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#### Abstract

Given an arbitrary group $G$ we construct a semigroup of idempotents (band) $B_{G}$ with the property that the free idempotent generated semigroup over $B_{G}$ has a maximal subgroup isomorphic to $G$. If $G$ is finitely presented then $B_{G}$ is finite. This answers several questions from recent papers in the area.


## 1. Introduction

Let $S$ be a semigroup. The set $E=E(S)$ of all idempotents of $S$ carries a structure of a partial algebra, called the biordered set of $S$, by retaining the products of the socalled basic pairs: these are pairs of idempotents $\{e, f\}$ such that $\{e f, f e\} \cap\{e, f\} \neq$ $\varnothing$. It should be noted that if ef $\in\{e, f\}$ then $f e$ is also an idempotent, possibly different from $e, f$ and $e f$. Also, if $S$ is an idempotent semigroup (i.e. a band) then its biordered set is in general different from $S$ itself, since not every pair is necessarily basic. The term 'biordered set' comes from an alternative (but equivalent) approach, where one considers $E(S)$ as a relational structure equipped with two partial pre-orders; here we shall not pursue this approach, directing instead to [ $4,5,6,11,16$ ] for further background.

The class of idempotent generated semigroups is of prime importance in semigroup theory, with a host of natural examples, such as the semigroups of singular (non-bijective) transformations of a finite set (Howie [12]) or singular $n \times n$ matrices over a field (Erdos [7]). It is not difficult to show that the category of all idempotent generated semigroups with a fixed biordered set $E$ has an initial object IG(E), called the free idempotent generated semigroup over $E$ (we shall also say 'over $S$ ' when $E=E(S)$ ). This semigroup is defined by the presentation

$$
\operatorname{IG}(E)=\langle E| e \cdot f=e f(\{e, f\} \text { is a basic pair })\rangle .
$$

Here $e \cdot f$ stands for a word of length 2 in the free semigroup $E^{+}$, while ef is the element of $E$ to which the product equals in $S$. Unsurprisingly, $\mathrm{IG}(E)$ plays a crucial rule in understanding the structure of semigroups with a prescribed biordered set of idempotents.

For reasons that are intrinsic to basic structure theory of semigroups [11, 13], this in turn depends upon the knowledge of maximal subgroups of $\operatorname{IG}(E)$. It was conjectured for a long time that the maximal subgroups of $\operatorname{IG}(E)$ are always free; this conjecture was widely circulated back in the 1980s, and was explicitly recorded in [15]. The conjecture was proved in a number of particular cases, see e.g. [15, 17, 19]. In 2009, Brittenham, Margolis and Meakin [1] disproved the conjecture by means of
an explicit 72-element semigroup $S$ such that $\operatorname{IG}(E(S))$ has a maximal subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, the free abelian group of rank 2. This was followed by Gray and Ruškuc [9] who proved that every group arises as a maximal subgroup of IG $(E(S)$ ) for a suitably chosen semigroup $S$; if the group in question is finitely presented then a finite $S$ will suffice. Further ensuing work such as $[10,3,8]$ investigates maximal subgroups of $\operatorname{IG}(S)$ for some specific natural semigroups $S$, and the first author [2] initiates the study of $\operatorname{IG}(B)$, where $B$ is a band.

The aim of the present note is to prove the result announced in the title:
Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a group. Then there exists a band $B_{G}$ such that $\mathrm{IG}\left(B_{G}\right)$ has a maximal subgroup isomorphic to $G$. Furthermore, if $G$ is finitely presented, then $B_{G}$ can be constructed to be finite.

This single construction provides an alternative, simpler proof of all the main results of [9] (Theorems 1-4), resolves [9, Problem 1] which asks whether every finitely presented group is a maximal subgroup of $\operatorname{IG}(S)$ for some finite regular semigroup S, and solves [2, Problem 2] which calls for a characterisation of maximal semigroups of free idempotent generated semigroups over bands.

