## Publications of the Astronomical Society of Australia

http://journals.cambridge.org/PAS

Additional services for **Publications of the Astronomical Society of Australia**:

Email alerts: <u>Click here</u> Subscriptions: <u>Click here</u> Commercial reprints: <u>Click here</u> Terms of use : <u>Click here</u>



# Detection, Size, Measurement, and Structural Analysis Limits for the 2MASS, UKIDSS-LAS, and VISTA VIKING Surveys

Stephen K. Andrews, Lee S. Kelvin, Simon P. Driver and Aaron S. G. Robotham

Publications of the Astronomical Society of Australia / Volume 31 / January 2014 / e004 DOI: 10.1017/pasa.2013.41, Published online: 02 January 2014

Link to this article: http://journals.cambridge.org/abstract\_S1323358013000416

#### How to cite this article:

Stephen K. Andrews, Lee S. Kelvin, Simon P. Driver and Aaron S. G. Robotham (2014). Detection, Size, Measurement, and Structural Analysis Limits for the 2MASS, UKIDSS-LAS, and VISTA VIKING Surveys . Publications of the Astronomical Society of Australia, 31, e004 doi:10.1017/pasa.2013.41

Request Permissions : Click here



# Detection, Size, Measurement, and Structural Analysis Limits for the 2MASS, UKIDSS-LAS, and VISTA VIKING Surveys

Stephen K. Andrews<sup>1,4</sup>, Lee S. Kelvin<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Simon P. Driver<sup>1,2</sup> and Aaron S. G. Robotham<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>International Center for Radio Astronomy Research, 7 Fairway, The University of Western Australia, Crawley, Perth, Western Australia 6009, Australia

<sup>2</sup>School of Physics and Astronomy, University of St. Andrews, North Haugh, St. Andrews, Fife, KY16 9SS, UK

<sup>3</sup>Institut für Astro- und Teilchenphysik, Universität Innsbruck, Technikerstraße 25, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria

(RECEIVED August 22, 2013; ACCEPTED November 5, 2013)

#### Abstract

The 2MASS, UKIDSS-LAS, and VISTA VIKING surveys have all now observed the GAMA 9hr region in the  $K_s$  band. Here we compare the detection rates, photometry, basic size measurements, and single-component GALFIT structural measurements for a sample of 37 591 galaxies. We explore the sensitivity limits where the data agree for a variety of issues including: detection, star–galaxy separation, photometric measurements, size and ellipticity measurements, and Sérsic measurements. We find that 2MASS fails to detect at least 20% of the galaxy population within all magnitude bins, however for those that are detected we find photometry is robust ( $\pm$  0.2 mag) to 14.7 AB mag and star–galaxy separation to 14.8 AB mag. For UKIDSS-LAS we find incompleteness starts to enter at a flux limit of 18.9 AB mag, star–galaxy separation is robust to 16.3 AB mag, and structural measurements are robust to 17.7 AB mag. VISTA VIKING data are complete to approximately 20.0 AB mag and structural measurements appear robust to 18.8 AB mag.

Keywords: galaxies: fundamental parameters – infrared: galaxies – surveys

#### **1 INTRODUCTION**

Many important extra-galactic measurements rely on robust measurement of the fluxes and structural properties for large samples of galaxies (e.g. Allen et al. 2006, Simard et al. 2011, Lackner & Gunn 2012, Kelvin et al. 2012). Examples include the bivariate brightness distribution (e.g. Smith et al. 2009), the mass-size relation (e.g. Williams et al. 2010), the Tully-Fisher relation (e.g. Mocz et al. 2012), and the fundamental plane along with studies of galaxy formation, evolution, and internal structure (e.g. Gunawardhana et al. 2011). In the current data-rich era, it is typically the systematic rather than random errors which now dominate this process. The drive to reduce systematic errors and further constrain models therefore demands high-precision galaxy photometry and high-fidelity spatial profiling, hence the need for more sensitive imagery with greater spatial resolution over substantive areas of sky. To this extent, a number of wide-field surveys such as the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; York et al. 2000; Abazajian et al. 2009), the 2-Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS; Skrutskie et al. 2006), the Panoramic Survey Telescope and Rapid Response System (Pan-STARRS), the Galaxy Evolution Explorer (GALEX; Martin et al. 2005) Medium Imaging Survey (MIS; Morrissey et al. 2007), the

VLT Survey Telescope (VST) Kilo-Degree Survey (KIDS; Arnaboldi et al. 2007), the UKIRT (UK InfraRed Telescope) Infrared Deep Sky Survey (UKIDSS; Lawrence et al. 2007), and the VISTA (Visible and Infrared Survey Telescope for Astronomy) Kilo-degree INfrared Galaxy survey (VIKING; Arnaboldi et al. 2007) have been initiated with the aim of probing large areas of the sky deeper than preceding surveys at a variety of wavelengths. In particular, the advances in the near infrared (NIR) have been rapid and substantial, progressing from 2MASS to UKIDSS and currently VISTA VIKING.

Measuring the total flux from a galaxy, particularly in the NIR, is not a trivial undertaking—beyond a certain radius, the surface brightness drops sufficiently to be indistinguishable from background noise. Simple but crude aperture photometry methods such as setting a fixed aperture size or detection threshold ignores this problem completely. Other, more complex methods, such as those suggested by Kron (1980) or Petrosian (1976), are subject to bias with galaxy morphology (Graham & Driver 2005b). An alternative approach is to fit a light profile and integrate to infinity or some fixed number of effective radii (e.g. Kelvin et al. 2012). One general and commonly used profile is the Sérsic profile (Sérsic 1963; 1968; Graham & Driver 2005a). The Sérsic equation



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Email: sandrews.astro@gmail.com

describes how the intensity of light *I* from a galaxy varies as a function of radius *r* as follows:

$$I(r) = I_e \exp\left[-b_n \left(\left(\frac{r}{r_e}\right)^{1/n} - 1\right)\right],\tag{1}$$

where  $I_e$  is the intensity at the effective radius  $r_e$  which encloses half of the total light and n is the Sérsic index.  $b_n$  is such that  $\Gamma(2n) = 2\gamma(2n, b_n)$ , where  $\Gamma$  and  $\gamma$  are the complete and incomplete gamma functions respectively (Ciotti 1991). Notable Sérsic indices include the Gaussian n = 0.5 profile, the exponential n = 1 profile associated with galactic disks (Freeman 1970), and the de Vaucouleurs (1948) n = 4 profile initially proposed for elliptical galaxies.<sup>1</sup>

Sérsic parameters, magnitudes, and derived quantities can then be assembled as a function of distance and/or environment and compared to similarly defined samples drawn from numerical simulations. The Sérsic index and the bulge luminosity are particularly interesting measurements as they are known to be correlated with the mass of the central supermassive black hole (e.g. Graham & Driver 2007; Novak, Faber, & Dekel 2006; Vika et al. 2012; Savorgnan et al. 2013).

