Title: Assemblage time series reveal biodiversity change but not systematic loss

Authors: Maria Dornelas^{1*}, Nicholas J. Gotelli², Brian McGill³, Hideyasu Shimadzu^{1,4}, Faye Moyes¹, Caya Sievers¹, Anne E. Magurran¹

Affiliations:

- Centre for Biological Diversity and Scottish Oceans Institute, School of Biology, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, Fife, KY16
 9TH, UK.
- Department of Biology, University of Vermont, Burlington, Vermont 05405 USA.
- 3. School of Biology and Ecology, Sustainability Solutions Initiative, University of Maine, Orono ME 04469, USA.
- 4. Department of Mathematics, Keio University, 3-14-1 Hiyoshi Kohoku, Yokohama 223-8522, Japan.

*Correspondence to: maadd@st-andrews.ac.uk

Abstract: The extent to which biodiversity change in local assemblages contributes to global biodiversity loss is poorly understood. Here, we analyse 100 time-series from biomes across the Earth to ask how diversity within assemblages is changing through time. We quantified patterns of temporal α diversity, measured as change in local diversity, and temporal β diversity, measured as change in community composition. Contrary to our expectations, we do not detect systematic loss of α diversity. However, community composition changed systematically through time, in excess of predictions from null models. Heterogeneous rates of environmental change, species range shifts associated with climate change, and biotic homogenization may explain the different patterns of temporal α and β diversity. Monitoring and understanding change in species composition should be a conservation priority.

One Sentence Summary: Time series reveal widespread change in the composition of ecological assemblages rather than systematic biodiversity loss.

Main Text: Habitat destruction, pollution, and over-harvesting, as well as climate change and invasive species, have led to conspicuous reductions in biological diversity (1). Globally, increasing numbers of species are under threat (2), populations of vulnerable taxa are declining (3), and ecosystem function is changing as a result (4). Although these large-scale patterns emerge from processes that are based on local community structure, as yet there is no comprehensive analysis of how temporal change in ecological assemblages contributes to this global picture. Because the implementation of conservation and management decisions is typically at the scale of local to regional ecosystems (5, 6), knowledge of biodiversity change within assemblages is essential to inform policy. A comparative analysis of change across taxa, biomes, and geographic regions also provides insights into the mechanisms involved. Here, we use a definition of biodiversity that includes components of species richness, composition, and

relative abundance of species. We use standardized biodiversity monitoring of assemblages over years and decades to assess global patterns of temporal change in species diversity.

We quantified change in biodiversity through time by two measures: temporal trends in α diversity and temporal β diversity (7). Temporal α diversity is a measure of diversity within a sample. It can be measured as species richness or with related diversity metrics that take species abundances into account. To measure temporal change in α diversity, we calculated, for each time series, the slope of the long-term relationship between diversity and time. Typically, β diversity is used to compare the composition of different communities in space, but it can also be used to compare the composition of a single community through time. Temporal β diversity (temporal turnover) quantifies differences in species composition between two (or more) samples separated in time. Temporal turnover can be measured with metrics of similarity to track changes in species identities (and sometimes their abundances) through time, either by comparing adjacent sampling periods, or with reference to a single baseline sample or time period. Because turnover metrics incorporate shifts in species composition, they potentially provide a more sensitive indicator of community change (8) than α diversity.

Given widespread evidence of habitat change (9), abnormally high extinction rates (10), and documented declines of many species (2, 3), we predicted that most assemblages would exhibit a decrease in α diversity through time, although the pattern and extent of change may differ among taxonomic groups, climatic regions, and marine or terrestrial realms and with spatial scale (11). For example, there is no evidence of consistent loss of biodiversity among terrestrial plants (12). Similarly, as a consequence of long-term changes in species composition, we expected increases in temporal β diversity measured relative to an early baseline sample.

To quantify biodiversity change, we gathered all datasets we could find that met a priori quality criteria (details in (13)) for standardized, long-term quantitative sampling. This collection includes over 6.1 million species occurrence records from 100 individual time series. There are 35,613 species represented, encompassing mammals, birds, fish, invertebrates, and plants. The geographical distribution of study locations is global, and includes marine, freshwater and terrestrial biomes, extending from the polar regions to the tropics in both hemispheres (Figure 1). The collective time interval represented by these data is from 1874 to the present, although most data series are concentrated in the past 40 years (Figure 2). A full description of the datasets used in the analysis, including their sources and citation information, is included as table S1. We measure temporal α diversity with 10 metrics including species richness, and temporal β diversity with four metrics including the Jaccard similarity index. A strength of our analysis is that we calculate all metrics from the original data, rather than relying on published summary statistics, and thus are able to standardize sampling effort within each time series. Details of statistical standardization of data sets, choice of α diversity metrics, and null distributions for β diversity metrics based on Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) methods and neutral model analyses are described in 13.

Surprisingly, we do not detect a consistent negative trend in species richness (Figure 2A), or in any of the other metrics of α diversity (Figure S1). The overall slope (estimated by allowing each study to have a different intercept, but constraining all studies to have the same slope) is statistically indistinguishable from zero (Figure 2). However, not all datasets have constant species richness. In a mixed model in which both the slope and the intercept are allowed to vary for each time series, slopes for species richness differ among assemblages, but do not exhibit systematic deviations. The variation cancels out because there are approximately equal numbers

of negative and positive slopes (41 and 59 respectively), and the distribution of slopes is centered around zero, with the majority of slopes being statistically very close to zero (65 out of 100 time series Figure 3 A). This pattern is also observed for short-term changes rather than long-term linear trends: out of 1557 measurements of species richness in two consecutive times, 629 (40%) increase, 624 (40%) decrease, and 304 (20%) do not change (Figure S2). Collectively, these analyses reveal local variation in temporal α diversity, but no evidence for a consistent or even an average negative trend.

The variability in slopes of α diversity could be explained by spatial, temporal, and biological attributes of each of the time series. However, for all measures of α diversity, slope is not a significant function of total species richness, extent of the spatial distribution of samples, starting date, or duration of the time series (Figures S3 and S4). Average slopes estimated for the marine and terrestrial time series are not significantly different from zero (Figure S5). Time series for terrestrial plants exhibit, on average, a positive slope for species richness, in contrast to (12), who found no consistent change. There are no significant patterns for other taxonomic groups. An analysis of slopes by climatic regions reveals that temperate time series have a significantly positive trend, and time series sampled at a global scale show a significantly negative trend (Figure S5). Tropical time-series also have a negative slope, but it is not significantly different from zero.