## 2. PRESENTATION FOR MAXIMAL SUBGROUPS

A general presentation for maximal subgroups of $\operatorname{IG}(S)$ in terms of parameters that depend only on the structure of $S$ has been exhibited in [9, Theorem 5]. Since we are interested here only in the case of bands, we utilise the particular form of this theorem, deduced in [2, Corollary 5].

First of all, recall [13, Theorem 4.4.1] that any band $B$ decomposes into a semilattice of rectangular bands, which are the $\mathscr{D}$-classes of $B$. Thus a $\mathscr{D}$-class $D$ of $S$ can be viewed as an $I \times J^{\prime}$ 'table' of idempotents $e_{i j}(i \in I, j \in J)$, where $\left\{R_{i}: i \in I\right\}$ and $\left\{L_{j}: j \in J\right\}$ are the $\mathscr{R}$ - and $\mathscr{L}$-classes in $D$ respectively. For $i, k \in I$ and $j, l \in J$ we refer to the tuple $\left(e_{i j}, e_{i l}, e_{k j}, e_{k l}\right)$ as the $(i, k ; j, l)$ square.

Suppose now we have an element $f \in B$ belonging to a $\mathscr{D}$-class above $D$. From the basic theory of bands (see, for example, [13, Section 4.4]) we know that $f$ induces idempotent mappings $\sigma: I \rightarrow I, i \mapsto \sigma(i)$, and $\tau: J \rightarrow J, j \mapsto(j) \tau$, such that for all $i \in I, j \in J$ we have

$$
f e_{i j}=e_{\sigma(i), j}, e_{i j} f=e_{i,(j) \tau} .
$$

We say that the square $(i, k ; j, l)$ is singular induced by $f$ if one of the following holds:
(a) $\sigma(i)=i, \sigma(k)=k$ and $(j) \tau=(l) \tau \in\{j, l\}$; or
(b) $\sigma(i)=\sigma(k) \in\{i, k\}$ and $(j) \tau=j,(l) \tau=l$.

We talk of a left-right or up-down singular square depending on whether (a) or (b) applies.

With the above conventions the general presentation we need is as follows:
Proposition $2([9,2])$. The maximal subgroup $H$ of $\operatorname{IG}(B)$ containing $e_{11} \in D$ is presented by

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\left\langle f_{i j}(i \in I, j \in J)\right| & f_{i 1}=f_{1 j}=1 & (i \in I, j \in J), \\
& f_{i j}^{-1} f_{i l}=f_{k j}^{-1} f_{k l} & ((i, k ; j, l) \text { a singular square in } D)\rangle . \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

## 3. Construction of $B_{G}$

Let $G$ be any group. Let us choose and fix a presentation $\langle A \mid R\rangle$ for $G$ in which every relation has the form $a b=c$ for some $a, b, c \in A$. It is clear that $G$ has such a presentation - for instance the Cayley table would do. What is less obvious, but nonetheless still true, is that if $G$ is finitely presented then it has a finite presentation of this form. One way of seeing this is as follows: A relation $a_{1} \ldots a_{k}=b_{1} \ldots b_{l}$ can be replaced by two relations of the form $a_{1} \ldots a_{k}=c, b_{1} \ldots b_{l}=c$, at the expense of introducing a new generator $c$. Furthermore, the relation $a_{1} \ldots a_{k}=c$ can be replaced by $k-1$ relations $a_{1} a_{2}=d_{2}, d_{2} a_{3}=d_{3}, \ldots, d_{k-2} a_{k-1}=d_{k-1}, d_{k-1} a_{k}=c$ of the desired form, with new generators $d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k-1}$.