The Galaxy and Mass Assembly (GAMA) project (Driver et al. 2011) is a spectroscopic and multi-wavelength imaging survey of galaxies aimed at exploring the existence, evolution, and spatial extent of mass and energy distributions from kpc to Mpc scales. GAMA combines redshifts from the AAOmega spectrograph at the 3.9 m Anglo-Australian Telescope, optical imaging from SDSS and NIR imaging from the Large Area Survey component of UKIDSS (UKIDSS-LAS) in three 12 (RA)  $\times$  5 (Dec) degree equatorial regions centred around  $09^h$ ,  $12^h$ , and  $14.5^h$  and (G09, G12, and G15 respectively). In the future, the GAMA team will ingest data from GALEX MIS (UV), VST KIDS (optical), VISTA VIKING (NIR), WISE (mid infrared), Herschel-ATLAS (far infrared), ASKAP DINGO (21 cm), and GMRT (325 MHz) and observe additional regions in the southern sky. In this paper, we use the GAMA dataset and processing pipeline to establish  $K_{\rm s}$ -band limits to which various photometric and structural measurements, made using 2MASS, UKIDSS-LAS, and VISTA VIKING data, are reliable.

The structure of this paper is as follows. We give a brief overview of the leading wide-area NIR surveys—2MASS, UKIDSS-LAS, and VISTA VIKING—in Section 2. In Section 3, we describe how we standardised the data, assembled mosaics, estimated galaxy number counts, and recovered Sérsic profile fits and photometry for a sample of 50 123 GAMA galaxies. In Section 4, we use these to derive qualitative and quantitative limits to which various photometric and structural parameters are reliable. These data should be useful for the design of future surveys based on these data. Magnitudes are given in the AB system unless stated otherwise.

PASA, 31, e004 (2014) doi:10.1017/pasa.2013.41

### 2 DATA

#### 2.1 2MASS

During the period June 1997–February 2001, the 2MASS project (Skrutskie et al. 2006) surveyed 99.998% of the sky in the NIR. Each area of sky was viewed for 7.8 s in the JHK<sub>s</sub> bands using two 1.3 m telescopes located at Whipple Observatory, USA and Cerro Tololo, Chile. Each telescope was equipped with three  $256 \times 256$  pixel Rockwell CCDs with a pixel scale of 2 arcsec per pixel. The three bands were imaged simultaneously. The survey achieved a limiting (AB) magnitude of J = 16.7 mag, H = 16.5 mag, and  $K_e =$ 16.2 mag at  $10\sigma$ ,  $1\sigma$  photometric uncertainty of <0.03 mag, an astrometric accuracy of  $\sim 0.1$  arcsec, and typical seeing full width at half maximum (FWHM) of 2.5-3 arcsec. In addition to images covering most of the NIR sky, the survey also produced a 471 million object point source catalogue and an extended source catalogue of 1.6 million objects. The 2MASS All-Sky Data Release, being the entire 2MASS dataset, was released to the public in 2003 March<sup>2</sup>. 2MASS has been responsible for over 1000 publications, with an aggregate of more than 32 000 citations (Driver 2013).

#### 2.2 UKIDSS-LAS

UKIDSS was a seven year, five survey programme which commenced in May 2005 utilising the Wide Field CAMera (WFCAM) on the 3.8 m UKIRT atop Mauna Kea, Hawaii (Lawrence et al. 2007). UKIDSS-LAS aimed to provide an NIR complement to SDSS, overlapping stripes 9–16, 26– 33, and part of stripe 82. UKIDSS-LAS covered 4028 deg<sup>2</sup> of sky in the*YJHK*<sub>s</sub> bands to limiting magnitudes of Y =20.9 mag, J = 20.8 mag (after two passes), H = 20.0 mag, and  $K_s = 20.1$  mag at  $5\sigma$ . UKIDSS-LAS achieved a typical seeing FWHM of <1.2 arcsec, a photometric uncertainty of <0.02 mag, and an astrometric accuracy of 0.1 arcsec.

WFCAM (Casali et al. 2007) is an array of four 2048  $\times$  2048 pixel Rockwell CCDs with a pixel scale of 0.4 arcsec per pixel. Raw images, or 'pawprints', are compressed and stored using one FITS binary HDU per CCD. Four pawprints are stitched together to form a contiguous image called a 'tile', covering a 0.78 deg<sup>2</sup> field of view (including overlaps) with a combined exposure time of 40 s. UKIDSS calibration is tied at bright magnitudes to 2MASS (see Hodgekin et al. 2009).

#### 2.3 VISTA VIKING

The VISTA VIKING survey (Arnaboldi et al. 2007), commenced in April 2010 and utilises the VISTA InfraRed Camera (VIRCAM; Dalton et al. 2006) on the 4m VISTA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For a comparison of Kron, Petrosian and Sérsic magnitudes and how they vary with central concentration, see Hill et al. (2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The data are accessible at http://www.ipac.caltech.edu/2mass/releases/ allsky/.

telescope, located at Paranal, Chile, and operated by ESO. VIKING will image 1500 deg<sup>2</sup> of sky in the *ZYJHK<sub>s</sub>* bands to target limiting magnitudes of Z = 23.1 mag, Y = 22.3 mag, J = 22.1 mag, H = 21.5 mag, and  $K_s = 21.2$  mag at  $5\sigma$ . VIKING is targeting a seeing FWHM of <1.0 arcsec.

VIRCAM is a  $4 \times 4$  array of  $2048 \times 2048$  pixel Raytheon CCDs with a pixel scale of 0.339 arcsec per pixel. Six pawprints, exposed for 10 s each, are assembled into a VISTA tile, which has a field of view 1.65 deg in diameter. Most of the sky in a given tile is covered at least twice.

Both UKIDSS-LAS and VIKING data are processed using the Vista Data Flow System (Emerson et al. 2004), with final data products being stored in the WFCAM Science Archive (WSA)<sup>3</sup> and the VISTA Science Archive (VSA)<sup>4</sup> respectively (Hambly et al. 2008). VISTA calibration is also tied at bright magnitudes to 2MASS.

#### **3 IMAGE ACQUISITION AND PROCESSING**

For this work, we use a subset of the G09 region above 0° declination. This region, and the coverage of the surveys within it are illustrated in Figure 1. We downloaded 1500 2MASS tiles from the 2MASS All-Sky Data Release and 315 early release VIKING pawprints from VSA containing  $K_s$  band imagery of G09 (centred on  $09^h00^m30^s.0 + 00^\circ15'00".0$ ). 928 UKIDSS-LAS images from the fourth data release were obtained from WSA and processed by Hill et al. (2011). We did not use VISTA VIKING tile images from VSA because they were constructed without background subtraction and therefore exhibit significant systematic large-scale sky variations.

To process the VISTA VIKING and 2MASS images into single large-area mosaics, we applied a procedure similar to that detailed in Hill et al. (2011), which we summarise below.