In contrast to species richness and other measures of α diversity, species temporal turnover as measured by the Jaccard similarity index and other measures of β diversity (Figure S6) exhibit consistent long-term changes (Figure 2 B and 3 B). Specifically, community similarity measured as Jaccard's index between an ensuing year and the first year of sampling (the time-series baseline) decreases in 79 out of 100 the time series with a slope of -0.01 on average. Because Jaccard's similarity is bounded between 0 and 1, a 0.01 slope means change in community composition per decade of 10% of the species (Figure 2 B). This result is robust if the last census point is used as the baseline (Figure S7). A model with constant overall slope and different intercept for each time series (and with the time axis re-scaled relative to each time series baseline) is also negative. Turnover slopes are, therefore, almost uniformly negative, which is indicative of systematic change in community composition since the initial census point.

Even in a stochastic time series, some degree of turnover is to be expected because of temporal autocorrelation. However, the patterns of turnover in these time series are more pronounced and negative than what would be expected from simple temporal autocorrelation. Specifically, MCMC simulations of species-specific extinction and colonization produce slopes in turnover of -0.000013 on average, with confidence intervals straddling zero, and an approximately 50-50 distribution of positive and negative slopes (13 and Figure S8). Similarly, neutral model simulations incorporating species abundance show change in similarity two orders of magnitude lower than we observe (13 and Figure S9). The decrease in community similarity observed in our analysis is therefore not a simple consequence of drift and autocorrelation caused by a colonization-extinction Markov model or by a model of neutral dynamics.

These time series collectively exhibit no systematic change in temporal α diversity, although temperate assemblages show, on average, a positive trend in α diversity, whereas at the global scale we detect a negative trend. Moreover, across all climatic regions, realms, and taxonomic groups, temporal β diversity is increasing relative to the baseline (initial) sample. There are several reasons why α diversity may remain constant while temporal β diversity is consistently increasing.

One potential driver is that intensification of trade and transport, combined with the rapid increase in invasions of exotic taxa, is leading to the homogenization of species composition at local scales (14). Although homogenization may lead to a global loss of species, α diversity at local scales may stay constant or even increase as invaders replace residents and β diversity changes through time (11). This was the mechanism that Elton highlighted when he first voiced concerns about global biodiversity loss (15). Additional forces that might contribute to these contrasting patterns of α and β diversity include poleward shifts in geographic ranges as species respond to climate change (16). Moreover, contemporary habitat destruction and species loss is higher in tropical versus temperate regions (9), which is consistent with assessments of change in temporal α diversity in terrestrial plants (12) and population trends of vertebrates (17).

Our results suggest that local and regional assemblages are experiencing a substitution of their taxa, rather than systematic loss. This outcome may in part reflect the fact that most of the available data are from the last 40 years, which highlights concerns over the problem of a "shifting baseline" in diversity monitoring (18). Nevertheless, we show that at these temporal and spatial scales there is no evidence of consistent or accelerating loss of α diversity. Most importantly, changes in species composition usually do not result in a substitution of like with like, and can lead to the development of novel ecosystems (19). For example, disturbed coral reefs can be replaced by assemblages dominated by macroalgae (20) or different coral species (21); these novel marine assemblages may not necessarily deliver the same ecosystem services such as fisheries, tourism and coastal protection that were provided by the original coral reef (22).

Our core result— that assemblages are undergoing biodiversity change, but not systematic biodiversity loss (Figures 2 and 3)— does not negate previous findings that many taxa are at risk, or that key habitats and ecosystems are under grave threat. Neither is it inconsistent with an unfolding mass extinction, which occurs at a global scale, and over a much longer temporal scale. The changing composition of communities that we document may be driven by many factors, including ongoing climate change and the expanding distributions of invasive and anthrophilic species. The absence of systematic change in temporal α diversity we report here is not a cause for complacency, but rather highlights the need to address changes in assemblage composition, which have been widespread over at least the past 40 years. Robust analyses that acknowledge the complexity and heterogeneity of outcomes at different locations and scales provide the strongest case for policy action. There is need to expand the focus of research and planning from biodiversity loss to biodiversity change.

References and Notes:

- 1. Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, "Millennium Ecosystem Assessment Synthesis Reports." (2006) (Available at http://www.millenniumassessment.org/documents/document.356.aspx.pdf).
- 2. S. H. M. Butchart et al., Using Red List Indices to measure progress towards the 2010 target and beyond. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences 360, 255 (2005).
- 3. J. Loh et al., The Living Planet Index: using species population time series to track trends in biodiversity. Philosophical Transactions B 360, 289 (2005).
- 4. B. J. Cardinale et al., Biodiversity loss and its impact on humanity. Nature 486, 59 (2012).
- 5. B. F. Erasmus, S. Freitag, K. J. Gaston, B. H. Erasmus, A. S. van Jaarsveld, Scale and conservation planning in the real world. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences 266, 315 (1999).
- 6. S. Ferrier et al., Mapping more of terrestrial biodiversity for global conservation assessment. BioScience 54, 1101 (2004).
- 7. R. H. Whittaker, Vegetation of the Siskiyou Mountains, Oregon and California. Ecological Monographs 30, 279 (1960).
- 8. A. E. Magurran, P. A. Henderson, Temporal turnover and the maintenance of diversity in ecological assemblages. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences 365, 1558 (2010).
- 9. E. C. Ellis et al., Used planet: A global history. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 110, 7978 (2013).
- 10. A. D. Barnosky et al., Has the Earth's sixth mass extinction already arrived? Nature 471, 51 (2011).
- 11. D. F. Sax, S. D. Gaines, Species diversity: from global decreases to local increases. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 18, 561 (2003).
- 12. M. Vellend et al., Global meta-analysis reveals no net change in local-scale plant biodiversity over time. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 110, 48, (2013).
- 13. See Supplementary Material at Science Online.
- 14. F. J. Rahel, Homogenization of freshwater faunas. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 33, 291 (2002).
- 15. C. S. Elton, The ecology of Invasion by Animals and Plants. (Chicago University Press, Chicago, IL, 1958).
- 16. C. Parmesan, Ecological and Evolutionary Responses to Recent Climate Change Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and Systematics 37, 637. (2006)
- 17. B. Collen et al., Monitoring Change in Vertebrate Abundance: the Living Planet Index. Conservation Biology 23, 317 (2009).
- 18. D. Pauly, Anecdotes and the shifting baseline syndrome of fisheries. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 10, 430 (1995).
- 19. R. J. Hobbs et al., Novel ecosystems: theoretical and management aspects of the new ecological world order. Global Ecology and Biogeography 15, 1 (2006).
- 20. T. P. Hughes, Catastrophes, phase shifts, and large-scale degradation of a Caribbean Coral Reef. Science 265, 1547 (1994).
- 21. J. M. Pandolfi, S. R. Connolly, D. J. Marshall, A. L. Cohen, Projecting Coral Reef Futures Under Global Warming and Ocean Acidification. Science 333, 418 (2011).
- 22. N. A. J. Graham, J. E. Cinner, A. V. Norström, M. Nyström, Coral reefs as novel ecosystems: embracing new futures. Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability 7, 9 (2014).

