha}$. Thus the required result follows.

If such $q$ does not exist we either have for all $x \in E, \psi(x)<\alpha \varphi(x)$, which gives $S_{0}^{\alpha}=E$ as for all $x$ we have $\underline{d}_{x}>\alpha$ and so $\operatorname{dim}_{H} S^{\alpha}=\operatorname{dim}_{H} S_{\infty}^{\alpha}=0$. The other case is $\psi(x)>\alpha \varphi(x)$ for all $x \in E$ which implies $S_{0}^{\alpha}=\varnothing$ and obviously $\operatorname{dim}_{H} S_{\infty}^{\alpha}=\delta$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 2

We now turn our attention to the set $S^{\alpha}$. The case $\alpha<\gamma(q)$ for all $q \in \mathbb{R}$ gives $\psi(x)<$ $\alpha \varphi(x)$ for all $x \in E$, which as mentioned above gives $\operatorname{dim}_{H} S^{\alpha}=0$ and we will ignore that trivial case from now on. First a remark on the connection between $T(q)$ and $\beta(t)$ as defined above.

LEMMA 5. We have the identity $\beta(t)=T(t)+\alpha t, \beta$ is real analytic and furthermore if there exists $t_{0}$, such that for $\gamma\left(t_{0}\right)=\alpha$, we have $H(\alpha)=\beta\left(t_{0}\right)$

Proof. Note that by definition $\beta(t)$ and $T(q)$ satisfy $P((\beta(t)-\alpha t) \varphi+t \psi)=0$ and $P(T(q) \varphi+q \psi)=0$. Therefore we must have $T(t)=\beta(t)-\alpha t$ and the first result follows. As $T(q)$ is analytic and defined for all $q \in \mathbb{R}$ it is obvious that $\beta(t)$ is defined for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and analytic. It is also easy to see that for such $t_{0}$ we have $H(\alpha)=H\left(\gamma\left(t_{0}\right)\right)=$ $T\left(t_{0}\right)+\gamma\left(t_{0}\right) t_{0}=\beta\left(t_{0}\right)$.

It is also obvious that at $t=0$ we have $\beta(0)=T(0)=\delta$ and $\beta(1)=T(1)+\alpha=\alpha$.
Lemma 6. Assume that $T(q)$ is not a trivial linear function and there exists $t_{0}$ such that $\gamma\left(t_{0}\right)=\alpha$. We then have that $\beta(q)$ has a unique minimum $\beta\left(t_{0}\right)$. If $t_{0}$ does not exist because $\gamma(t)<\alpha$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}, \beta(t)$ is strictly increasing.

Proof. We have $T^{\prime}(q)<0$ and $T^{\prime \prime}(q)>0$ (see [9]). Therefore $\beta^{\prime}(q)=T^{\prime}(q)+\alpha$ and $\beta^{\prime \prime}(q)=T^{\prime \prime}(q)$. Now $\beta^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=T^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)+\alpha=0$ and as $\beta^{\prime \prime}(q)>0$ and this solution is unique by the monotonicity of $T^{\prime}(q), \beta\left(t_{0}\right)$ must be the global minimum. This minimum, if it exists, has then $\beta\left(t_{0}\right)=H(\alpha) \geqslant 0$. The conditions in the last case give $\beta^{\prime}(t)=T^{\prime}(t)+\alpha=$ $-\gamma(t)+\alpha>0$ which imply the required result.

The trivial case implies that $T(q)$ and thus $\beta(q)$ are linear. This also gives $\beta^{\prime}(q) \geqslant 0$, independent of whether $t_{0}$ exists. Note also that even though $\beta$ may not have a least value, the then necessary non-negative slope means that $\beta(t)$ must intersect the lines $-t \psi(\underline{i}) / \varphi(\underline{i})$ and have a least value for which condition (1-1) is fulfilled.