We use a custom pipeline to extract the exposure time (t), airmass ( $a = \sec \chi_{mean} - 1 = (AM_{START} + AM_{END})/2$ ), and extinction (ext) from the FITS header for each image HDU. We determine an AB magnitude zero point for VISTA VIKING images using the equation:

$$ZP_{total} = ZP_{mag} + 2.5 \log(t) - ext \times a + ABV_{K},$$
 (2)

where  $ABV_K = 1.9$  mag is the AB magnitude of Vega in the  $K_s$  band (Hewitt et al. 2006). The 2MASS dataset is already calibrated for exposure time; in this case the AB zero point is given by:

$$ZP_{total} = ZP_{mag} - ext \times a + ABV_{K}.$$
 (3)

We convert each image to a common zero point of 1 ADU = 30 mag in 1 s by multiplying each pixel by a constant  $10^{-0.4(ZP_{total}-30)}$ . The sky noise, sky background, read

<sup>3</sup> http://surveys.roe.ac.uk/wsa/

<sup>4</sup> http://horus.roe.ac.uk/vsa/

PASA, 31, e004 (2014) doi:10.1017/pasa.2013.41



Figure 1. Coverage of the G09 region above 0° declination in the three surveys—top: 2MASS, centre: UKIDSS-LAS, bottom: VISTA VIKING.

noise, and saturation threshold were scaled by the same factor and the gain was adjusted to keep the number of electrons unaltered.

We assembled mosaics of the renormalised images using the swARP (v2.19.1) utility (Bertin et al. 2002). swARP is a multithread-capable image stitching, stacking, and warping tool. The construction of mosaics minimises the effect of objects being split across frames and differing zero points between frames. As we intend to match objects between surveys using astrometric measurement, it is imperative we create perfectly matched mosaics. We resample the images using the LANCZOS3 algorithm to a pixel scale of  $0.339 \times$ 0.339 arcsec in the TAN projection system (Calabretta & Greisen 2002), generating a 204500  $\times$  79700 pixel image centred around  $09^h00^m30s.0 + 00^\circ15'00''.0$ . We set SWARP to subtract the background using a mesh of  $512 \times 512$  pixels  $(1024 \times 1024 \text{ arcsec}, 205 \times 205 \text{ arcsec}, and 174 \times 174$ arcsec for 2MASS, UKIDSS-LAS, and VISTA VIKING data respectively) and a background filter size of  $3 \times 3$  meshes. The mosaics are  $\sim 60 \text{ GB}$  in size.

#### 3.1 Qualitative inspection

Figure 2 shows  $K_s$  band cutouts from these mosaics for a selection of G09 galaxies with  $r_{\text{Petrosian}} < 15 \text{ mag}$  (as defined by the GAMA database; Driver et al. 2011). Figure 3 presents cutouts of the first four galaxies in Figure 2 combined with corresponding cutouts from existing resampled GAMA SDSS DR6 mosaics (Hill et al. 2011) to produce  $K_s ig$  (=RGB) false colour images. The signal to noise ratio (S/N) and spatial resolution of the VISTA VIKING data are comparable to SDSS and are a marked improvement over UKIDSS-LAS, which in turn improves over 2MASS. The non-detection of the bottom (GAMA ID 600618) galaxy in the 2MASS dataset underscores the importance increased depth plays in the detection of low surface brightness (LSB) galaxies and galaxy components such as disks. Indeed, Bell et al. (2003) observe that non-detections of diskdominated systems are a widespread phenomenon in the 2MASS dataset. The increase in depth between UKIDSS-LAS and VISTA VIKING is also clear with the non-detection of the disks of some galaxies and the increased visual size of the ellipticals despite identical scaling.

To estimate the randomness of background noise, we visually selected 11 empty  $7 \times 7$  pixel regions from the mosaics and computed the standard deviation of the mean pixel value of each region, which we denote as q. We compared this against a similar calculation after randomly swapping pixels between regions. A  $q/q_{swapped}$  of 1 is indicative of perfectly uncorrelated noise while  $q/q_{swapped} >> 1$  alludes to non-pixel scale systematic variations. 2MASS images show a high correlation between adjacent pixels  $(q/q_{swapped} \sim 7)$  due to significant upsampling in the mosaic creation process; this is readily apparent in Figures 2 and 3. Surprisingly, VISTA VIKING  $(q/q_{swapped} \sim 2.7)$  exhibits higher background correlation than UKIDSS-LAS  $(q/q_{swapped} \sim 1)$  with  $q_{VIKING} <$  $q_{\rm UKIDSS}$  by about 10% prior to the swap. This appears to be the result of vastly lower random noise levels in the VISTA VIKING data-systematic variations in noise intrinsic to the VIRCAM detector on the  $\sim 10$  pixel scale have become important. These variations are comparable to or smaller than the galaxies we wish to study, hence removing them without impacting measurements of galaxy flux is extremely difficult.

#### 3.2 Surface photometry

We use SIGMA (Structural Investigation of Galaxies via Model Analysis; Kelvin et al. 2012), part of the GAMA pipeline, to calculate Sérsic magnitudes, Sérsic indices, and half-light radii. SIGMA is a wrapper written in the R programming language (R Development Core Team 2010) around SEx-TRACTOR (Bertin & Arnouts 1996), PSFEX (Bertin 2011), and GALFIT 3 (Peng et al. 2010). Given the RA and DEC of a GAMA catalogued galaxy, SIGMA calculates its pixel position in the mosaic and produces a 1200 pixel square cutout centred on that galaxy. SIGMA invokes SEXTRACTOR to run on this cutout, producing a catalogue of stars and galaxies which are fed into PSFEx. PSFEx is a point spread function (PSF) extraction tool and is used here to generate an empirical PSF for the cutout.

Figure 4 shows the distribution of PSF FWHMs for the three surveys extracted by PSFEx. The 2MASS, UKIDSS-LAS, and VISTA VIKING surveys achieve  $3\sigma$  clipped median FWHMs of 2.69  $\pm$  0.05, 0.80  $\pm$  0.07, and 0.87  $\pm$  0.07 arcsec respectively. The spike at 0.8 for the 2MASS data is the result of fitting failures.

The PSF is used in a second SEXTRACTOR run to calculate the sky rms and an object's Kron-like (AUTO) magnitude and radius, half-light radius, ellipticity, and CLASS\_STAR probability, which are used as inputs to GALFIT. GALFIT is a 2D analysis algorithm capable of fitting multiple common astronomical profiles (e.g. Gaussian, Sérsic, exponential) to one or more objects with one or more components each within a single image frame. Within SIGMA, GALFIT is used to simultaneously fit a single Sérsic profile to the target galaxy and scaled PSFs or Sérsic profiles to secondary sources as appropriate. GALFIT does not estimate Poisson noise from the sources in question, however this should not be an issue for ground-based NIR observations.

We ran SIGMA on our SDSS r < 19.8 mag defined sample of 37 591 G09 objects that have a SURVEY\_CLASS  $\geq 2$ as defined by the GAMA database (Driver et al. 2011, their Table 4). These objects are derived from SDSS DR8 and have passed GAMA's standard star/galaxy separation criteria (for details, see Baldry et al. 2010). We constrain ellipticity<sup>5</sup> to 0 < e < 0.95 and Sérsic indices to 0.3 < n < 15. In calculating Sérsic magnitudes, we integrate the Sérsic function (Equation 1) to  $10r_e$ ; this avoids regions where little is known about galaxy light profiles.