- 23. J. E. Fauth et al., Simplyfying the jargon of community ecology: a conceptual approach. American Naturalist 147, 282 (1996).
- 24. B. J. McGill, in Biological Diversity: frontiers in measurement and assessment, A. E. Magurran, B. J. McGill, Eds. (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2011), pp. 105-122.
- 25. S. H. Hurlbert, The nonconcept of species diversity: a critique and alternative parameters. Ecology 52, 577 (1971).
- 26. M. O. Hill, Diversity and evenness: a unifying notation and its consequences. Ecology 54, 427 (1973).
- A. Chao, Estimating the population size for capture-recapture data with unequal catchability. Biometrics, 43, 4 (1987).
- 28. J. Oksanen et al., http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan, Ed. (2013).
- 29. Harvey, A. C. (1982), Spectral Analysis and Time Series, M. B. Priestly. Two volumes, 890 pages plus preface, indexes, references and appendices, London: Academic Press, 1981
- 30. M. E. Gilpin, J. M. Diamond, Immigration and extinction probabilities for individual species: relation to incidence functions and species colonization curves. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 78, 392 (1981).
- 31. R. H. MacArthur, E. O. Wilson, The Theory of Island Biogeography. (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1967), pp. 203.
- 32. D. Simberloff, When is an island community in equilibrium? Science 220, 1275 (1983).
- 33. S. P. Hubbell, The Unified Neutral Theory of Biodiversity and Biogeography. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2001), pp. 375.
- 34. B. J. McGill, A test of the unified neutral theory of biodiversity. Nature 422, 881 (2003).
- 35. E. Woehler, "Seabirds of the Southern and South Indian Ocean Australian Antarctic Data Centre". (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).
- 36. P. N. Halpin, A. J. Read, E. Fujioka, B. D. Best, B. Donnelly, L. J. Hazen, C. Kot, K. Urian, E. LaBrecque, A. Dimatteo, J. Cleary, C. Good, L. B. Crowder, K. D. Hyrenbach, OBIS-SEAMAP: The world data center for marine mammal, sea bird, and sea turtle distributions. Oceanography 22, 2(2009).
- 37. R. G. B. Brown, D.N. Nettleship, P. Germain, C.E. Tull, T. Davis, Atlas of eastern Canadian seabirds. Canadian Wildlife Service, Ottawa. (1975)
- 38. A. W. Diamond, A. J. Gaston, R. G. B. Brown, Converting PIROP counts of seabirds at sea to absolute densities. Progress Notes. No. 164. 21 pp. (1986).
- 39. F. Huettmann, An ecological GIS research application for the northern Atlantic The PIROP database software, environmental data sets and the role of the internet/WWW. W.-F. Riekert and K. Tochtermann (Eds.) Hypermedia im Umweltschutz Proceedings of Deutsche Gesellschaft für Informatik (GI) and Forschungsinstitut für anwendungsorientierte Wissensverarbeitung (FAW) Ulm. Umwelt-Informatik aktuell; Bd.17, Metropolis Verlag. 213-217 (1998).
- 40. "PIROP Northwest Atlantic 1965-1992 OBIS SEAMAP". (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).
- 41. A. J. Read, P. N. Halpin, L. B. Crowder, B. D. Best, E. Fujioka (Editors) "OBIS-SEAMAP: mapping marine mammals, birds and turtles" (2011). (Available at http://seamap.env.duke.edu, accessed 2012).
- 42. P. Pugh, "Discovery Collections Midwater Database". National Oceanography Centre, Southampton, UK (2000). (Available at http://noc.ac.uk/data/discovery-collections/, accessed 2012).
- 43. J. W. Wacasey, E. G. Atkinson, L. Derick, I. Weinstein, "Zoobenthos data from the Southern Beaufort Sea, 1971-1975". (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).
- 44. J. W. Wacasey, E.G. Atkinson, L. Derick, I. Weinstein, "Zoobenthos data from the Southern Beaufort Sea, 1971-1975". Fisheries and Environment Canada. Fisheries and Marine Service Data report 41, Arctic