We now consider blocks of letters which are long enough so that the derivative vanishes. We call them $i$-blocks of length $k$ at the $n$th level, if the $n$th and $(n+k+1)$ th letter of the coding is not $i$ and those $k$ in between are. The proof of the following lemma can be found in [6].

Lemma 7. If our point $\omega$ has an $i$-block of length $k$ at the nth level, then there exists $\eta \in \Omega$ such that $|\omega-\eta| \asymp \exp \left(S_{n} \varphi(\omega)\right)$ and

$$
\frac{F_{\psi}(\omega)-F_{\psi}(\eta)}{|\omega-\eta|^{\alpha}} \asymp \exp \left(S_{n} \chi(\omega)+k \psi(\underline{i})\right)
$$

where $\underline{i}$ is the point coded by the letter $i$ alone, $\chi=\psi-\alpha \varphi$ and $i \in\{0,1\}$.

The following lemma is also taken from the same paper by Kesseböhmer and Stratmann with the qualifier that $\bar{d}_{\omega}<\alpha$ as the system under investigation now allows local dimensions greater than $\alpha$.

Lemma 8. The $\alpha$-Hölder derivative does not exist in the general sense at points $\omega$ with $\bar{d}_{\omega}<\alpha$ iff there exists strictly increasing sequences of integers such that $\omega$ has an $i$-block of length $k_{m}$ at the $n_{m}$ th level such that

$$
\exp \left(S_{n_{m}} \chi(\omega)+k_{m} \psi(\underline{i})\right)
$$

is bounded from above, where $i \in\{0,1\}$.
Proof. The idea of the proof is identical to the one in [6] for the "if" part and is omitted here. The "only if" part is also similar and is proven by contradiction. The reasoning in Kesseböhmer and Stratmann's paper gives that we either have

$$
\frac{F_{\psi}(\omega)-F_{\psi}\left(\omega_{m}\right)}{\left|\omega-\omega_{m}\right|^{\alpha}} \geqslant \frac{\mu_{\psi}\left(\left[\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n_{m}}, 1\right]\right)}{\left|\left[\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n_{m}-1}\right]\right|^{\alpha}} \asymp \exp \left(S_{n_{m}} \chi(\omega)\right)
$$

or

$$
\frac{F_{\psi}(\omega)-F_{\psi}\left(\omega_{m}\right)}{\left|\omega-\omega_{m}\right|^{\alpha}} \geqslant \frac{\mu_{\psi}\left(\left[\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n_{m}}, 1_{k_{m}+1}\right]\right)}{\left|\left[\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n_{m}-1}\right]\right|^{\alpha}} \asymp \exp \left(S_{n_{m}} \chi(\omega)+k_{m} \psi(\underline{1})\right)
$$

but $\exp \left(S_{n_{m}} \chi(\omega)\right)$ is unbounded because $\bar{d}_{\omega}<\alpha$ and $\exp \left(S_{n_{m}} \chi(\omega)+k_{m} \psi(1)\right)$ is unbounded by assumption, thus we have the necessary contradiction and the lemma holds.

We now continue with the proof of the main theorem. For this we will partition $\Omega$ into the sets $\mathcal{C}_{n}^{+}$and $\mathcal{C}_{n}^{-}$where for every cylinder $\left[\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{m}\right] \in \mathcal{C}_{n}^{+}$we have $\left|S_{m} \chi(\omega)-n\right| \prec 1$ and for every $\left[\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{m}\right] \in \mathcal{C}_{n}^{-}$we have $\left|S_{m} \chi(\omega)+n\right| \prec 1$, respectively.

We also introduce "stopping time" which we define here as

$$
T_{t}(\omega)=\sup \left\{k \in \mathbb{N} ; S_{k} \chi(\omega)<t\right\}
$$

Similarly we define by $\mathcal{C}_{n}^{ \pm, i}$ the collection of cylinders of $\mathcal{C}_{n}^{ \pm}$with an $i$-block of length $n_{\varepsilon}$ attached. The latter is given by $n_{\varepsilon}=\lfloor-n(1-\varepsilon) / \psi(i)\rfloor$.