SIGMA failed to converge for 8849 (23.5%), 1037 (2.8%), and 248 (0.7%) objects in the 2MASS, UKIDSS-LAS, and VISTA VIKING datasets respectively. Figure 5 shows the distribution of the entire sample as a function of the native Sérsic magnitude excluding convergence failures (upper panel) and objects for which fitting failed with respect to the input SDSS magnitude (middle panel). The increased failure rate at brighter magnitudes may be indicative of a deblending issue exacerbated by small number statistics; for

<sup>5</sup> e = 1 - b/a, where a = semi-major axis, and b = semi-minor axis

doi:10.1017/pasa.2013.41

PASA, 31, e004 (2014)



**Figure 2.** *K<sub>s</sub>* band images of a sample of G09 galaxies; left: 2MASS, centre: UKIDSS-LAS, right: VISTA VIKING; from left to right then top to bottom: GAMA IDs 204839, 205085, 209698, 214250, 214363, 216670, 278390, 278802, 278847, 279905, 279908, 303010, 303096, 325007, 3768802, 380578, 526797, and 600168. The cutout radius is 15 arcsec.

PASA, 31, e004 (2014) doi:10.1017/pasa.2013.41

CAMBRIDGE JOURNALS

**Figure 3.**  $K_s ig = RGB$  false colour images of the first four galaxies (GAMA IDs 204839, 205085, 209698, and 214250) in Figure 2; left: 2MASS, centre: UKIDSS-LAS, right: VISTA VIKING.



Figure 4. Histogram of measured PSF FWHMs in 0.02 arcsec bins for 2MASS, UKIDSS-LAS, and VISTA VIKING as indicated.

 $K_s < 14$  mag there are no more than 40 galaxies per bin. The 2MASS data show an elevated failure rate for  $K_s > 16.5$  mag, increasing from 10% to 30%. The VISTA VIKING data perform notably better to the UKIDSS-LAS data over most of the sample with a failure rate of 0 - 4% compared to 0.05 - 5% and demonstrates an improvement for objects with r > 17.2 mag. The failure rate in UKIDSS-LAS increases be-

PASA, 31, e004 (2014) doi:10.1017/pasa.2013.41



**Figure 5.** The distribution of galaxies as a function of the native Sérsic magnitude (top) and failed fits in the G09 sample as a function of SDSS  $r_{\text{Petrosian}}$  (middle) in intervals of  $\Delta m = 0.2$  mag; green: 2MASS, red: UKIDSS-LAS, blue: VISTA VIKING. The bottom panel shows the relative fraction of objects where the flux uncertainty exceeds  $3\sigma$ . The solid line represents the expected number (~1%) of said outliers.

yond 1% at r = 18.9 mag while VISTA VIKING shows no such increase within the sample.

The bottom panel of Figure 5 shows the number of  $3\sigma$  outliers, where  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation of  $K_x - K_v$  (where x = 2MASS, UKIDSS-LAS) in each bin. The fitting failures discussed above have been excluded from this panel. A likely explanation for the increase in outliers at the bright end is the detection of previously undetectable disks in VISTA VIKING. The two surveys perform similarly, with 2MASS performing slightly better—the number of  $3\sigma$  outliers rises above the expected 1% threshold at 18.5 mag for 2MASS compared to 18.3 mag for UKIDSS-LAS. However, the random uncertainty in 2MASS magnitudes for  $K_s > 15$  mag is at least 2.5 times larger than UKIDSS-LAS. Furthermore, the number of objects where SIGMA fails to converge is over



Figure 6. Probability of being a star (CLASS\_STAR) vs. SEXTRACTOR's Kron-like (AUTO) K, band magnitude; top: 2MASS, middle: UKIDSS-LAS, bottom: VISTA VIKING. The red lines illustrate star-galaxy separation criteria; items to the left and below the red line are considered to be galaxies.

10 times greater for 2MASS than UKIDSS-LAS for  $K_s >$ 17.2 mag.

#### 4 ROBUSTNESS OF FLUX AND STRUCTURE **MEASUREMENTS**

#### 4.1 Star-galaxy separation limits

Figure 6 shows SEXTRACTOR'S CLASS\_STAR probability versus the  $K_s$  band AUTO magnitude. We find that we can unambiguously distinguish between galaxies and stars to 14.8 mag, 16.3 mag, and 16.9 mag for 2MASS, UKIDSS-LAS, and VISTA VIKING respectively, as indicated by the blue horizontal line. However, star-galaxy separation per-

PASA, 31, e004 (2014) doi:10.1017/pasa.2013.41





Figure 7. Galaxy counts as a function of magnitude, binned in  $\Delta m =$ 0.5 mag intervals.

forms poorly in the VISTA VIKING dataset for very bright objects due to saturated stars with  $K_s \leq 13$  mag, illustrating that increased sensitivity typically comes with the drawback of a fainter saturation magnitude if the exposure time remains constant. The red lines in the figure show our stargalaxy separation criteria. We generally consider objects with CLASS\_STAR <0.8 to be galaxies however, to exclude saturated stars, we reject UKIDSS objects that do not satisfy CLASS\_STAR <0.6 and  $K_s$  >13 mag. We reject VISTA VIKING objects with  $K_s$ -2 CLASS\_STAR < 12.2 mag for the same reason.

#### 4.2 Source detection

For source extraction, we run SEXTRACTOR on the mosaics generated by SWARP. SEXTRACTOR is a programme that builds catalogues of sources, their positions, and Petrosian and Kron-like fluxes from an input image. We use a detection threshold of  $2\sigma$  above the background, a minimum object size (SEXTRACTOR parameter DETECT\_MINAREA) of 175, 7, and 5 pixels to maintain a detection area threshold of 5 native pixels and a seeing FWHM of 2.69, 0.80, and 0.87 arcsec for 2MASS, UKIDSS-LAS, and VISTA VIKING respectively. We select objects with SEXTRACTOR FLAGS < 4 to ensure reliable photometry. This resulted in a total of 54 412, 558 748, and 1 438 461 sources for 2MASS, UKIDSS-LAS, and VISTA VIKING respectively.

Figure 7 shows galaxy number counts as a function of the  $K_s$  band AUTO magnitude for the three datasets. The distributions peak at 16.3 mag, 19.9 mag, and 21.1 mag for the 2MASS, UKIDSS-LAS, and VISTA VIKING datasets respectively. However, in each case, the distribution deviates slightly from the observed exponential increase due to



Figure 8. Raw apparent surface brightness as a function of magnitude of all VISTA VIKING sources, with density scaling logarithmically from sparsest (white) to densest (black). Bin sizes are  $0.25 \times 0.25$ .

incompleteness, presumably caused by extended or distant objects falling below the isophotal detection threshold. To account for this effect, we set our inferred detection limits (indicated by the vertical dashed lines) 0.5 mag brighter; hence our nominal  $2\sigma$  detection limits for 2MASS, UKIDSS-LAS, and VISTA VIKING are 15.8 mag, 19.4 mag, and 20.6 mag respectively. We note the VISTA VIKING data are sensitive enough to detect the change in logarithmic slope at  $K_s \sim 19$  mag (see Christóbal-Hornillos et al. 2009). G09 is 29% underdense out to z < 0.1 (Driver et al. 2011), therefore there are fewer bright objects than expected.