- Biological Station fisheries and Marine Service Department of Fisheries and the Environment; Arctic Ocean Diversity, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks (1977).
- 45. D. A. Scott, T. S. English, "Arctic copepod Zooplankton Ice Island T3". (Available at http://www.arcodiv.org/Database/Plankton_datasets, accessed 2012).
- 46. D. A. Scott, T. S. English, "Copepoda collected from Fletcher's Ice Island (T-3) in the Canadian Basin of the Arctic Ocean". Technical Report No. 240, Reference M69-62, University of Washington and Arctic Ocean Diversity, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks.
- 47. C. G. Pautzke, "Arctic Copepods T3 & AIDJEX Ice Islands". (Available at http://www.arcodiv.org/Database/Plankton_datasets, accessed 2012).
- 48. C. G. Pautzke, "Copepoda collected from the Canada Basin Arctic Ocean; Fletcher's Ice Island (T-3) 1970-1972 and AIDJEX, 1975". Phytoplankton Primary Production Below Arctic Ocean Pack Ice: An Ecosystems Analysis. PhD Thesis University of Washington; Arctic Ocean Diversity, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks.
- 49. G. E. Hopky, M. J. Lawrence, D. B. Chiperzak, "Beaufort Sea NOGAP1 Zooplankton NOGAP B1, Zooplankton Data from the Canadian Beaufort Sea Shelf, 1984-1985". Arctic Ocean Diversity, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks. (Available at http://www.arcodiv.org/Database/Plankton_datasets, accessed 2012).
- 50. G. E. Hopky, M. J. Lawrence, D. B. Chiperzak, "Beaufort Sea NOGAP2 Zooplankton NOGAP B2, Zooplankton Data from the Canadian Beaufort Sea Shelf, 1987-88". Central and Arctic Region, Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Winnipeg, Manitoba; Arctic Ocean Diversity, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks. (Available at http://www.arcodiv.org/Database/Plankton_datasets, accessed 2012).
- 51. G. E. Hopky, M. J. Lawrence, D. B. Chiperzak, "Beaufort Sea NOGAP3 Zooplankton NOGAP B3, 1986 Beaufort Sea NOGAP3 Zooplankton". Central and Arctic Region, Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Winnipeg, Manitoba; Arctic Ocean Diversity, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks. (Available at http://www.arcodiv.org/Database/Plankton_datasets, accessed 2012).
- 52. H. J. Hirche et al., Structure and function of contemporary food webs on Arctic shelves: A panarctic comparison: The pelagic system of the Kara Sea–Communities and components of carbon flow. Progress in Oceanography 71, 288 (2006).
- 53. H. J. Hirche, K. N. Kosobokova, B. Gaye-Haake, I. Harms, "Structure and function of contemporary food webs on Arctic shelves: A panarctic comparison. The pelagic system of the Kara Sea-communities and components of carbon flow". Originator: B. Meon and E.-M. Nöthig (2006). (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2013).
- 54. A. Naumov, "Benthos of the White Sea. A database". White Sea Biological Station, Zoological Institute RAS. (Available at http://www.emodnet-biology.eu/component/imis/?module=dataset&dasid=2769, accessed 2012).
- 55. "East Coast North America Strategic Assessment Project, Groundfish Atlas for the East Coast of North America". (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).
- 56. "Whale Catches in Southern Ocean", OBIS Australian Antarctic Data Centre. (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2013).
- 57. T. Siferd, "Central and Arctic Multi-Species Stock Assessment Surveys. OBIS Canada Digital Collections (2010)". Bedford Institute of Oceanography, Dartmouth, Nova Scotia, Canada (OBIS Canada). (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).
- 58. K. Kosobokova, "White Sea Zooplankton". Shirshov Institute of Oceanology, Moscow; Arctic Ocean Diversity, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks. (Available at http://www.arcodiv.org/Database/Plankton datasets, accessed 2012).
- 59. E. L. Markhaseva, A. A. Golikov, T. A. Agapova, A. A. Beig, "Archives of the Arctic Seas Zooplankton 1" (1985). (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).

- 60. T. N. Ratkova, "Phytoplankton of the White Sea, Barents Sea, Amundsen & Nansen Basins". Institute of Oceanology, Academy of Sciences of Russia, Moscow, Russia; Arctic Ocean Diversity, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks. (Available at http://www.arcodiv.org/Database/Plankton_datasets, accessed 2012).
- 61. M. Machete, R. S. Santos, Azores Fisheries Observer Program (POPA): A case study of the multidisciplinary use of observer data. Proceedings of the 5th International Fisheries Observer Conference, Victoria, Canada. T. A. McVea, S. J. Kennelly (Ed) 114-116 (2007).
- 62. T. Morato, D. A. Varkey, C. Damaso, M. Machete, M. Santos, R. Prieto, R. S. Santos, T. J. Pitcher, Evidence of a seamount effect on aggregating visitors. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 357: 23-32 (2008).
- 63. P. Amorim, M. Figueiredo, M. Machete, T. Morato, A. Martins, R. S. Santos, Spatial variability of seabird distribution associated with environmental factors: a case study of marine Important Bird Areas in the Azores. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 66: 29-40 (2009).
- 64. "POPA cetacean, seabird, and sea turtle sightings in the Azores area 1998-2009 OBIS SEAMAP". (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).
- 65. E. J. Wade, "Snow crab research trawl survey database (Southern Gulf of St. Lawrence, Gulf region, Canada) from 1988 to 2010". OBIS Canada, Bedford Institute of Oceanography, Dartmouth, Nova Scotia, Canada (2011). (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).
- 66. H. Rees, M. Pendle, R. Waldock, D. Limpenny, S. Boyd, A comparison of benthic biodiversity in the North Sea, English Channel, and Celtic Seas. ICES Journal of Marine Science: Journal du Conseil 56, 228 (1999).
- 67. H. Rees, M. Pendle, R. Waldock, D. Limpenny, S. Boyd, "A comparison of benthic biodiversity in the North Sea, English Channel and Celtic Seas Epifauna". Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science; Burnham Laboratory, UK. (Available at http://www.emodnet-biology.eu/component/imis/?module=dataset&dasid=505, accessed 2012).
- 68. R. Ostler, "Marine Nature Conservation Review (MNCR) and associated benthic marine data held and managed by JNCC EurOBIS". Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Centre for Ecology and hydrology, Aberdeenshire, UK. (Available at http://www.emodnet-biology.eu/data-catalog/??module=dataset&dasid=621, accessed 2012).
- 69. J. J. Moore, C. M. Howson, "Survey of the rocky shores in the region of Sullom Voe, Shetland, A report to SOTEAG from Aquatic Survey & Monitoring Ltd", Cosheston, Pembrokeshire. 29 pp. (Available at http://www.soteag.org.uk, accessed 2013).
- 70. M. L. Zettler, "Macrozoobenthos baltic sea (1980-2005) as part of the IOW-Monitoring". Institut für Ostseeforschung Warnemünde, Germany (2005). "IOW Macrozoobenthos monitoring Baltic Sea (1980-2005) (EurOBIS)". (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).
- 71. "The Observer Program database", accessed through the OBIS-USA North Pacific Groundfish Observer (North Pacific Research Board). (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).
- 72. "Baltic Seabirds Transect Surveys", Institute of Ecology of Vilnius University OBIS-SEAMAP. (Available at http://www.emodnet-biology.eu/component/imis/?module=dataset&dasid=1971, accessed 2012).
- P. Yen, W. Sydeman, S. Bograd, K. Hyrenbach, Spring-time distributions of migratory marine birds in the southern California Current: Oceanic eddy associations and coastal habitat hotspots over 17 years. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 53, 399 (2006).
- 74. J. Jahncke, C. Rintoul, "CalCOFI and NMFS Seabird and Marine Mammal Observation Data, 1987-2006". California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations (CalCOFI) and National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) cruises, 1987-2006 - OBIS SEAMAP (2006). (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).
- 75. C. Rintoul, B. Schlagenhauf-Langabeer, K. D. Hyrenbach, K. H. Morgan, W. J. Sydeman, Atlas of California Current Marine Birds and Mammals: Version 1. Unpublished report, PRBO Conservation Science, Petaluma, California (2006).