## 3•1. Upper bound

We can now split points with no derivative into two sets

$$
S_{*}^{\alpha}=\left\{\omega ; \bar{d}_{\omega}<\alpha \text { and has no } \alpha \text {-Hölder derivative }\right\}
$$

and

$$
S_{\lessgtr}^{\alpha}=\left\{\omega ; \bar{d}_{\omega} \geqslant \alpha \text { and has no } \alpha \text {-Hölder derivative }\right\}
$$

and so have $\operatorname{dim}_{H} S^{\alpha} \leqslant \operatorname{dim}_{H}\left(S_{\lessgtr}^{\alpha} \cup S_{*}^{\alpha}\right)$.
Obviously $D_{<>}:=\left\{\omega ; \underline{d}_{\omega}<\alpha\right.$ and $\left.\bar{d}_{\omega}>\alpha\right\}$ is a subset of $S_{\lessgtr}^{\alpha}$ as points in $D_{<>}$have infinite upper derivative and zero lower derivative by Lemma 2 and 3 . Also $S_{\lessgtr}^{\alpha} \subseteq D_{\leqslant \geqslant}:=$ $\left\{\omega ; \underline{d}_{\omega} \leqslant \alpha\right.$ and $\left.\bar{d}_{\omega} \geqslant \alpha\right\}$ as upper or local dimension coinciding with $\alpha$ is not included in $S_{*}^{\alpha}$. Now let $s$ be the least value $\beta(t)$ attains such that (1-1) is satisfied and let $s^{\prime}>s$.

LEMMA 9. If there exists $t_{0}$ such that $\gamma\left(t_{0}\right)=\alpha$ we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{H} D_{\leqslant \geqslant} \leqslant s
$$

otherwise $\operatorname{dim}_{H} D_{\leqslant \geqslant}=0$.

Proof. Take $U_{n}=\left\{\omega ; d_{\omega \mid n}<\alpha\right.$ and $\left.d_{\omega \mid(n+1)} \geqslant \alpha\right\}$. It is evident that every $\omega \in D_{\leqslant \geqslant}$ has an infinite sequence of $\left(n_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\omega \in U_{n_{k}}$. Therefore $D_{\leqslant \geqslant}$can be covered by $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_{n}$. But the $U_{n}$ are nested such that for every $n$ there exists $k>n$ such that $U_{n} \subseteq U_{k}$. We furthermore have that $U_{k}$ is a collection of points with local dimension tending to $\alpha$ and $U_{n} \subseteq K_{\alpha}$ and we find that $\mathscr{H}^{s^{\prime}}\left(U_{k}\right)$ for $s^{\prime}>s=H(\alpha)$ must be finite as otherwise we would have that $\operatorname{dim}_{H} K_{\gamma(q)}>H(\alpha)$.

The second case is obvious as we can not have local dimension either above or below $\alpha$ and so $D_{\leqslant \geqslant}=\varnothing$. The result then follows.

By Lemma 8 every $\omega \in S_{*}^{\alpha}$ has a sequence of $n_{m}$ and $k_{m}$ such that (3•1) is bounded. Set $l_{n_{m}}=\left\lfloor S_{n_{m}} \chi(\omega)\right\rfloor$, we must then have, for sufficiently high $m$ that $k_{m} \geqslant-l_{n_{m}}(1-\varepsilon) / \psi(i)$ and thus $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_{n_{m}}^{+, i}$. Therefore $S_{*}^{\alpha} \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}, i=0,1} \mathcal{C}_{n}^{+, i}$ and we get:

Lemma 10 .