Define sets

$$
A_{0}=A \cup\{0\}, A_{0}^{\prime}=\left\{a^{\prime}: a \in A_{0}\right\}, I=A_{0} \cup A_{0}^{\prime}, J=A_{0} \cup\{\infty\},
$$

where $0, \infty$ and $a^{\prime}\left(a \in A_{0}\right)$ are symbols distinct from each other and those already in $A$. Consider the semigroup $\mathcal{T}=\mathcal{T}_{I}^{(l)} \times \mathcal{T}_{J}^{(r)}$, where $\mathcal{T}_{I}^{(l)}$ (respectively $\mathcal{T}_{J}^{(r)}$ ) is the semigroup of all mappings $I \rightarrow I$ (resp. $J \rightarrow J$ ) written on the left (resp. right). The semigroup $\mathcal{T}$ has a unique minimal ideal $K$ consisting of all $(\sigma, \tau)$ with both $\sigma$ and $\tau$ constant. This ideal is naturally isomorphic to the rectangular band $I \times J$, and we will identify the two. We will visualise $K$ as in Figure 1.


FIGURE 1. A visual representation of $K=I \times J$, highlighting the partition $I=A_{0} \cup A_{0}^{\prime}$, as well as the four distinguished rows and columns.

We now define a set $L \subseteq \mathcal{T}$. All elements $(\sigma, \tau) \in L$ will have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{2}=\sigma, \tau^{2}=\tau, \operatorname{ker}(\sigma)=\left\{A_{0}, A_{0}^{\prime}\right\}, \operatorname{im}(\tau)=A_{0} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $\operatorname{ker}(\sigma)$ is the equivalence on $I$ defined by $\left(i, i^{\prime}\right) \in \operatorname{ker}(\sigma)$ if and only if $\sigma(i)=\sigma\left(i^{\prime}\right)$, and that it can be identified with the resulting partition of $I$ into equivalence classes. Therefore, each $(\sigma, \tau)$ will be uniquely determined by $\operatorname{im}(\sigma)$ which must be a two-element set that is a cross-section of $\left\{A_{0}, A_{0}^{\prime}\right\}$, and the value $(\infty) \tau \in A_{0}$. The elements of $L$ come in four groups: $Z$ - the initial pair; $G, \bar{G}$ - the elements arising from the generators $A ; \boldsymbol{R}$ - the elements arising from the relations R:

| Type | Notation | Indexing | $\operatorname{im}(\sigma)$ | $(\infty) \boldsymbol{\tau}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{Z}$ | $\left(\sigma_{0}, \tau_{0}\right)$ | - | $\left\{0,0^{\prime}\right\}$ | 0 |
| $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\left(\sigma_{a}, \tau_{a}\right)$ | $a \in A$ | $\left\{0, a^{\prime}\right\}$ | $a$ |
| $\overline{\boldsymbol{G}}$ | $\left(\bar{\sigma}_{a}, \bar{\tau}_{a}\right)$ | $a \in A$ | $\left\{a, a^{\prime}\right\}$ | 0 |
| $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\left(\sigma_{r}, \tau_{r}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{r}=(a b, c) \in R$ | $\left\{b, c^{\prime}\right\}$ | $a$ |

These elements can be visualised as shown in Figure 2.


Figure 2. The elements $\left(\sigma_{0}, \tau_{0}\right),\left(\sigma_{a}, \tau_{a}\right),\left(\bar{\sigma}_{a}, \bar{\tau}_{a}\right)(a \in A),\left(\sigma_{r}, \tau_{r}\right)$ $(r=(a b, c) \in R)$ of $L$. For each $(\sigma, \tau)$ shaded are the two rows corresponding to $\operatorname{im}(\sigma)$ and one column corresponding to $(\infty) \tau$. They all have $\operatorname{ker}(\sigma)=\left\{A_{0}, A_{0}^{\prime}\right\}$ and $\operatorname{im}(\tau)=A_{0}$.

Because $\operatorname{ker}(\sigma)$ and $\operatorname{im}(\tau)$ are the same for all $(\sigma, \tau) \in L$ it follows that $L$ is a left zero semigroup (i.e. $x y=x$ for all $x, y \in L$ ). Furthermore, since $K$ is an ideal in $\mathcal{T}$ (i.e. $x y, y x \in K$ for all $x \in K, y \in \mathcal{T}$ ), the set $B_{G}=K \cup L$ is a subsemigroup of $\mathcal{T}$. We remark that, strictly speaking, $B_{G}$ depends not only on $G$, but crucially on the chosen presentation for $G$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1