- 76. P. P. Yen, W. J. Sydeman, K. D. Hyrenbach, Marine bird and cetacean associations with bathymetric habitats and shallow-water topographies: implications for trophic transfer and conservation. Journal of Marine Systems 50, 79 (2004).
- 77. P. I. Mitchell, S. F. Newton, N. Ratcliffe, T. E. Dunn, "Seabird 2000". Eurobis Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough, UK. (Available at http://www.iobis.org/, accessed 2012)
- 78. "MEDITS seabird surveys 1999 / 2000 / 2002", Mediterranean Institute for Advanced Studies (IMEDEA) (2011). In: OBIS-SEAMAP. (Available at http://www.emodnet-biology.eu/component/imis/?module=dataset&dasid=1979, accessed 2012).
- 79. E. Stanley, "NTLFI02 North Temperate Lakes LTER: Fish Abundance 1981 current", North Temperate Lakes Long Term Ecological Research program, NSF. Center for Limnology, University of Wisconsin-Madison. (Available at https://lter.limnology.wisc.edu/dataset/north-temperate-lakes-lter-fish-abundance-1981-current, accessed 2012).
- 80. P. Henderson, The long-term study of the fish and crustacean community of the Bristol Channel. (Available at http://www.pisces-conservation.com/, accessed 2013).
- 81. D. Clark, B. Branton, "DFO Maritimes Research Vessel Trawl Surveys, OBIS Canada Digital Collections". Bedford Institute of Oceanography, Dartmouth, Nova Scotia, Canada, OBIS Canada (2007). (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).
- 82. M. Reichert, "MARMAP Chevron Trap Survey 1990-2009". SCDNR/NOAA MARMAP Program, SCDNR MARMAP Aggregate Data Surveys, The Marine Resources Monitoring, Assessment, and Prediction (MARMAP) Program, Marine Resources Research Institute, South Carolina Department of Natural Resources U.S.A. (2009). (Available at http://www.usgs.gov/obis-usa/data_search_and_access/participants.html, accessed 2012).
- 83. M. Reichert, "MARMAP Neuston Nets 1990-2009, SCDNR/NOAA MARMAP Program, SCDNR MARMAP Aggregate data surveys, The Marine Resources Monitoring, Assessment, and Prediction (MARMAP) Program, Marine Resources Research Institute, South Carolina Department of Natural Resources U.S.A. (2010). (Available at http://www.usgs.gov/obis-usa/data_search_and_access/participants.html, accessed 2012).
- 84. M. Reichert, "MARMAP Florida Antillean Trap Survey 1990-2009, SCDNR/NOAA MARMAP Program, SCDNR MARMAP Aggregate Data Surveys, The Marine Resources Monitoring, Assessment, and Prediction (MARMAP) Program, Marine Resources Research Institute, South Carolina Department of Natural Resources U.S.A. (2009). (Available at http://www.usgs.gov/obis-usa/data_search_and_access/participants.html, accessed 2012).
- 85. "Scottish West Coast Survey For Commercial Fish Species 1985-2013". (Available at https://datras.ices.dk, accessed 2013).
- 86. "ICES Baltic International Trawl Survey For Commercial Fish Species (1991 2013)". (Available at https://datras.ices.dk, accessed 2013).
- 87. S. Sherman, "Maine Department of Marine Resources Inshore Trawl Survey, 2000 2009". Maine Department of Marine Resources, Maine (2010). (Available at http://www.usgs.gov/obis-usa/data_search_and_access/datasets.html, accessed 2012).
- 88. J. A. Boutillier, "Pacific Shrimp Trawl Survey". In: ShrimpTrawl Bio database, Fisheries and Oceans Canada PBS Shellfish Data Unit. OBIS Canada Digital Collections, Bedford Institute of Oceanography, Dartmouth, Nova Scotia, Canada (2007). (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).
- 89. K. Robinson, "CRRU (Cetacean Research and Rescue Unit) Cetacean sighting in Scotland waters (2010)". (Available at http://www.emodnet-biology.eu/component/imis/?module=dataset&dasid=2819, accessed 2012).
- 90. "South Western Pacific Regional OBIS Data Bryozoan Subset", accessed through South Western Pacific OBIS. (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).

- 91. "Marine and Coastal Management Copepod Surveys AfrOBIS". (Available at http://www.iobis.org/, accessed 2012).
- 92. W. Addinck, M. de Kluijver, "North Sea observations of Crustacea, Polychaeta, Echinodermata, Mollusca and some other groups between 1986 and 2003". Expert Centre for Taxonomic Idenditification (ETI), the Netherlands (2003). (Available at http://www.emodnet-biology.eu/component/imis/?module=dataset&dasid=1037, accessed 2012).
- 93. J. M. Tremblay, B. Branton, "DFO Maritimes Research Vessel Trawl Surveys Invertebrates". OBIS Canada Digital Collections, Bedford Institute of Oceanography, Dartmouth, Nova Scotia, Canada (2007). (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).
- 94. "NEFSC Benthic Database (OBIS-USA)", Northeast Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA, U.S. Department of Commerce (2010). (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).
- 95. F. B. Sumner, R. C. Osborn, L. J. Cole, B. M. Davis. A biological survey of the waters of Woods Hole and vicinity. Bulletin of the U.S. Bureau of Fisheries. 31, 1 (1911).
- 96. F. B. Sumner, R. C. Osborn, L. J. Cole, B. M. Davis. "A biological survey of the waters of Woods Hole and vicinity". (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).
- 97. G. M. Ruiz, P. W. Fofonoff, B. Steves, T. Huber, K. Larson, L. McCann, N. G. Hitchcock, A. H. Hines, J. T. Carlton, "North American Sessile Marine Invertebrate Survey" (2005). (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).
- 98. "South Western Pacific Regional OBIS Data Asteroid Subset", NIWA (National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research New Zealand) MBIS (Marine Biodata Information System) accessed through South Western Pacific OBIS. (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).
- 99. "Zooplankton in the Bay of Biscay (1995-2004, yearly DEPM surveys)". (Available at http://www.emodnet-biology.eu/component/imis/?module=dataset&dasid=2774, accessed 2012).
- 100. L. Madin, E. Horgan, "Zooplankton Sampled with 10m2MOCNESS Net in Georges Bank 1995-1999." Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, USA: U.S. GLOBEC Data Management Office (2006). (Available at http://www.usgs.gov/obis-usa/data_search_and_access/participants.html, accessed 2012).
- 101. "CMarZ (Census of Marine Zooplankton)-Asia Database". Accessed through OBIS- SCAR-MarBIN. (Available at http://www.scarmarbin.be, accessed 2012).
- 102. M. K. Kennedy, J. A. Spry, "Atlantic Zone Monitoring Program Maritimes Region plankton datasets". In: Fisheries and Oceans Canada BioChem archive. OBIS Canada, Bedford Institute of Oceanography, Dartmouth, Nova Scotia, Canada (2011). (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).
- N. A. Milchakova, V. G. Ryabogina, E. B. Chernyshova, "Macroalgae of the Crimean coastal zone (the Black Sea, 1967-2007)". Sevastopol, IBSS (2011). (Available at http://www.emodnet-biology.eu/component/imis/?module=dataset&dasid=2690, accessed 2012).
- 104. C. E. Widdicombe, D. Eloire, D. Harbour, R. P. Harris, P. J. Somerfield, Long-term phytoplankton community dynamics in the Western English Channel. Journal of Plankton Research 32, 643 (2010).
- 105. N. Derezuyk, "Phytoplankton of the Ukrainian Black Sea shelf (1985-2005)". (Available at http://www.emodnet-biology.eu/component/imis/?module=dataset&dasid=2694, accessed 2012).
- 106. C. Bakker, P. Herman, M. Vink, A New Trend in the Development of the Phytoplankton in the Oosterschelde (SW Netherlands) during and after the Construction of a Storm-Surge Barrier. Hydrobiologia 283, 1 (1994).
- 107. K. Bakker, P. Herman, "Phytoplankton in the Oosterschelde before, during and after the storm-surge barrier (1982-1990)". Netherlands Institute of Ecology; Centre for Estuarine and Marine Ecology, Netherlands. EurOBIS Data (1990). (Available at http://www.emodnet-biology.eu/component/imis/?module=dataset&dasid=1646, accessed 2013).