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{H}\left(S_{*}^{\alpha}\right) \leqslant s
$$

Proof. Let $t_{s}$ be such that $\beta\left(t_{s}\right)=s$, take $s^{\prime}>s$ and fix $i \in\{0,1\}$ for the rightmost intersection.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathscr{H}^{s^{\prime}}\left(S_{*}^{\alpha}\right) \leqslant \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{C}_{n}^{+i}}|\mathcal{C}|^{s^{\prime}} \asymp \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{C}_{n}^{+, i}} \exp \left(\sup _{\omega \in \mathcal{C}} s^{\prime} S_{T_{n}(\omega)+n_{\varepsilon}} \varphi(\omega)\right) \\
\prec \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \exp \left(-n(1-\varepsilon) s^{\prime} \varphi(\underline{i}) / \psi(\underline{i})\right) \sum_{\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{C}_{n}^{+, i}} \exp \left(s \sup _{\omega \in \mathcal{C}} S_{T_{n}(\omega)} \varphi(\omega)\right) \\
\asymp \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \exp \left(-n(1-\varepsilon) s^{\prime} \varphi(\underline{i}) / \psi(\underline{i})-n t_{s}\right) \sum_{\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{C}_{n}^{+}} \exp \left(\sup _{\omega \in \mathcal{C}} S_{T_{n}(\omega)} s \varphi(\omega)+t_{s} \chi(\omega)\right) \\
\prec \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \exp \left(-n(1-\varepsilon){\left.s^{\prime} \varphi(\underline{i}) / \psi(\underline{i})-n t_{s}\right)}^{\times \sum_{\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{C}_{n}^{ \pm}} \exp \left(\sup _{\omega \in \mathcal{C}} S_{T_{n}(\omega)}\left(\beta\left(t_{s}\right)-\alpha t_{s}\right) \varphi(\omega)+t_{s} \psi(\omega)\right)}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

and, using the fact that $\sum_{\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{C}_{n}^{ \pm}} \exp \left(\sup _{\omega \in \mathcal{C}} S_{T_{n}(\omega)}\left(\beta\left(t_{s}\right)-\alpha t_{s}\right) \varphi(\omega)+t_{s} \psi(\omega)\right) \asymp 1$, we have

$$
\mathscr{H}^{s^{\prime}}\left(S_{*}^{\alpha}\right) \leqslant \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \exp \left(-n\left(\left(\beta\left(t_{s}\right)+c_{1}\right) \varphi(\underline{i}) / \psi(\underline{i})+t_{s}\right)\right)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \exp \left(-n\left(c_{1} \varphi(\underline{i}) / \psi(\underline{i})+c_{2}\right)\right)
$$

for some constant $c_{1}>0$. Now as $\beta\left(t_{s}\right) \geqslant-t_{s} \psi(\underline{i}) / \varphi(\underline{i})$ we must have $c_{2} \geqslant 0$ and hence the measure is bounded. So for every $s^{\prime}>s$ the Hausdorff measure is bounded and therefore $\operatorname{dim}_{H} S_{*}^{\alpha} \leqslant s$, as required.

Combining those two lemmas we find that $\operatorname{dim}_{H} S^{\alpha} \leqslant s$, which completes the upper bound part of the proof.

### 3.2. Lower bound

Again the proof for the lower bound needs to be split into two parts. The first part applies when there exists $t_{0}$ such that $\beta\left(t_{0}\right)=\alpha$. In this case $\beta(t)$ has minimum value $H(\alpha)$ and we have the following result.