We will now use the presentation given in Proposition 2 to compute the maximal subgroup $H$ of $\operatorname{IG}\left(B_{G}\right)$ containing the idempotent $e_{0}=(0,0) \in K$. Relations (1) in our context read

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0 j}=f_{i 0}=1(i \in I, j \in J) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The remaining relations (2) arise from the singular squares induced by the elements of $L$ acting on $K$. Each up-down singular square is of one of the following forms:

$$
\left(a_{1}, a_{2} ; c_{1}, c_{2}\right),\left(a_{1}^{\prime}, a_{2}^{\prime}, c_{1}, c_{2}\right)\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, c_{1}, c_{2} \in A_{0}\right)
$$

The square $\left(a_{1}, a_{2} ; c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ yields the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{a_{1}, c_{1}}^{-1} f_{a_{1}, c_{2}}=f_{a_{2}, c_{1}}^{-1} f_{a_{2}, c_{2}}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, c_{1}, c_{2} \in A_{0}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $a_{1}=c_{1}=0, a_{2}=a, c_{2}=c$ and using (4) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{a, c}=1\left(a, c \in A_{0}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

clearly, all the remaining relations (5) are consequences of (6). Similarly, the squares ( $a_{1}^{\prime}, a_{2}^{\prime}, c_{1}, c_{2}$ ) yield the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{a^{\prime}, c}=f_{0^{\prime}, c}\left(a, c \in A_{0}\right) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Note that we do not necessarily have $f_{0^{\prime}, c}=1$, and so cannot deduce $f_{a^{\prime}, c}=1$.)
Turning to the left-right singular squares, each $(\sigma, \tau) \in L$ induces precisely one. Below we list respectively the squares introduced by $\left(\sigma_{0}, \tau_{0}\right)$ of type $\boldsymbol{Z},\left(\sigma_{a}, \tau_{a}\right)$ of type $\boldsymbol{G},\left(\bar{\sigma}_{a}, \bar{\tau}_{a}\right)$ of type $\overline{\boldsymbol{G}}$, and ( $\sigma_{r}, \tau_{r}$ ) of type $\boldsymbol{R}$, together with the relations they yield:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\left(0,0^{\prime} ; 0, \infty\right): & f_{0,0}^{-1} f_{0, \infty}=f_{0^{\prime}, 0}^{-1} f_{0^{\prime}, \infty} & \\
\left(0, a^{\prime} ; a, \infty\right): & f_{0, a}^{-1} f_{0, \infty}=f_{a^{\prime}, a}^{-1} f_{a^{\prime}, \infty} & (a \in A) \\
\left(a, a^{\prime} ; 0, \infty\right): & f_{a, 0}^{-1} f_{a, \infty}=f_{a^{\prime}, 0}^{-1} f_{a^{\prime}, \infty} & (a \in A) \\
\left(b, c^{\prime} ; a, \infty\right): & f_{b, a}^{-1} f_{b, \infty}=f_{c^{\prime}, a}^{-1} f_{c^{\prime}, \infty} & (r=(a b, c) \in R) . \tag{11}
\end{array}
$$

Using the relations (4), (6), (7), we can transform (8)-(11) into:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f_{0^{\prime}, \infty}=1 & \\
f_{a^{\prime}, \infty}=f_{0^{\prime}, a} & (a \in A) \\
f_{a, \infty}=f_{a^{\prime}, \infty}=f_{0^{\prime}, a} & (a \in A) \\
f_{0^{\prime}, b}=f_{0^{\prime}, a}^{-1} f_{0^{\prime}, c} & (r=(a b, c) \in R) . \tag{15}
\end{array}
$$

So, the group $H$ is defined by the generators $f_{i, j}(i \in I, j \in J)$ and relations (4), (6), (7), (12)-(15). The relations (4), (6), (7), (12)-(14) can be used simply to eliminate all the generators except $f_{0^{\prime}, a}(a \in A)$. Replacing each symbol $f_{0^{\prime}, a}$ by the symbol $a$, the remaining relations (15) become

$$
a b=c(r=(a b, c) \in R) .
$$

In other words, we obtain the original presentation for $G$. This proves that $H \cong G$.
Finally note that if $\langle A \mid R\rangle$ is a finite presentation, the semigroup $B_{G}$ is also finite, with

$$
\left|B_{G}\right|=(2|A|+2)(|A|+2)+1+2|A|+|R|,
$$

and this completes the proof of our theorem.