- 108. "EPA'S EMAP Database". U.S. Environmental Protection Agency through its Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program (EMAP). (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).
- 109. "National Benthic Infaunal Database (NBID)", NOAA/NOS/NCCOS/CCEHBR/Coastal Ecology Program. NOAA's Ocean Service, National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science (NCCOS) (2003). (Available at https://data.noaa.gov/dataset/national-benthic-infaunal-database-nbid, accessed 2012).
- 110. "Great Barrier Reef Seabed Biodiversity Study 2003-2006" (CMAR_ANACC), accessed through OBIS-Australia. (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).
- 111. "CSIRO Marine Data Warehouse OBIS Australia", CSIRO Division of Marine and Atmospheric Research (CMAR), Australia. (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).
- 112. R. A. Bernardes, C. L. D. B. Rossi-Wongtschowski, R. Wahrlich, R. C. Vieira, A. P. Santos, A. R. Rodrigues, Prospecção pesqueira de recursos demersais com aramadilhas e pargueiras na Zona Econômica Exclusiva da Região Sudeste-Sul do Brasil. (São Paulo: Instituto Oceanográfico da USP Série Documentos Revizee: Score Sul, 2005). 112 p. ISBN 85-98729-13-2.
- 113. R. A. Bernardes, J. L. Figueiredo, A. R. Rodrigues, L. G. Fischer, C. M. Vooren, M. Haimovic, C. L. B. Rossi-Wongtschowski, Peixes da Zona Econômica Exclusiva da Região Sudeste-Sul do Brasil: levantamento com aramadilhas, pargueiras e rede de arrasto de fundo. (São Paulo: Editora da Universidade de São Paulo, 2005.). 295p. ISBN 85-314-0890-3.
- 114. "REVIZEE South Score / Pelagic and Demersal Fish Database II". (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).
- "South Western Pacific Regional OBIS Data provider for the NIWA Marine Biodata In formation System", NIWA (National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research New Zealand) MBIS (Marine Biodata Information System) accessed through South Western Pacific OBIS. (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).
- 116. "Previous_fisheries_REVIZEE_Program", accessed through Tropical and Subtropical Western South Pacific OBIS. (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).
- 117. "Southeast Fisheries Science Center, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. NOAA Southeast Fishery Science Center (SEFSC) Fisheries Log Book System (FLS) Commercial Pelagic Logbook Data". (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).
- 118. "CRED Towed-Diver Fish Biomass Surveys in the Pacific Ocean 2000-2010". Coral Reef Ecosystem Division (CRED), Pacific Island Fisheries Sciences Center, National Marine Fisheries Service (2011). (Available at http://www.usgs.gov/obis-usa/data search and access/datasets.html, accessed 2012).
- 119. "Bahamas Marine Mammal Research Organisation Opportunistic Sightings OBIS SEAMAP". (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).
- 120. F. L. Silveira, R. M. Lopes, "On the Marine Free-Living Copepods off Brazil -WSAOBIS". Western South Atlantic OBIS, São Paulo (2008). (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).
- P. Hidalgo et al., Patterns of copepod diversity in the Chilean coastal upwelling system. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 57, 2089 (2010).
- 122. R. Escribano, K. Manríquez, F. Godoy, "Copepoda-COPAS Center (COPAS_CPD1) Planktonic copepods from the Chilean Humboldt Current System Eastern South Pacific Regional Node of OBIS (ESPOBIS)" (2006). (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).
- 123. "CRED Rapid Ecological Assessments of Coral Population in the Pacific Ocean 2007-2010". Coral Reef Ecosystem Division (CRED), Pacific Island Fisheries Sciences Center, National Marine Fisheries Service (2011). (Available at http://www.usgs.gov/obis-usa/data_search_and_access/datasets.html, accessed 2012).
- 124. "South TX Outer Continental Shelf and MI, AL, and FL Outer Continental Shelf benthic organism sampling 1974-1978". US National Oceanographic Data Center, Silver Spring, Maryland, USA (2011). (Available at http://www.usgs.gov/obis-usa/data_search_and_access/participants.html, accessed 2012).