Lemma 11. If $t_{0}$ exists we have $\operatorname{dim}_{H} S^{\alpha} \geqslant H(\alpha)$.
Proof. We begin by constructing a subset $D_{<>, \varepsilon} \subseteq D_{<>}$and define a measure on it that will allow us to give a lower bound. Let a small $\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ be given such that $\varepsilon>\varepsilon^{\prime}>0$. Now partition $K_{\alpha-\varepsilon}$ and $K_{\alpha+\varepsilon}$ into sets $\mathcal{D}_{n}^{-}$and $\mathcal{D}_{n}^{+}$, respectively consisting of finite cylinders $\omega^{*}$ such that $\log \left(\mu_{\psi}\left(\omega^{*}\right)\right) / \log \left(\left|\omega^{*}\right|\right)$ gets arbitrarily close to the local dimension, i.e. $\left(S_{n} \psi(\xi)\right) /\left(S_{n} \phi(\xi)\right)<\alpha-\varepsilon+\varepsilon / n$ for all $\xi \in \omega^{*} \in \mathcal{D}_{n}^{-}$. Similarly $\left(S_{n} \psi(\xi)\right) /\left(S_{n} \phi(\xi)\right)>$ $\alpha+\varepsilon-\varepsilon / n$ for all $\xi \in \omega^{*} \in \mathcal{D}_{n}^{+}$. We now form words in $D_{<>, \varepsilon}$ by alternating words from the two $K$ sets such that

$$
D_{<>, \varepsilon}=\left\{\left[\omega_{1}^{+} \omega_{1}^{-} \omega_{2}^{+} \omega_{2}^{-} \ldots\right] ; \omega_{i}^{+} \in \mathcal{D}_{n_{2 i}}^{+} \text {and } \omega_{i}^{-} \in \mathcal{D}_{n_{2 i+1}}^{-}\right\}
$$

for a sequence of $n_{i}$ increasing fast enough such that the log ratio alternates between less than $\alpha-\varepsilon^{\prime}$ and $\alpha+\varepsilon^{\prime}$. Applying Kolmogorov's Extension Lemma we can define a measure $v$ on $D_{<>, \varepsilon}$ by taking the $\mu_{q_{-}}$on cylinders in $\mathcal{D}_{n}^{-}$and $\mu_{q_{+}}$on cylinders in $\mathcal{D}_{n}^{+}$, where $q_{-}$and $q_{+}$satisfy $\gamma\left(q_{-}\right)=\alpha-\varepsilon$ and $\gamma\left(q_{+}\right)=\alpha+\varepsilon$ respectively. Thus for some cylinder in $D_{<>, \varepsilon}$, we have

$$
v\left(\left[\omega_{1}^{+} \omega_{1}^{-} \omega_{2}^{+} \omega_{2}^{-} \ldots \omega_{k}^{-} \omega_{*}^{+}\right]\right)=\mu_{q_{+}}\left(\omega_{*}^{+}\right)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} \mu_{q_{-}}\left(\omega_{i}^{-}\right)\right)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} \mu_{q_{+}}\left(\omega_{i}^{+}\right)\right)
$$

if the cylinder ends with a partial word $\omega_{*}^{+} \supseteq \omega_{k+1}^{+} \in \mathcal{D}_{n_{k+1}}^{+}$. If the word ends with a partial word $\omega_{*}^{-} \supseteq \omega_{k}^{-} \in \mathcal{D}_{n_{k}}^{-}$the measure is defined analogously. Note that $v\left(D_{<>, \varepsilon}\right) \asymp 1$ and since

$$
\liminf _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \nu(B(\omega, r))}{\log r} \geqslant \inf \left\{\liminf _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \mu_{q_{*}}(B(\omega, r))}{\log r} ; q_{*} \in\left\{q_{-}, q_{+}\right\}\right\} .
$$

We must have that the lower local dimension $\underline{d}^{\nu}$ with respect to the $v$ measure

$$
\underline{d}_{x}^{v} \geqslant \inf \left\{T\left(q_{*}\right)+q_{*} \gamma\left(q_{*}\right) ; q_{*} \in\left\{q_{-}, q_{+}\right\}\right\}
$$