## 5. AN EXAMPLE, TWO REMARKS AND AN OPEN PRObLEM

It may be instructive to follow in a specific example the sequence of Tietze transformations constituting the brunt of the above proof. Let us take $G=Q_{8}$, the quaternion group, with the well known Fibonacci $F(2,3)$ presentation (see [14, Section 7.3]):

$$
\langle a, b, c \mid a b=c, b c=a, c a=b\rangle .
$$

The dimension of $K$ in this case is $8 \times 5$, and Proposition 2 gives a presentation in terms of 40 generators. This is then simplified by a sequence of generator eliminations, using relations (1), up-down singular squares, and left-right singular squares induced by the elements of $L$ of types $Z, G, \bar{G}$. In the final step further singular squares are revealed, giving back the original presentation.

If we record the original generators in a natural $8 \times 5$ grid, this process may be encapsulated as shown in Figure 3.


Figure 3. The sequence of Tietze transformations constituting the proof of Theorem 1.

Remark 3. It is possible to describe completely the structure of the free idempotent generated semigroup $\mathrm{IG}\left(B_{G}\right)$. By known results (see e.g. [9, (IG1)-(IG4)]) IG $\left(B_{G}\right)$ has precisely two regular $\mathscr{D}$-classes. The 'upper' one is a left zero semigroup $\bar{L}$ isomorphic to $L$ (as all products in $L$ are basic), while the 'lower' one $\bar{K}$, the completely simple minimal ideal, has a Rees matrix representation with structure group $G$ and (normalised) sandwich matrix $\left(a_{j i}^{-1}\right)$, where $\left(a_{i j}\right)$ is the $|I| \times|J|$ table that is the end-product of Tietze transformations performed in the proof of Theorem 1 (in our example this is the last table in Figure 3). We claim that in fact $\operatorname{IG}\left(B_{G}\right)=\bar{L} \cup \bar{K}$. To confirm this, and see that the structure is completely determined, we need to show how to write products $e f$ and $f e$ with $e \in L, f \in K$ as products of idempotents from $K$ in $\mathrm{IG}(B)$. For the product ef note that there exists $g \in K$ such that $f \mathscr{R} g$ and $g e=g$; both pairs $\{e, g\}$ and $\{f, g\}$ are critical and we have $e f=e g f=h f$, where $h=e g \in K$. The product $f e$ can be treated similarly.
Remark 4. Associated to the biorder $E$ of idempotents of a regular semigroup $S$ there is another free idempotent generated object $\operatorname{RIG}(E)$, the free regular idempotent generated semigroup on $E$. It is the largest regular semigroup with the biorder of idempotents $E$, and its presentation can be obtained by adding further relations to the defining presentation for $\operatorname{IG}(E)$. For definition and references we refer the
reader to [9]. In particular, from (IG1)-(IG4), (RIG1), (RIG2) in [9] it follows that $\operatorname{IG}\left(B_{G}\right)=\operatorname{RIG}\left(B_{G}\right)$.

One way of interpreting Remarks 3, 4 is to say that the word problem for $\operatorname{IG}\left(B_{G}\right)$ is decidable if and only if the word problem for $G$ is decidable. It is the authors' belief that the next stage in the ongoing exploration of free idempotent generated semigroups is precisely an analysis of the word problem for IG(S). This at present seems a daunting task, even in the case where $S$ is finite. Nonetheless, we propose the following problem which may just be within reach at this stage:

Question 1. Let $B$ be a finite band such that all maximal subgroups of $\operatorname{IG}(B)$ have recursively soluble word problems. Is the word problem of $\operatorname{IG}(B)$ necessarily recursively soluble?
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