- 125. J. Sicinski, M. Blazewicz-Paszkowycz, "Admiralty Bay Benthos Diversity Data Base (ABBED). Cumacea" (2008). (Available at site http://www.scarmarbin.be, accessed 2012).
- 126. J. Sicinski, R. Bamber, "Admiralty Bay Benthos Diversity Data Base (ABBED). Pycnogonida" (2008). (Available at http://www.scarmarbin.be, accessed 2012).
- 127. J. Sicinski, M. Blazewicz-Paszkowycz, "Admiralty Bay Benthos Diversity Data Base (ABBED). Tanaidacea" (2008). (Available at http://www.scarmarbin.be, accessed 2012)..
- 128. B. Danis, A. Van de Putte, N. Youdjou, H. Segers (Editors), The Antarctic Biodiversity Information Facility. (Available at http://www.biodiversity.aq, accessed 2013).
- 129. "Marine Biological Sample Database, JAMSTEC" (OBIS_JAPAN). (Available at http://www.godac.jamstec.go.jp/bio-sample/index e.html, accessed 2012).
- 130. "CRED REA Algal Quadrate Images in the Pacific Ocean 2002-2008". Coral Reef Ecosystem Division (CRED), Pacific Island Fisheries Sciences Center, National Marine Fisheries Service (2011). (Available at http://www.usgs.gov/obis-usa/data search and access/datasets.html, accessed 2012).
- 131. "St. John, USVI Fish Assessment and Monitoring Data (2002 Present)", Silver Spring, MD Publisher: NOAAs Ocean Service, National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science (NCCOS). National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association (NOAA)-National Ocean Service (NOS)-National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science (NCCOS)-Center for Coastal Monitoring and Assessment (CCMA)-Biogeography Team (2007). (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).
- "St. Croix, USVI Fish Assessment and Monitoring Data (2002 Present)", Silver Spring, MDPublisher: NOAAs Ocean Service, National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science (NCCOS). National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association (NOAA)-National Ocean Service (NOS)-National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science (NCCOS)-Center for Coastal Monitoring and Assessment (CCMA)-Biogeography Team (2007). (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2012).
- 133. K. T. Shao, J. Lin, C-H Wu, H-M Yeh, T-Y Cheng, "A dataset from bottom trawl survey around Taiwan" (2012). (Available at http://www.iobis.org, accessed 2013).
- A. Brooks, "MCR LTER: Coral Reef: Long-term Population and Community Dynamics: Fishes". Moorea Coral Reef (available at http://mcr.lternet.edu/cgi-bin/showDataset.cgi?docid=knb-lter-mcr.6, accessed 2012).
- A. Brooks, "MCR LTER: Coral Reef: Long-term Population and Community Dynamics: Fishes". Moorea Coral Reef LTER; Long Term Ecological Research Network. (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.6073/pasta/85e08a1ea6548ac2eaf808a70ce3eeb2, accessed 2012).
- E. Pulliainen, A transect survey of small land carnivore and red fox populations on a subarctic fell in Finnish Forest Labland over 13 winters. Ann. Zool. Fennici, 18, 270-278 (1981).
- 137. "A transect survey of small land carnivore and red fox populations on a subarctic fell in Finnish forest Lapland over 13 winters". NERC Centre for Population Biology, Imperial College, The Global Population Dynamics Database v2.0. (Available at http://www3.imperial.ac.uk/cpb/databases/gpdd, accessed 2012).
- 138. R. T. Holmes, T. W. Sherry, F. W. Sturges, Bird Community Dynamics in a Temperate Deciduous Forest: Long-Term Trends at Hubbard Brook. Ecological Monographs 56, 201 (1986).
- 139. F. W. Preston, Time and Space and the Variation of Species. Ecology 41, 612 (1960).
- 140. K. J. Gaston, T. M. Blackburn, Pattern and process in macroecology. (Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, England, 2000).
- 141. D. W. Gibbons, J. B. Reid, R. A. Chapman, The New Atlas of Breeding Birds in Britain and Ireland: 1988-1991. (1994).
- 142. P. Lack, The atlas of wintering birds in Britain and Ireland. (A&C Black, London, England, 2010).
- 143. P. Standley, A. Swash, R. Gillmor, The birds of Berkshire. (Berkshire Atlas Group, Berkshire, England, 1996).

- B. Stone et al., Population estimates of birds in Britain and in the United Kingdom. British Birds 90, 1 (1997).
- M. Williamson, Are communities ever stable? Colonization, succession and stability. AJ Gray, MJ Crawley & PJ Edwards (Eds.), Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, (1987) pp 353.
- 146. G. Beven, Changes in breeding bird populations of an oak-wood on Bookham Common, Surrey, over twenty-seven years. London Naturalist 55, 23 (1976).
- 147. M. Williamson, The Land-Bird Community of Skokholm: Ordination and Turnover. Oikos 41, 378 (1983).
- 148. USGS Patuxent Wildlife Research Center. North American Breeding Bird Survey ftp data set, version 2014.0. (Available at ftp://ftpext.usgs.gov/pub/er/md/laurel/BBS/DataFiles/, accessed 2013).
- 149. W. L. Vickery, T. D. Nudds, Detection of Density-Dependent Effects in Annual Duck Censuses. Ecology 65, 96 (1984).
- 150. H. Linden, P. Rajala, Fluctuations and long-term trends in the relative densities of tetraonid populations in Finland 1964-1977. Riistabieteellisia Julkaisuja, 39, 13-34.
- 151. "Fluctuations and long-term in the relative densities of tetraonid populations in Finland, 1964-77". NERC Centre for Population Biology, Imperial College, The Global Population Dynamics Database v2.0. (Available at http://www3.imperial.ac.uk/cpb/databases/gpdd, accessed 2012).
- 152. "Animal Demography Unit Coordinated Waterbird Counts (CWAC) AfrOBIS". (Available at http://www.iobis.org/, accessed 2012).
- 153. S. K. M. Ernest, T. J. Valone, J. H. Brown, Long-term monitoring and experimental manipulation of a Chihuahuan Desert ecosystem near Portal, Arizona, USA. Ecology 90, 1708 (2009).
- 154. P. Grant, An 11-year study of small mammal populations at Mont St. Hilaire, Quebec. Canadian Journal of Zoology 54, 2156 (1976).
- P. R. Grant, "An 11-year study of small mammal populations at Mont St. Hilaire, Quebec". NERC Centre for Population Biology, Imperial College (1976). The Global Population Dynamics Database v2.0. (Available at http://www3.imperial.ac.uk/cpb/databases/gpdd, accessed 2012).
- 156. M. Friggens, "Sevilleta LTER Small Mammal Population Data", Albuquerque, NM: Sevilleta Long Term Ecological Research Site Database: SEV008 (2008). (Available at http://sev.lternet.edu/data/sev-8, accessed 2012).
- 157. B. Vanholder, "Belgian Migrating Lepidoptera (1997)". (Available at http://www.club.innet.be/~pub00644, accessed 2012).
- 158. "Belgian Migrating Lepidoptera". NERC Centre for Population Biology, Imperial College, The Global Population Dynamics Database v2.0. (Available at http://www3.imperial.ac.uk/cpb/databases/gpdd, accessed 2012).
- N. Moore, The development of dragonfly communities and the consequences of territorial behaviour: A 27 year study on small ponds at Woodwalton Fen, Cambridgeshire, United Kingdom. Odonatologica 20, 203 (1991).
- N. W. Moore, "The development of dragonfly communities and the consequences of territorial behaviour: A 27-year study on small ponds at Woodwalton Fen, Cambridgeshire, United Kingdom". NERC Centre for Population Biology, Imperial College (1991) The Global Population Dynamics Database Version 2.0. (Available at http://www3.imperial.ac.uk/cpb/databases/gpdd, accessed 2012).
- J. Jones, J. Miller, "Spatial and temporal distribution and abundance of moths in the Andrews Experimental Forest, 1994 to 2008". H. J. Andrews Experimental Forest. Forest Science Data Bank, Corvallis. (Available at http://andrewsforest.oregonstate.edu/data/abstract.cfm?dbcode=SA015, accessed 2013).
- 162. C. B. Halpern, J. A. Lutz. (2013) Data from: Canopy closure exerts weak controls on understory dynamics: a 30-year study of overstory–understory interactions. Dryad DigitalRepository doi:10.5061/dryad.1q88j