for all $x \in D_{<>, \varepsilon}$. This means that $\operatorname{dim}_{H} D_{<>, \varepsilon} \geqslant \inf \{H(\alpha \pm \varepsilon)\}$ and thus as $\varepsilon$ can be chosen arbitrarily small and clearly $D_{<>, \varepsilon} \subseteq S^{\alpha}$ we have the required result.
$H(\alpha)$ may however not be the highest value of $\beta$ satisfying (1•1) and the two possible cases are that $\beta$ does not have any minimum or there is an intersection with $\beta$ to the right of the minimum. In either case the intersection happens at a point of $\beta$ where the slope is nonnegative and we will construct a subset of $S^{\alpha}$ and use the mass distribution principle to get an estimate of the lower bound. This will coincide with the upper bound when $\beta\left(t_{0}\right)$, with $\gamma\left(t_{0}\right)=\alpha$, does not satisfy $(1 \cdot 1)$ and thus give us the final ingredient to establish the Hausdorff dimension of $S^{\alpha}$. The approach here is again very similar to the one used in [6] and differs mostly in the use of compound words between strings of 0 symbols which are introduced to get around the problem that $S_{l} \chi(\omega)$ may not be strictly increasing with increasing $l$.

Let $n_{k}$ be a given sequence of fast increasing integers and define $N_{k}$ and $m_{k}$ by

$$
N_{1}=n_{1} \text { and } N_{k}=\left\lfloor\sum_{j=1}^{k} n_{j}+\chi(\underline{0}) \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} m_{j}\right\rfloor \text { for } k \geqslant 2
$$

and

$$
m_{j}=\left\lfloor-N_{j} / \psi(\underline{0})\right\rfloor .
$$

We now define the partition $\mathcal{C}_{n}^{q_{1}}$ of $K_{\gamma\left(q_{1}\right)}$ with $q_{1}$ such that $\beta\left(q_{1}\right)=s$. By our assumptions above this means $\gamma\left(q_{1}\right)<\alpha$ and so define $\mathcal{C}_{n}^{q_{1}}=\left\{\omega \in K_{\gamma\left(q_{1}\right)}\right\}$ such that the length of the coding $|\omega| \asymp n$ and $\left|S_{|\omega|} \chi-n\right| \prec 1$, which gives us a partition of $K_{\gamma\left(q_{1}\right)}$. Construct $\mathcal{M}$ by alternately taking $M_{k}$ words of $\mathcal{C}_{n_{k} / M_{k}}^{*}$ and a string of $m_{k} 0$ s. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}=\left\{\left[\omega_{(1,1)}, \ldots, \omega_{\left(1, M_{1}\right)}, \underline{0}_{m_{1}}, \omega_{(2,1)}, \ldots, \omega_{\left(2, M_{2}\right)},\right.\right. & \left.\underline{0}_{m_{2}}, \ldots\right] ; \\
& \left.\omega_{(i, j)} \in \mathcal{C}_{n_{i} / M_{i}} \text { for } j \text { s.t. } 1 \leqslant j \leqslant M_{i}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $l_{k}$ be the length of the word which ends with $\omega_{\left(k, M_{k}\right)}$ and let $\eta$ by a point in this cylinder, we then have by construction $\left.\exp S_{l_{k}} \chi(\eta)\right) \asymp \exp N_{k}$. And as $\omega_{k}$ is followed by a string of $m_{k} 0 \mathrm{~s}$ we get

$$
\exp \left(S_{l_{k}} \chi(\eta)+m_{k} \psi(\underline{0})\right) \asymp \exp \left(N_{k}+\left\lfloor-N_{k} / \psi(\underline{0})\right\rfloor \psi(\underline{0})\right)
$$

which is obviously bounded. Since the local dimension is also less than $\alpha$ we have $\mathcal{M} \subseteq S^{\alpha}$. We now define a measure $v$ on cylinders of $\mathcal{M}$. For cylinders ending with a string of 0 s and $k \leqslant m_{u}$ we define