#### 4.3 Surface brightness limits

Figure 8 shows the raw apparent surface brightness/apparent magnitude relation of sources detected in the VISTA VIKING dataset. We calculate the effective (or half-light) surface brightness of an object using Equation A1 of Driver et al. (2005):

$$\mu_{\rm app} = K_s + 2.5 \log 2\pi \, (r_e^2 - 0.32 \Gamma^2), \tag{4}$$

where  $K_s$  is the  $K_s$  band AUTO magnitude,  $r_e$  is the SEX-TRACTOR derived half-light radius (FLUX\_RADIUS) in arcsec, and  $\Gamma$  is the seeing FWHM peak from Figure 4. The diagonal feature in the top centre represents stars that were not excluded by our CLASS\_STAR cuts. This is because we have assumed a constant mean seeing across the mosaic. Some contributing frames will have an FWHM less than this, leading to stars potentially being misclassified. Objects to the right and above of the stellar locus are cosmic rays and noise, while the horizontal streak at  $22 < \mu_{app} < 23.5$  mag

PASA, 31, e004 (2014) doi:10.1017/pasa.2013.41



**Figure 9.** Apparent surface brightness as a function of magnitude for all three datasets, with density scaling linearly from sparsest (white) to densest (black). The red horizontal lines represent the surface brightness detection threshold. The contours contain 99.999, 99.99, and 99.9% of the data respectively. Bin sizes are  $0.25 \times 0.25$ .

arcsec<sup>-2</sup> represents noise detections at the surface brightness limit. To exclude these in number counts, we require sources to have  $\mu_{app} < 22.1$  mag arcsec<sup>-2</sup> and  $\mu_{app} > 15.5$ ,  $K_s - 1.5$ , 18 mag arcsec<sup>-2</sup> for  $K_s < 14$  mag,  $14 < K_s < 16.5$  mag, and  $K_s > 16.5$  mag respectively. These cuts are indicated by the red lines.

Figure 9 shows the apparent surface brightness/apparent magnitude relation of galaxies for the three datasets. Both UKIDSS-LAS and 2MASS datasets have been matched



**Figure 10.** 2D histogram of the differences between the AUTO magnitudes derived from the 2MASS (top) and UKIDSS-LAS (bottom) datasets and VISTA VIKING as a function of the VISTA VIKING derived AUTO magnitude with  $3\sigma$  clipped statistics for  $13 < K_s < 16$  inset. Density varies logarithmically from white (sparsest) to black (densest). Bin sizes are 0.2 mag  $\times$  0.1 mag. The histograms on the right show counts as a function of  $\Delta m$  with bin size 0.05. The error bars show the median and standard deviation in each 0.5 mag interval.

against the cleaned VISTA VIKING dataset and for  $K_s < 18$  mag, we require a source to be detected as a galaxy in both UKIDSS-LAS and VISTA VIKING. We note that SEX-TRACTOR half-light radii are overestimated using the 2MASS dataset by a median factor of 1.94, presumably due to low resolution and smearing. This is corrected for in the figure. The apparent surface brightness limits are 19.9 mag arcsec<sup>-2</sup>, 21.9 mag arcsec<sup>-2</sup>, and 22.1 mag arcsec<sup>-2</sup> for 2MASS, UKIDSS-LAS, and VISTA VIKING respectively. These will, of course, translate to brighter absolute surface brightness limits depending on each object's individual redshift.

#### 4.4 Photometric accuracy limits

Figure 10 compares the consistency of SEXTRACTOR photometry derived from the 2MASS and UKIDSS-LAS datasets relative to the VISTA VIKING dataset. To calibrate for the difference between the 2MASS and UKIDSS versions of the  $K_s$  filters, we use the colour equations and the  $H_{AB} - K_{AB}$ offset of -0.5 mag in Hewitt et al. (2006) and a typical  $H_{Vega} - K_{Vega} \approx 0.25$  mag (Bell et al. 2003).

The 2MASS dataset struggles for objects fainter than  $K_s = 16$  mag, where objects are consistently brighter compared to VISTA VIKING presumably due to low resolution and noise. Despite this, the 2MASS dataset shows agreement to  $\pm 0.1$  mag and  $\pm 0.2$  mag (1  $\sigma$ ,  $3\sigma$  clipped) to  $K_s = 13.1$  mag and 15.3 mag respectively. The corresponding limits for UKIDSS-LAS are 16.3 mag and 18.3 mag. These limits are

PASA, 31, e004 (2014) doi:10.1017/pasa.2013.41

**Table 1.** Maximum precision and  $1\sigma$  limiting magnitudes for the given  $\Delta m$ .

		Mag limit for:		
Survey	$\operatorname{Min}\Delta m$	≤0.1 mag	≤0.2 mag	
2MASS	0.05	13.1	15.3	
UKIDSS	0.07	16.3	18.3	



**Figure 11.** Ratio of half-light radii as a function of the VISTA VIKING  $K_s$  magnitude. Points are coloured according to their VISTA VIKING half-light radius. The black points, lines, and error bars show  $3\sigma$  clipped medians, standard deviations, and standard errors plotted at bin midpoints.

given in Table 1. There appears to be a non-trivial ( $\sim 0.15$  mag) offset between UKIDSS-LAS and VISTA VIKING magnitudes, which decreases as a function of magnitude. A similar, but not statistically significant offset is observed when comparing Sérsic magnitudes between UKIDSS-LAS and VISTA VIKING for the SDSS sample. The cause of this offset and its variance as a function of magnitude is currently under investigation with one suggested cause being linearity issues with the calibration of at least one of the detectors (Driver et al., in prep).

#### 4.5 Structural accuracy limits

#### 4.5.1 Half-light radius

Figure 11 shows the ratio of half-light radii derived from SIGMA photometry compared to the VIKING half-light radius. Although galaxies appear to be bigger as depth increases (see Figure 2), this is a visual illusion caused by the fainter background. In fact, the effective radius from UKIDSS-LAS to VISTA VIKING stays constant with the median deviation

**Table 2.** Limiting magnitudes for the given precision (in dex) in half-light radii.

Survey	$\operatorname{Min}\Delta r_e$	≤0.1	≤0.2	≤0.3
2MASS UKIDSS-LAS	0.16 0.09	18.3	16.1 19.0	16.9 19.6



**Figure 12.** Ratio of ellipticities as a function of the VISTA VIKING  $K_s$  magnitude. Points are coloured according to their VISTA VIKING ellipticity. The black points, lines, and error bars show  $3\sigma$  clipped medians, standard deviations, and standard errors plotted at bin midpoints.

being statistically insignificant over entire sample:  $-0.027 \pm 0.105$  ( $3\sigma$  clipped). The half-light radius from 2MASS to VISTA VIKING also shows a small deviation in the Sérsic parameters, with a median deviation of  $0.14 \pm 0.43$ . This suggests the Sérsic fits are reasonable, and the increased apparent size is merely a result of decreased background and noise. Considering these caveats, the half-light radius is surprisingly robust: both 2MASS and UKIDSS half-light radii are within 0.2 dex of VIKING up to 16.1 mag and 19.0 mag respectively. Table 2 shows the limiting magnitude for errors of 0.1 dex, 0.2 dex, and 0.3 dex.