- 163. C. B. Halpern, J. A. Lutz, Canopy closure exerts weak controls on understory dynamics: a 30-year study of overstory–understory interactions. Ecological Monographs 83, 221 (2013).
- 164. C. Halpern, C. Dyrness, "Plant succession and biomass dynamics following logging and burning in the Andrews Experimental Forest Watersheds 1 and 3, 1962-Present". Long-Term Ecological Research. Forest Science Data Bank, Corvallis (2010). (Available: http://andrewsforest.oregonstate.edu/data/abstract.cfm?dbcode=TP073, accessed 2012).
- 165. L. Zachmann, C. Moffet, P. Adler, Mapped quadrats in sagebrush steppe: long-term data for analyzing demographic rates and plant–plant interactions. Ecology 91, 3427 (2010).
- 166. S. L. Webb, S. E. Scanga, Windstorm Disturbance without Patch Dynamics: Twelve Years of Change in a Minnesota Forest. Ecology 82, 893 (2001).
- 167. R. B. Waide, "Bird abundance point counts, El Verde Field Station, Puerto Rico: Luquillo Long Term Ecological Research Site Database: Data Set 23". (Available at http://luq.lternet.edu/data/luqmetadata23, accessed 2012).
- 168. C. P. Bloch, M. Willig, "Community Ecology of Land Snails Survey Dataset. San Juan, Puerto Rico: Luquillo Long Term Ecological Research Site Database: El Verde Grid Invertebrate data LTER DBAS107" (2007). (Available at http://luq.lternet.edu/data/luqmetadata107/7427, accessed 2012).
- 169. C. Fletcher, A. R. Kassim, "Pasoh Forest Dynamics Plot Data". (Available at http://www.ctfs.si.edu/site/Pasoh/, accessed 2013).
- 170. R. Sukumar, "Mudumalai Forest Dynamics Plot Data". (Available at http://www.ctfs.si.edu/site/Mudumalai/, accessed 2013).
- 171. S. P. Hubbell, R. Condit, R., R. B. Foster, "Barro Colorado Forest Census Plot Data (2005)". (Available at https://ctfs.arnarb.harvard.edu/webatlas/datasets/bci, accessed 2012).
- 172. R. Condit, Tropical Forest Census Plots. (Springer-Verlag and R. G. Landes Company, Berlin, Germany, and Georgetown, Texas, 1998).
- 173. R. Condit et al., The importance of demographic niches to tree diversity. Science 313, 98 (2006).
- 174. S. P. Hubbell et al., Light-gap disturbances, recruitment limitation, and tree diversity in a neotropical forest. Science 283, 554 (1999).

Acknowledgments: This work was funded by the ERC (BioTIME 250189). MD is grateful for support from the Scottish Funding Council (MASTS -grant reference HR09011) and AEM from the Royal Society. We are grateful to all the data providers for making data publicly available and to their funders: Belspo (Belgian Science Policy), U.S.National Science Foundation, U.S. NOAA Marine Fisheries Service under Grant Number NA11NMF4540174, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Government of Nunavut, Nunavut Wildlife Management Board, Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporated, Nunavut Emerging Fisheries Fund, Makivik Corporation, Smithsonian Institution, Atherton Seidell Grant Program, grants BSR-8811902, DEB 9411973, DEB 0080538, DEB 0218039, DEB 0620910 and DEB 0963447 from NSF to the Institute for Tropical Ecosystem Studies, University of Puerto Rico, and to the International Institute of Tropical Forestry USDA Forest Service, as part of the Luquillo Long-Term Ecological Research Program, the U.S. Forest Service (Dept. of Agriculture), University of Puerto Rico, the US National Science Foundation's Long-term Ecological Research program and Fishery Administration Agency, Council of Agriculture, Taiwan, Azores Fisheries Observer Program and the Center of the Institute of Marine Research (IMAR) of the University of the Azores. In addition data were provided by the HJ Andrews Experimental Forest research program, funded by the National Science Foundation's Long-Term Ecological Research Program (DEB 08-23380), US Forest Service Pacific Northwest Research Station, and Oregon State University. Cruise data were collected through the logistical efforts of the Australian Antarctic Division (AAD) and approved by the Australian Antarctic Research Advisory Committee (Projects 2208 and 2953), the Australian Antarctic Data Centre of the Australian Antarctic Division, Tasmania. We thank Peter Henderson from Pisces Conservation. We are also grateful to the databases that compile these data namely OBIS, Ecological Data Wiki, DATRAS and LTER. Finally, we are grateful to Olivia Mendivil-Ramos for advice on database design and Miguel Barbosa and three anonymous referees for comments on earlier versions of the manuscript. The rarefied time series used in our analysis are included as Supplementary Files S1 and S2.

- **Fig. 1** Distribution of the survey sites included in our analysis. Datasets are color-coded to reflect their climatic region: pink corresponds to global, royal blue to polar, turquoise to polar-temperate, green to temperate, dark yellow to temperate tropical and red to tropical. Details and citation information about the datasets are included in table S1.
- **Fig. 2** Temporal change in species richness (A) and species composition (B) as measured by Jaccard similarity between each sample and the first sample in the time series. Data points are represented by grey circles and models fitted by solid lines. The black line corresponds to a model where a single slope, but different intercepts, were fitted to all the time series, and is represented here with the mean intercept. The colored lines correspond to a model where each time series had a different slope and intercept. Color-coding corresponds to climatic regions as per in figure legend. Figure S10 presents a similar analysis for a different approach to rarefaction.
- **Fig. 3** Slope estimate distributions for species richness (A) and Jaccard similarity (B). Slope estimates (horizontal axis), r^2 (vertical axis) and number of data points in time series (bubble size) for each of the datasets. Bubbles are color coded as blue (positive slope), red (negative slope) and grey (non-significantly different from 0, see 13).