$$
\begin{gathered}
v\left(\left[\omega_{(1,1)}, \ldots, \omega_{\left(1, M_{1}\right)}, \underline{0}_{m_{1}}, \ldots, \omega_{\left(u, M_{u}\right)}, \underline{0}_{k}\right]\right):=\prod_{j=1}^{u} \prod_{i=1}^{M} \mu_{q_{1}}\left(\left[\omega_{(j, i)}\right]\right) \\
\asymp \prod_{j=1}^{u} \mu_{q_{1}}\left(\left[\omega_{(i, 1)}, \ldots, \omega_{\left(i, M_{i}\right)}\right]\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and similarly if the cylinder ends with $\left[\ldots, \omega_{(u+1, j *)}, \zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}, \ldots, \zeta_{l}\right]$ where there exists a cylinder in $\mathcal{C}_{m_{u+1}}$ that is a subset of $\left[\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}, \ldots, \zeta_{l}\right]$ we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nu\left(\left[\omega_{(1,1)}, \ldots, \omega_{\left(1, M_{1}\right)}, \underline{\underline{m}}_{m_{1}}, \ldots, \omega_{\left(u, M_{u}\right)}, \underline{\underline{m}}_{m_{u}}, \ldots, \omega_{(u+1, j *)}, \zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}, \ldots, \zeta_{l}\right]\right) \\
& \quad:=\mu_{q_{1}}\left(\left[\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{l}\right]\right)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{j *} \mu_{q_{1}}\left(\left[\omega_{(u+1, i)}\right]\right)\right)\left(\prod_{j=1}^{u} \prod_{i=1}^{M} \mu_{q_{1}}\left(\left[\omega_{(j, i)}\right]\right)\right) \\
& \asymp \mu_{q_{1}}\left(\left[\omega_{(u+1,1)}, \ldots, \omega_{(u+1, j *)}, \zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{l}\right]\right) \prod_{j=1}^{u} \mu_{q_{1}}\left(\left[\omega_{(i, 1)}, \ldots, \omega_{\left(i, M_{i}\right)}\right]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Kolmogorov Extension Theorem this defines a measure on $\mathcal{M}$ and as $\mu_{q_{1}}\left(K_{\gamma\left(q_{1}\right)}\right) \asymp 1$ we find that $\nu(\mathcal{M}) \asymp 1$. It remains to show that for any subset $U$ of $\mathcal{M}$ the measure of $U$ is bounded by $|U|^{\beta\left(q_{1}\right)}$. We do this by first establishing for some compound cylinder $\eta$ consisting of $M$ cylinders in $\mathcal{C}_{n_{1}}$ with $\xi \in\left[\eta, \underline{0}_{m_{1}}\right]$ and $k \leqslant m_{1}$ that we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nu\left(\left[\eta, \underline{0}_{k}\right]\right)=\mu_{q_{1}}([\eta]) \asymp \exp \left(S_{T_{n_{1}}(\xi)}\left(\beta\left(q_{1}\right)-\alpha q_{1}\right) \varphi(\xi)+q_{1} \psi(\xi)\right) \\
=\exp \left(S_{T_{n_{1}}(\xi)} \beta\left(q_{1}\right) \varphi(\xi)+q_{1} \chi(\xi)\right)=\left(\exp \left(S_{T_{n_{1}}(\xi)} \varphi(\xi)-n_{1} \varphi(\underline{0}) / \psi(\underline{0})\right)\right)^{\beta\left(q_{1}\right)} \\
\asymp\left(\exp \left(S_{T_{n_{1}}(\xi)+\left\lfloor-n_{1} / \psi(0)\right\rfloor} \varphi(\xi)\right)\right)^{\beta\left(q_{1}\right)} \asymp\left|\left[\eta, \underline{0}_{m_{1}}\right]\right|^{\beta\left(q_{1}\right)} \leqslant\left|\left[\eta, \underline{0}_{k}\right]\right|^{\beta\left(q_{1}\right)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