#### 4.5.2 Ellipticity

Figure 12 shows the ratio of SIGMA derived ellipticities relative to the VISTA VIKING dataset. It should not be a surprise that objects with low ellipticity tend to have large fluctuations between datasets; this is due to the greater relative error. Beyond  $K_s > 15$  mag, 2MASS galaxies appear to be consistently more elliptical than the VISTA VIKING data, presumably because of a combination of noise and low resolution. For

PASA, 31, e004 (2014) doi:10.1017/pasa.2013.41

**Table 3.** Limiting magnitudes for the given precision (in dex) in ellipticity.

		Mag li	Mag limit for:	
Survey	$\operatorname{Min}\Delta e$	≤0.1	≤0.2	≤0.3
2MASS	0.12	17.0	14.0	15.1

this reason 2MASS data perform rather poorly—errors increase to >0.2 dex at  $K_s = 14.0$  mag. UKIDSS-LAS is within 0.2 dex of VISTA VIKING ellipticities down 18.4 mag. Table 3 shows the limiting magnitude corresponding to the given precisions.

#### 4.5.3 Sérsic index

Figure 13 presents a histogram of the recovered Sérsic indices for each dataset. The number of objects with the Gaussian n = 0.5 profile declines from 2MASS to UKIDSS-LAS then VISTA VIKING, indicating a greater number of objects are resolved in the latter datasets, particularly at the fainter magnitudes. Kelvin et al. (2012) find that the distribution of Sérsic indices in optical wavelengths is bimodal, resembling the sum of Gaussian distributions centred on  $n \sim 1$  and  $n \sim 1$ 3.5 which correspond to late and early type galaxies respectively. The two peaks blend with increasing wavelength-NIR data are a more effective probe of older stellar populations that dominate early type galaxies and bulges while optical data tracks younger stellar populations more prevalent in late type galaxies. Interestingly, it appears that using the deeper VISTA VIKING dataset shifts the peaks outwards towards the exponential n = 1 and de Vaucouleurs n = 4 profiles. In particular, there are relatively more high Sérsic index objects at  $15 < K_s < 18$ . This is a consequence of increased S/N and hence more robust sky modelling in the VIKING dataset (see Figures 2 and 14). Figure 14 demonstrates that using VISTA VIKING over UKIDSS-LAS does not result in the detection of objects at fainter magnitudes for a given Sérsic index, this may reflect a shortcoming of our sample. The 2MASS dataset does not display the double-peaked distribution at any magnitude within the sample presumably due to the lack of resolution; furthermore the bright sky makes disk (n = 1) detection difficult.

Figure 15 shows the ratio of Sérsic indices as a function of the Sérsic magnitude. It is clear from the figure that the 2MASS dataset is useless even for the brightest ( $K_s <$ 14 mag) galaxies, consistently underestimating the Sérsic index due to lack of resolution. At fainter magnitudes, galaxies in the sample transition toward becoming unresolved point sources, and hence having a Gaussian profile—in Figure 13 we see the distribution peaking at lower Sérsic indices with fainter magnitudes. This transition occurs at  $K_s \sim 17$  mag for both the UKIDSS-LAS and VISTA VIKING datasets. There is no systematic offset when comparing UKIDSS-LAS against VISTA VIKING. We find the Sérsic indices



Figure 13. Histograms of Sérsic indices in the given Sérsic magnitude ranges for the three datasets convolved with a rectangular kernel of width  $\log n = 0.05$ ; green: 2MASS, red: UKIDSS-LAS, blue: VISTA VIKING.

are remarkably robust, being consistent to 0.2 dex down to 17.7 mag. Table 4 shows limiting magnitudes for the given precisions.

PASA, 31, e004 (2014) doi:10.1017/pasa.2013.41

**Table 4.** Limiting magnitudes for the given precision (in dex) in Sérsic index.

		Mag limit for:			
Survey	Min $\Delta n$	≤0.1	≤0.2	≤0.3	
2MASS UKIDSS-LAS	0.08	16.4	 17.7	18.4	



**Figure 14.** 2D histogram of the distribution of recovered Sérsic indices as a function of  $K_s$  linearly ranging from white (sparsest) to black (densest), with bin sizes of 0.2 mag × 0.08 in log *n*.

#### **5 SUMMARY**

Throughout this paper, we have demonstrated the benefits of applying galaxy photometry and profiling to more sensitive datasets. Table 5 summarises our findings. We have constructed renormalised mosaics of 2MASS, UKIDSS-LAS, and VISTA VIKING imagery of the G09 region. Using these mosaics, we establish in Figures 2 and 3 that the VISTA VIKING data are visually superior, with a higher S/N and lower sky background.

We apply SEXTRACTOR'S star-galaxy separation to the three datasets, finding that using the VISTA VIKING data we are able to separate stars and galaxies to 0.5 mag fainter than UKIDSS-LAS and 1.5 mag fainter than 2MASS (see

CAMBRIDGE JOURNALS

**Table 5.** Summary of results: Limiting magnitudes and cumulative densities per  $deg^2$ .

	2MASS		UKIDSS		VIKING <sup>a</sup>	
Limits	Limit	Density <sup>b</sup>	Limit	Density <sup>b</sup>	Limit	Density <sup>b</sup>
PSF FWHM (arcsec)	2.7	_	0.80	_	0.87	
Star-galaxy separation limit <sup>d</sup>	14.8	18	16.3	130	16.9	280
Detection limit ( $r$ , 1% failure)	_	_	18.9	_	>19.8	_
Surface brightness <sup>e</sup>	18.6	_	21.3	_	22.1	_
Counts <sup>d</sup>	15.8	70	19.4	6700	20.6	21000
Photometric limit $(\Delta m \le 0.2 \text{ mag})^c$	14.7	12	18.5	1500	(19.6)	4900
Sérsic index $(\Delta n \le 0.2 \text{ dex})^c$	_	_	17.7	540	(18.8)	2100
Half-light radius $(\Delta r_e \leq 0.2 \text{ dex})^c$	18.3	1100	19.0	2600	(20.1)	8400
Ellipticity ( $\Delta e \leq 0.2$ dex) <sup>c</sup>	14.0	5.6	18.4	1300	(19.5)	4400

<sup>a</sup>Bracketed values inferred from UKIDSS-LAS by adding 1.1 mag.

<sup>b</sup>Cumulative densities in N per mag per deg<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>c</sup>Sérsic magnitude.

<sup>d</sup>AUTO magnitude.

<sup>e</sup>AUTO magnitude arcsec<sup>-2</sup>.



**Figure 15.** Ratio of Sérsic indices as a function of the VISTA VIKING derived Sérsic magnitude. Points are coloured according to their VISTA VIKING derived Sérsic index. The black points, lines, and error bars show  $3\sigma$  clipped medians, standard deviations, and standard errors plotted at bin midpoints.

Figure 6). We caution that star–galaxy separation performs poorly at brighter magnitudes ( $K_s < 14$  mag) in the VISTA VIKING data and, to a lesser extent, UKIDSS-LAS due to a lower saturation threshold. We establish SEXTRACTOR photometric limits of 15.8, 19.4, and 20.6 mag, star–galaxy separation limits of 14.8, 16.3, and 16.9 mag, and surface brightness limits of 18.6, 21.3, and 22.1 mag arcsec<sup>-2</sup> for 2MASS, UKIDSS-LAS, and VISTA VIKING respectively. We find that there is a ~ 0.15 mag offset between VISTA

PASA, 31, e004 (2014) doi:10.1017/pasa.2013.41

JOURNALS

VIKING and existing UKIDSS-LAS photometry which is currently under investigation.

We used SIGMA to fit Sérsic profiles to a sample of 37 591 SDSS galaxies with  $r_{\text{Petrosian}} \leq 19.8 \text{ mag}$ . Using the VISTA VIKING dataset yields a decrease in the number of convergence failures compared to UKIDSS-LAS for r>17.3 mag. The VISTA VIKING failure rate does not increase appreciably at the fainter end of the sample. The effective radius is the most stable of the Sérsic parameters, with the 2MASS and UKIDSS-LAS data being within 0.2 dex of the VISTA VIKING data down to 16.1 mag and 19.0 mag respectively. The 2MASS dataset performs poorly over most of the sample when recovering ellipticities, with the deviation from VISTA VIKING rising above 0.2 dex at  $K_s = 14.0$  mag. UKIDSS-LAS derived ellipticities are more stable, where the deviation increases beyond 0.2 dex at  $K_s = 18.4$  mag. The 2MASS dataset yields a systematic offset when compared to VISTA VIKING in the Sérsic index for the entire sample.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the anonymous referee whose comments helped improve this paper.

SKA is supported by a summer scholarship provided by the School of Physics at UWA. LSK is supported by the Austrian Science Foundation FWF under grant P23946.

GAMA is a joint European-Australasian project based around a spectroscopic campaign using the Anglo-Australian Telescope. The GAMA input catalogue is based on data taken from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey and the UKIRT Infrared Deep Sky Survey. Complementary imaging of the GAMA regions is being obtained by a number of independent survey programmes including *GALEX* MIS, VST KIDS, VISTA VIKING, *WISE*, *Herschel*-ATLAS, GMRT, and ASKAP providing UV to radio coverage. GAMA is funded by the STFC (UK), the ARC (Australia), the AAO, and the participating institutions. The GAMA website is http://www.gama-survey.org. We gratefully acknowledge use of data from the ESO Public Survey programme ID 179.B-2004 taken with the VISTA telescope, data products from CASU and VSA archive operated by WFAU.

This work is based in part on data obtained as part of the UKIRT Infrared Deep Sky Survey. The UKIDSS project is defined in Lawrence et al. (2007). UKIDSS uses the UKIRT Wide Field Camera (WFCAM; Casali et al. 2007). The photometric system is described in Hewitt et al. (2006), and the calibration is described in Hodgekin et al. (2009). The pipeline processing and science archive are described in Irwin et al (2009, in prep) and Hambly et al. (2008).

This publication makes use of data products from the Two Micron All Sky Survey, which is a joint project of the University of Massachusetts and the Infrared Processing and Analysis Centre/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the National Science Foundation.

#### REFERENCES

- Abazajian, K. N., et al. 2009, ApJS, 182, 543
- Allen, P. D., Driver, S. P., Graham, A. W., Cameron, E., Liske, J., & de Propris, R. 2006, MNRAS, 371, 2
- Arnaboldi, M., Neeser, M. J., Parker, L. C., Rosati, P., Lombardi, M., Dietrich, J. P., & Hummel, W. 2007, Msngr, 127, 28
- Baldry, I. K., et al. 2010, MNRAS, 404, 86
- Baldry, I. K., et al. 2012, MNRAS, 421, 624
- Bell, E. F., McIntosh, D. H., Katz, N., & Weinberg, M. D. 2003, ApJS, 149, 289
- Bertin, E., & Arnouts, S. 1996, A&AS, 117, 393
- Bertin, E., Mellier, Y., Radovich, M., Missonnier, G., Didelon, P., & Morin, B. 2002, in Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol. 281, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XI, ed. D. A. Bohlender, D. Durand, & T. H. Handley (San Francisco, CA: ASP), 228
- Bertin, E. 2011, in Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol. 442, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XX, ed. I. N. Evans, A. Accomazzi, D. J. Mink, & A. H. Rots (San Francisco, CA: ASP), 435
- Calabretta, M. R., & Griesen, E. W. 2002, A&A, 395, 1077
- Casali, M., et al. 2007, A&A, 467, 777
- Christóbal-Hornillos, D., et al. 2009, ApJ, 696, 1554
- Ciotti, L. 1991, A&A, 249, 99
- Dalton, G. B., et al. 2006, Proc. SPIE, 6269, 30
- de Vaucouleurs, G. 1948, AnAp, 11, 247
- Driver, S. P., Liske, J., Cross, N. J. G., De Propris, R., & Allen, P. D. 2005, MNRAS, 360, 81
- Driver, S. P., et al. 2011, MNRAS, 413, 971