There exists two types of cylinders in $\mathcal{M}$, one ending with an incomplete compound word and one ending with a string of zeros. First assume the cylinder ends in a 0 -block, we then
have for some positive constant $c$ with $\eta_{i}$ again referring to compound words

$$
v\left(\left[\eta_{1}, \underline{0}_{m_{1}}, \ldots, \eta_{u}, \underline{0}_{k}\right]\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{u} \mu_{q_{1}}\left(\left[\eta_{j}\right]\right) .
$$

The same argument as in the Kesseböhmer and Stratmann paper now applies and given a sequence of $n_{k}$ increasing fast enough (for the condition see [6]) we get

$$
\nu\left(\left[\eta_{1}, \underline{0}_{m_{1}}, \ldots, \eta_{u}, \underline{0}_{k}\right]\right) \prec\left(\left|\left[\eta_{1}, \underline{0}_{m_{1}}, \ldots, \eta_{u}, \underline{0}_{m_{u}}\right]\right|\right)^{\beta\left(q_{1}\right)-\varepsilon} \leqslant\left(\left|\left[\eta_{1}, \underline{0}_{m_{1}}, \ldots, \eta_{u}, \underline{0}_{k}\right]\right|\right)^{\beta\left(q_{1}\right)-\varepsilon} .
$$

But if the cylinder ends before the 0 block we need to take our new factor $M_{k}$ into account. As long as $n_{k} / M_{k}$ is small compared to $n_{k}$ we find for compound words $\eta_{k}$ and $\xi \in \eta$ that $S_{l} \chi(\xi) \asymp l$ for all $0<l \leqslant n_{k}$ and so if the cylinder ends with the cylinder $\left[\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{l}\right] \supseteq$ $\eta_{u+1}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{q_{1}}\left[\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{l}\right] \asymp \exp \left(\beta\left(q_{1}\right) S_{l} \varphi(\xi)+q_{1}\left(\beta\left(q_{1}\right)\right) S_{l} \chi(\xi)\right) \\
& \leqslant \exp \left(\beta\left(q_{1}\right) S_{l} \varphi(\xi)\right) \prec\left(\left|\left[\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{l}\right]\right|\right)^{\beta\left(q_{1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

So we also have for these types of cylinders

$$
v\left(\left[\eta_{1}, \underline{0}_{m_{1}}, \ldots, \eta_{u}, \underline{0}_{m_{u}}, \zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{l}\right]\right) \prec\left(\left|\left[\eta_{1}, \underline{0}_{m_{1}}, \ldots, \eta_{u}, \underline{0}_{m_{u}}, \zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{l}\right]\right|\right)^{\beta\left(q_{1}\right)-\varepsilon} .
$$

Thus for any of such standard cylinders $U$ we have $\mu(U) \leqslant c|U|^{\beta\left(q_{1}\right)-\varepsilon}$. If we now consider a general open ball $B(x, r)$ centred at some $x \in E \cap \mathcal{M}$ we have by the strong separation condition and as we are dealing with strict (conformal) contractions that there exists a standard cylinder $U_{l} \subset \mathcal{M}$ of coding length $l$ and an integer $m$ independent of $l$ such that $U_{l} \subseteq B(x, r) \subseteq U_{l-m}$. Therefore $\mu(B(x, r)) \leqslant \mu\left(U_{l-m}\right) \leqslant c_{1}\left|U_{l}\right|^{\beta\left(q_{1}\right)-\varepsilon}$ for some positive constant $c_{1}$ independent of $r$ and hence $\mu(B(x, r)) \leqslant c_{1}\left|U_{l}\right|^{\beta\left(q_{1}\right)-\varepsilon} \leqslant c_{2} r^{\beta\left(q_{1}\right)-\varepsilon}$ for some independent $c_{2}>0$. Therefore applying the mass distribution principle we get

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{H} S_{\psi}^{\alpha} \geqslant \operatorname{dim}_{H} \mathcal{M} \geqslant \beta\left(q_{1}\right)-\varepsilon
$$

for arbitrarily small $\varepsilon$ and the main theorem follows.
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