- Driver, S. P. 2013, *The intriguing life of massive galaxies*, IAU Symposium 295, 155
- Emerson, J. P., et al. 2004, Proc. SPIE, 5493, 401
- Freeman, K. C. 1970, ApJ, 160, 811
- Graham, A. W., & Driver, S. P. 2005a, PASA, 22, 118
- Graham, A. W., & Driver, S. P. 2005b, AJ, 130, 1535
- Graham, A. W., & Driver, S. P. 2007, ApJ, 655, 77
- Gunawardhana, M. P., et al. 2011, MNRAS, 415, 1647
- Hambly, N. C., et al. 2008, MNRAS, 384, 637
- Hewitt, P. C., Warren, S. J., Leggett, S. K., & Hodgkin, S. T. 2006, MNRAS, 367, 454
- Hill, D. T., Driver, S. P., Cameron, E., Cross, N., Liske, L., & Robotham, A. 2010, MNRAS, 404, 1215
- Hill, D. T., et al. 2011, MNRAS, 412, 765
- Hodgekin, S. T., Irwin, M. J., Hewitt, P. C., & Warren, S. J. 2009, MNRAS, 394, 675
- Kelvin, L. S., et al. 2012, MNRAS, 421, 1007
- Komatsu, E., et al. 2011, ApJS, 192, 18
- Kron, R. G. 1980, ApJS, 43, 205
- Lackner, C. N., & Gunn, J. E. 2012, MNRAS, 421, 2277
- Lawrence, A., et al. 2007, MNRAS, 379, 1599
- Martin, D. C., et al. 2005, ApJ, 619, L1
- Mocz, P., Green, A., Malacari, M., & Glazebrook, K. 2012, MN-RAS, 425, 296
- Morrissey, P., et al. 2007, ApJS, 173, 682
- Novak, G. S., Faber, S. M., & Dekel, A. 2006, ApJ, 637, 96
- Peng, C. Y., Ho, L. C., Impey, C. D., & Rix, H.–W. 2010, AJ, 139, 2097
- Petrosian, B. V. 1976, ApJ, 209, 1
- R Development Core Team, 2010, R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria
- Savorgnan, G., Graham, A. W., Marconi, A., Sani, E., Hunt, L. K., Vika, M., & Driver, S. P. 2013, MNRAS, 434, 387
- Sérsic, J. L. 1963, Boletin de la Asociacion Argentina de Astronomia La Plata Argentina, 6, 41
- Sérsic, J. L. 1968, Atlas de galaxias australes, ed. Sérsic, J. L. 1968, Atlas de Galaxias Australes (Cordoba: Observatorio Astronomico)
- Simard, L., Mendel, J. T., Patton, D. R., Ellison, S. L., & McConnachie, A. W. 2011, ApJS, 196, 11
- Skrutskie, M. F., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 1163
- Smith, A. J., Loveday, J., & Cross, N. J. G. 2009, MNRAS, 397, 868
- Vika, M., Driver, S. P., Cameron, E., Kelvin, L. S., & Robotham, A. 2012, MNRAS, 419, 2264
- Williams, R. J., Quadri, R. F., Franx, M., van Dokkum, P., Toft, S., Kriek, M., & Labbé, I. 2010, ApJ, 713, 738
- York, D. G., et al. 2000, AJ, 120, 1579

#### **APPENDIX: DESCRIPTION OF CATALOGUES**

We release two catalogues with this paper. The first, 2uvsextract-trimmed.fits, contains the output from SExtractor and is described in Table A1. Bad or missing values

**Table A1.** Description of 2uvsextract.fits.

NAME	Unique identifier
RA	Right ascension (J2000)
DEC	Declination (J2000)
MAG_AUTO	Kron-like (AUTO) magnitude
ERRMAG AUTO	Error in AUTO magnitude
FLUX RADIUS	Half-light radius (pixel)
KRON_RADIUS	Kron radius used to calculate MAG_AUTO (pixel)
ISOAREAF_IMAGE	Filtered isophotal area above detection threshold (pixel <sup>2</sup> )
MU_THRESHOLD	Surface brightness detection threshold (mag $\operatorname{arcsec}^{-2}$ )
MU MAX	Maximum surface brightness (mag arcsec <sup>-2</sup> )
FWHM IMAGE	PSF FWHM (pixel)
CLASS_STAR	Probability an object is a star
FLAGS	SEXTRACTOR flags

are blank. 2uvsigma-trimmed.fits contains the output from SIGMA and is described in Table A2. Coverage of the entire G09 region may be incomplete for all three surveys. Missing values are denoted by -9999. The suffixes \_2, \_U, and \_V denote 2MASS, UKIDSS-LAS, and VISTA VIKING. The prefix M01\_ denotes quantities measured by GALFIT. These catalogues are available from http://star-www.st-and.ac.uk/~spd3/2uvsextractor-trimmed.fits.

Table A2. Description of 2uvsigma.fits.

CATAID	GAMA object ID
RA	Right ascension (J2000)
DEC	Declination (J2000)
R PETRO	r band Petrosian magnitude
SURVEY_CLASS	GAMA star/galaxy classification, see Driver et al. (2011)
SKY	SEXTRACTOR sky background level (ADU)
SKY_ERR	SEXTRACTOR error in sky background level (ADU)
SKY_RMS	SEXTRACTOR RMS of sky background (ADU)
CENFLUX	Central flux of object (ADU)
PSFNUM	Number of sources used to estimate PSF
PSFCHI2	PSFEx PSF $\chi^2$
PSFFWHM	PSFEx PSF FWHM (arcsec)
FLUX_RADIUS	SEXTRACTOR half-light radius (arcsec)
KRON_RADIUS	Kron radius used to calculate MAG_AUTO (pixel)
A_IMAGE	SEXTRACTOR semi-major axis (arcsec)
B_IMAGE	SEXTRACTOR semi-minor axis (arcsec)
MAG_AUTO	Kron-like (AUTO) magnitude
THETA_IMAGE	SEXTRACTOR position angle
ELLIPTICITY	SEXTRACTOR ellipticity
CLASS_STAR	SEXTRACTOR star/galaxy classifier output
SEXRE	SEXTRACTOR half-light radius on semi-major axis (arcsec)
SEXRA	SEXTRACTOR right ascension (J2000)
SEXDEC	SEXTRACTOR declination (J2000)
M01_GALPLAN	Number of times GALFIT was run
M01_GALMAG	Sérsic magnitude integrated to infinity
M01_GALRE	GALFIT half-light radius along semi-major axis (arcsec)
M01 GALINDEX	Sérsic index
M01 GALELLIP	GALFIT ellipticity
M01 PA	GALFIT position angle
M01 GALMAGERR	Error in Sérsic magnitude
M01 GALREERR	Error in half-light radius
M01 GALINDEXERR	Error in Sérsic index
M01 GALELLIPERR	Error in ellipticity
M01_PAERR	Error in position angle
M01_GALRA	GALFIT right ascension (J2000)
M01_GALDEC	GALFIT declination (J2000)
M01_GALMAG10RE	Sérsic magnitude truncated at $10r_e$
M01_GALMU0	Central surface brightness (mag $\operatorname{arcsec}^{-2}$ )
M01_GALMUE	Effective surface brightness on semi-major axis $(mag \ arcsec^{-2})$
M01_GALMUEAVG	2D Effective surface brightness (mag $\operatorname{arcsec}^{-2}$ )
M01_GALR90	Radius containing 90% of light on semi-major axis (arcsec)
M01_GALCHI2FULL	Galfit $\chi^2$
M01_GALNDOF	GALFIT model degrees of freedom
M01_GALCHI2	GALFIT reduced $\chi^2$
M01_PRICHI2FULL	$\chi^2$ of primary galaxy
M01_PRINDOF	Degrees of freedom for primary galaxy
M01_PRICHI2	Reduced $\chi^2$ for primary galaxy
M01_PRINFP	Number of free parameters for primary galaxy

PASA, 31, e004 (2014) doi:10.1017/pasa.2013.41

CAMBRIDGE JOURNALS

=